Megarhizoliths in Pleistocene aeolian deposits from Gran Canaria (Spain): Ichnological and palaeoenvironmental significance
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ABSTRACT

The Pleistocene dune field of Tufia, located on the east of Gran Canaria (Spain), contains different stratigraphic levels of indurated pillar-like structures that are interpreted as megarhizoliths. The megarhizoliths occur at the top of different aeolian sets and reach 31.5 cm in diameter and over 1 m in height. These scattered, free-standing, vertical, cylindrical-to-slightly conical columns usually appear as hollow cylinders, displaying elliptical cross-sections aligned with the prevailing wind. On the leeward side of some specimens the external wall shows a tail of rock matrix resembling a sort of “wind shadow”. These tails and other remains of the associated rock matrix show a texture composed of long, horizontal, parallel cylinders orientated with the wind.

Internally the most complete structures show five concentrically arranged zones: Zone (a), is a central pore corresponding to the cavity originally occupied by the root; Zones (b) and (c), which include alveolar and laminated carbonate textures indicating that carbonate precipitation was mostly induced by the roots and their associated microorganisms; and Zones (d) and (e), consisting mostly of aeolian sands. In (d) the sand grains show thin micritic coatings whereas in (e) vadose aragonite cements can be seen on the grain surface suggesting a less biogenic influence in their formation. The degree of cementation and the time of the precipitation of carbonate around the roots controlled the preservation of these zones. Thus, in some cases, Zones b, c and/or d are not preserved. Cylinders are up to 30° the diameter of the root that nucleated them. The presence of the megarhizoliths at the top of the aeolianite beds indicates that aeolian sedimentation halted several times, allowing soil formation and plant colonisation during slightly more humid periods. The occurrence of megarhizoliths is further proof of the alternation of arid and slightly more humid climates in the north Atlantic during the last glacial period. It is also noted that they may be misinterpreted as animal trace fossils or tree trunk casts, resulting in incorrect ichnological or palaeoenvironmental interpretations.

1. Introduction

Criteria for distinguishing between fossil root and animal traces have been provided by different authors (Klappa, 1980; Ekdale et al., 1984). An oversimplification still remains when defining both types of traces since root traces do not always show downward bifurcation, tapering along their length, and radiation from a central axis, as classically described. Most analyses of root-calcification products have focused on small root structures just a few centimetres in diameter and a few decimetres in length; a few have described larger calcified root systems (megarhizoliths sensu McNamara, 1995). Megarhizoliths are of particular interest because they may be misinterpreted as animal trace fossils or tree trunk casts. Carbonate megarhizoliths have also been recorded in aeolianites from Australia (McNamara, 1995), but detailed descriptions are lacking. The present work describes carbonate megarhizoliths several decimetres in diameter and over 1 m in length at Tufia on the island of Gran Canaria (Spain).

Rhizoliths in calcrites and aeolianites are widely discussed in the sedimentological and ichnological literature. The pioneering work of Calvet et al. (1975) described the macro- and micromorphology of Pleistocene rhizocreations from Mallorca (Spain) and laid down the basis for understanding the mechanisms of carbonate precipitation around and within roots. Based on this, Klappa (1980) established the importance of studying rhizoliths and proposed criteria for their classification and distinction from burrows. The mechanisms of their genesis and formation were also well discussed. Other papers have focused on the process of their formation, and their significance for environmental studies (Mount and Cohen, 1984; Loope, 1988; Jones and Kwok-Choi, 1988; McLaren, 1995; Murray et al., 2004). In soils of
arid to semiarid climates, rhizoliths have also been recognised by their calcified root cells and/or Microcodium (Klappa, 1978; Kosir, 2004). Root traces are indicators of subaerial exposure surfaces, and their distribution and morphology have been used to decipher palaeoclimatic conditions (Retallack, 2001).

The objectives of the present work are to: (1) describe and interpret the macro- and micromorphological features of the free-standing columnar structures within aeolian deposits at Tufia; (2) analyse the main processes (pedogenesis/diagenesis/weathering) involved in their formation and preservation; (3) compare them with similar structures and propose additional criteria to distinguish between rhizoliths and animal traces; (4) stress the ichnological importance of these structures; and (5) discuss their interest in the interpretation of ancient palaeoenvironments, focusing on the palaeoclimate and vegetation responsible for their formation.

2. Geographical and geological setting

The Pleistocene to Holocene aeolian deposits of Tufia on the eastern coast of Gran Canaria are located within the municipal area of Telde, between Morrete de Tufia to the north and the Ojos de Garza Gully to the south (Fig. 1). These lightly consolidated or loose, sandy deposits form an outcrop (lying in a NNE–SSW direction) about 1500 m in length, 300 m in width, and 9 m thick. The dune field covers volcanic materials belonging to the Post-Roque Nublo magmatic phase—the youngest volcanic products to be found on Gran Canaria (ITGE, 1990; Guillou et al., 2004). These alkaline-undersaturated lavas and pyroclastites erupted from the NW–SE rift and from local emission centres (Carracedo et al., 2002). The K–Ar ages reported by Guillou et al. (2004) for the lava flows below the dune field range from 1.60 to 2.93 Ma.

The Tufia dune field is formed by Upper Pleistocene to Holocene dunes (ITGE, 1990; Carracedo et al., 2002) that are presently being remodelised by aeolian erosion. ITGE (1990) proposed that the area experienced the alternation of humid and dry episodes based upon the superposition of aeolian sands by thin calcrite beds, continental gastropods (Hemicicula sp. and Trochoidea desperauxii), and plant remains. The present landscape does not preserve the original morphology of the dunes due to strong human impact. Abandoned sand quarries provided good outcrops and sampling sites for this study (Fig. 2).

The present vegetation in the area belongs to the Euphorbio paraliasi–Cyperetum kalii association (CMA, 2005). These plants have to withstand extreme conditions, including very strong sunshine, strong winds and the influence of the sea. In addition, the climate of Tufia has desert-like characteristics; there is a strong water deficit (the mean annual precipitation is 118.5 mm), the mean annual temperature is 20.5 °C, the mean minimum temperature is 17.4 °C (in January), and the mean maximum temperature is 23.6 °C (in August) (Camino, 1997). The north-easterly trade winds are an important feature of the climate of the eastern part of Gran Canaria. Although they are very common all year, their intensity increases during summer when they have a mean speed of 40 km/h; they sometimes reach 70 km/h. The wind is responsible for the transport of sand inland—the reason for the existence of other N–S-aligned dune fields on the island (such as those of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Arinaga, Maspalomas).

![Fig. 1. Location of the Tufia aeolian deposits on Gran Canaria, with indications of the topography of the area.](image-url)
2.1. Sedimentology and petrology of the aeolianites

The dune system was studied by examining two cross-sections (Fig. 2A and B). The total thickness of the aeolian deposits at Tufla is 8.65 m. The sand contains large-scale cross-bed sets up to 1.7 m thick, with erosive bases. Sometimes the cross-strata wedge upwards from the base of the sets, showing typical aeolian sedimentary structures with dunes and foresets climbing over earlier dunes. The upper part of these sets contains the pillar-like structures (Fig. 2). In some dunes, broken terrestrial gastropods were identified at the base of the sets. They occur as thin lag deposits that were remobilised from deposits formed during less arid periods. The palaeocurrent measurements show a wide dispersion from N110°E to N180°E, similar to the present wind regime. The upper part of the cross-sections shows a proliferation of pillars; these are very prominent because of the denuding of loose sand by current aeolian activity (Fig. 3A and B). Palaeosol features are very scarce throughout the section, and are limited to the presence of root structures that destroy stratification. These palaeosols are classified as Entisols (Retallack, 2001) because they lack other soil features and show very little alteration of the parent material, which is mostly the result of root activity. The Entisols bearing the Tufla pillars also shows dense boxworks of small rhizoliths (Fig. 3C). The top of the outcrop consists of a fine sand–silt layer with small rhizoliths, large gastropod shells and insect trace fossils (Rebuffoichnus isp) (Fig. 3D). Presently, living Cyperaceae (Cyperus capitatus, Vandelle) growing in loose sand close to the pillars show slanting roots of small size with concretions (4 mm in diameter) around them.

2.2. Grain size and composition of the aeolianites

The sands of the Tufla dunes are fine- to medium-grained (between 0.181 and 0.348 mm, $\sigma=0.052$), and, according to Folk and Ward (1957)
criteria, vary from well to moderately sorted with extreme sorting values of between 0.429 and 0.807 (\(\sigma = 0.134\)). The distribution of the grain sizes has a null or negative asymmetry. The sandy layers with trace fossils have mean grain sizes between the extreme values shown above, with no significant differences compared to the layers without ichnofossils.

The composition of the aeolianites was studied by examining resin-impregnated thin sections, counting 500 points per section. The studied samples show more terrigenous material (less than 75%, 50% on average) than bioclasts (less than 60%, 50% on average). Basic and felsic volcanic rock fragments are the most abundant with proportions of 40.4% and 30.9% (\(\sigma = 3.6\)). The proportions of volcanic glasses and microcrystalline groundmass range from 9.6 to 22% (\(\sigma = 4.2\)). Fragments of sedimentary rocks appear in proportions of 3–12.6% (\(\sigma = 3.8\)). The proportion of monomineral grains varies between 8% and 34.9% (\(\sigma = 10\)); most of these are feldspars, clinopyroxenes, opaques and olivines. Amphiboles appear in smaller proportions; feldspathoids and zeolites are rare. Among the bioclasts, red coralline algae (rodolites) and mollusc fragments are the most abundant; foraminifers, echinoderms and bryozoans appear in smaller proportions. The bioclastic and terrigenous components are similar to those found in the Maspalomas dune field (dunes and...
aeolianites) in the south of Gran Canaria (Hernández and Mangas, 2004). The sand grains of the Tufia aeolianites show thin coats of carbonate cement as those described by Gardner and McLaren (1993), Kindler and Hearty (1995) and Mangas et al. (2007). No important compositional differences can be seen between the layers with or without columns. Thus, the petrographical and mineralogical characteristics of the sand layers are not fundamental for column formation. The larger amounts of non-carbonate compared to carbonate grains suggests that the Tufia aeolianites were formed by important contributions of terrigenous material formed by the erosion of coastal materials and/or transported through the gullies located to the north of the Tufia area.

3. Description of the Tufia columnar structures

3.1. Macromorphology

Most of the analysed structures appear as free-standing, vertical, cylindrical (Figs. 3A, E, F and 4A, B and C) to slightly conical (Fig. 4D) columns scattered in patches over an area of about 5000 m². A few

Fig. 4. A. Cross-section showing an eccentric hollow filled with sand. B. Megarhizolith and its tail (see the detailed view) showing an external network of cylindrical structures. C. Free-standing megahrizolith (front) and other specimens included in the upper part of the sand sets (arrows) (scale is 20 cm). D. Conical megahrizolith. E. Cross-section showing three cores (original roots): a central cavity with passive fillings, a hollow cylinder (right, up), and a white tube (left). F. Cross-section showing the eccentric hollow and parallel, wind-orientated cylinders in the tail (the wind comes from the upper left in this picture).
appear in vertical sections included in the upper part of the sand sets (Figs. 3B and 4C). The lower parts are only occasionally visible in the vertical sections, and the upper parts are always truncated. The distribution alternates between patches of relatively high density in which the columns are separated by less than 2 m, and others almost devoid of them. The densest area had seven specimens in a rectangle of 8.75 m x 2 m. The exposed samples usually appear as hollow cylinders, elliptical in cross-section (Figs. 3E and 4A, E and F), 20 cm to 75 cm in height (mean of the examined samples: 38.9 cm, N=17), 4 cm to 25 cm in width (mean: 16.8 cm, N=18), and 4 cm to 31.5 cm in length (mean: 19.1 cm, N=17). The long axis of the elliptical section always appears orientated with the prevailing NE-SW wind.

Different macromorphologies were observed in the megahrizoliths exposed as vertical columns in the field: (a) hollow cylinders with a cavity is from 1.5 cm to 15 cm (mean: 8.3 cm, N=16), in some cases eccentric, and only part of the most external wall preserved; (b) with

![Figure 5](image1.png)

Fig. 5. A. Cross-section showing a central cavity occupied by white carbonate. B. Cross-section showing the central pore, a white tube, a peripheral weathered ring, and a cemented external wall. C. Tube of white carbonate (original root) protruding through the lateral wall of the megahrizolith. D. Longitudinal section of a megahrizolith showing tubes (secondary roots) radiating from the central tube (central root). E. Lateral view of the megahrizolith in D, showing two rings of remaining rock matrix. F. Remains of palaeosol showing a wind-influenced texture composed of horizontal, parallel cylinders (arrows). G. Palaeodune from the Miocene Pinturas Formation (Argentina) showing wind shadows produced in spherical concretions. Coin: 2.3 cm.
more than one cavity containing micrite tubes; (c) with no cavity at all (Fig. 5A); or (d) with a cavity having a single central tube (Fig. 5B). Some specimens show lateral tubes that cut across the wall of the structure (Fig. 5C). In other cases, more than one cavity or micrite tube is included in the same structure (Fig. 4E). The most complex specimen observed shows a central cavity including a micrite tube with radiating ones that crossed the surrounding cavity and penetrated the external wall, a second smaller specimen connected laterally (Fig. 5D), and two rings of remaining indurated rock matrix (Fig. 5E).

In some of the non-buried specimens, the external wall shows a rock matrix tail resembling a sort of leeward “wind shadow” (Figs. 3E and 4B, F). The tails and the other rock matrix remains associated with them also show a texture composed of long horizontal, parallel, cylinders orientated with the wind (Figs. 4F and 5F). The tails have a triangular or tabular appearance, may be single or double, massive or composed mainly of a network of cylindrical structures (Fig. 4B), and can be longer than the diameter of the whole structure (Fig. 3E). Specimens that are buried in the sand are circular in cross-sections and do not show “wind shadows”.

3.2. Micromorphology

In cross-section the most complete samples show two different parts that can be separated into five micromorphological zones

![Figure 6](image_url)
(Fig. 6A). From the interior to the exterior the following can be seen: (1) a small (1–2 mm) and usually empty core (Zone a) followed by a tube (0.6–2 cm) of white carbonate (absent in some cases) (Zones b and c), and (2) an external wall ranging formed from cemented sands (Zones d and e). The external wall shows an outer surface texture composed of short cylindrical structures resembling tunnels. These radiate in all directions, even vertically, forming a kind of network (Fig. 4B).

Zone (b) is formed by white porous carbonate which shows an alveolar septal structure (Fig. 6B). Zone (b) is very porous. The carbonate is composed of very fine micrite crystals with cylindrical pores coated by micrite, which includes remains of biofilms and some calcified filaments of about 200 μm in length and 5 μm in diameter are observed (Fig. 6C and D). Zone (c) is composed of dense, laminated to massive micrite (Fig. 6E) and appears between the carbonate with the alveolar septal structure and the external wall, but is absent in many

Fig. 7. A. Polished hand sample of a megarhizolith showing the different Zones (see legend of Fig. 6A for explanation). Two thin roots (1 and 2) probably account for the formation of this specimen. Note the diameter of the thin roots in comparison to the overall diameter of the megarhizolith. B. View of the cemented sand forming the external part of the megarhizolith; the grains show thin coatings of micrite that lie either directly on the sand grains or on previous cement. C. The micritic + cement coating is stained. D. SEM image of calcified tubes formed by micrite-sized crystals. Vadose cements are also visible. E. Detailed view of the calcified tubes. F. Organic films on the sand grains; some micrite-sized crystals are growing on them.
specimens. The laminae are very irregular and contain very few sand grains.

The external wall is formed by Zones (d) (internal) and (e) (external). Zone (d) is from well indurated to loose and is composed of light-coloured aeolian sands and micrite-coated sand grains with whiter areas between them (Fig. 7A). The micrite coatings lie directly on the grains or are included between different cement laminae (Fig. 7B). In some cases the micrite coatings show orange staining, probably caused by organic matter (Fig. 7C). The coatings consist of micritic tubes about 30 μm in diameter and more than 200 μm in length (Fig. 7D). Micrite crystals are present in the empty hollows of the tubes (Fig. 7E). Only exceptionally organic films are seen coating the grains (Fig. 7F). Zone (e) is more cemented than Zone (d). It is composed of darker of aeolian sands with incipient carbonate cements (Fig. 8A–C), probably of aragonite. The crystals are about 20 μm long and about 3 μm wide. In some cases they totally coat the sand grains (Fig. 8D–F) while in others the coating is irregular. There is usually more than one phase of cementation. The micritic coatings/cements sequence varies within the different zones. Close to the centre (Zone d) the micritic coatings are in contact with the grains and the cements

![Figure 8](image-url)
lie on the micrite—however, in the outermost zones (Zone e) the micrite envelopes tend to be located on the cements.

4. Discussion

4.1. Origin and preservation of structures

The internal features of the column structures at Tufia, such as the concentric cylinders (Figs. 7 and 8A), the systems of radiating structures (Fig. 5D), and the absence of vertical partitioning (i.e., chambers, menisci), are more compatible with root traces than any structure of animal origin. In addition, most of their external characteristics are more likely related to their exposure to wind after exhumation (see below) than with their origin. The analysis of the internal morphology of these structures reveals that they may have originated through the interaction of root-induced organic and inorganic processes (Calvet et al., 1975, Klappa, 1980). Klappa (1980) defined five types of rhizolith: root moulds, root casts, root tubules, rhizocretions (sensu stricto) and root petrifactions. Rhizocretions are the pedogenic accumulations of mineral matter around roots. Most of the structures found at Tufia can be considered rhizocretions, but there are also moulds, casts and tubules; the term rhizoliths is therefore preferred, or ‘mega-rhizoliths’ (McNamara, 1995), due to their size.

The formation of rhizoliths has been widely discussed, especially when these have developed in loose aeolian sediments in which the rooting of plants causes a mechanical effect that moves the grains. This results in a denser grain packing surrounding the root system; in these areas the porosity is lower and the same amount of carbonate cement produces more lithification around the root than in the dunes (Calvet et al., 1975). Further, exuded organic acids assist in the acquisition of mineral nutrients. This strategy of rhizosphere acidification is evident in plants that grow in carbonate-rich, alkaline soils (Marschner, 1995), and is favoured by calcification of the roots (McConnaughey and Whelan, 1997). In addition, in the vicinity of the root system the humidity is retained during longer periods, which favours the development of microorganisms (McLaren, 1995). Microbial activity and acid secretion by the root leads to the appearance of carbonic acid that can dissolve some of the carbonate grain of the dune. The rhizosphere constitutes an unstable chemical microenvironment in which small changes in pH, temperature, soil solution concentration and evapotranspiration cause either precipitation or dissolution processes around the root. The consequence of greater precipitation is a more significant cementation around the root due to the lower porosity in this region (Calvet et al., 1975).

The distribution of the macro- and microfabrics in the Tufia megarhizoliths suggests that their growth is centripetal, as commonly proposed (Calvet et al., 1975; Klappa, 1980). The proposed stages are the following:

1. The most external zone (e) (Figs. 6A and 7A), arises in the area of least influence of the root organic acids and microorganisms. The precipitation of carbonate is mostly related to the migration of calcium and bicarbonate to the outermost and least acidic parts of the rhizosphere. Evaporation could cause rapid oversaturation, allowing the formation of the discontinuous fibrous cements on the sand grains.
2. At the same time (or later on), the microbial association of the rhizosphere induces the precipitation of carbonate on different types of fungal filament and other organic structures, such as hairs, to form micrite coatings on the grains, as described for the aelolianites of Mallorca (Calvet et al., 1975) and in calcretes (Kahle, 1977; Phillips and Self, 1987). Micrite envelopes are responsible for the whitier parts of the megarhizoliths (Zone [d]), and probably formed in the volume occupied by the root hairs and fungal filaments. These micritic envelopes may or may not reach the outer external zone (e). In some cases, the lack of cements and micritic coatings in Zone (d) would not allow the lithification of the sand; Zone (d) could therefore be lost due to wind erosion.
3. In the last stages, the processes include A): the infilling of the space occupied by the root (Zones b+c) due to the precipitation of both laminated to massive micrite and white carbonate, some of which has alveolar septal structure (Fig. 7A). This microfabric is formed by the precipitation of carbonate around fungal filaments (Wright, 1986). This happens during the life of the root or when it is slowly decaying. B) When the decay of the root is faster, the precipitation of the carbonate in the central area may not be possible; a hollow is thus preserved, represented by Zones (a b+c). Many intermediate situations between A and B occur, such as the preservation of small calcified root hairs, or just the alveolar carbonate without the laminated micrite or vice versa. All these situations probably arise through the interplay between the activity of microorganisms in the rhizosphere and the decay and decomposition of the root.

The Cyperaceae (Cyperus capitatus, Vandelle) presently living at Tufia are producing concretions around their roots, although their size is not comparable with those of the megarhizoliths. However, they should be considered possible producers of such structures since megarhizolith micro- and macrofabrics indicate that the size of the original root (Zones [a] to [b] in Figs. 6A and 7A) may be very small in relation to the overall diameter of the megarhizolith.

Most of the external characteristics of the megarhizoliths described herein result from, or are enhanced by wind action since they are orientated according with the prevailing winds. Wind removal of the rock matrix resulted in the present exhumation of the megarhizoliths, which are now exposed as free-standing structures partly covered by sand dunes. The surface texture of the external wall composed of short cylinders, which in some cases forms boxworks, is interpreted as the result of the wind’s enhancement of second order rhizocretions (compare with Klappa, 1980, Fig. 3E). Also enhanced by the wind are the two rings of matrix surrounding the specimen in Fig. 5E. These are interpreted as the remains of the original bedding of the deposit, probably ancient soil surfaces. Other important characters of the megarhizoliths are also related to the wind direction. Elliptical sections and the eccentricity of the central shaft produced by the root are not found in megarhizoliths included in the rock matrix. They are shown only in the weathered ones, possibly in those more exposed to the present wind which are wind-oriented. The weathering is stronger on the windward side of the rhizolith, leading to a reduction in the thickness of its wall and an artificial “migration” of the central cavity to the windward side of the structure. The flanks are also more eroded than the leeward face, thus producing the elliptical cross-section. This leeward face is the most protected from wind action, resulting in the formation of a relatively long tail in some specimens. In some cases, the texture of the tail and the remains of the rock matrix beside it are composed of horizontal, parallel cylinders. These can be also interpreted as wind shadows produced behind a more consolidated piece of matrix. Fig. 5G shows an example of this from the Miocene Pinturas Formation (Argentina), in which discrete concretions produced more or less cylindrical wind shadow structures.

4.2. A comparison of the megarhizoliths with other biogenic structures

In young rocks such as those analysed in the present study, in which many macro- and micromorphological characters are well preserved, confusion between megarhizoliths and other biogenic structures is unlikely to be a problem. However, in older rocks and/or with poorly preserved material, cylinders of similar diameter could be confused with other kinds of biogenic structure, such as tree stump casts or animal traces. This could result in misleading palaeoenvironmental interpretations. It is therefore necessary to emphasize the relatively large diameter (up to 31.5 cm) of rhizoliths—up to 30 times the diameter of the root (which may have been less than 1 cm in
diameter) that originated them. If this occurs in older rocks in which no diagnostic characters are preserved, the possible interpretation of these structures as tree stump casts would lead to the erroneous conclusion of a more humid, forested landscape, however we are dealing with thin roots developing in an arid landscape.

Depending on the subsequent depositional, weathering, and taphonomic history of aeolian deposits, free-standing structures such as these can erroneously taken to be epigeous trace fossils. Recently, Bordy et al. (2004) described sandstone pillars from aeolian settings of the Tuli Basin of South Africa, attributing them to “advanced Jurassic termite nests”. This interpretation was disputed by Genise et al. (2005) who referred to Australian megahrizoliths as comparable structures. Previously, Bordy and Catuneanu (2002) had ruled out the Tuli pillars as root traces due to the lack of downward ramification, tapering diameter, or any radiation from a central axis, i.e., by applying the classical definition of rhizocretions. Interestingly some of the external and internal characteristics of the Tuli pillars match some of those described in the present work. For example, the external features are represented by: (1) free-standing vertical columns composed of sand identical to the rock matrix; (2) the uppermost portions are generally missing and the lower parts are only rarely exposed; (3) the cross-section is elliptical, and the pillars are orientated with the wind; and (4) orientated buttresses (tails?) are present. In addition, the internal structure of the Tuli pillars involves two types of sandstone-filled burrows, larger shafts, and empty spaces—resembling the structures described in the present work. These include: (5) one type of burrow with a web-like network, comparable to the network of second order rhizocretions described in the present work; (6) another type of “burrow” that is horizontal, parallel and strongly orientated, like the cylindrical wind shadows documented for the present megahrizoliths; (7) open, straight shafts that generally penetrate the centre of the pillars, just like the Tufia structures; and (8) an empty space (in some specimens) between the central part and the exterior wall, as seen in some of the present megahrizoliths. Genise et al. (2005) indicated that the strong orientation of the structures in the Tuli pillars cannot be explained in terms of termite biology, suggesting that they have their origin in some erosive agent with a defined direction. From aeolian settings in the Jurassic Morrison Formation (USA), Hasiotis (2004, Fig. 23) recorded giant cylindrical structures that resemble megahrizoliths. Regrettably, the description of the trace fossils in that paper is too brief to allow any discussion regarding their origin (Bromley et al., 2007). However, when analysing the origin of this type of structure, Roth et al. (2008) ruled out fulgurite and termite nests because of the lack of any micro- or macromorphological characters. A root origin was also considered problematic given the classical rhizolith morphologies used in comparisons, which as shown herein do not cover the broad morphological range that root traces display.

4.3. Palaeoenvironmental implications

This study provides a further example of the common association between rhizoliths and aeolianites; calcrites provide the other setting in which rhizoliths are commonly found. The mobility of carbonate in both such settings is limited because water supplies are reduced, thus carbonate is moved to and fixed in the zones in which water can be retained for longer. In aeolian settings, with very permeable sediments, this mostly occurs in the proximity of the roots. The aragonite composition of some grains, together with capillary-rise processes, provides vadose water rich in calcium and carbonate, contributing to the precipitation of inorganic aragonite cements around grains and to organically induced precipitation by the roots and their rhizosphere. Some water is, however, always needed, and in these arid settings it is very probable that the levels containing the rhizoliths indicate more humid conditions (which would have allowed more intense plant colonisation). This would have favoured incipient soil development and smaller aeolian sedimentation rates in a more stable landscape (Fig. 9). Stable isotope analyses performed in different settings, such as in the loess–palaeosol deposits of Illinois (Wang et al., 2004) or the lake-margin deposits of the Olduvai Gorge (Liutkus et al., 2005), have reflected the seasonal growth of rhizoliths, the results indicating the alternation of relatively wetter and drier periods. Accordingly, it is very probable that the present megahrizoliths, with their large diameters, formed during the relatively wetter periods of an arid but seasonal climate. The plants that formed them were able to penetrate deeper into the aeolian sediments (Fig. 9), probably to get water.

Racemization analyses carried on gastropod shells of Theba genus, from the Tufia aeolianites give ages comprised between 31.2±6.2 and 34.3±8 ky (Mangas et al., in press). This data in the range of those obtained for Mallorca (37,100±800 14C yr to 41,000 cal yr BP) (Clemmensen et al., 2001) and Lanzarote (between 50,000 and

Fig. 9. Sketch showing the different episodes that might account for the formation of the megahrizoliths. Episodes of aeolian sedimentation (A, and C) were followed by episodes of incipient soil formation and the development of roots; these periods (B, D, and E) were favourable to the existence of gastropods and insects. Later erosion and quarry work gave place to the present appearance of the outcrop.
30,000 yr BP) (Hillaire-Marcel et al., 1995). Both in Mallorca and Lanzarote the aeolianites appear to have formed when the sea level was about 30 m lower than it is today (Bradley, 1999). The aeolianites of Tuá also could form during a low sea level stage. With respect to the megahelizoliths, the dating of some aeolianite beds (Mangas et al., in press), suggests that the formation of each aeolianite bed and their topmost megahelizoliths occurred in periods of the order of a few hundred years, because the overall outcrop will record about 3100 yr. Each sequence of aeolian activity followed by stabilization of the dunes by the roots (or their preserved megahelizoliths) may reflect arid climates (probably close to present conditions) followed by less arid periods during which the vegetation colonized the dunes. Biological, mineralogical and geochemical studies performed in loess, palaeosols and aeolianites from Lanzarote, Fuerteventura and NW Africa (Petit-Maire et al., 1986; Hillaire-Marcel et al., 1995; Rognon and Coudé-Gaussen, 1996; Gasse, 2000) record arid phases followed by humid transitions between 23 and 50 ky BP. The distribution of these aeolianite beds with rhizoliths all along the Canaries, and even in the Mediterranean indicates that there were regional and not local controls on their formation. The alternation of at least five dry/humid episodes has been inferred from the amino acid racemization results obtained in gastropods from the eastern Canary Islands living before the Last Glacial Maximum (23–18 ka BP) (Ortiz et al., 2006). Thus, in arid settings the presence of these structures is a good indicator of the relatively wetter periods. 5. Conclusions 1. The Pleistocene Tuá dune field comprises several aeolian beds which at the top show free-standing columnar structures that can reach 31.5 cm in diameter and over 1 m in height. Based on their macro- and particularly micromorphological characteristics, these structures are interpreted as megahelizoliths. 2. Micromorphologically, their cross-sections show five concentrically arranged zones. Zone (a) is the most internal; this is porous and represents the original location of the root. Zones (b) + (c) are formed from alveolar and laminated micrite; this would be formed by the activity of the root and its associated rhizosphere micro-organisms. Zone (d) consists of sand grains with thin micritic coatings and/or vadose cements; in some cases this is absent due to a low level of cementation. Zone (e) is the outermost and most indurated ring, and consists of sand grains with larger amounts of vadose argonite cements; this is favoured by the capacity of roots to maintain higher levels of humidity in their vicinity. 3. Macromorphologically, the free-standing megahelizoliths show many features produced or enhanced by the wind after their exhumation, such as their elliptical section, the ecentricity of the central shaft, the surface texture of the external wall (composed of short cylinders forming boxworks), tails or “wind shadows”, and the presence of horizontal, parallel cylinders resembling burrows. 4. The diameter of the original root may be 30 times smaller than the diameter of the megahelizolith, showing that these large structures are not necessarily originated by large roots, trunks, or in densely vegetated areas as may be supposed from their size and abundance. These megahelizoliths could also be misinterpreted as epicontinued insect nests from which they are distinguishable after the recognition of the macro- and micromorphological features described. 5. The correct interpretation of these structures is critical for obtaining palaeoecological and palaeoclimatic inferences. The relationship between the aeolian sediments and the megahelizoliths indicate that the Tuá dune field was formed under arid conditions when the sea level was much lower than at present. Under these conditions the plants producing the original roots would have colonised the dunes during slightly wetter periods; aeolian deposits lacking plants would represent more arid times. This alternation of arid and less arid stages has been detected in other deposits of the Canary Islands and Malloroca, supporting the proposals made in this work. Acknowledgements This work was funded by project CGL-2005-5953-C02-02 and by CCG07-UCM/AMB-2299 from the Spanish Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia. J.J. Genise was also supported by grant PICT 13286 from the Argentine Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica. All SEM studies were performed at the Centro de Microscopía Luis Bré-UCLM. A. Martín-Pérez is supported by an I3P grant from CSIC. A. Valdeemillos is supported by Juan de la Cierva Program (MEC). Adrian Burton is thanked for his revision of the English manuscript. We thank F. Surlyk, D. Loope and an anonymous reviewer their helpful comments. References Bordy, E.M., Catuneanu, O. 2002. Sedimentology and palaeoentypetry of upper Karoo aeolianites (Early Jurassic) in the Tuá Basin, South Africa. Journal of African Earth Sciences 35, 301–314. Bordy, E.M., Bumby, A.J., Catuneanu, O., Eriksson, P.G., 2004. Advanced Early Jurassic terrestic (Insecta: Isopora) nests: evidence from the Claresen Formation in the Tuá Basin, Southern Africa. Palaeontology 47, 68–78. Bradley, R.S., 1999. Palaeoclimate modelling: Reconstructing Climates on the Quaternary. Academic Press, San Diego. 613 pp. Bronnimann, A., Buatoux, L.A., Grande, J., Brandeira, C.C., Mangano, M.G., Melchor, R.N., Schlirf, M., Uchman, A., 2007. Comments on the paper “Reconnaissance of Upper Jurassic Morrison Formation ichnofossils, Rocky Mountain Region, USA: paleoenvironmental, stratigraphic, and palaeoclimatic significance of terrestrial and fresh water ichnocoenoses” by Stephen T. Haszeltine. Sedimentary Geology 200, 141–150. Calvet, F., Poom, L., Esteban, M., 1975. Las Ricosecciones del Pleistoceno de Mallorca. Inst. Invest. Geol. Univ. Barcelona 30, 35–60. Camino, J., 1997. Los espacios naturales protegidos: análisis geográfico de las disfunciones entre potencialidad natural y usos reales. Los ejemplos de Tuá y Arinaga (Gran Canaria, Islas Canarias). Ph.D. Thesis, Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, 192 pp. Carracedo, J.C., Pérez-Torrado, F.J., Ancochea, E., Meco, J., Hernán, F., Cubas, C.R., Casillas, R., Rodríguez Badiola, E., 2002. Cenozoic volcanism: II. The Canarian Islands. In: Gibbons, F.A.W., Moreno, T. (Eds.), The Geology of Spain. Geological Society of London, London. 438–472. Clemmensen, L.B., Lisborg, T., Fornóis, J.J., Bromley, G.R., 2001. Cliff-front aeolian and colluvial deposits, Mallorca, Western Mediterranean: a record of climatic and environmental change during the last glacial period. Bulletin of the Geological Society of Denmark 48, 217–232. CMA, 2005. Normas de conservación del Síntesis de Interés Científico de Tuá. Documento informativo. 66 pp. Ekdale, A.A., Bromley, R.G., Pemberton, G., 1984. Ichnology. The use of trace fossils in sedimentology and stratigraphy. Society of Economic Paleontologist and Mineralogists, Short Course, vol. 15, pp. 1–315. Folk, R.L., Ward, W.C., 1957. Brazos River bar (Texas). A study in the significance of terrestrial and freshwater ichnocoenoses. Sedimentary Geology 167, 234–282.