Millennial/submillennial-scale sea-level fluctuations in western Mediterranean during the second highstand of MIS 5e
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ABSTRACT

This paper investigates a series of small-scale, short-lived fluctuations of sea level registered in a prograding barrier spit that grew during the MIS 5e. This interglacial includes three highstands (Zazo et al., 2003) and we focus on the second highstand, of assumed duration -10 ± 2 ka, given that U–Th ages do not provide more accurate data. Geometry and 3D architecture of beach facies, and thin-section petrography were used to investigate eight exposed offlapping subunits separated by seven conspicuous erosion surfaces, all interpreted as the result of repeated small-scale fluctuations of sea level.

Each subunit records a relatively rapid rise of sea level that generated a gravelly shoreface with algal bioherms and a sandy uppermost shoreface and foreshore where most sand accumulated. A second range of still smaller-scaled oscillations of sea level has been deduced in this phase of sea-level fluctuation from lateral and vertical shifts of the foreshore-plunge-step-uppermost shoreface facies.

Eventually, progradation with gently falling sea level took place and foreshore deposits underwent successive vadose cementation and subaerial dissolution, owing to relatively prolonged exposure. Later recovery of sea level re-established the highstand with sea level at approximately the same elevation, and there began deposition of a new subunit. The minimum sea-level variation (fall and subsequent rise) required to generate the observed features is 4 m. The time span available for the whole succession of events, and comparison with the Holocene prograding beach ridge complex in the nearby Roquetas (Almería) were used to calculate the periodicity of events. A millennial-suborbital time scale is suggested for fluctuations separating subunits and a decadal scale for the minor oscillations inside each subunit.

1. Introduction

It is a general opinion that the duration of the Last Interglacial, Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) 5e, was around 17–18 ka, between -133 and -116 ka, and that global sea level rose above present elevations (Zhu et al., 1993; Szabo et al., 1994; Hearty and Kindler, 1995; Neumann and Hearty, 1996; Hillaire-Marcel et al., 1996; Blanchon and Eisenhauer, 2001; Shackleton et al., 2002). However, the estimates of sea-level position deduced from records of sea level on different coastlines could very well differ owing to tectonics, glacio-hydro-isostatic effects, rate of reef growth, and accuracy of dating methods. U–Th dating of fossil corals is currently considered the best direct method to obtain benchmarks aimed to reconstruct the history of sea level. Despite significant improvement in analytical techniques, the age artifacts imposed by open-system effects even in corals could not be overcome (Stein et al., 1993; Andersen et al., 2009). Concerning U-series ages based on mollusc shells, open-system behaviour also introduces large uncertainty (Szabo and Rosholt, 1969; Kaufman et al., 1971; Bernat et al., 1985, O’Leary et al., 2008). Thus, in a worldwide revision of sea level during the
Significant sea-level fluctuations during MIS 5e have been reported in many sites from geomorphological and morphostratigraphic evidence (Plaziat et al., 1998; Schellmann et al., 2004; Schellmann and Radtke, 2004; Dumas et al., 2006; Rohling et al., 2008; Accordini et al., 2010). A global MIS 5e sea-level curve has been presented by Hearty et al. (2007), with assumed average duration between 130 ± 2 and 119 ± 2 ka. They included several fluctuations: a post-glacial rise before 130 ka, a period of stability at 130 to 125 ka, and a decrease in sea level (a.s.l.) at 125 to 120 ka. The end of MIS 5e (120–118 ka) is characterized by a series of rapid sea-level changes between 130 ka and 115 ka.

In the Bahamas, White et al. (1998) differentiated two phases (132–125 ka and 124–119 ka) of coral reef growth, separated by a rapid fall of sea level, near the present, during the sustained MIS 5e highstand. The transgression/regression cycle occurred in 1.1–1.5 ka with a total change of sea level of 10 m during the fall and subsequent rise.

Rapid sea-level changes during the early part of MIS 5e have been reported from the Gulf of Corinth (Greece). There, the early MIS 5e highstand (137 to 125 ka) was punctuated by two significant (>10 m) eustatic sea-level falls, which probably occurred in less than 1000 yr (Andrews et al., 2007). The absence or scarcity of fossil corals in Spanish coasts makes it necessary to use U-series measurements, amino-acid racemization (AAR), and other techniques. Dating on mollusk shells recovered from marine deposits, with very limited assistance from corals has been used (e.g.: Hillaire-Marcel et al., 1986, 1996; Hearty, 1986, 1987; Causse et al., 1993; Zazo et al., 1999, 2002). Numerous studies have been devoted to Mallorca Island (Balearic Islands) aimed to investigating sea-level changes during MIS 5e. U-series measurements on mollusk shells recovered from three morphosedimentary units produced two groups of ages: 135 ka for the older unit and 117 ka for the other two, more recent ones (Hillaire-Marcel et al., 1996). Field investigations suggested that the three marine units represent three different highstands (Goy et al., 1997). U-series ages of phehatic overgrowths on speleothems developed in eastern Mallorca litoral caves suggested two sea-level highstands (135–130 ka and 120–118 ka) separated by a lowstand at a maximum depth of 165 m around 125 ka (Ginés et al., 2005; Tuccimei et al., 2006). Because of the large amplitude of sea level changes (19 m), rapid ice melting and accumulation during discrete intervals of time have been suggested.

Hearty (1987) dated Last Interglacial deposits in Mallorca Island my means of AAR and U-Th measurements. Calibration of amino-zone E (MIS 5e) relays on 129 ± 7 ka U-series coral age on Cladocora caespitosa from Palma Bay. Three minor oscillations during MIS 5e were proposed in this synthesized sea-level curve of Mallorca.

A synthesis of the Pleistocene marine terraces of the Spanish coast based on geomorphological mapping, morphosedimentary analysis, and faunal content, and supported by U-series dating (Zazo et al., 2003) suggested the occurrence of up to three highstands during MIS 5e, between 135 and 117 ka. The three bear the "Senegalese" warm fauna (Strombus bubonius, Cardita senegaiensis, Conus testudinarius, etc.). The oldest highstand was recorded in some of the east-facing coastal sectors of the Iberian Peninsula as prograding oolitic beach-coastal dune systems. The second is bio-siliciclastic and includes the most complete sedimentary and paleontological records, with a high number and variety of Senegalese species. The third, younger, highstand is represented by poorly sorted boulders imbedded in a reddish matrix, and suggest deposition during a short period characterized by increase in both storminess and rainfall. Bardaji et al. (2009) proposed changes in the pattern of prevailing winds as one of the causes of the facies change: the initially prevailing eastern winds turned to strong northerly winds by the end of MIS 5e, with increased storminess and runoff.

Three highstands have been recognized in the general sea-level curve of the Mediterranean basin proposed by Hearty et al. (2007), based on data from Italy, Spain and Tunisia. These positive fluctuations were interrupted by minor falls of sea level with amplitudes <3 m. The second highstand represents the highest rise of sea level and was the longest, with duration around 10 ka.

The aim of this paper is to analyze the repeated, small-scale changes of sea level recorded in a prograding barrier spit that grew during MIS 5e at La Marina-El Pinet site (Alicante, Fig. 1). The study is a continuation of previous investigations in the area (Zazo et al., 2003; Goy et al., 2006) that were supported by geomorphologic mapping, facies analysis, and U-series measurements on mollusk shells and corals. However, in this case, we focus on the bio-siliciclastic facies of the second highstand of MIS 5e that is the best exposed. We paid particular attention to the geometry and 3D architecture of beach facies, and petrographic analyses to investigate small-scaled fluctuations of sea level inside this highstand, and its possible local/regional climatic meaning.

2. Geological setting

La Marina-El Pinet area is located in the Eastern Betics Ranges, where major tectonic activity took place between the Lower and Middle Pleistocene (Goy and Zazo, 1989; Goy et al., 1989). This neotectonic event produced folds and flexures directed E-W along the coastal areas of south Alicante Province (Spain) that promoted the occurrence of alternating uplifted (e.g. Santa Pola and El Molar Ranges) and subsiding (Santa Pola Lagoon) areas. Flights of marine Quaternary terraces are observed in uplifted areas while subsiding zones host wide lagoons separated from the open sea by beach barriers, at least since Middle Pleistocene times (Fig. 1). The MIS 5e sedimentary sequence investigated in this paper is a part of the complex La Marina-El Pinet beach-barrier that is rooted in El Molar Range. The system grew towards the NNE during highstands, with a relatively modest progradation of beach units to the S/SE, and accumulation of coastal aeolian dunes (Fig. 2).

The site of La Marina-El Pinet acquired interest since the 1980’s, when Bernat et al. (1982), Hearty et al. (1987), and Causse et al. (1993) attempted dating the fossiliferous deposits exposed in a little quarry, later informally called the “classical” quarry by Zazo et al. (2003). The quarry exposes four morphosedimentary units assigned to the Last Interglacial (MIS 5e) that includes three separate highstands (Zazo et al., 2003): the oldest deposited the oolitic facies of Unit 1, the intermediate the mainly bio-siliciclastic Units 2 and 3 separated by a conspicuous erosion surface, and the most recent, the disorganized, coarse-grained Unit 4, capped by a thick calcrite, which lies on an irregular surface that erodes all the former (Fig. 3 A, B, C). Units 1–3 pass landwards into oolitic and siliciclastic aeolian dunes (Fig. 2). All units bear warm Senegalese fauna. A still younger marine terrace was assimilated to MIS 5c/5a. Observations in a nearby quarry, the “new” quarry, placed some 100 m away, allowed Zazo et al. (2003) and Goy et al. (2006) to obtain a more complete sedimentary succession and new samples for U-series measurements on mollusk shells and on the coral Cladocora caespitosa. Correlation of units exposed in both quarries was established on a schematic way.

Investigations dealing with the chronology of the barrier spit deposits were firstly concentrated on the “classical” quarry. There, Bernat et al. (1982) carried out the first U-series measurements on S. bubonius, and distinguished oolitic and siliciclastic marine facies, although they sampled only the second, younger one. Ages ranging
Fig. 1. Location map of the study area (La Marina-El Pinet) and other localities in the western Mediterranean coast cited in text. Inset: area presented in Fig. 2. Key: 1: Uplifted areas (Neogene and Quaternary); 2: Quaternary deposits; 3: Pleistocene barrier-spit deposits; 4: Holocene barrier-spit deposits; 5: Pleistocene alluvial-fan deposits; 6: Normal fault; 7: Anticline; 8: Syncline.

Fig. 2. Sketchy geomorphologic map of the La Marina-El Pinet area and location of the quarries studied (Modified after Goy et al., 2006). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article).
from 150 to 65 ka, with a cluster around 98 ± 5.8 ka led these authors to propose a MIS 5e age for all deposits. Causse et al. (1993) dated two more samples collected from the oolitic Unit 1 (a bivalve shell, UQT. 335, Fig. 4) and the mixed siliciclastic-calcarenitic Unit 2 (a Glycymeris shell, UQT. 336, Fig. 4). Both samples presented secondary uranium fixation and high detritic input and the obtained isochrone age were ≤75 ka. These authors considered that a large open geochemical U–Th system affected not only these two samples but also the ones dated by Bernat et al. (1982).

Hearty et al. (1987) carried out amino-acid racemization (AAR) analyses at the “classical” quarry. U-series age of 143 ± 7 ka on the coral C. caespitosa from Cape Huertas (Alicante), a site 44 km towards the north in the Mediterranean coast, was used for calibration of Al/Ille ratio. At La Marina-El Pinet they distinguished two marine units (lower and upper), but did not provide lithological descriptions and cross sections. They dated the lower, older unit as aminozones F and E, and considered the upper unit as aminozones E (MIS 5e). The origin of aminozones F was explained by faunal reworking from a unit submerged below present sea level and faunal reworking from a unit submerged below present sea level. U-series age of 143 ± 7 ka, together with detailed mapping and morphosedimentary analyses, suggested that the marine deposits exposed in La Marina-El Pinet area include the MIS 7 and MIS 5 interglacials along the same section (Goy et al., 2006).

3. Methodology

The present investigation in the second highstand of the MIS 5e deposits of La Marina-El Pinet quarries focused on:

(a) establishing stratigraphic correlations between the marine units exposed in the two quarries (Fig. 4), because the orientation of the walls (parallel to the ancient coastline in the “classical” quarry and perpendicular in the “new” quarry) allowed reconstructing the geometric and spatial distribution of the terrestrial and marine deposits. The differences in lithology and primary sedimentary structures of the various terrestrial and marine units allowed, after aerial photograph and field examination (scale ~1:5,000), to draw a geomorphological map (Fig. 2) of an area where the maximum elevation is 8.5 m above the mean high tide watermark. The topographic elevations indicated in the map as a.s.l. were measured with respect to the high watermark, a very reliable datum in these almost-tideless coasts, where astronomical tidal ranges do not exceed 0.25 m. When referring to a highstand, altitude corresponds to the highest elevation of the foreshore-shoreface facies transition (the plunge-step). In the case of the marine terrace, the elevation is that of the inner edge of the terrace marked by a small, usually somewhat degraded, cliff (Fig. 2).

(b) Using 3D architecture and facies analyses of the siliciclastic deposits of the second highstand of MIS 5e to investigate the meaning of the erosional surfaces cutting these facies (Fig. 4), as related to sea level changes, based on primary physical sedimentary structures. We also checked the spatial distribution andaltimetry of upper shoreface and foreshore facies, because in low-energy, sand-dominated coasts the breaker zone is characterized by a step (the plunge step) at the base of the swash zone (Clifton et al., 1971; Davis et al., 1972; Dabrió, 1982). The step forms at water depths between 5 and 15 cm, where backswash meets the breaking waves (Miller and Ziegler, 1968; Dabrió and Polo, 1981; Dabrió et al., 1985). The internal structure of the plunge step is frequently preserved in microtidal sandy beaches as planar cross-bedding directed offshore, with set thickness 10–30 cm, at the seaward side of the foreshore facies (Dabrió et al., 1985; Somoza et al., 1986–87, Bardaji et al., 1990; Roep et al., 1998). A further deduction is that, when several of these plunge-step facies are observed inside a given subunit at variable elevations, lateral and vertical shifts of the shoreline can be inferred, and fluctuations of sea level deduced (Fig. 5A, B, C).

(c) Studying petrographic samples of representative facies collected at the “new” and “classical” quarries analyzed under transmitted, polarized light after impregnation and preparation of thin sections. Cementation and dissolution phases were described and interpreted taking into account the sedimentological and morphological features of the sediments. Deductions about subaerial environments of diagenetic features and the relative duration of the cementation processes were checked against those observed in Unit 4, which is encrusted by a thick calcrit that clearly suggests prolonged subaerial exposure.

(d) Comparing with the chronological data from La Marina-El Pinet (see Geological Setting section), which confirm a MIS 5e age for...
the deposits studied in this paper, although they do not supply accuracy about the age of the various highstands. However, integration of our field observations along the Spanish coast and our dating results (Hillaire-Marcel et al., 1986, 1996; Causse et al., 1993; Goy et al., 1997; Zazo et al., 2003; Goy et al., 2006) allows us to assume an average duration of $\pm 2$ ka for the second highstand of MIS 5e. In La Marina-El Pinet, as in many worldwide coasts, this highstand coincides with the highest sea level reached during the Last Interglacial, when the Mediterranean maximum invasion by warm Senegalese fauna occurred, and large amounts of sediments were deposited. This second highstand is comparable with the sustained MIS 5e highstand that occurred between 130 $\pm 2$ and 120 $\pm 2$ ka (Chen et al., 1991; Zhu et al., 1993; Muhs et al., 2002; Mylroie, 2007)

4. Results

4.1. Sedimentary units

MIS 5 deposits overlay those of MIS 7 and crop out at certain parts of the floor of the studied quarries (Goy et al., 2006). They consist of five units (Unit 1 to Unit 5) all bearing Senegalese warm fauna. Three of them (Units 1 to 3) are arranged in offlap exposed at the “classical” quarry, whereas only two of these (Units 2 and 3) can be observed in the “new” quarry. Unit 4 onlaps the former and Unit 5 appears staircased cutting into all the others. According to Zazo et al. (2003), Unit 1 (oolitic) is associated to the first highstand of MIS 5e, Units 2 and 3 (mixed siliciclastic-bioclastic) are related to the second highstand, and Unit 4 represents the third MIS 5e highstand (Fig. 3 A, , C). Unit 5 is probably related to MIS 5c/5a.

This paper focuses on the marine Units 2 and 3 from which new textural, petrographical and paleontological analyses are available.

- Unit 2. Consists of siliciclastic medium to coarse bioclastic sandstone and conglomerate, with rhodoliths and abundant remains of the warm Senegalese fauna. This Unit changes landwards to aeolian dunes. In the “classical” quarry, parallel lamination gently sloping to the SE ($110-120^\circ$E), grades laterally into planar cross bedding pointing to the same direction and, then, into wave-ripple cross lamination and wave trough cross bedding (Figs. 4 and 5), Unit 2 is crossed by several irregular erosion surfaces, observed in both quarries, with overall dip to the E-NE, which separate offlaping lithosomes, here called subunits (Figs. 4–6). The disconnection...
of outcrops makes impossible the desirable correlation between individual surfaces and subunits. Three surfaces have been indentified at the "classical" quarry (see for instance Fig. 5). At the "new" quarry, three highly irregular surfaces which slope exceeds 90° in some cases are observed. They separate subunits, which typically begin with irregularly spread, conglomerate layers with rounded pebbles. Local patch-like growths (bioherms) of encrusting crinkling, calcareous algae (mainly Lithophyllum sp. and Melobesia sp.) with laminar structure cover indistinctly gravel and the basal erosion surface (where it is not covered by conglomerate). The calcareous algae crusts also include gastropods and bivalves, such as vermetids, Bittium reticulatum and Loripes lacteus. Algae agglutinated bioclastic sand, carbonated rock fragments and scarce oolites. The predominant carbonate cement in these algae crusts is dense micrite. In some cases, solution vugs with later precipitation of fibrous-radial aragonite are observed in algal and sandstone facies (Fig. 7 A). The remaining part of the subunit is parallel laminated, cemented medium to coarse sandstone (Fig. 6).

- Unit 3. It is very much alike Unit 2: It is primarily composed of calcarenites with some coated grains and oolites, and a higher proportion of cemented gravels with rounded pebbles and cobbles (Figs. 3 and 4). In the "classical" quarry, gently-sloping parallel-laminated sandy gravel passes laterally to planar cross bedding pointing to the E/NE (Fig. 5 A). Internal erosion surfaces very much alike the ones observed in Unit 2 are recognized in both quarries (Figs. 3 and 6) separating offlapping subunits or lithosomes similar to those found in Unit 2, with progradation to the E-NE (N40-70°E). Encrusting algal growths are scarcer than in Unit 2. The base of Unit 3 is marked by irregularly both subunits at the "new" quarry erosion penetrated downward a minimum exposed of 1.5 m. The erosion surface is covered by a coarse-grained conglomerate with grain sizes pebbles and cobbles (Figs. 4 and 6).

The much more pronounced and deep-incised erosion surface IV (Fig. 3 and 5) has been recognized as the limit between units 2 and 3. An additional criterion is that in Unit 3 the percentage of conglomerate facies increases sharply and, in thin-section, rock fragments are more diverse and the proportion of quartz and poor-rounded grains is higher.

Several samples collected below the erosion surfaces inside Units 2 and 3 at the "new" quarry show a first precipitation of geopetal and meniscus spar, (Fig. 7 B, D, E), followed by partial dissolution of metastable carbonates (Fig. 7 C–E), partial leaching of red algae (Fig. 7 C), and precipitation of micrite as irregularly-shaped coatings forming bridges between grains, or as irregular peloidal accumulations in pores (Fig. 7 B, D). Sediments laterally linked to the algal patches or just above them also include meniscus spar cements, with a second cement of clotted peloidal micrite (Fig. 7 F) or dense micrite like those of the algal growths. Some samples show a third cementation phase characterized by filling-pore irregular micritic matrix (Fig. 7 F).
5.1. Correlation of facies: the beach profiles during sedimentation of Units 2 and 3

As facies exposed at each quarry depend on their position relative to the paleo-shoreline (Fig. 8), correlation of the two quarries allowed the reconstruction of an evolutionary beach model across the ancient barrier-spit system, as well as patterns of progradation.

At the “classical” quarry, the internal structure of the coastal facies in each subunit is interpreted as the foreshore, plunge step, and uppermost shoreface of microtidal, reflective beaches (see e.g., Dabrio et al., 1985). In contrast, facies associations in all subunits of the “new” quarry are dominantly parallel-laminated. Considering the more external (seaward), and topographically-lower position of the “new” quarry facies associations are interpreted as deposited on the shallow shoreface, inside the photic zone required by algae to grow. The overlying parallel-laminated sandstones are interpreted as foreshore facies.

Thus, our reconstruction of the coast during deposition of each subunit within Units 2 and 3 consists of a gravelly, mostly sand-starved shoreface, with local growths of algal bioherms. It passed landward to a sand-richer uppermost shoreface and foreshore (Fig. 8.1).

5.2. Sea-level fluctuations: facies distribution, magnitude and timing

In our interpretation, the sea covered the area presently occupied by the quarries during the successive phase of high sea level that deposited the various subunits of Units 2 and 3. Sand accumulated mostly on the topographically highest parts of the area (the present “classical” quarry) where the uppermost shoreface, breakers and foreshore zones could be distinguished. A large part of the shoreface remained relatively starved of sand, and the gravelly bottom was colonized by algal bioherms that encrusted the bottom fixing the loose gravel pebbles (the present “new” quarry). During the first part of the phase of high sea level, minor (tens of centimeters high) fluctuations of sea level were recorded as vertical and lateral shifts of the plunge-step facies, as observed at the “classical” quarry (Figs. 5 and 8). After some time, the balance displaced towards progradation of the sandy beach, with stable/gently falling sea level as suggested by overall geometry, relative elevations of deposits, and the superposition of sandy foreshore over the gravelly shoreface facies (Fig. 8.2). Continued fall allowed progressive subaerial exposure and erosion. This pattern was repeated at least seven times producing erosion surfaces I to VII that limit the successive subunits (Figs. 4 and 6).

The cementation processes observed in the shoreface-foreshore sediments of the “new” quarry were interpreted taking into account the marine phreatic cements of the algal growths (Fig. 7 A), and the diagenetic characteristics of subaerial exposure recorded in the Units 4 and 5 (Fig. 7 B). Samples collected below erosional surfaces inside Unit 2 or Unit 3 show a first precipitation of geoepal and meniscus spar (vadose environment) related to the progradation of the beach (Fig. 7 B, D, E). A second precipitation of irregular micrite coatings appears related to the partial dissolution of metastable carbonates, leaching of red algae fragments, and corrosion of margins of bioclasts and previous vadose cements (Fig. 7 B, C, D, E). The later features are interpreted as incipient alteration and cementation in subaerial environment (Caron et al., 2009), and record a change from marine vadose conditions to a subaerial meteoric vadose environment, implying subaerial exposure. As cements and dissolution processes are less developed than in Units 4 and 5, we deduce that marine deposits of Units 2 and 3 underwent a shorter exposition to meteoric environmental conditions. Therefore, the areas of the beach placed at higher elevations were the first to become subaerially exposed, weathered and, probably, eroded even when the beach was still prograding (Fig. 8.2). Eventually, emersion affected the whole area of the investigated quarries.

Renewed rise of sea level brought the shoreline to elevations similar to the previous, underlying subunit. We assume that the rise was rapid because we did not observe remains of foreshore or
Fig. 7. Photomicrographs under plane-polarized (A, B, C, D, F, G, H) and crossed-polarized (E) transmitted light of samples from the “classical” and “new” quarries. (A) Cementation phases in the algal bioherms at the “new quarry”: obscure dense and peloidal micrite, with solution vugs and later fibrous-radial aragonite precipitation (black arrow). (B), (C), (D) and (E) Cementation phases and dissolution processes on samples taken below erosion surfaces at the “new” quarry. (B) Precipitation of geopetal spar (white arrow) and later precipitation of irregularly-shaped coatings of micrite forming bridges (black arrow). (C) Dissolution of metastable carbonate and later accumulation of irregular peloidal micrite (black arrows), and partial leaching of red algae (white arrow). (D): Precipitation of geopetal spar (white arrows) affected by later solution; (E) Blow up of D to show corroded margins. Later accumulations of irregular peloidal micrite (black arrows) can be observed in photomicrograph D. (F) Cementation phases on a sample laterally linked to algal patch at the “new quarry” (Unit 2). Precipitation of geopetal spar (white arrow), followed by clotted peloidal micrite (black arrow) and final accumulation of irregular micritic matrix (thin black arrow). (G) Cementation phases on a sample of the “classical” quarry (Unit 2). Vadose spar cements (white arrow) followed by solution of grain edges and accumulation of irregular micritic matrix (thin black arrow) related to subaerial environment. (H) Diagenetic features on Unit 4 at the “classical” quarry: Small coated grains (pisolite-like grains), and laminated micritic crust related to subaerial alteration. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article).

The uppermost shoreface facies sandwiched between the erosion surface and the gravelly shoreface deposits.

The minimum magnitude of sea-level fluctuation necessary to generate the erosion features and the overlying deposits of each subunit has been calculated marking three key points in a laterally continuous outcrop (X, Y and Z, Figs. 8.2). X is the minimum observed elevation during the sea-level rise; Y, the maximum preserved elevation of deposits of this particular subunit, and Z, the
measured. Unfortunately these values must remain as a minimum suitable outcrops. However, it is evident that the sea level rose to a minimum observed fall of sea level of the relative elevations of the highest point of the surface in the area and not exposed, and lay below the quarry floors and the present approximate position of sea level at three moments of the evolution this area and deposited subunits during Units erosion surfaces must be increased to around gently falling sea level. An average minimum observable fluctuation of sea level of mInImum preserved elevation of the same deposits after progradation of at least 6–7 m occurred during the fall and subsequent rise between Units 2 and 3. Besides, this remarkable fall of sea level promoted a renewed input of pebbles fed to the coast, probably trough fluvial incision and erosion of older beach deposits. Our conclusion is that sea level rose and fell repeatedly during the second highstand of MIS 5e, reaching similar elevations a.s.l., and depositing Units 2 and 3 and their various subunits.

Assuming an average duration of 10 ± 2 ka (see Methodology section) for the second highstand of MIS 5e, and that at least seven (I to VII) erosional surfaces are exposed at the “new” quarry (Fig. 3), separating eight visible subunits, an average millennial–submillennial duration (~10 ka) can be calculated for every event of progradation and erosion, with associated changes of sea level of at least 2 m. Notwithstanding the significant fall and subsequent rise of sea level (~6–7 m) that generated the erosion surface recorded between Units 2 and 3, we assume that the timing of this oscillation was not necessarily longer than those that generated the erosion surfaces limiting subunits. This fluctuation inside the second MIS 5e highstand should be comparable to that recorded around 124 ka in coral terraces, which lasted 1000–1500 yr (White et al., 1998). A regression around 125–124 ka has been also registered in The Bahamas and Bermuda (Hearty and Kindler, 1995) and in the global sea-level curve proposed by Hearty et al. (2007).

The proposed model of coastal evolution involves a relatively continuous, but slow process with times of high sea levels during which smaller-scaled fluctuations of sea level led to deposition of various sets of foreshore facies with shifting positions that can be traced using the displacements of plunge-step facies. As plunge-step facies are swept by storm waves, the preservation of several of these lateral changes in the “classical” quarry proves that individual

Fig. 8. Conceptual model of changing beach profiles during a typical suborbital scale fluctuation of sea level that deposited one of the subunits inside MIS 5e Units 2 and 3 at La Marina-El Pinet. Note smaller-scaled fluctuations indicated by shifts of plunge-step facies during the first part of the positive fluctuation, and later gentle fall of sea level. X, Y, and Z: key points described in text. X: minimum observed elevation during the sea-level rise; Y: maximum elevation preserved; Z: minimum preserved elevation after progradation with gently falling sea level. An average minimum observable fluctuation of sea level of 1 m is measured. YCq: maximum preserved elevation measured for given a subunit at the “classical” quarry.
storm surges likely to be in the realm of extreme wave events that occur associated with sea level extremes were not the driving mechanism of the described evolution. Sea level extremes are usually generated by a combination of tides and storm surges due to the action of atmospheric pressure and wind.

Plausible present-day analogues of occurrence and spatial and temporal variability of this process are sea level extremes in southern Europe. These have been explored using 73 tide gauge records from 1940, including three Spanish eastern Mediterranean coasts: Catalonia, Valencia and Alicante (Marcos et al., 2009). Maximum sea-level extremes in this area ranged from 20 to 60 cm, much lower than in northern Adriatic (200 cm) and Gabes Gulf (160 cm). The average duration of these events hardly surpasses a few hours. One of the most extremes storms of the last years in western Mediterranean occurred between the 10th and 16th of November 2001. Strong northern winds, high waves and extreme surge were recorded and produced severe damage in the coast, including Spain, not only for their intensity, but also for their persistence, as the event lasted 5–6 days (Gomez et al., 2002).

On the other hand, widespread occurrence of shifting plunging-step facies, particularly evident in the “classical” quarry (Fig. 3 B, and 5) implies that sea level fluctuated during the accumulation of each subunit, with amplitudes in the order of tens of centimeters (Fig. 5 C). We assume that the temporal scale of these changes is similar to the accumulation of beach crests, which accrete with decadal periodicity, which origin has been related to NAO (Rodriguez-Ramirez et al., 2000; Goy et al., 2003) and the solar double Halle cycles (Goy et al., 2003).

Global sea level and Earth’s climate are closely linked. Millennial-scale sea-level fluctuations have been recorded through MIS 5e interglacial (e.g. White et al., 1998; Andrews et al., 2007; Hearty et al., 2007). Rapid ice melting and ice build up have been invoked as the main cause for such rapid sea level variability. However, contribution from different driving mechanism such as: solar variability, a weakened or southward displaced North-Atlantic current, and the relative importance of northern and southern hemispheres ice growth and decay remain under debate (Andrews et al., 2007).

A pervasive suborbital climate variability has been repeatedly cited during the Last Glacial, extending back to the MIS 5e (Bond et al., 1997, 2001) based on findings in North Atlantic region. Cycles with duration of ca 1.5 ka were linked to perturbations in solar energy output, but Broecker et al. (2001) and McManus et al. (1999) suggested that changes in ocean circulation must have contributed as well. Recent land records show similar climate variability during the warmest part of MIS 5e (e.g. Eemian period). Stable Oxygen and Carbon isotope ratios from a speleothem in south-west France showed prominent submillennial-scale climate fluctuations during this period (Couchoud et al., 2009). Similar results were obtained from other speleothem recovered in Tuscany, Italy (Drysdale et al., 2009). Eemian pollen data from lake sediments in southern Germany (Müller et al., 2005) have also revealed cyclic climate variability during the interval 126 to 110 ka. Pollen data suggest that this warm period was punctuated by 11 cold events, with an average spacing of 1.1–1.5 ka, related to cyclic changes in mean winter climates. These values are in the range of the deduced by us for La Marina-El Pinet.

It should be most desirable to compare the data reported in La Marina-El Pinet with other interglacials located in areas nearby to minimize the local effects. Unfortunately, no reports of similar changes of sea level in interglacials older than MIS 5e have been published. The only comparable case-study available is a Holocene coastal plain, where a barrier-spit system prograded in Roquetas (Almeria coast) during the most stable part (the last 7 ka) of the present interglacial. Goy et al. (2003), Zazo et al. (2008), and Fernández-Salas et al. (2009) distinguished six periods of remarkable progradation (H1 to H6), repeated more or less every 1.4 to 3.0 ka, punctuated by shorter, centennial periods (lasting 600 to 270 yrs) of reduced progradation. These recurring short periods were interpreted as climatically-influenced and record increased aridity and relative low sea level, coincident with cold Bond events (Bond et al., 1997) and low sea surface temperatures (De Menocal et al., 2000; Cacho et al., 2001). Increased aridity following these cold events was also registered in the abundance of steppic taxa in vegetation of southern Iberian Peninsula (Fletcher et al., 2007).

We think that phases of progradation in Roquetas and La Marina-El Pinet were comparable, and that the H sedimentary units of Goy et al. (2003), Zazo et al. (2008), and Fernández-Salas et al. (2009) are equivalent to the subunits described in this paper, but the scale of the vertical changes of sea level is greater in La Marina: 0.8–1 m vs. 2 m respectively. The short arid periods during the Holocene are comparable with the lowest sea levels in La Marine-El Pinet. Changes in the direction and intensity of prevailing winds were invoked as the prime factors controlling coastal progradation in Roquetas (Zazo et al., 2008). However, in the Pleistocene case study, the larger magnitude of the repeated sea level oscillations, with a total change of sea level of at least 4–7 m during the fall and subsequent rise involved in the genesis of subunits suggests a necessary contribution from rapid ice sheets melting and build-up.

6. Conclusions

Evidence of rapid changes of sea level during the second MIS 5e highstand, comparable to the “sustained MIS 5e highstand” with a duration of 10 ± 2 ka, has been recognized in a prograding barrier-salt system located at La Marina-El Pinet (Alicante). Detailed sedimentological analysis allowed differentiating three orders of sea-level fluctuations.

The largest-scaled fluctuation is recorded as the conspicuous erosion surface (IV) and the associated increase in grain size that divides deposits of the second highstand in two morphosedimentary units: Unit 2 and Unit 3. It involved a minimum total sea level variation of 6–7 m.

These units include eight prograding subunits separated by less prominent erosion surfaces. Petrographic analysis of marine sediments below and above the surfaces revealed that subaerial exposure took place after deposition of each subunit. In our interpretation, the erosion surfaces are the result of repetitive relatively slow falls of sea level followed by rapid sea-level rise. The minimum amplitude deduced for fluctuations is 2 m, which represents a total change (fall and subsequent rise) in sea-water of 4 m. After each fall and erosion the sea level rose to similar topographic elevations. We think that phases of progradation in Roquetas and La Marina-El Pinet were comparable, and that the H sedimentary units of Goy et al. (2003), Zazo et al. (2008), and Fernández-Salas et al. (2009) are equivalent to the subunits described in this paper, but the scale of the vertical changes of sea level is greater in La Marina: 0.8–1 m vs. 2 m respectively. The short arid periods during the Holocene are comparable with the lowest sea levels in La Marine-El Pinet. Changes in the direction and intensity of prevailing winds were invoked as the prime factors controlling coastal progradation in Roquetas (Zazo et al., 2008). However, in the Pleistocene case study, the larger magnitude of the repeated sea level oscillations, with a total change of sea level of at least 4–7 m during the fall and subsequent rise involved in the genesis of subunits suggests a necessary contribution from rapid ice sheets melting and build-up.

The smaller-scaled (tens of centimeters) order of oscillations of sea level has been recognized inside the subunits from shifts of the foreshore and uppermost shoreface facies, and a decadal periodicity is suggested.
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