I. INTRODUCTION

Entangled multiqubit states are of central importance in the fields of quantum computation and quantum communication [1] and have been the subject of intensive theoretical and experimental investigations. As pointed out by Schön et al. [2,3], the classes of all sequentially generated multiqubit states, assisted by an itinerant ancilla, are exactly given by the hierarchy of matrix-product states (MPSs) [4]. In this context, the required number of ancilla levels is determined by the dimension of the MPS canonical representation of the target multiqubit state. Matrix-product states play an important role in the context of strongly correlated systems [5] and describe the approximate ground states produced by density-matrix renormalization group (DMRG) [6,7] and Wilson’s numerical renormalization group [8,9]. Paradigmatic multiqubit states, such as Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger (GHZ) [10], W [11], and cluster [12] states, can be described by low-dimensional MPS and are considered valuable resources for quantum information and communication tasks.

The generation of multiqubit entangled states via a single global unitary operation acting on initially decoupled qubits is in general a difficult problem. From this point of view, several theoretical and experimental efforts have been oriented toward the sequential generation of paradigmatic entangled multipartite states. As a matter of fact, a number of sequential and global approaches have been implemented in different physical systems to produce specifically GHZ [13,14], W [15–17], and cluster [18] states. In order to generate sequentially any multiqubit state, a wide range of ancilla levels and ancilla-qubit operations are necessary [2]. In this sense, two important theoretical and experimental questions appear naturally: will the sequential generation of a desired multiqubit state still be feasible under given restricted experimental conditions? And if the answer is no, can we design an efficient protocol that tells us the best possible approximation to the sequential generation of such a state? In this paper, we answer satisfactorily both questions.

We demonstrate how the matrix-product state formalism provides a flexible structure to solve the constrained optimization problem associated with the sequential generation of entangled multiqubit states under experimental restrictions. We consider a realistic scenario in which an ancillary system with a limited number of levels performs restricted sequential interactions with qubits in a row. The proposed method relies on a suitable local optimization procedure, yielding an efficient recipe for the realistic and approximate sequential generation of any entangled multiqubit state. We give paradigmatic examples that may be of interest for theoretical and experimental developments.

II. RESTRICTIONS ON THE NUMBER OF ANCILLA LEVELS

It is known that any $n$-qubit state $|\psi\rangle$ can be written canonically as an MPS with minimal dimension $D(\leq 2^n)$ [4]. It was also shown that such a state can be built sequentially with a $D$-dimensional ancilla if we have access to arbitrary ancilla-qubit unitaries [2]. In the sequential generation of states, an ancillary system $A$ (e.g., a $D$-level atom) couples sequentially to an initially decoupled qubit chain $|\psi_0\rangle=|\varphi_0^0\rangle\otimes\cdots\otimes|\varphi_0^1\rangle$ (e.g., cavity photonic qubits that leak out after interacting with an atom). Assuming that in the last step the ancilla decouples unitarily from the multiqubit system, we are left with the $n$-qubit state [2]

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i_0,\ldots,i_L=0}^1 \langle \varphi_L | V_{i_0^L}^* \cdots V_{i_1^1}^* | \varphi_0^1 \rangle | i_0,\ldots,i_L \rangle,$$ (1)

an MPS of bond dimension $\text{dim}(|\psi\rangle)=D$, where the $(D \times D)$-dimensional matrix $V_{i_k}^*$ represents the ancilla-qubit operation at step $k$ of the sequential generation (with isometry condition $\sum_{i=0}^1 V_{i}^* V_i = 1$), with $|\varphi_0\rangle$ and $|\varphi_L\rangle$ being the initial and the final ancilla states, respectively. Hence, a relevant experimental question may be raised: how well can we represent a given multiqubit state $|\psi\rangle$ if only an ancilla with a smaller number of levels, $D'<D$, is available? More formally, given a state $|\psi\rangle$, with a canonical MPS representation of bond dimension $D$, what is the optimal MPS $|\tilde{\psi}\rangle$ of lower bond dimension $D'<D$ that minimizes their distance? We want to estimate...
\[
\min_{\dim(\tilde{\psi})=D'<D} \| \psi - \tilde{\psi} \|^2.
\]

We propose two techniques to perform the MPS approximation above, both exploiting a suitably designed local optimization of the \(V\) matrices in Eq. (1). In the first approach, we make use of a corollary of the singular-value decomposition (SVD) theorem from linear algebra to perform a local optimization procedure which may be called “MPS compression,” in analogy to the image compression technique already used in computer science and engineering [20]. Let the SVD of matrix \(A\) with \(\text{rank}(A) = r\) be given by \(A = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sigma_i u_i v_i^\dagger\). Then, the best possible lower-rank approximation to \(A\) that minimizes the Frobenius-norm distance 
\[
\min_{\text{rank}(\tilde{A})=r'<r} \| A - \tilde{A} \|_F
\]
This suggests a truncation scheme in which one keeps only the \(r'\) largest singular values of \(A\) to form the optimal lower-rank matrix \(\tilde{A}\). We exploit now this property, valid for a single \(V\) matrix, and apply the outlined truncation to each matrix \(V_{[k]}\) \((k=1, \ldots, n)\) in Eq. (1), yielding an MPS of lower bond dimension \(D' = D - (r - r')\). This method offers a good solution for matrices with well-decaying singular-value spectrum.

In the second approach [9], a DMRG-inspired variational optimization of \(V\) matrices [23], we seek the best possible approximation to \(|\psi\rangle\) in the space of all MPS \(|\tilde{\psi}\rangle\) of form (1) (with \(V \rightarrow \tilde{V}\)) with bond dimension \(D' < D\), by solving the minimization problem of Eq. (2) under the constant-norm condition \(\langle \tilde{\psi} | \tilde{\psi} \rangle = 1\), which is implemented using a Lagrange multiplier \(\lambda\). Varying Eq. (2) with respect to the matrices defining \(|\tilde{\psi}\rangle\) leads to a set of equations, one for each \(i_k\), of the form
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial V_{[k]}} \left[ (1 + \lambda) \langle \tilde{\psi} | \tilde{\psi} \rangle - 2 \text{Re}\langle \psi | \tilde{\psi} \rangle \right] = 0,
\]
which determines the optimal \(\tilde{V}\) matrices of the desired state \(|\tilde{\psi}\rangle\). These equations can be solved very efficiently using a “sweeping procedure” in which one fixes all but the \(k\)th \(V\) matrix and solves the corresponding Eq. (3) for the matrix \(V_{[k]}\). Then one moves on to the neighboring site and, in this fashion, sweeps back and forth through the chain until the convergence is reached.

Figure 1 illustrates the two optimization schemes outlined above for two different states, both with \(D=16\), namely, (i) the ground state of the XXZ Heisenberg Hamiltonian and (ii) a randomly chosen MPS. For (i), which has a well-decaying singular-value spectrum, the ancilla dimension can be effectively reduced from 16 to 6. Since variational optimization allows for the feedback of information by several sweeps, it generally performs better than MPS compression.

### III. Restrictions on the Source-Qubit Interactions

Every open-boundary MPS of form (1) (with \(V \rightarrow A\)) with arbitrary \(A\) matrices, not necessarily isometries, can be cast into a canonical MPS representation with minimal dimension \(D\) [24]. Such states, as mentioned above Eq. (1), can be generated sequentially [2], such that the ancilla decouples unitarily in the last step. We note that the sequential generating isometries can be constructed explicitly by successive SVD of the \(A\) matrices and exploiting the gauge freedom of the matrix-product states as outlined in Refs. [2, 3]. This is a general recipe for the sequential generation of an arbitrary entangled multiqubit state if the required ancilla dimension \(D\) and ancilla-qubit unitaries are available. However, in general, a given physical setup may not have access to some of the required local ancilla-qubit unitaries. Given such a limitation, we face an interesting constrained optimization problem: which is the sequential protocol by which a given multiquit “target” state can be approximately generated with a maximal fidelity?

To address this problem, let us begin by considering the general unrestricted case: the unitary time evolution of the joint system ancilla-qubit at step \(k\) of the sequential generation may be described by a general unitary \(U_{[k]}^A : \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B\), where \(U_{[k]}^{AB}\) is a general bipartite Hamiltonian that couples the ancilla with the \(k\)th qubit. The latter can be written as \(H_{[k]}^{AB} = \sum_{i \neq j; i^\prime \neq j^\prime} h_{[k]}^{i,j;i^\prime,j^\prime} \sigma_i \otimes \sigma_{j^\prime} \sigma_{i^\prime} \otimes \sigma_j\), where \(h_{[k]}^{i,j;i^\prime,j^\prime}\) are real-valued coupling constants and \(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3\) are the usual Pauli \(\sigma\) matrices, with \(\sigma_0 = I\) as the identity matrix. For the sake of simplicity, we have considered the case \(D=2\), but similar generators can be found for \(D>2\).

Now, suppose that only a restricted set of unitaries are available. As an illustrative case, let the entangling Hamiltonian have the restricted form of the \(XY\) model [25]
\[
\tilde{H}_{[k]}^{AB} = h_{[k]}^{1} (\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_2)
\]
containing a single nonzero contribution \(h_{[k]}^{1} = h_{[k]}^{2} = h_{[k]}^{3}\). Given an arbitrary MPS of the form of Eq. (1) (with \(V \rightarrow A\)) with arbitrary \(A\) matrices and the restricted Hamiltonian of Eq. (4), the aim is to find the optimal restricted unitary op-
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FIG. 2. The contraction pattern used to calculate the cost function in Eq. (7) including the local ancilla operations $U^A$ and local qubit operations $U^F$. The initial states of the qubits are denoted by $|\psi_{10}^i\rangle$.

As the main result of this paper, we have found strong numerical evidence that an arbitrary MPS with $D=2$, which can be straightforwardly calculated in MPS representation as

$$\bar{U}_{[1]}^A = e^{-iH^A_{[1]} t/h}$$

that, when applied sequentially to an arbitrary initial state of the form $|\Phi\rangle = |\psi_{10}\rangle \otimes |\psi_{10}\rangle$, yield a state of the form

$$|\bar{\Psi}\rangle = \bar{U}_{[3]}^A \cdots \bar{U}_{[2]}^A \bar{U}_{[1]}^A |\Phi\rangle,$$

which is “closest” to the target state of the form $|\Phi_F\rangle \otimes |\phi_F\rangle$, where $|\Phi_F\rangle$ is arbitrary. Note that the action of each restricted isometry on the state $|\Phi_{[1]}\rangle$ produces a restricted isometry of the form

$$\bar{U}_{[1]}^A = \sum_{i_{1,0},a,\beta} \bar{U}_{[1]}^{a,\beta}(i_{1,0}|j_k\rangle |\psi_{10}^k\rangle) = \sum_{i_{1,0},a,\beta} \bar{V}_{[1]}^{a,\beta}(i_{1,0}|j_k\rangle |\psi_{10}^k\rangle),$$

with the definition $\bar{V}_{[1]}^{a,\beta} = \sum_{i_{1,0}} \bar{U}_{[1]}^{a,\beta}(i_{1,0}|j_{1k}\rangle |\psi_{10}^k\rangle)$ for the resulting isometry $\bar{U}_{[1]}^A$. In the ideal case, when the fidelity reaches unity, the ancilla can be set to decouple unitarily in the last step. However, this will not be the case in general when the allowed ancilla-qubit unitaries are restricted. Thus, the optimization problem reads

$$\min_{|\Phi_F\rangle} \left| \left| \bar{V}_{[1]}^A - |\Phi_F\rangle \otimes |\phi_F\rangle \right| \right|^2,$$

involving a multivariable cost function in $|\Phi_F\rangle$ and $|\bar{\Psi}\rangle$, with $h^{[1]}_1, h^{[1]}_2, \ldots, h^{[1]}_n$, as the variational parameters, which can be solved in an iterative procedure. We start by picking a particular unitary, say $\bar{U}_{[1]}^A$, and minimizing the cost function in Eq. (7), varying over $h^{[1]}_1$, and regarding couplings of all the other unitaries as fixed. Then we move on to the neighboring unitary and optimize its coupling. When all unitaries have been optimized locally, we sweep back again and so forth until convergence. Each iteration of the local optimization procedure requires the calculation of the overlap of the states in the cost function of Eq. (7), which can be straightforwardly calculated in MPS representation as illustrated in Fig. 2 (with $U^A$ and $U^F$ set to 1 there). Varying over the vector $|\Phi_F\rangle$ and using the resulting optimal one, the cost function simplifies to $2(1-|\langle \bar{V}_{[1]}^A |\Phi\rangle|)$, suggesting the definition of the fidelity of the procedure as $F = |\langle \bar{V}_{[1]}^A |\Phi\rangle|$.

For the restricted entangling Hamiltonian of Eq. (4), the variational space is so small (only one parameter at each step) that the variational optimization procedure in general does not result in much overlap with the target state $|\phi_F\rangle$, as illustrated in the inset of Fig. 3 using the familiar $|W\rangle_n$ state as target. However, $F$ can be improved by enlarging the variational space. For example, consider $\bar{U}_{[k]}^A$ in Eq. (5) being replaced with restricted unitaries of the form $U_{[k]}^A$, where $U_{[k]}^A = e^{-iH_{[k]}^F t/h}$ are arbitrary local ancilla unitaries of dimension $D \times D$. This optimization problem can be treated in the same manner as the one described in Eq. (7), except that before optimizing each $\bar{U}_{[k]}^A$, we will also vary over the ancilla operation $U^F$. In this way, we are able to produce the $|W\rangle_n$ state with almost perfect fidelity (e.g., $1-F \approx 10^{-9}$ for $n=4$) as illustrated in Fig. 3. In both cases, the smaller the number of qubits $n$, the larger the fidelity, which is a purely numerical issue due to the local optimization. Models requiring the entangling Hamiltonian of the XXZ form $h_{[1]}^\text{ent}(\sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2 + \sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_3 + h^2_1 \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3)$ can be simulated in a similar manner.

As a test of the proposed protocols, we applied our variational prescription to the sequential generation of $W$ states in an ion chain. Following closely the recent experiment of Ref. [15], we targeted a $W$ state with the entangling Hamiltonian of the form $h_{[1]}(\sigma^x \otimes \sigma^x + \sigma^y \otimes \sigma^y)$, with $\sigma^x$ and $\sigma^y$ being the usual raising and lowering Pauli operators, respectively, and the initial state $|\phi_{[1]}\rangle = |1\rangle |0\rangle \cdots |0\rangle$ used in experiment. The optimal couplings $h_{[1]}^\text{opt}$ of the resulting converged variational MPS $|\bar{\Psi}\rangle$ (with $1-F \approx 10^{-9}$ for $n=5$) turned out to agree very well with the two-qubit operations $h_{[1]}^{\text{opt}}$ used for the experiment of Ref. [15], as illustrated in Table I.

As the main result of this paper, we have found strong numerical evidence that an arbitrary MPS with $D=2$ can be generated sequentially if the single-parameter restricted unitaries $\bar{U}_{[k]}^A$ in Eq. (5) [based on Eq. (4)] are augmented by arbitrary local unitaries for both ancilla and qubit spaces. The combined unitary employed was $U_{[k]}^A = \sum_{i_{1,k}} U_{[k]}^{a,\beta}(i_{1,k}|j_{1k}\rangle |\psi_{10}^k\rangle)$, where $\bar{U}_{[k]}^A$ is the local ancilla operation $U_{[k]}^A$, with initial qubit states all equal, $|\psi_{10}^k\rangle = |0\rangle$. The inset shows the case where only the couplings $h_{[1]}^\text{opt}$ are being optimized.
three local unitaries are not isometrically applications, to generate arbitrary two-qubit unitaries $F$ are not capable of generating an arbitrary state with the entangling unitary and isometries instead of unitaries since the paradigm is completely different. One may then wonder whether some fixed parameter-free two-qubit isometries can act as universal set for generation of arbitrary entangled states. The problem we propose, which is the natural one in the sequential generation of multiqubit states, is the following: give a minimal set $S$ of two-qubit unitaries such that one can generate an arbitrary isometry with a single unitary of the set $S$, together with arbitrary one-qubit unitaries. Note that we already showed numerically that $S$ can be given by the single-parameter interactions of the $XY$ type, whereas we now wonder whether this can be realized by a minimal set of fixed canonical gates. Note that, since the paradigm is completely different (a single use of the entangling unitary and isometries instead of unitaries), the results concerning universal sets of gates for quantum computing do not play a role for our protocol. We have found numerically, for example, that some parameter-free fixed two-qubit gates [such as controlled $\text{NOT}$ (CNOT)] plus three local unitaries are not isometrically universal, as they are not capable of generating an arbitrary state with $F=1$. The search for such two-qubit gates, if any, remains open.

Recently, a lot of effort has been devoted to find minimal sets of one- and two-qubit gates, and the minimal number of applications, to generate arbitrary two-qubit unitaries [26]. The existence of these universal sets is of central relevance in quantum computing. The above results suggest consideration of a class of problems involving a different paradigm: which are the universal sets of one- and two-qubit gates that can generate arbitrary two-qubit isometries? What is the minimal number of applications and how does this compare to the quantum computing case? For the case of two-qubit unitaries, a universal gate set (in the usual quantum computing sense) is clearly sufficient, but not necessary. This result, for example, from counting the number of independent parameters for an arbitrary two-qubit unitary, clearly larger than in the case of an arbitrary two-qubit isometry. The aim will be then to find the exact decomposition of an arbitrary isometry into a minimal applications of unitaries as computational primitives. The general solution associated with this paradigm remains open. Finally, we also want to point out that our scheme by construction can be clearly viewed also within the general framework of optimal control theory [27,28].

### IV. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have developed protocols for an efficient sequential generation of entangled multiqubit states under realistic experimental constraints. We stress that the proposed optimization methods are of wide applicability and will be of importance for any sequential physical setup. In particular, we can mention photonic qubits, atoms, ions, superconducting qubits, or quantum dots.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site index ($k$)</th>
<th>$\left(\frac{n^{\text{sim}}}{n^{\text{exp}}}-1\right) \times 10^5$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>36.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$U^{AB}_{\{k\}}=e^{-iH_{\{k\}}^{\rho}}$ are arbitrary local qubit unitaries (see Fig. 2). We have considered, for this purpose, the generation of 100 randomly chosen MPS and have found that $1-F$ remains below $6 \times 10^{-13}$ up to $n=5$. Note that the combined action of these unitaries includes (at most) 11 real independent parameters, which in practice can be reduced to ten, since varying a global phase has no effect. In contrast, the unrestricted unitaries $U^{AB}$ involve 16 real independent parameters. Thus, sequential generation of an arbitrary MPS with $D=2$ can be achieved more economically than previously realized: a sufficient condition is the availability of the set of restricted two-qubit isometries specified above, instead of the availability of arbitrary two-qubit unitaries [2].
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[26] See, for example, J. Zhang and K. B. Whaley, Phys. Rev. A 71, 052317 (2005), and references therein.