I. INTRODUCTION

The possibility that some of the unknown components of the Universe at different epochs (inflaton field, dark matter or dark energy) could be described by means of homogeneous vector fields rather than scalar fields have conflicted traditionally with the stringent limits on isotropy imposed by CMB observations [1–11]. The only scenarios in which these limitations could be evaded are those in which either only the temporal components of the vector fields are present or, in the case in which spatial components are also evolving, if the vector configuration guarantees an isotropic energy-momentum tensor. Two possible isotropic configurations discussed in the literature are the presence of a triad of mutually orthogonal vectors [12–14] or the existence of a large $N$ number of randomly oriented vectors, so that the average isotropy violation is kept relatively small of order $1/\sqrt{N}$ [9].

However, recently [15] a new possibility was devised in which a general isotropy theorem for vector fields was proved. Provided vector field evolution is bounded and rapid compared to the rate of expansion, it was shown that the average energy-momentum tensor is always diagonal and isotropic for semisimple groups. As an example of rapid bounded evolution corresponds to the gauge-fixing term introduced in the action and show that the average equation of state in different cases. We also consider the case where the gauge-fixing term is introduced in the action and show that the average equation of state does not depend on such a term. Finally, we extend the results to arbitrary background geometries and show that the average energy-momentum tensor of a rapidly evolving Yang-Mills field is always isotropic and has the perfect fluid form for any locally inertial observer.

II. YANG-MILLS THEORIES

Starting from a theory whose action has a global symmetry, if we would like to make it invariant under local symmetry transformations, we must add new bosons and define a covariant derivative:

$$D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + A_{\mu}, \quad A_{\mu} = -igA^{a}_{\mu}T^{a}, \quad (1)$$

where $T^{a} \in \mathcal{G}$, $a = 1 \ldots N$ are the symmetry group generators. We will assume a compact semisimple Lie group with Friedmann-Robertson-Walker backgrounds can be parametrized with a single function of time. Different proposals for dark energy or inflationary models based on this triad solution with different types of actions have been considered in the literature [2,13,14,16]. However apart from this particular type of solution no further isotropic configuration has been proposed to date. The theorem presented in this work applies quite generally without resorting to particular solutions by means of a generalization of the classical virial theorem and allows us to ensure homogeneity and isotropy on average irrespective of the concrete dynamics of the non-Abelian fields for arbitrary semisimple groups.

The paper is organized as follows, in Sec. II we introduce Yang-Mills theories in Friedmann-Robertson-Walker backgrounds and obtain the corresponding equations of motion and energy-momentum tensor components. In Sec. III we introduce the generalized version of the virial theorem and show that on average the energy-momentum tensor is diagonal and isotropic for semisimple groups. As an example we compute the average equation of state for certain SU(2) solutions. In Sec. IV we extend these results to actions including gauge-fixing terms and obtain the average equation of state in different cases. We also consider the case with temporal components only. Section V is devoted to the extension to more general background metrics and finally Sec. VI contains the main conclusions of the work.
with a finite number of generators. In this case, it is always possible to find an orthonormal basis for the group generators for which
\[
\text{Tr} (T^a T^b) = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ab},
\]
(2)
and the structure constants defined as
\[
[T^a, T^b] = i c_{abc} T^c,
\]
(3)
are totally antisymmetric (see Ref. [17]). This property will be very helpful for our future discussion.

In order to write a kinetic term for gauge bosons, we consider the curvature tensor associated to the covariant derivative:
\[
F_{\mu \nu} = [D_\mu, D_\nu] = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + [A_\mu, A_\nu].
\]
(4)
Notice that this expression is still valid in curved space-time due to the antisymmetry properties of the $F_{\mu \nu}$ tensor. The corresponding components read
\[
F_{\mu \nu} = -ig F^a_{\mu \nu} T^a,
\]
\[
F^a_{\mu \nu} = \partial_\mu A^a_\nu - \partial_\nu A^a_\mu + g c_{abc} A^b_\mu A^c_\nu.
\]
(5)
Finally, the Yang-Mills lagrangian density reads
\[
L_{\text{kinetic}} = \frac{1}{2g^2} \text{Tr}(F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}) = \frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu \nu} F^{a \mu \nu}.
\]
(6)

In this work we will study Yang-Mills theories with a potential of the form $V(M_{ab} A^a_\mu A^b_\mu)$, with $M_{ab}$ a constant symmetric matrix, so that gauge symmetry is explicitly broken. Thus, the action in general curved space-times will read
\[
S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left( -\frac{1}{4} F^a_{\mu \nu} F^{a \mu \nu} - V(M_{ab} A^a_\mu A^b_\mu) \right).
\]
(7)
The corresponding equations of motion are given by
\[
F^{a \nu} \; ; \nu - g c_{abc} F^{b \mu} A^c_\nu + 2V' M_{ab} A^b_\mu = 0,
\]
(8)
where $V' = \frac{dV(\gamma)}{d\gamma}$.

We will be interested in cosmological solutions for which the gauge fields will depend only on time $A^a_\mu(\eta)$ and the metric tensor will be given by the that of a flat Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker metric:
\[
ds^2 = a^2(\eta)(d\eta^2 - dx^2),
\]
(9)
where $\eta$ denotes the conformal time coordinate. The field equations expressed in components can be written as
\[
g c_{abc} \dot{A}^b_i A^c_\mu + g^2 c_{abc} c_{bcd} A^d_i A^c_\mu + 2V' M_{ab} a^2(\eta) A^b_\mu = 0,
\]
(10)
for $\mu = 0$, and
\[
\dot{A}^a_i - g c_{abc} (2A^b_i A^c_0 + A^b_i \dot{A}^c_0) + g^2 c_{abc} c_{bcd} (A^d_i A^b_0 A^c_0 - A^d_i A^c_0 A^b_0)
- 2V' M_{ab} a^2(\eta) A^a_i = 0,
\]
(11)
for $\mu = i$. Notice that there is no second time derivative of the temporal component in these equations, as expected for the standard kinetic term we are using. On the other hand, the energy-momentum tensor is given by
\[
T^\mu_\nu = \left( \frac{1}{4} F^a_{\alpha \beta} F^{a \alpha \beta} + V \right) \delta^\mu_\nu - F^{a \mu \alpha} F^a_{\nu \alpha}
- 2V' M_{ab} A^a_\mu A^b_\nu.
\]
(12)
Expressed in components,
\[
\rho = \frac{1}{2a^4(\eta)} (\dot{A}^a_i \dot{A}^a_i + 2g c_{abc} \dot{A}^a_i A^b_0 A^c_0
+ g^2 c_{abc} c_{ade} A^b_i A^d_0 A^e_0)
+ \frac{g^2 c_{abc} c_{ade}}{4a^4(\eta)} A^b_i A^d_6 A^e_6
+ V - 2V' M_{ab} A^a_k A^b_k,
\]
(13)
\[
p_k = -T^k_k = \frac{1}{2a^4(\eta)} \left( \dot{A}^a_i \dot{A}^a_i + 2g c_{abc} \dot{A}^a_i A^b_0 A^c_0
+ g^2 c_{abc} c_{ade} \left( A^b_i A^d_0 - \frac{A^d_0 A^b_i}{2} \right) A^e_6 \right)
- \frac{1}{a^4(\eta)} (\dot{A}^a_i \dot{A}^a_k + 2g c_{abc} \dot{A}^a_i A^b_k A^c_k)
+ g^2 c_{abc} c_{ade} (A^b_i A^d_0 - A^d_0 A^b_i) A^e_6 A^6_k
- V - 2V' M_{ab} A^a_k A^b_k a^2(\eta),
\]
(14)
\[
\begin{align*}
T^j_i &= \frac{1}{a^4(\eta)} \left[ \dot{A}^a_i \dot{A}^a_j - g c_{abc} (\dot{A}^a_j A^b_0 + \dot{A}^a_j A^b_i) A^c_0 \\
&\quad + g^2 c_{abc} c_{ade} A^a_i A^d_0 A^c_0 - g^2 c_{abc} c_{ade} A^a_i A^c_0 A^d_6
+ 2V' M_{ab} a^2(\eta) A^a_i A^b_j \right], \quad i \neq j,
\end{align*}
\]
(15)
\[
T^0_i = -A^a_i \frac{a^2(\eta)}{a^4(\eta)} \left( g c_{abc} \dot{A}^b_j A^c_i + g^2 c_{abc} c_{bde} A^d_i A^b_j A^c_e \right)
+ 2V' M_{ab} a^2(\eta) A^a_i A^b_0.
\]
(16)

The average of the pressure along the three spatial directions will be denoted by
\[
p = \frac{1}{3} \sum_k p_k.
\]
(17)

It is possible to show that, using the equations of motion of the temporal components (10), the energy fluxes vanish, indeed:
\[
T^0_i = 0.
\]
(18)
III. GENERALIZED VIRIAL THEOREM FOR NON-ABELIAN FIELDS

We will follow the method presented in Ref. [15], in order to compute the average components of the energy-momentum tensor. With that purpose, we will generalize the virial theorem in order to apply it to non-Abelian fields, thus we define

\[ G_{ij}^{ab} = \frac{\tilde{A}^a_i A^b_j}{a^4(\eta)}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3; \quad a, b = 1 \ldots N. \]  

(19)

Now \( A^a_i \) is alike the “\( i \)” position coordinate of the classical point particle “\( a \).” Thus, in the case \( A^a_i = 0 \), the problem is analogous to a mechanical system of \( N \) interacting particles in three dimensions, with \( N \) the group dimension. Notice however that the isotropy theorem we will show below is valid also for \( A^a_i \neq 0 \). Assuming a rapid evolution of the vector field, we can neglect the expansion of the Universe at the field equations, the time derivative of the previous expression becomes

\[ G_{ij}^{ab} = \frac{\tilde{A}^a_i A^b_j}{a^4(\eta)} + \frac{\tilde{A}^a_j A^b_i}{a^4(\eta)}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3; \quad a, b = 1 \ldots N. \]  

(20)

Integrating the last expression on \([0, T]\) where \( T \) is larger than the typical time scale of the vector field evolution \( \omega^{-1} \) but smaller than the typical time scale of the Universe expansion \( H^{-1} \), i.e., \( H^{-1} \gg T \gg \omega^{-1} \), we obtain

\[ \frac{G_{ij}^{ab}(T) - G_{ij}^{ab}(0)}{T} = \left\{ \frac{\tilde{A}^a_i A^b_j}{a^4(\eta)} + \frac{\tilde{A}^a_j A^b_i}{a^4(\eta)} \right\}. \]  

(21)

If the motion is periodic or it is bounded, the left-hand side of the equation vanishes and therefore,

\[ \left\{ \frac{\tilde{A}^a_i A^b_j}{a^4(\eta)} + \frac{\tilde{A}^a_j A^b_i}{a^4(\eta)} \right\} = 0. \]  

(22)

Using these equations, we will show in the following that the average energy-momentum tensor is diagonal and isotropic.

From the off-diagonal part of the tensor (15), noting that

\[ \tilde{A}^a_i A^b_j = 2g c_{abc} A^a_i A^b_j A^c_k + g^2 c_{abc} c_{cde} (A^a_i A^b_j A^c_k A^d_l A^e_m) - \tilde{A}^a_i A^b_j \tilde{A}^a_i A^b_j + 2V/M_{ab} a^2(\eta) A^a_i A^b_j, \]  

(23)

where the parenthesis in the subindex means symmetrization, we can write

\[ T^j_i = \frac{1}{a^4(\eta)} (\tilde{A}^a_i A^b_j + \tilde{A}^a_j A^b_i), \quad T^j_i = \frac{1}{a^4(\eta)} (\tilde{A}^a_i A^b_j + \tilde{A}^a_j A^b_i), \quad \text{with} \quad i \neq j. \]  

(24)

Using the average expression (22), we directly obtain

\[ \langle T^j_i \rangle = \frac{1}{a^4(\eta)} (\langle \tilde{A}^a_i A^b_j + \tilde{A}^a_j A^b_i \rangle) = 0, \quad i \neq j. \]  

(25)

We can prove the isotropy of the diagonal elements in a similar way. Indeed, the diagonal spatial components of the energy-momentum tensor are

\[ T^k_k = (\frac{1}{4} F^\rho_\rho A^\rho_\rho + V) - t^k_k, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \]  

(26)

which is equal to the expression \( T^j_i \) when \( i = j \). Therefore

\[ t^k_k = \frac{1}{a^4(\eta)} [\tilde{A}^a_i A^b_j + \tilde{A}^a_j A^b_i] \Rightarrow \langle t^k_k \rangle = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \]  

(27)

where again we have made use of (22). Thus, we can conclude that the virial theorem guarantees the isotropy of the energy-momentum tensor and its diagonal form on average.

A. Equation of state for SU(2) particular solutions

For SU(2) groups some particular solutions are already known in flat space-time, see Ref. [12] where a triad solution was proposed:

\[ A^a_i = k^a_i f(\eta) \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{k}^a \cdot \tilde{k}^b = \delta^{ab}, \]  

(29)

\[ A^a_0 = 0. \]  

(30)

Notice that in those solutions the temporal components of the vector fields vanish. For Abelian field theories the vanishing of the temporal component comes naturally from the equation of motion, as it can be seen in (10) by making \( g = 0 \). In the non-Abelian case it would be possible to have solutions with nonvanishing time components, however in the present example we are not considering this possibility. Notice also that this kind of solution only depends on a single function of time. We have checked that for the above ansatz, solutions also exists in an expanding background.

Let us define

\[ \tilde{V} = \frac{g^2}{4a^4(\eta)} c_{abc} c_{ade} (A^a_i A^f_j) (A^e_j A^f_i) + V \left( -M_{ab} \frac{A^a_i A^b_j}{a^4(\eta)} \right). \]  

(31)

The average energy-momentum tensor components then take the form
\[ \langle \rho \rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \frac{\dot{A}_i^a A_i^a}{a^4(\eta)} + \dot{\bar{V}} \right\rangle. \]  
(32)

\[ \langle p_k \rangle = \langle p \rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \frac{\dot{A}_i^a A_j^a}{a^4(\eta)} - \dot{V} \right\rangle. \]  
(33)

\[ T_i^0 = 0, \]
(34)

\[ T_j^i = 0, \quad i \neq j. \]  
(35)

Notice that this particular example corresponds to the triad case discussed before for which the energy-momentum tensor is manifestly isotropic. For simplicity we will assume a power-law potential:

\[ V(M_{ab} A^a \rho A^b \rho) = \frac{1}{2} (-M_0^2 A^a \rho A^b \rho)^n. \]  
(36)

For the particular ansatz (29), we get

\[ \langle \rho \rangle = \left\langle \frac{3}{2} \frac{j_0^2}{a^4(\eta)} + \frac{(3M_0^2 j_0^2)^n}{2a^{2n}(\eta)} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{g^2}{a^4(\eta)} f^4 \right\rangle, \]  
(37)

\[ \langle p \rangle = \left\langle \frac{3}{2} \frac{j_0^2}{a^4(\eta)} - \frac{(3M_0^2 j_0^2)^n}{2a^{2n}(\eta)} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{g^2}{a^4(\eta)} f^4 \right\rangle, \]  
(38)

\[ T_i^0 = 0, \]  
(39)

\[ T_j^i = 0, \quad i \neq j. \]

We can write

\[ \left\langle \frac{3f^2}{a^4(\eta)} \right\rangle = \langle \rho + p \rangle = (\gamma + \gamma_p) \langle \rho \rangle, \]  
(41)

where \( \gamma \) is the average value over an oscillation and \( \gamma_p \), the periodic part of the oscillation that can be neglected (see Ref. [18]). Calling \( r = \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{\eta} \) and taking the scale factor as a constant \( \tilde{\eta} = \frac{\tilde{\eta}}{\eta} \), we can define an effective potential:

\[ V_{\text{eff}}(r) = \frac{1}{2} (3M_0^2 r^2)^n + \frac{3}{2} g^2 r^4, \]  
(42)

such that

\[ \rho = \frac{3}{2} \frac{g^2}{a^4(\eta)} + V_{\text{eff}}(r). \]  
(43)

Notice that the non-Abelian contributions appear as a quartic term in the effective potential, so that in the strong coupling limit, we expect the equation of state to correspond to that of a scalar field with a quartic potential, i.e., hat of radiation [18].

In order to compute the equation of state, we first obtain \( \gamma \) following [18] as

\[ \gamma = \frac{2}{\langle \rho \rangle} \int_{r_+}^{r_-} \frac{dr \sqrt{\rho - V_{\text{eff}}(r)}}{\sqrt{\rho - V_{\text{eff}}(r)}}. \]  
(44)

where \( r_+ \) and \( r_- \) are the turning points of the effective potential where \( \dot{\tilde{\eta}} = 0 \). The corresponding equation of state is \( \langle p \rangle = w(\rho) \), being \( w = \gamma - 1 \). The integrals cannot be computed analytically but the numerical results\(^1\) show that \( w \) depends on \( n, \rho, g \) and \( M \) varying between radiation for large \( g \) [the new non-Abelian term dominates in (42)] and the corresponding \( \omega \) of the Abelian case [15] for a given \( n \) when \( g \) is small (see Fig. 1).

The behavior of the equation of state depends also on the energy density. If the energy density decreases as the Universe expands so that the amplitude of the field oscillations decreases in time, then at late times the smallest power in the effective potential (42) will dominate. Thus, for power-law potentials we can have two possibilities: for \( n \geq 2 \), the potential term will contribute at high energies (early times) and \( \omega = \frac{n+1}{n+1} \), whereas at low energies (late times), the non-Abelian quartic term will dominate and \( \omega = \frac{1}{4} \). For \( n < 2 \), the situation is reversed and at high energies, we have domination of the non-Abelian term and \( \omega = \frac{1}{4} \), whereas at low energies \( \omega = \frac{n+1}{n+1} \) (see Fig. 2 and Ref. [15]).

\(^1\)Now, unlike the Abelian case, \( f dV_{\text{eff}}/df \) is not proportional to \( V_{\text{eff}} \), except when \( n = 2 \), and that is the reason why the virial theorem cannot be used to obtain the equation of state analytically as done in Ref. [15].

FIG. 1 (color online). In this figure, it is shown how the equation of state \( \langle p \rangle = \omega \langle \rho \rangle \) changes by varying \( g \) in the SU(2) non-Abelian case with potential (36), for a given energy density and \( M \) parameter. The different lines correspond to different values of the potential exponent \( n \) in (42). We can observe that for large \( g \) values, the non-Abelian term dominates and the equation of state approaches the radiation behavior independently of \( n \). On the contrary, for small \( g \), the Abelian case is recovered [15].
A. Equation of state

In order to obtain the equation of state we will proceed in a different way as it has been done in the previous section. We take the trace of the energy-momentum tensor:

$$ T^\mu_\mu = \rho - 3p $$

$$ = 4V - 2V'M_{ab}A^a_\mu A^b_\mu + 2\xi[(\nabla_\mu A^a_\rho)^2 + A^a_{\mu\rho}(\nabla_\rho A^a_\mu)] $$

$$(51)$$
with \( p \) the average pressure along the three spatial directions defined in (17). Thus, we have

\[
p - 3p = 4V - 2V'M_{ab}A^\mu_{ab}^\mu + 2\xi \nabla^\lambda (A^\mu_\lambda \nabla_\mu A^\nu). \quad (52)
\]

Notice that the fast oscillations condition is not mandatory for the temporal part since it does not contribute to the anisotropies. Nevertheless, if the oscillations are indeed faster than the expansion rate of the Universe, taking the average, we get

\[
(1 - 3\omega)\langle \rho \rangle = \langle 4V - 2V'M_{ab}A^\mu_{ab}^\mu \rangle + 2\xi \langle \nabla^\lambda (A^\mu_\lambda \nabla_\mu A^\nu) \rangle. \quad (53)
\]

Notice that the \( \xi \) term, when neglecting the scale factor derivatives, is nothing but the temporal derivative of the \( G^{ab}_{00} \) function used in the virial theorem which on average vanishes, i.e.,

\[
G^{ab}_{00} = \frac{\dot{A}^a_0 A^b_0}{a^2(\eta)}, \quad a, b = 1 \ldots N, \quad (54)
\]

and as in the spatial case (22), we get

\[
\langle \dot{G}^{ab}_{00} \rangle = \left\langle \frac{\dot{A}^a_0 A^b_0}{a^2(\eta)} + \frac{\ddot{A}^a_0 A^b_0}{a^2(\eta)} \right\rangle = 0, \quad a, b = 1 \ldots N. \quad (55)
\]

Thus, we can give an expression for \( \omega \) depending only on the average of the potential and the energy density:

\[
\omega = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2V'M_{ab}A^\mu_{ab}^\mu - 4V}{3\langle \rho \rangle}. \quad (56)
\]

Considering a power-law potential, the expression reduces to

\[
\omega = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2(n - 2)}{3} \langle V \rangle. \quad (57)
\]

As it can be seen, the solution for a potential with \( n = 2 \) can be obtained analytically; it behaves as radiation \( \omega = \frac{1}{3} \). That is the expected result considering the behavior of the same case without a gauge-fixing term, see Fig. 1.

1. Abelian case

In the Abelian case (or \( g \to 0 \)) we can obtain the exact expression for the average equation of state by following the virial method despite \( A_0^a \neq 0 \). Using (55) and (22) and the equations of motion (47) and (48), we have

\[
\langle \dot{A}^a_0 A^b_0 \rangle = \frac{2M_{ab}a^2(\eta)}{\xi} \langle V'A^a_0 A^b_0 \rangle, \quad (58)
\]

\[
\langle \dot{A}^a_0 A^b_0 \rangle = -2M_{ab}a^2(\eta) \langle V'A^a_0 A^b_0 \rangle, \quad (59)
\]

and the average energy density can be written as

\[
(\rho) = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \dot{A}_0^a A_0^a + \frac{\xi}{2} \frac{\dot{A}_0^a A_0^a}{a^4(\eta)} \right\rangle + V - \frac{1}{a^4(\eta)} \langle \xi \dot{A}_0^a A_0^a \rangle + 2V'M_{ab}a^2(\eta)A_0^a A_0^b \langle \dot{A}^\mu_\lambda \nabla_\mu A^\nu \rangle. \quad (60)
\]

Using (47) and neglecting time derivatives of the scale factor, we see that the last term vanishes and finally we get

\[
(\rho) = \left\langle \frac{1}{2} \dot{A}_0^a A_0^a + \frac{\xi}{2} \frac{\dot{A}_0^a A_0^a}{a^4(\eta)} + V \right\rangle. \quad (61)
\]

Then using (58) and (59), we obtain

\[
(\rho) = (n + 1)\langle V \rangle. \quad (62)
\]

Introducing this expression in (57), we reach the same result as [18] for a scalar field and [15] for an Abelian theory without a gauge-fixing term:

\[
\omega = \frac{n - 1}{n + 1}. \quad (63)
\]

2. Non-Abelian case

For non-Abelian theories we must compute the average of the potential and the energy density in (57). As commented before, we cannot apply the virial theorem as in the Abelian case for simple power-law potentials because now some non-Abelian terms (those multiplied by \( g^2 \)) act as additional potential terms. Thus the effective potential becomes a sum of power-laws, and \( V_{\text{eff}}A^2 \) is not proportional to \( V_{\text{eff}} \). In any case, if we assume \( 0 < \langle V \rangle/\langle \rho \rangle < 1 \), we can constrain the value of \( \omega \):

\[
\frac{2n - 3}{3} < \omega < \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{3} & \text{for } n < 2 \\
\frac{2n - 3}{3} & \text{for } n > 2.
\end{array} \right. \quad (64)
\]

B. Particular case: \( A^\mu_\mu = A_0^a(\eta)\delta^a_\mu \)

Let us consider the case in which the only relevant component of the homogeneous Yang-Mills theory with a gauge-fixing term (i.e., \( \xi \neq 0 \)) is the temporal part of the fields,

\[
A^\mu_\mu = A_0^a(\eta)\delta^a_\mu. \quad (65)
\]

The equations of motion become

\[
\dot{A}^a_0 + \left( \frac{\dot{a}}{a} - 3\frac{a^2}{a^2} \right)\delta_{ab} + 2V'M_{ab}a^2(\eta)A_0^b = 0, \quad (66)
\]

with no contribution from the non-Abelian terms. This equation is similar to the standard scalar field equation, although with variable mass depending on the scale factor derivatives. However the nonzero components of the energy-momentum tensor are completely different.
\( p = V + \frac{\xi}{2} (\nabla_\rho A^\rho)^2 - 2V M_{ab} A^a_0 A^b_0 - \xi A^a_0 \nabla_0 (\nabla_\rho A^\rho), \)

\[ \rho = V + \frac{\xi}{2} (\nabla_\rho A^\rho)^2, \quad (67) \]

\[ p = -V - \frac{\xi}{2} (\nabla_\rho A^\rho)^2 - \xi A^a_0 \nabla_0 (\nabla_\rho A^\rho). \quad (68) \]

Adding them,

\[ \rho + p = -\xi A^a_0 \nabla_0 (\nabla_\rho A^\rho) = 2V' M_{ab} A^a_0 A^b_0, \quad (69) \]

where in the last step the equations of motion have been used. We can give an expression for the equation of state:

\[ \omega = \frac{2V'M_{ab} A^a_0 A^b_0}{\rho} - 1. \quad (70) \]

Now the oscillatory behavior is not required as the isotropy is guaranteed, so for a simple power-law potential, we get

\[ \omega = \frac{2n}{1 + \frac{\xi (\nabla_\rho A^\rho)^2}{2V}} - 1. \quad (71) \]

We see that contrary to the scalar field standard behavior, when the potential dominates \( \omega = 2n - 1 \), whereas \( \omega = -1 \) when the potential term is negligible. When the field is rapidly oscillating, we can use the virial equations (63) and the average \( \omega \) behaves as a standard scalar field \( \omega = \frac{n+1}{n+1} \) since

\[ \left( \frac{\xi (\nabla_\rho A^\rho)^2}{V} \right) = 2n, \quad (72) \]

as it can be straightforwardly deduced from Eq. (58).

This does not mean that the temporal component becomes a standard scalar field, but that on average its equation of state behavior is the same. A good way to illustrate this is by studying the case \( V_A = M(A^a_\mu A^{\mu a})^2 \) and its equivalent \( V_\phi = M \phi^4 \) for a scalar field. The conditions to have oscillations are \( M > 0 \) and \( \xi > 0 \). In this particular case, the pressure behaves completely different when the field is a scalar, a temporal component or a spatial component of a vector field; although, on average they behave in the same way.

In Fig. 3, the different cases are shown for \( g = 0 \) in a radiation-dominated universe. For a scalar field, the pressure will oscillate in the interval \(-\rho < p < \rho\), so pressure is modulated by energy density. If we have a spatial component of a vector field \( A^a_0 = 0 \), the average pressure over the three spatial directions \( p \) always equals \( \rho / 3 \), and it does not oscillate. This odd behavior can be explained by realizing that the trace of the energy-momentum tensor (51) vanishes for this potential if the temporal component is zero, then \( \rho - 3p = 0 \) must be satisfied at any time. Finally for a temporal component of a vector field \( A^t_0 = 0 \), pressure oscillations exceed the energy density value on the contrary to the scalar case.

V. ISOTROPY THEOREM FOR YANG-MILLS THEORIES IN A GENERAL BACKGROUND METRIC

The previous results can be directly extended to general space-time geometries (not necessarily homogeneous) as was shown in Ref. [15]. Thus, let us consider a locally inertial observer at \( x^\mu_0 = 0 \) and write the metric tensor using Riemann normal coordinates around \( x^\mu_0 \) [20]:

\[ g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{3} R_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} x^\alpha x^\beta + \cdots. \quad (73) \]
Let assume that the following conditions hold:

1. The Lagrangian is restricted to the Yang-Mills form with or without a gauge-fixing term.
2. The vector field evolves rapidly:
   \[ |R^\gamma_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}| \ll (\omega_i^a)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad |\partial_j A_i^a| \ll |\dot{A}_i^a|, \]
   for \( i, j = 1, 2, 3 \) and \( a = 1 \ldots N \), \( (74) \)
for any component of the Riemann tensor. \( \omega_i^a \) is the characteristic frequency of \( A_i^a \).
3. \( A_i^a \) and \( \dot{A}_i^a \) remain bounded in the evolution.

The second condition implies that if we are only interested in time scales of order \( (\omega_i^a)^{-1} \), then we are in a normal neighborhood and we can neglect the second term in \( (73) \) and also work with a homogeneous vector field. In such a case, it is possible to rewrite all the above equations with \( a(\eta) = 1 \). Thus, by using an interval \([0, T]\) that verifies the condition,

\[ |R^\gamma_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}| \ll T^{-2} \ll (\omega_i^a)^2, \]
for any of the components of the Riemann tensor and of the vector, it is possible to obtain \( (21) \) and prove that the mean value of the energy-momentum tensor is isotropic. Thus, if oscillations are fast compared to the curvature scale, the average energy-momentum tensor takes the perfect fluid form for any locally inertial observer.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have considered homogeneous non-Abelian vector fields in an expanding universe with arbitrary potential term. By means of a generalized version of the virial theorem, we have shown that for bounded and rapid evolution compared to the rate of expansion, the average energy-momentum tensor is isotropic for any kind of initial configuration of the fields. This result holds irrespective of the complicated dynamics of the coupled fields in non-Abelian theories even in the presence of gauge-fixing terms, and it can be extended for any locally inertial observer in arbitrary geometries provided the field evolution is sufficiently rapid.

These rapidly oscillating vector field models not only avoid the problem of anisotropies at the classical level as shown in this work, but also could solve it even in the presence of quantum fluctuations. Indeed, they open up the possibility of using non-Abelian fields in cosmology beyond the simple triad configurations considered so far. Notice that light vector fields during inflation develop a classical homogeneous vacuum expectation value due to the sum of infrared modes. The quantum fluctuations of the fields in the triad will not be correlated generally and will break isotropy \([21]\). However, in the cases considered in this work, the high effective mass of vector perturbations compared to the rate of expansion prevents the long-wavelength modes from being excited, thus avoiding the problem of anisotropy even at the quantum level.
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