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ABSTRACT

Aims. We present a study of the very high energy (VHE; E > 100 GeV) γ-ray emission of the blazar PKS 1424+240 observed with the MAGIC telescopes. The primary aim of this paper is the multiwavelength spectral characterization and modeling of this blazar, which is made particularly interesting by the recent discovery of a lower limit of its redshift of z ∼ 0.6 and makes it a promising candidate to be the most distant VHE source.

Methods. The source has been observed with the MAGIC telescopes in VHE γ rays for a total observation time of ∼ 33.6 h from 2009 to 2011. A detailed analysis of its γ-ray spectrum and time evolution has been carried out. Moreover, we have collected and analyzed simultaneous and quasi-simultaneous multiwavelength data.

Results. The source was marginally detected in VHE γ rays during 2009 and 2010, and later, the detection was confirmed during an optical outburst in 2011. The combined significance of the stacked sample is ∼ 7.2 σ. The differential spectra measured during the different campaigns can be described by steep power laws with the indices ranging from 3.5 ± 1.2 to 5.0 ± 1.7. The MAGIC spectra corrected for the absorption due to the extragalactic background light connect smoothly, within systematic errors, with the mean spectrum in 2009-2011 observed at lower energies by the Fermi-LAT. The absorption-corrected MAGIC spectrum is flat with no apparent turn down up to 400 GeV. The multiwavelength light curve shows increasing flux in radio and optical bands that could point to a common origin from the same region of the jet. The large separation between the two peaks of the constructed non-simultaneous spectral energy distribution also requires an extremely high Doppler factor if an one zone synchrotron self-Compton model is applied. We find that a two-component synchrotron self-Compton model describes the spectral energy distribution of the source well, if the source is located at z ∼ 0.6.

Keywords. gamma rays: observations, blazar, BL Lac: AGNs: individual (PKS 1424+240)

1. Introduction

Blazars are active galactic nuclei (AGN) that host a relativistic jet, which is pointed at a small angle to the line of sight. The spectral energy distribution (SED) of blazars shows a two-bump structure. It is widely accepted that the lower energy bump is due to synchrotron emission produced by the relativistic electrons spiraling in the magnetic field of the jet. The location of the peak of this lower energy bump in the SED is used to classify
the sources as low, intermediate, and high-synchrotron-peaked blazars (Abdo et al., 2010b).

The high-energy-peaking blazars are the most numerous, extragalactic very-high-energy (VHE, E > 100 GeV) γ-ray sources. The origin of the VHE γ-ray emission is still under debate. It is typically modeled with synchrotron self-Compton (SSC) emission models where the synchrotron radiation serves as seed photons for inverse Compton scattering (Maraschi et al., 1992). However, hadronic processes, such as proton synchrotron and radiation produced by the secondary particles, can also produce the observed VHE γ-ray emission (e.g., Mannheim & Biermann, 1992; Aharonian, 2000).

Both models fit the observed IR, optical, X-ray, and γ-ray data well (e.g., Tavecchio et al., 2010; Reimer, 2012), while it is generally assumed that the emission region is still opaque in radio bands and that the radio emission originates from a different emission region (Katarzynski et al., 2001).

The object PKS 1424+240 was discovered in the 1970s as a radio source (Fanti et al., 1974) and was identified as a blazar by Impyè & Tapia (1988). The source was detected in γ rays by the Fermi Large Area Telescope (LAT; Atwood et al., 2009) with a very hard spectrum with a photon index of $\Gamma = 1.85 \pm 0.07$ (Abdo et al., 2009), and it entered the family of VHE γ-ray emitters in spring 2009 when a detection was first reported by VERITAS (Ong, 2009) and soon after confirmed by MAGIC (Teshima, 2009). The source was previously observed during 2006 and 2007 by the MAGIC-1 telescope, and a flux upper limit of 8.2% Crab Unit (CU) for E > 120 GeV was derived (Aleksić et al., 2011). The VERITAS observations in 2009 indicated a steady flux with the photon spectrum, which is well described by a power law with a photon index of $3.8 \pm 0.5 \gamma + 0.3 \gamma r$ and a flux normalization at 200 GeV of $(5.1 \pm 0.9 \delta_{\text{int}} \pm 0.5 \delta_{\text{sys}}) \cdot 10^{-11}$ TeV$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ (Acciari et al., 2010). As this manuscript was being resubmitted to the journal, the VERITAS collaboration reported observations of PKS 1424+240 from 2009, 2011 and 2013, confirming the soft spectrum that had been reported with the 2009 dataset but indicated significant gamma-ray flux variability (Archambault et al., 2014) this time.

The source PKS 1424+240 is a BL Lac object, which by definition shows weak or no emission lines in its optical spectra. Therefore, like for many BL Lacs, the redshift of PKS 1424+240 is still uncertain. Rau et al. (2012) reported a photometric upper limit of $z_{\text{up}} = 1.1$. Recently, Furniss et al. (2013) determined a lower limit of the redshift $z \geq 0.6035$ from the Ly$\beta$ and Ly$\gamma$ absorption. This is more distant than 3C 279 (z = 0.536), which was long considered to be the most distant VHE γ-ray emitter. Even though there are other sources with higher lower limits on the redshift, this makes PKS 1424+240 a strong candidate to be the most distant known VHE γ-ray emitter. Later, we discuss the redshift constraints obtained from VHE γ-ray data analysis, which seem to confirm this result.

It should be noted that Meisner & Romani (2010) report a detection of the host galaxy in the i-band, and assuming that the galaxies hosting BL Lac objects can be considered as standard candles (Shar�at et al., 2005), they estimated the redshift to be $z = 0.23^{+0.08}_{-0.05}$. However, this value is in conflict with a new photometric lower limit from Shaw et al. (2013) and with the spectroscopic limit reported in Furniss et al. (2013).

In this paper, we present MAGIC observations of the source that include the first detection of the source in 2009, follow-up observations in 2010, and target of opportunity observations triggered by the optical high state of the source in Spring 2011. The differential and integral energy spectra are presented with a study of the spectral variability. We carried out an extensive multiwavelength study which makes use of the data available from γ rays to radio to study multiwavelength properties and model the SED of the source.

2. MAGIC observations and results

2.1. MAGIC data

MAGIC (Major Atmospheric Gamma-ray Imaging Cherenkov) is a system of two 17 m Imaging Atmospheric Cherenkov Telescopes (IACT) located at the Roque de los Muchachos, Canary Island of La Palma at the height of 2200 m above sea level. The commissioning of the second MAGIC telescope finished at the end of 2009, and since then, both telescopes have worked together in stereo mode (Cortina et al., 2009; Aleksić et al., 2012a). In simple terms, MAGIC observes the faint Cherenkov light emitted in the atmosphere by a shower of particles that is induced by a VHE γ-ray when entering the atmosphere. The light is focused into a camera and forms an image, which is triggered, registered, calibrated, and then parametrized with the so-called Hillas parameters (Hillas, 1985). These parameters are used to separate the γ-like events, which constitute the signal, from the background dominated by hadronic events. In addition, dedicated Monte Carlo simulations of the system performance are used for the energy reconstruction and the γ-hadron separation.

The source PKS 1424+240 was observed in single-telescope mode (i.e. using only MAGIC-I) from April to June 2009, when MAGIC II was in commissioning phase, and observed in stereo mode in Spring 2010 and Spring 2011. Each data sample was analyzed independently since the performance of the instrument changed over the years.

The source PKS 1424+240 was observed in good conditions for 12.5 h in 2009 (from MJD 54938 to MJD 55005), covering a zenith angle range between 4° and 36°. In addition, stereo data were collected at the same zenith angle range in early 2010 between March to April (from MJD 55269 to MJD 55305) for a total observation time of ~11.6 hours and 9.5 hours from April to May 2011 (from MJD 55575 to MJD 55589). The whole data sample was taken in the false-source tracking (wobble) mode (Fomin et al., 1994), in which the telescopes were alternated every 20 minutes between two symmetric sky positions at 0.4° offset from the source. The wobble enables us to take both source and background data simultaneously.

The analysis of the data was performed using the standard MAGIC analysis and reconstruction software (Albert et al., 2008a,b,c; Aliu et al., 2009). In the analysis of stereo data presented in this work, we took advantage of a direction reconstruction method based on the DISP RF method, as described in Aleksić et al. (2010), and adapted to the stereo observations (see Aleksić et al. 2012a for more details).

2.2. Signal search

The signal search is performed by making use of the distribution of the $\theta^2$ parameter, which is defined as the square of the angular distance between the reconstructed shower direction in the telescope camera and the real position of the source.
To avoid systematic effects in the background calculation, we considered only events with the parameter size that is larger than 200 photo-electrons (mono analysis) and 50 photo-electrons (stereo analysis). The corresponding energy thresholds (defined as the energies where the number of Monte Carlo γ-ray events with assumed spectral indices is maximized in the histogram of the image size) are ∼150 GeV for 2009 mono observations and ∼100 GeV for 2010 and 2011 stereoscopic observations.

The signal is extracted by comparing the $\theta^2$ distribution of the source region (ON) with the background (OFF), which is estimated from one (in 2010 and 2011 data samples) and three regions (in the 2009 data sample) of the sky located near the source of interest and collected simultaneously with the source observation. The significance of the detection for the different data samples can be found in Table 1. During the 2009 observational campaign, a hint of a signal was found with a number of excess events $N_{ex} \approx 498 (N_{ex} = N_{ON} - N_{OFF})$ and 4.6 σ, which are calculated according to eq. 17 of Li & Ma (1983). This hint of signal was confirmed later in the 2010 and 2011 campaigns: the $\theta^2$ distribution from the 2010 sample gives ∼330 excess events with a significance of 4.8 σ, while an excess of $N_{ex} \sim 333$ was found corresponding to a significance of 5.5 σ in the 2011 sample. The stacked significance of the overall sample (33.6 hours of data from 2009 to 2011, 1161 excess events) is more than 7 σ.

2.3. Differential energy spectra

The differential energy spectra observed with the MAGIC telescopes in 2009, 2010, and 2011 campaigns are shown in Figure 1. In each case, the spectrum can be well fit with a simple power law of the form:

$$\frac{dN}{dE} = f_0 \left(\frac{E}{200 \text{ GeV}}\right)^{-\Gamma} \text{ph cm}^2 \text{s TeV}^{-1}.$$

(1)

The parameters resulting from the fits are compatible within the uncertainties and are reported in Table 2. The systematic uncertainties in the spectral measurements with MAGIC for spectra similar to that of the Crab Nebula (i.e. bright and relatively hard spectra) were reported in Albert et al. (2008b) and Aleksic et al. (2012a) for mono and stereo observations, which were about 11% in the normalization factor (at 300 GeV) and 0.15–0.20 in the photon index. However, for spectral shapes described by a photon index of 4 and gamma-ray fluxes lower than that of the Crab by one order of magnitude, the systematic errors increase substantially. Considering the spectral shape of PKS 1424+240, the relatively low dynamic range over which MAGIC detects photons (100–400 GeV), and the relatively low signal-to-noise background of the different datasets, we estimated the systematic uncertainties to be 30% in the flux level and 0.7 in the power-law index for the 2009 dataset (obtained with mono observations), 20% and 0.54 for the flux and the power-law index for the 2010 dataset (obtained with stereo observations) respectively, and 19% and 0.22 for the flux and the power-law index for the 2011 dataset (obtained with stereo observations, where the gamma-ray flux is about twice that measured in 2010), respectively.

The error on the flux does not include uncertainty on the energy scale. The energy scale of the MAGIC telescopes is determined with a precision of about 17% at low energies (E < 100 GeV) and 15% at medium energies (E > 300 GeV) (Aleksić et al., 2012a).

The mean differential flux registered by VERITAS between February to June 2009 is significantly lower from the spectrum observed by MAGIC in the same period. This apparent discrepancy is likely related to the different time coverage of the two observations and is discussed later. The spectral slope we derive is consistent with the results presented in Acciari et al. (2010). However, unlike the method in Acciari et al. (2010), we do not detect excess events above 400 GeV in any data sample. We therefore derive a 95% confidence level upper limit for the energy bin 416 – 601 GeV of 7.2 · 10^{-12} TeV^{-1} cm^{-2}s^{-1} for the 2010 data. This limit agrees with the value measured by Acciari et al. (2010) at ~500 GeV.

2.4. VHE variability analysis

Table 3 reports the integral fluxes measured by MAGIC from 2009 to 2011 above 150 GeV, which are drawn in Fig. 2 (dashed lines). All the fluxes are below the upper limit derived from the previous MAGIC observation in 2006 and 2007, which was (above 120 GeV at 95% confidence level) 3.1 · 10^{-11} cm^{-2}s^{-1} (Aleksić et al. 2011).

A constant flux hypothesis is consistent with the yearly values from 2009 to 2011 at 95% confidence level ($\chi^2/d.o.f. = 4.4/2$). In addition, constant fits to the light curves of the individual years also agree with the hypothesis of constant flux at the same confidence level.

Table 1. PKS 1424+240 observation characteristics and signal search results. The year of observation, the observation time of the final selected sample, the energy threshold (E_{th}), the number of excess events (N_{ex}), and the significance of the signal are reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Obs. Time</th>
<th>E_{th}</th>
<th>N_{ex}</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>12.5 h</td>
<td>∼150 GeV</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>4.6 σ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>11.6 h</td>
<td>∼100 GeV</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>4.8 σ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>9.5 h</td>
<td>∼100 GeV</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>5.5 σ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
infrared background, which are also called the extragalactic action of energetic photons with the diffuse light (EBL) (Hauser & Dwek, 2001). The interaction with VHE photons is constrained by VERITAS 2009 observations from Acciari et al. (2010). Since VERITAS reported the integral flux in the range 140 GeV–600 GeV, the fluxes have been scaled down by 18% (derived from the spectral index of $\Gamma = 3.8$ measured by VERITAS) to be compared to the MAGIC light curve at above 150 GeV. The statistical errors of the MAGIC flux measurements are larger than those from VERITAS because the MAGIC 2009 observations were performed with a single telescope and were substantially shorter (12 hours vs 28 hours) than those from VERITAS.

Interestingly, VERITAS found no variability in the 2009 dataset in the energy range of 140 GeV–600 GeV. In Figure 2, the gray markers refer to VERITAS 2009 observations from Acciari et al. (2010). Since VERITAS reported the integral flux in the range 140 GeV–600 GeV, the fluxes have been scaled down by 18% (derived from the spectral index of $\Gamma = 3.8$ measured by VERITAS) to be compared to the MAGIC light curve at above 150 GeV. The statistical errors of the MAGIC flux measurements are larger than those from VERITAS because the MAGIC 2009 observations were performed with a single telescope and were substantially shorter (12 hours vs 28 hours) than those from VERITAS.

### Table 2. PKS 1424+240 spectrum power-law fit parameters and integral flux values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Fit range [GeV]</th>
<th>$f_0$ [cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ TeV$^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$\Gamma$</th>
<th>$F_{&gt;150\text{GeV}}$ [cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>150–400</td>
<td>$(1.3 \pm 0.5_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.4_{\text{sys}}) \cdot 10^{-10}$</td>
<td>$5.0 \pm 1.7_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.7_{\text{sys}}$</td>
<td>$(1.66 \pm 0.50) \cdot 10^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>100–300</td>
<td>$(0.5 \pm 0.2_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.1_{\text{sys}}) \cdot 10^{-10}$</td>
<td>$3.5 \pm 1.2_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.5_{\text{sys}}$</td>
<td>$(0.53 \pm 0.25) \cdot 10^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>100–400</td>
<td>$(1.0 \pm 0.3_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.2_{\text{sys}}) \cdot 10^{-10}$</td>
<td>$3.9 \pm 0.7_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.2_{\text{sys}}$</td>
<td>$(1.00 \pm 0.30) \cdot 10^{-11}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.5. Redshift estimation from $\gamma$-ray observations

The redshift of PKS 1424+240 is still uncertain and can be constrained by VHE $\gamma$-ray observations by considering the interaction of energetic photons with the diffuse optical and near-infrared background light (EBL) (Hauser & Dwek, 2001). The interaction of VHE photons with the EBL leads to electron-positron pair production. The effect is a partial or total absorption of the VHE photons coming from distant sources and a consequent distortion of the emitted spectrum. Due to the large uncertainties in the direct EBL measurements, many models have been proposed in recent years (Stecker et al., 2006; Franceschini et al., 2008; Gilmore et al., 2009; Kneiske & Dole, 2010; Domínguez et al., 2011; Stecker et al., 2012).

In Acciari et al. (2010), the authors present the photon index measured with Fermi-LAT at low energies in combination with VERITAS data corrected by the EBL absorption effect using three recent EBL models (Franceschini et al., 2008; Gilmore et al., 2009; Finke et al., 2010) and derive an upper limit of the redshift of $z < 0.66$ with a 95% confidence level.

A similar approach is undertaken in Prandi et al. (2010, 2011), where the idea that the slope of the VHE spectrum corrected for EBL absorption should not be harder than the one measured by Fermi-LAT at lower energies is tested on a sample of known redshift sources. The redshifts $z^*$ at which the two slopes match are compared to the spectroscopic redshifts, $z_{\text{spec}}$, with the result that $z^*$ is above $z_{\text{spec}}$ in all the cases considered. Therefore, $z^*$ can be used as upper limit estimate of the source distance if there is no spectroscopic redshift available.

If we apply the same method to the data presented here, and in particular, to the 2011 spectral points that are the most precise, we obtain $z^* < 0.61 \pm 0.10$, where $z^*$ is the redshift at which the EBL–deabsorbed spectrum has the same slope as measured by Fermi-LAT at lower energies, by assuming the Franceschini et al. (2008) EBL model. This implies a $2\sigma$ upper limit on the redshift of 0.81.

The upper limit derived agrees with the value obtained by Furniss et al. (2013), and, therefore, we hereafter adopt the redshift of $z = 0.6$ for the source to not overestimate the EBL absorption.

### 3. Multiwavelength view of PKS 1424+240

The multiwavelength data for PKS 1424+240 were collected from several ongoing monitoring programs in radio, optical, X-rays and high-energy $\gamma$ rays. The resulting multiwavelength light curves are shown in Figure 3.

### 3.1. Radio data

The object PKS 1424+240 is monitored at 15 GHz using the 40 meter telescope of the Owens Valley Radio Observatory as a part of a larger monitoring program, where a sample of ~1700 sources is observed twice a week (Richards et al., 2011). The telescope is equipped with dual-beamed, off-axis optics and a cooled receiver installed at the prime focus. The two sky beams are Dicke switched using the off-source beam as a reference, and the source is alternated between the two beams in an ON-ON fashion to remove atmospheric and ground contamination. The calibration reference source is 3C 286 for which the flux density...
of 3.44 Jy at 15 GHz is assumed \cite{Baars1977}. The systematic uncertainty of about 5\% in the flux density scale is not included in the error bars. Details of the observations, calibration, and analysis are given in \cite{Richards2011}.

Visual inspection of the 15 GHz light curve shows an increasing trend from 2009 to 2011; the average flux increases from 0.26±0.01 Jy (MJD 54923) to 0.31±0.01 Jy (MJD 55807) with minimum and maximum values of 0.20±0.01 Jy (MJD 54954) and 0.32±0.02 Jy (MJD 55721), respectively. The constant hypothesis can be discarded due to its low probability (\(\chi^2/d.o.f. = 861/126\), probability smaller than 0.0001).

We test the trend by using the five methods for obtaining the linear regression fits from \cite{Ishobe1990} and find the trend to be significant at the > 20\(\sigma\) level. We also calculate the intrinsic modulation index of the light curve using the likelihood method introduced in \cite{Richards2011}. The intrinsic modulation index is a measure of variability, similar to the standard variability index (e.g. \cite{Aller1992}) but takes the errors and sampling into account into the calculation of the likelihood (see \cite{Richards2011} for further details). For the radio data, we obtain a modulation index of 0.072±0.005. This value confirms that the source is significantly variable and the variability amplitude is close to the mean value of 0.036±0.010 obtained for a sample of 98 HSP BL Lacs in the OVRO sample \cite{Richards2014}.

The visual inspection also suggests a small amplitude flare in 2011. The existence of this flare was tested by removing the increasing trend by fitting a line to data and then calculating the intrinsic modulation index using the likelihood method. Because this method takes the flux density of the source into account, the average flux density 0.28 Jy was added to the residuals. The intrinsic modulation index for the de-trended data is then 0.026±0.003, implying that the light curve is indeed variable above the 3\(\sigma\) level even if the trend is removed.

The source has also been observed by the Metsähovi 13.7 meter radio telescope at 37 GHz. The measurements were made with a 1 GHz-band dual beam receiver centered at 36.8 GHz. The observations are ON-OFF observations, which alternate the source and the sky in each feed horn. A typical integration time to obtain one flux density data point is between 1200 and 1400 s. A detailed description of the observation and analysis methods can be found in \cite{Terarsanta1998}. The detection limit (defined as \(S/N \geq 4\)) of the telescope is on the order of 0.2 Jy under optimal weather conditions. Given that the typical flux density of PKS 1424+240 at 37 GHz is close to this limit, the source can usually be observed during good weather only, and therefore, the observed light curve from this source is sparse. Figure 3 first panel from the top) shows the significant detections of the source from 2009 to 2011. The measured flux densities are between 0.2-0.35 Jy, which is close to the detection limit and results in large measurement errors. Within these error bars, the light curve does not show significant variability.

In addition to single dish observations, the source has also been observed with the Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA) at 15 GHz as a part of the \(\gamma\)-ray selected MOJAVE sample \cite{Lister2011}. Analysis of all seven observed MOJAVE epochs up to now reveals two moving components with speeds of 55±24 \(\mu\)arcsec/yr and 51±9 \(\mu\)arcsec/yr (M. Lister, priv. comm.). Using \(z = 0.6\), this converts to a speed of ~1.2 c and Doppler factor \(\delta = 5.5\) (assuming an average BL Lac object viewing angle of 5\(^\circ\) as determined by \cite{Hovatta2009}). Note that a viewing angle of \(1^\circ\) results in \(\delta = 12\), which is rather slow but is in accordance with high-energy peaking BL Lac objects showing lower Doppler factors in the \(\gamma\)-ray loud AGN \cite{Lister2011}. The VLBA core is resolved in the MOJAVE images and has a mean-fitted FHWM Gaussian of 0.1 milliarcsec, which corresponds to 0.67 pc at \(z=0.6\). The images were also investigated for limb brightening, which is considered to be a signature of a spine-sheath structure, and recent observations of Mrk 501, for example, have shown limb brightening \cite{Pinet2009}. However, for PKS 1424+240 we find no signature of limb brightening.

Simultaneous single-dish and VLBA observations show that the extended jet contributes ~60 mJy to the total single dish 15 GHz flux density (M. Lister, priv. comm.).

### 3.2. Optical data

The source has been observed as part of the Tuorla blazar monitoring program since 2006. The observations are done with the KVA (Kungliga Vetenskapsakademii) telescope on La Palma, which is operated remotely from Finland and the Tuorla 1 meter telescope, located in Finland. KVA consists of two telescopes, the larger one being a 60 cm (\(f/15\)) Cassegrain telescope equipped with a CCD polarimeter capable of polarimetric measurements in BVRI-bands that uses a plane-parallel calcite plate and a super-achromatic \(\lambda/2\) retarder. The second, a 35 cm Celestron telescope, can be used for photometric measurements in B, V, and R-bands. The observations of PKS 1424+240 are done in the R-band and analyzed using the standard procedures with the pipeline developed for the monitoring program \cite{Nilsson14} in prep.). The magnitudes are measured with differential photometry by comparing star magnitudes from \cite{Fiorucci1996}. The polarimetric measurements were done without filters to improve the signal-to-noise of the observations. The degree of polarization and position angle were calculated from the intensity ratios of the ordinary and extraordinary beams using standard formulae and semi-automatized software specially developed for polarization monitoring purposes.

In April 2011 during the high optical state of the source, observations were also performed at the Nordic Optical Telescope to get a better sampling of the light curve, as KVA was suffering from technical problems. The data were reduced using the same procedure as for KVA and Tuorla data.

The object PKS 1424+240 has also been observed as part of the Catalina Sky Survey (CSS) Program in the optical V-band. The data are publicly available \cite{Drake2009} and are used to get better sampling for the optical light curve.

During the six years of monitoring, the optical R-band magnitude of the source was between 13.6 and 14.5 (V-band: 13.9 and 14.6), and after the beginning of 2009, the source has been brighter than \(R=14.2\) in all measurements (V-band: 14.3). Historical data from 1994–1995 \cite{Fiorucci1996} and 1988 \cite{Mead1990} show R-band magnitudes from 14.75 (1988) to 14.2 (1995) and the V-band measurement from 1984 shows \(V=16.2\). The optical magnitudes measured from 2009 to 2011, therefore, clearly present an optical high state of the source when compared to historical data. Within these three years of data, the average magnitude has been \(R \sim 14\) (7.7 mJy) with the highest optical flux in 2011. The maximum flux reached \(R=13.65\) (10.7 mJy), which is ~40\% above the average core flux measured from 2009 to 2011, and is the highest optical flux measured from the source to our knowledge. The 2011 MAGIC observations were triggered by this high optical flux. However, the data taking of MAGIC started when the optical flux was already decreasing.

\footnote{http://nesssi.cacr.caltech.edu/DataRelease/}
and the average optical flux during 2011 MAGIC observations was \( R = 13.83 \) (9.0 mJy), while it was \( R = 14.01 \) (7.68 mJy) and \( R = 14.05 \) (7.39 mJy) in 2009 and 2010, respectively.

The optical light curves in the R- and V-bands start three years earlier than the 15 GHz light curves, but the increasing trend seems to be present also in optical data. We also test for the existence of this trend in radio data. In the optical R-band, the trend is significant at the 19\% level. The intrinsic modulation index of the light curve is 0.152\(^{+0.010}_{-0.012}\) and with the trend subtracted it is 0.071 \( \pm 0.005 \). The same analysis is repeated for the V-band data (which have better sampling in 2006-2008), resulting in a lower but still highly significant trend at the 9\% level.

In 2011, we also performed two polarization measurements: May 6th (MJD 55688) and May 21st (MJD 55703). The polarization was found to be 7.2 \( \pm 0.5 \)% and 9.1 \( \pm 0.6 \)% respectively. Compared to historical observations from 1984 and 1988 (without filter 4.7 \( \pm 0.3 \)% (Impey & Tapia 1990) and R-band 4.9 \( \pm 0.3 \)% (Mead et al. 1990)), the polarization is significantly higher. The polarization degree is still within the typical range for BL Lacs (e.g. Januzzi et al. 1993).

3.3. \textit{Swift} UV and X-ray observations

\textit{Swift} observations of PKS 1424+240 were performed using two of the three on-board instruments: The X-ray telescope (XRT, Burrows et al. 2005) covers the 0.2 - 10 keV energy band, and the UV/Optical Telescope (UVOT, Roming et al. 2005) covers the 180 - 600 nm wavelength range with V, B, U, UVW1, UVM2, and UVW2 filters. The third instrument, the Burst Alert Telescope (BAT, Barthelmy et al. 2005), is a coded-mask imager that covers the 15 - 150 keV energy range. The source has not been detected by the complete analysis of 54 months of the BAT survey data, and therefore, the BAT data were not used in the present analysis. In the following, the XRT data from 0.2 to 10 keV are discussed with the UVOT data.

\textit{Swift} observed the source extensively in June 2009, following the detection of VHE emission by VERITAS (Ong 2009; Acciari et al. 2010), a few sporadic observations followed in November 2009, January 2010, and November 2010, summing up to a total of nearly 26 ks of observations. Table A.1 summarizes the \textit{Swift} observations of PKS 1424+240.

The XRT data were processed using the FTOOLS task XRTPipeline (version 0.12.6), which is distributed by HEASARC within the HEASoft package (v6.10). Events with grades 0 – 12 were selected for the data (see Burrows et al., 2005) and response matrices version 20100820 available in the \textit{Swift} CALDB were used. For the spectral analysis, the most-likely-pileup affecting the June 2009 data was evaluated following the standard procedure, which resulted in a piled-up region with a radius of ~ 7 arcsec. This region was masked, and the signal was extracted within an annulus with inner radius of 3 pixels (7.1 arcsec) and outer radius of 25 pixels (59 arcsec). The pile-up correction was applied only to observations with count rates higher than 0.6 cps. The observations with ID 00038104001 and 00038104010 show a strip of dead pixels crossing the source; although the xrtpipeline creates an ancillary response file (ARF) correcting for the exposure map, we excluded these data from our analysis. The observations with ID 00039182002 and 00039182003 have few counts due to the low source flux and short observation time. The low statistics do not allow us to perform a reliable spectral fit, and for this reason, they have been excluded.

The spectra were extracted from the corresponding event files and binned using GRPPHA to ensure a minimum of 27 counts per bin in a manner, so that the \( \chi^2 \) statistic could be used reliably. Spectral analyses were performed using XSPEC version 12.6.0.

The results are summarized in Appendix A. Table A.2 shows the results of a power-law model fit to the data. Photo-electric absorption with a hydrogen column density fixed to the Galactic value \( n_H = 3.1 \times 10^{20} \) cm\(^{-2}\) (Kaberla et al. 2005) was included in the model.

At the beginning of the observations, the source was in a high X-ray state compared to the lower X-ray states in 2010. A flare has been observed on June, 15 2009 lasting 3 days (Figure 5) with the flux doubling on a one-day timescale. During the burst, a spectral hardening is recognizable, although a clear harder-when-brighter trend cannot be seen in the whole data set.

The observed day-scale X-ray variability sets an upper limit for the size of the X-ray emission region given by the causality relationship \( < c t_{\odot} n / (1 + z) \cdot 1 \times 10^{-13} \) [cm] by assuming a redshift of 0.6.

\textit{Swift}/UVOT observed the source with all filters (V, B, U, UVW1, UVM2, UVW2) over 12 days. During three of those days, the filter-of-the-day mode was used. The UVOT source counts were extracted from a 5 arcsec-sized circular region centered on the source position, while the background was extracted from a nearby larger, source-free, circular region. This data were processed with the \texttt{uvotmaghist} task of the HEASOFT package. The observed magnitudes have been corrected for Galactic extinction \( E(B - V) = 0.059\) mag (Schlegel et al., 1998), applying the formulas by Pei (1992) and finally converting them into fluxes following Poole et al. (2008). The observed magnitudes and the de-reddened fluxes are collected in Table A.3. The UV brightness is marginally variable, increasing during the X-ray flare of June 15.

3.4. \textit{Fermi}-LAT \( \gamma \)-ray data

\textit{Fermi}-LAT is a pair-conversion \( \gamma \)-ray telescope sensitive to photon energies greater than 20 MeV. In its nominal scanning mode, it surveys the whole sky every 3 hr with a field of view of about 2.4 steradians (Atwood et al., 2009). The LAT data presented in this paper were collected from MJD 54682 (2008 August 4) to MJD 55200 (2011 June 4). An unbinned likelihood spectral analysis was performed to produce the light curve with the standard analysis tool \texttt{glike}, which included in the Science Tools software package (version v09r23p01). The \texttt{P7_SOURCE_V6} instrument response functions were used, which is a refinement to previous LAT response functions, reflecting improved understanding of the point-spread function and effective area (Ackermann et al., 2012). For this analysis, only photons located in a circular region of interest (ROI) with a 10 degree radius, centered at the position of PKS1424+240, were selected. In addition, we excluded photons arriving from zenith angles \( > 52 \) to avoid time intervals during which Earth entered the LAT field of view (FoV).

For the \( \gamma \)-ray analysis, we used the Galactic and Isotropic diffuse emission models gal\_2yearp7v6\_x0.fits and

\footnote{For a documentation of the Science Tools, see http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/analysis/documentation/}. 

http://www.swift.ac.uk/analysis/xrt/pileup.php
Fig. 3. Multiwavelength light curve of PKS 1424+240 from MJD 54700 to MJD 55800. Since all Swift/UVOT filter data show the same trend, only filters B, V, and W1 are shown. The dashed gray line represents a linear fit to the Fermi-LAT data after the X-ray flare.

iso_p7v6source.txt. The isotropic background is defined as the sum of residual cosmic-ray background and extragalactic diffuse γ-ray background (file provided with Science Tools). All point sources in the Fermi-LAT Second Source Catalog (2FGL; Nolan et al., 2012) are within 20° of PKS1424+240, including the source of interest itself, were considered in the analysis. Those within the ROI were fitted with power-law models with spectral indices set to the values obtained from the likelihood analysis of the full data set, while those beyond 10° radius ROI had their values frozen to those found in the 2FGL. Upper limits at 2-sigma confidence level were computed for time bins with test statistics (TS) < 9 and when the nominal flux uncertainty is larger than half the flux itself (Abdo et al., 2010a). All the uncertainties stated here are statistics only, the estimated systematic uncertainty of the integral fluxes above 200 MeV and 1 GeV are both about 10% for a hard source like PKS 1424+240 (Ackermann et al., 2012).

The spectral analysis was performed in the full band (from 100 MeV to 300 GeV) for the whole 2008-2011 period using a simple power-law model. The best-fit parameters for the full-band model are $\Gamma = 1.784 \pm 0.016$ and an integral flux of $(7.7 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-8} \text{ph cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ with the corresponding detection significance given by $\sqrt{TS} \sim 86\sigma$.

The Fermi-LAT integral flux light curves above 200 MeV and 1 GeV were derived by performing separate flux estimations with 10- and 30-day bins, respectively, and are shown together with the multiwavelength light curves. They show a flickering behavior, and no strong activity is detected during the investigated period. A constant flux hypothesis has a low probability ($\chi^2/d.o.f. = 225/110$, incompatible with the hypothesis of con-
stant flux at 95% confidence level). The light curves have a hint of a fast flare occurring at the same time as the X-ray flare in 2009 that was detected, and another similar event was observed in July 2010 (MJD 55748), but no X-ray or VHE observations are available during this flare. No spectral variation is observed, even during the X-ray flares. The fitted value of the spectral index is consistent with being constant in time with a probability value of 93%, which agrees with the hypothesis that there is no significant spectral variations at a 95% confidence level.

### Multiwavelength light curve

The radio light curve at 15 GHz and the optical light curves (R- and V-bands) show a clear increase of flux with time, as concluded in Sections 3.1 and 3.2. In the HE $\gamma$-ray light curves, the flux level seems to slightly increase toward the 2011 season. In particular, for Fermi-LAT data above 1 GeV (after June 2009), the likelihood ratio test indicates a non-zero slope with more than 99% confidence (dashed line in Fig. 3). In X-rays and VHE $\gamma$ rays, the sampling is sparse and prevents measurement of any trend. The trend seen in radio and optical suggests a common large, emission region for these wavebands. As it is known that most of the emission at 15 GHz originates in the parsec scale jet (see Section 3.1), this also plays a significant part of the optical emission there. However, in addition to the long-term trend, it is evident that the optical light curve also shows faster flares.

Figure 4 shows the light curves in 2009. There is fast X-ray flare observed in June 2009 and around this time, the flux in UVOT band also starts to increase. However, the increase is much slower and also smaller in amplitude, and therefore, it is not clear if it has common origin with the X-ray flare. In the radio bands, the time coverage of the measurements does not allow any conclusion, and in VHE and HE $\gamma$ rays, the simultaneous time bins do not show short-term variability. Interestingly, there also seems to be a simultaneous increase of optical and HE $\gamma$-ray flux above 200 MeV at around the time when there was an X-ray outburst (MJD 54995), which might suggest a common origin for the optical and HE $\gamma$-ray emission. However, this trend is not significant in HE $\gamma$ rays when considering the entire data sample.

---

**Fig. 4.** Zoom of multiwavelength light curve of PKS 1424+240 around the X-ray flare in 2009.
In addition to visual inspection of the light curves, we also perform a simple correlation study between different bands. The only two bands showing a possible correlation are the optical and radio (15 GHz) wavelengths: in Figure 5 the data pairs of the R-band and 15 GHz with a time difference < 0.9 days are plotted against each other. Although the linear fit has a low probability, it has a Spearman correlation coefficient of 81% and, in a likelihood ratio test, it is significantly (> 99.9%) preferred over constant fit. The correlation is dominated by the long-term trend. We did not see any significant correlation when considering timescales shorter than one year. This result suggests that the radio and optical emission have a component in common that originates in a source, which varies on ~1-year timescales. A confirmation of this statement will not be possible until radio/optical data are accumulated over many more years.

![Fig. 5. Optical R-band flux versus radio 15 GHz flux. The data pairs are selected with a time difference of < 0.9 days. The linear fit is significantly preferred over the constant fit (see text for details).](image)

### 3.6. Spectral energy distribution

Using the multiwavelength data discussed in the previous subsections, the SED of PKS 1424+240 was constructed from VHE γ rays to the radio band. For VHE γ rays, we plot the data from the 2009, 2010, and 2011 observations, which are corrected for the EBL absorption using the Franceschini et al. (2008) model. The EBL model of Domínguez et al. (2011) gives compatible results. The spectrum from Fermi-LAT covers the whole 2008-2011 period. In X-rays, we use two spectra from Swift from 2009, one from a high state (MJD 54997) and one from a low state (MJD 54996), and simultaneous UVOT data for these epochs. The R-band points correspond to the average flux in 2009, 2010, and 2011 during the MAGIC observations. In the radio band, we subtract the contribution from the extended jet (see section 3.1.) from the OVRO 15 GHz measurement and plot the average flux between 2009 and 2011. Additionally, we use the Planck data from January-February 2010 that are published in a likelihood ratio test, it is significantly (> 99.9%) preferred over constant fit. The correlation is dominated by the long-term trend. We did not see any significant correlation when considering timescales shorter than one year. This result suggests that the radio and optical emission have a component in common that originates in a source, which varies on ~1-year timescales. A confirmation of this statement will not be possible until radio/optical data are accumulated over many more years.

![Fig. 5. Optical R-band flux versus radio 15 GHz flux. The data pairs are selected with a time difference of < 0.9 days. The linear fit is significantly preferred over the constant fit (see text for details).](image)

The SED of PKS 1424+240 shows a wide synchrotron bump peaking around the optical regime. The location of the second peak is more uncertain but seems to be located at very high energies, making the separation between the first and second peak large. This feature is difficult to model with a traditional single zone SSC. Additionally, the multiwavelength variability suggests a possible correlation between radio and optical fluxes, which means that the emission could originate in the VLBA core for which the Doppler factor and the size of the emitting region were derived from the MOJAVE data (see section 3.1). Therefore, in addition to a traditional one-zone model (Tavecchio et al. 1998, Maraschi et al. 2003, Fig. 6), we also explore the two-zone model of Tavecchio et al. (2011) (Fig. 7). The parameters of the fits are presented in Table 3.

In the canonical one-zone SSC model, the emitting plasma is contained in a spherical region of radius R in relativistic motion (described by a bulk Lorentz factor Γ) along the jet at an angle θ with respect to the line of sight to the observer, so that special relativistic effects are cumulatively described by the relativistic Doppler factor, \( \delta = (1 - \beta \cos \theta)^{-1} \). The emitting region is filled with a homogeneous tangled magnetic field with intensity B and by a population of relativistic electrons of density n_e, whose spectrum is described by a broken power law as a function of the energy of the relativistic electrons:

\[
N(\gamma) = K \gamma^{-n_1} \left( 1 + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma_b} \right)^{n_1-n_2},
\]

where K is the normalization factor, \( \gamma_b \) is the Lorentz factor of electrons at the spectral break and \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \) the spectral indices below and above the break, respectively.

As a first approach, we fit the SED excluding the radio data (assuming that the radio emission originates in a different region that does not contribute to the emission in other energy regimes). The fit is performed using the fully automated \( \chi^2 \)-minimization procedure of Mankuzhiyal et al. (2011). The systematic errors on the flux were estimated to be 2%, 10%, and 40% for radio-optical-X-ray, GeV γ rays, and VHE γ rays, respectively. The resulting fit is shown with a dashed line in Fig. 6. While reproducing the high-energy SED data reasonably well, it fails in reproducing the shape of the optical-UV continuum (inset of Fig. 6). If the radio-optical connection can be confirmed with long-term study, this model is disfavored because the radio emission is assumed to be produced in a different part of the jet.

As a second approach, we include radio data in the modeling by considering the possible connection we found between radio and optical wavebands.

However, it is not possible to reproduce the SED using a Doppler factor of ~10, such as that derived from the VLBA data, by assuming typical viewing angles of 1 - 5° (see section 3.1). The Doppler factor found from fitting the SED is an order of magnitude higher than the VLBA-derived value (dashed-dotted line in inset of Fig. 6). Even when constraining the Doppler factor to 40 in the fitting procedure, the low energy peak data cannot be properly described by the model (continuous line of Fig. 6). Moreover, this last model cannot fulfill all the requirements. In particular, the variability timescale of one day observed during the X-ray flare in 2009 cannot be attained. The causality relation \( R < c t_{var} \delta (1+z) \) gives \( R < 6.5 \times 10^{16} \) cm in this case, while the fit parameter requires \( R \sim 19 \times 10^{16} \) cm. It, therefore, seems that the emission zone parameters do not agree with the ones derived for the VLBA core in the one-zone modeling. This is problematic because all the emission in a one zone model should originate in the same region, which, basing on the radio emission, could be placed in the VLBA core.

For the two-zone modeling, we adopt the model presented for the flat-spectrum radio quasar PKS 1222+216 in...
Tavecchio et al. (2011) with small modifications. We neglect the external seed photon contributions as PKS 1424+240 is a BL Lac object where the disk and broad-line region are assumed to be weak, and therefore, external Compton models are not appealing. The two emission regions are separated: the inner region is assumed to be smaller. This could mean that it is closer to the central black hole or that it is a spine, while the larger region is a sheath. For the larger emission region, the radius $R$ is equal to half the cross-sectional size of a conical jet. Both regions are described by the same parameters as the one-zone model, assuming an electron population distributed as a broken power-law in each region. Since the cooling time of the electrons at the highest energies is shorter than the light-crossing time (i.e., the escape from the source), the absence of a break in the electron distribution requires a continuous acceleration of high-energy electrons. The SED is modeled with two emission regions such that the larger emission region would have the properties observed for the VLBA core. The resulting fit is shown in Figure 7, and the adopted parameters are in the last line of Table 3. The two-zone model results in an acceptable fit to the data. The high-energy part of the SED model Figure 8 reveals that in this case the peak of the second bump is located at $\sim 60$ GeV. The high $\gamma_{\text{min}}$ obtained is compatible with the hypothesis of shock heating, as suggested, for example, in Virtanen & Vainio (2003) and Sironi & Spitkovsky (2011).

The measured powers indicate a strange behavior of the magnetic field, whose relative importance compared to kinetic energy of particles increases with the distance. For a very different radius $R$, we obtain the same magnetic field $B$, mean-field, whose relative importance compared to kinetic energy of particles increases with the distance. For a very different radius $R$, we obtain the same magnetic field $B$, mean-field, whose relative importance compared to kinetic energy of particles increases with the distance.

In this paper, we present the first long-term study of the VHE $\gamma$-rays: radio 15 GHz from OVRO (black filled circles), 30 GHz to 857 GHz from Planck (black arrows and filled circles), optical R-band from KVA (blue filled circles), optical to UV from UVOT (pink (lowest state) and purple (highest state) filled circles), X-rays from Swift-XRT (light blue (high state) and violet (low state) filled circles), HE $\gamma$ rays from Fermi-LAT (red filled circles) and VHE $\gamma$ rays from MAGIC (2009: black, 2010: gray, 2011: light blue). The SED is fitted with three single-zone SSS models: the high $\gamma_{\text{min}}$ fit (solid line) and the fits that result from the $\chi^2$ minimization (dashed and dot-dashed lines) (see text for details). The inset shows the optical-UV range of the SED on an expanded scale. A redshift of $z = 0.6$ is assumed.

**Fig. 6** SED of PKS 1424+240 constructed from radio to VHE $\gamma$-rays: radio 15 GHz from OVRO (black filled circles), 30 GHz to 857 GHz from Planck (black arrows and filled circles), optical R-band from KVA (blue filled circles), optical to UV from UVOT (pink (lowest state) and purple (highest state) filled circles), X-rays from Swift-XRT (light blue (high state) and violet (low state) filled circles), HE $\gamma$ rays from Fermi-LAT (red filled circles) and VHE $\gamma$ rays from MAGIC (2009: black, 2010: gray, 2011: light blue). The SED is fitted with three single-zone SSC models: the high $\gamma_{\text{min}}$ fit (solid line) and the fits that result from the $\chi^2$ minimization (dashed and dot-dashed lines) (see text for details). The inset shows the optical-UV range of the SED on an expanded scale. A redshift of $z = 0.6$ is assumed.

4. Summary and discussion

In this paper, we present the first long-term study of the VHE $\gamma$-ray emission from the BL Lac object PKS 1424+240. The redshift of this source is uncertain. Recently, a lower limit of the redshift $z > 0.6035$ was determined from the Lyβ and Lyγ absorption of the intergalactic medium by Furniss et al. (2013), making the source a strong candidate to be the farthest known VHE $\gamma$-ray emitter.

MAGIC observed the source for three years from 2009 to 2011. We did not find any significant $\gamma$-ray excess above 400 GeV, while VERITAS did (see Acciari et al. 2010), but this could be due to the shorter MAGIC observations (in comparison to those from VERITAS). The upper limit on the flux at 500 GeV reported in Figure 1 agrees with the value reported by Acciari et al. (2010) at the same energy. The 2σ confidence upper limit for the redshift, $z < 0.81$, derived from the MAGIC 2011 spectrum (which is the most precise among the MAGIC spectra) and the Franceschini et al. (2008) EBL model, agrees with the new lower limit.

Furniss et al. (2013) reported that the VERITAS VHE spectrum (corrected for EBL absorption) exhibited a lower flux than an extrapolation from the Fermi-LAT power-law spectrum in all but the highest energy bin, which was interpreted as an overestimation of the $\gamma$-ray opacity or the onset of an unexpected VHE spectral feature. In this work, we used the average 2008–2011 Fermi-LAT spectrum, which extends to higher energies and has smaller statistical error bars than the 2009 Fermi-LAT spectrum that is simultaneous to the VERITAS observations used in the Franceschini et al. (2008) EBL model, agrees with the new lower limit.

The VERITAS VHE spectrum extends beyond 100 GeV and connects smoothly (within uncertainties) with the 2009, 2010, and 2011 MAGIC spectra.

The VERITAS VHE light curve of the source is compatible with the hypothesis of constant flux at a 95% confidence level, and the spectral index of the differential energy spectrum is steady within the error bars. The MAGIC VHE $\gamma$-ray observations in 2009 and 2011 were triggered by the optical outbursts in the source, but we
find no conclusive evidence for a higher VHE $\gamma$-ray flux from the source during the optical outbursts in yearly timescales. The two periods that were triggered by optical outburst showed moderately higher VHE $\gamma$-ray flux than the 2010 period. However, the average optical flux was higher in 2011, while the highest VHE $\gamma$-ray flux was measured in 2009. As the source is rather weak in the VHE $\gamma$-ray band and the observations of several nights have to be combined in the light curve, a detailed short term comparison is not possible.

We also present the first long-term multiwavelength dataset of the source that covers 2006-2011 and includes data from radio to HE $\gamma$ rays. The source is variable in all studied energy regimes, although the variability is moderate. We find a significant increasing trend in the 15 GHz radio and optical light curves in 2009-2011, as well as correlation between the two bands, which we interpret as a common large, emission region, which possibly could be the parsec scale jet. We investigate the MOJAVE 15 GHz VLBA observations of the source and find that the parsec scale jet is slow and therefore could be responsible for such long-term variability. The common origin of radio and optical emission in blazars has been studied extensively in the past (e.g. Tornikoski et al., 1994; Hanski et al., 2002). The results have been inconclusive with some sources showing correlations while others not. Here, we find a clear indication of connection between these two energy regions in a high synchrotron peaking source. Sources of this type are weak in the radio band, and therefore, such a connection has not been considered in the past studies. We emphasize the need for further investigation of this connection in a sample of sources.

We also investigate the SED of the source with a non-simultaneous dataset that presents the low and high state of the source within 2009-2011. The one-zone fit to the SED (both including and excluding the radio data) fails to reproduce the optical-UV continuum and requires a much higher Doppler factor than that observed in the parsec scale jet by the VLBA. Even
when constraining the Doppler factor in the fitting procedure, no result is derived for a Doppler factor lower than 40. The same mismatch in Doppler factors has been seen for many VHE γ-ray emitting BL Lac objects (see, e.g., Tiet et al. 2012, and references therein). In many of the sources, the high Doppler factor of the VHE γ-ray emitting region is required by the fast variability seen in the VHE γ-ray regime (Albert et al. 2007; Aharonian et al. 2007). This indicates separate emission regions for radio and γ-ray emission, and it has been suggested that jets are decelerating (Georganopoulos & Kazanas, 2003) or have spine-sheath structure (Ghisellini et al. 2005). In the case of PKS 1424+240, the one-day scale variability is only observed in X-rays, which limits the size of the emission region to ∼1.6 × 10^{18}[ś] [cm]. Given the multiple pieces of evidence in favor of two emission zones, we model the SED with the two-zone model. According to this model, the radio and majority of the optical emission originate in a large emission region, and the X-ray to γ-ray emission originates mainly in a smaller emission region with a minor contribution from the larger region in the Fermi-LAT energy band. The two-zone model has twice as many parameters and fits the SED better than the single zone models. However, this model requires a high γmin for the inner region that produces the γ-ray emission to not overproduce the synchrotron emission in the optical and radio bands. As discussed in Aleksić et al. (2012b), high γmin values have also been adopted for other blazars, like 1ES 1215+303 and the so-called extreme BL Lac (that show very hard X-ray spectra; Katarzynski et al., 2005; Tavecchio et al. 2009; Kaufmann et al. 2011; Lefa et al. 2011), and are consistent with simulation results (Virtanen & Vainio 2003; Sironi & Spitkovsky, 2011). Other evidence in favor of the two-zone model is that the X-ray flare is less visible in V and R-bands. The model can account for this feature, since a higher flux in the inner (small) component will mainly affect UV frequencies and higher frequencies in the optical, while the V and R-bands are more dominated by the emission from the larger component.

Based on the long-term multiwavelength light curve studies and the SED modeling, we conclude that the two emission region model is favored for PKS 1424+240, while the previous work (Acciari et al. 2010) concluded that the one-zone SSC model is sufficient for describing the SED. This shows that inclusion of long-term multiwavelength light curves from radio to γ rays gives significant input for the modeling of the emission. However, the SED studies here were done with the non-simultaneous data, and we emphasize the need for strictly simultaneous multiwavelength observations for this source as a starting point for further studies.
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Table A.1. Summary of Swift observations on PKS 1424+240 in 2009-2010 with the two instruments, XRT and UVOT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obs. ID</th>
<th>Date start time</th>
<th>XRT expos. (PC-mode) [s]</th>
<th>XRT counts [cnts s⁻¹]</th>
<th>Pile-up correction</th>
<th>UVOT exposure [s]</th>
<th>Filters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>38104001</td>
<td>11-Jun-2009</td>
<td>1732</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>1707</td>
<td>all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38104002</td>
<td>12-Jun-2009</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>1736</td>
<td>all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38104003</td>
<td>13-Jun-2009</td>
<td>2263</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>2215</td>
<td>all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38104004</td>
<td>14-Jun-2009</td>
<td>2311</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>2261</td>
<td>all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38104005</td>
<td>15-Jun-2009</td>
<td>2093</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>2044</td>
<td>all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38104006</td>
<td>16-Jun-2009</td>
<td>1132</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>1106</td>
<td>all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38104007</td>
<td>17-Jun-2009</td>
<td>1269</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>1220</td>
<td>all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38104008</td>
<td>18-Jun-2009</td>
<td>1132</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38104009</td>
<td>19-Jun-2009</td>
<td>1132</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>y</td>
<td>1108</td>
<td>all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38104010</td>
<td>20-Jun-2009</td>
<td>1403</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>1356</td>
<td>all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39182001</td>
<td>24-Nov-2009</td>
<td>4696</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>4691</td>
<td>UW1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39182002</td>
<td>22-Jan-2010</td>
<td>1005</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>984</td>
<td>all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39182003</td>
<td>22-Jan-2010</td>
<td>1476</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>1477</td>
<td>UM2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40847001</td>
<td>21-Nov-2010</td>
<td>1946</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>B U W1 M2 W2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41539001</td>
<td>29-Nov-2010</td>
<td>1131</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>1105</td>
<td>all</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table A.2. Results of the analysis on Swift - XRT data. The flux and spectral indices have been derived with a fit on XRT data in the range 0.5 – 10 keV using a simple power-law model with photo-electric absorption \( WABS \) model in XSPEC for \( n_H = 3 \times 10^{20} \) cm⁻².

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obs. ID</th>
<th>Date start time</th>
<th>Exposure [s]</th>
<th>Flux 0.5-10 keV ( [10^{-12} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}] )</th>
<th>Flux err</th>
<th>index</th>
<th>idx err</th>
<th>Red. ( \chi^2/NDF )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>38104001</td>
<td>11-Jun-2009</td>
<td>1732</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.23/25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38104002</td>
<td>12-Jun-2009</td>
<td>1785</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.00/28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38104003</td>
<td>13-Jun-2009</td>
<td>2263</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.33/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38104004</td>
<td>14-Jun-2009</td>
<td>2311</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.03/52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38104005</td>
<td>15-Jun-2009</td>
<td>2093</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.15/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38104006</td>
<td>16-Jun-2009</td>
<td>1132</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.58/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38104007</td>
<td>17-Jun-2009</td>
<td>1269</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.7/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38104008</td>
<td>18-Jun-2009</td>
<td>1132</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.06/31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38104009</td>
<td>19-Jun-2009</td>
<td>1132</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.4/33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obs. ID</td>
<td>UVV</td>
<td>UBB</td>
<td>UUU</td>
<td>UW1</td>
<td>UM2</td>
<td>UW2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>547</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>193</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central λ, m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Obs magn mag</td>
<td>Flux $\times 10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Obs magn mag</td>
<td>Flux $\times 10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Obs magn mag</td>
<td>Flux $\times 10^{-11}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Obs magn mag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38104008</td>
<td>14.44</td>
<td>4.03</td>
<td>14.69</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>13.81</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>13.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38104009</td>
<td>14.43</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>14.72</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>13.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39182001</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39182003</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Errors     | 0.10  | 0.13  | 0.10  | 0.14  | 0.08  | 0.17  | 0.08  | 0.19  | 0.06  | 0.17  | 0.06  | 0.16 |

Table A.3. UV observed magnitudes and dereddened fluxes from Swift -UVOT data. The central wavelength correspondent to each filter is indicated. The flux has been corrected for the galactic absorption. Flux values and its errors are in $10^{-11}$ erg/cm$^2$/s units. The uncertainties on the measurements are approximately constant for each filter and are thus shown in the last row. See text for details on data analysis and correction.