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ABSTRACT

In order to shed light on the hepatitis B virus fusion mechanism and to explore the fusogenic capabilities of preS regions, a recombinant duck hepatitis B virus preS protein (Dpres) containing six histidines at the carboxy-terminal end has been obtained. The DpreS domain, which has an open and mostly non-ordered conformation as indicated by fluorescence and circular dichroism spectroscopies, has the ability to interact with negatively charged phospholipid vesicles. The observed interaction differences between neutral and acidic phospholipids can be interpreted in terms of an initial ionic interaction between the phospholipid polar head group and the protein followed by the insertion of, probably the N-terminal region, in the cellular membrane. Fluorescence polarization studies detect a decrease of the transition enthalpy together with a small modification of the transition temperature, typical effects of integral membrane proteins. The interaction of the protein with acidic phospholipid vesicles induces aggregation, lipid mixing and leakage of internal contents, properties which have been adscribed to membrane destabilizing proteins. The fact that the preS domains of the hepadnaviruses have little similarity but share a very similar hydrophobic profile, points to the importance of the overall three-dimensional structure as well as to its conformational flexibility and the distribution of polar and apolar amino acids on the expression of their destabilizing properties rather than to a particular amino acid sequence. The results presented herein argue for the involvement of Dpres in the initial steps of DHBV infection. Taken together with previously reported results, the conclusion that both S and preS regions participate in the fusion process of the hepadnaviridae family may be drawn.
Hepatitis B virus (HBV) belongs to the *Hepadnaviridae* family which also includes viruses isolated from mammals (orthohepadnavirus) and birds (avihepadnavirus). All of these viruses are species and cell type specific and show similar genomic organization and replication processes. The study of the initial steps of HBV infection has been difficult due to the lack of a suitable infection system. Even though, in the case of Duck Hepatitis B Virus (DHBV), primary cultures of duck hepatocytes can be infected by DHBV, the entry of DHBV into target cells is still poorly understood. In the last few years it has been postulated that hepadnaviruses enter hepatocytes via endocytic pathways. In the case of DHBV, the carboxypeptidase D, a Golgi-resident protein, has been postulated to be the receptor for avian hepadnaviruses \(^1\), \(^2\). Because of ubiquitous distribution of this protein, other factors must be involved in host specificity. Thus, the glycine decarboxylase, whose expression is restricted to the liver, kidney and pancreas that are susceptible to DHBV infection, has been also postulated as a virus receptor \(^3\). Following receptor attachment, DHBV has been shown to take the endocytic route involving early endosomes \(^4\) or enters the late-endosome compartment \(^5\). However, several other receptor candidates able to bind to both S and preS domains have been described for HBV \(^6\), \(^7\).

Both in viral and subviral particles of DHBV, there are two main different surface proteins which are not glycosylated: S, with 167 amino-acids and 18 kDa, and L, 36 kDa, with an additional 161 amino-acid sequence in the amino-terminal end, the preS domain (DpreS). Both of these proteins are necessary for infection \(^8\). A third 10 kDa membrane protein, St, has been observed. This protein consists of the transmembrane fragment 1 of S protein, the internal cystein loop and a part of transmembrane fragment 2, which could act as a chaperone for the folding of the L protein \(^9\). The preS domain of DHBV envelope protein has been implicated in a wide number of functions in the virus life cycle because of the different topologies that it can adopt. Thus, external DpreS may bind to a putative hepatocyte
receptor and induce virus entry into endosomes\textsuperscript{10}. Also, it has been postulated that there is a conformational change of L which may be part of the fusion process when the preS domain possesses an intermediate orientation between an internal and external topology\textsuperscript{11}.

Little is known about the role of the different envelope proteins in the viral fusion mechanism. In HBV, a peptide comprising the 16 amino acids at the N-terminus of S protein has been shown to interact with model membranes, promoting liposome destabilization in a pH-dependent manner and adopting an extended conformation during the process\textsuperscript{12, 13}. The destabilization properties observed for the HBV fusion peptide could be extended to other members of the hepadnavirus family, such as DHBV and woodchuck hepatitis B virus\textsuperscript{5, 14}. Evidence for the role of the N-terminal S peptide in fusion has also been obtained by others\textsuperscript{15, 16} who suggest that the exposure of this consensus fusion motif is important in hepadnavirus entry. The HBV preS domain is involved in the fusion of this virus with the plasma membrane of target cells. It was shown to be able to interact in a monomeric way with acidic phospholipid vesicles, to induce aggregation, lipid mixing and release of internal contents of acidic vesicles resulting in a protein conformational change which increases the helical content\textsuperscript{17}. Moreover, a structural motif at the carboxy-terminal end of preS2 has been suggested to be involved in HBV entry\textsuperscript{18} although it seems not to be essential for infectivity\textsuperscript{19-21}. These results suggest that preS could contribute, together with the N-terminal S peptide, to the fusion of viral and cellular membranes.

In order to explore the fusogenic capabilities of DpreS region, a recombinant preS domain from DHBV, produced in\textit{E. coli} cells, was used in membrane interaction studies. In this paper we describe that the preS domain is able to interact mainly with acidic phospholipid vesicles and to destabilize these membrane model systems.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents. N-(7-nitro-2,1,3-benzoxadiazol-4-yl)-dimyristoylphosphatidylethanolamine (NBD-PE), N-(lissamine rhodamine B sulfonyl)-diacylphosphatidylethanolamine (Rh-PE), dimyristoylphosphatidylcholine (DMPC) and dimyristoylphosphatidylglycerol (DMPG) were provided by Avanti Polar Lipids. Egg phosphatidylcholine (PC) and phosphatidylglycerol (PG) were obtained from Sigma. 8-Aminonaphtalene-1,3,6-trisulfonic acid (ANTS), p-xylenebis(pyridinium) bromide (DPX), 1,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-hexatriene (DPH), 1-(4-trimethylammoniumphenyl)-6-phenyl-1,3,5-hexatriene (TMA-DPH) and 4-fluoro-7-nitrobenz-2-oxa-1,3-diazole (NBD-F) were purchased from Molecular Probes. Triton X-100 was obtained from Boehringer Mannheim. Sepharose CL-6B Ni-nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA) was purchased from Qiagen. All other reagents were obtained from Merck and Sigma. All solvents were of HPLC grade.

Cloning, expression and purification of DpreS-his domains. The cloning, expression and purification processes were similar to those described for the preS domain of HBV with some modifications. The preS domain of DHBV was amplified by PCR using DHBV DNA contained in the plasmid pGEM4 DpreS as template and ligated into the pET21b (Novagen) expression vector that adds a six-histidine sequence at the carboxy-terminal end of the protein. The PCR reaction conditions were: 1 minute at 94 °C, followed by 5 cycles at 94 °C, 60 °C and 72 °C, each for 1 min, by 30 cycles at 94 °C, 55 °C and 72 °C, each for 1 min, and a final “filling in” step at 72 °C for 7 min. The product of the PCR reaction was run on an agarose gel (0.8%), stained with a 1 µg/ml ethidium bromide solution for 15 min, visualized
under UV light and extracted using the Qiaex DNA extraction kit (Qiagen). The primers used in the reaction were:

DpreS-NdeI(+): 5' acattt cat ATG GGG CAA CAT CCA GCA AAA
DpreS-EagI(-): 5' gaaggtaccggccgcTTT CTT CTT CAA GGG

They were designed in such a way that the PCR product had a NdeI restriction site (CATATG) at the 5’ end and an EagI restriction site (CGGCCG) at the 3’ end (shown underlined). The lowercase letters correspond to the region that hybridize with the plasmid pGEM4-DpreS (DpreS-NdeI(+)) or with the S domain (DpreS-EagI(-)).

The AmpliTaq-Gold DNA Polymerase (Roche) used adds a dTPA in the 3´end, so the PCR product was cloned into the linear plasmid pGEM-T (Promega) with 3´T, then digested with NdeI and EagI enzymes (New England Biolabs, 10 U/µl) and cloned into the pET21b plasmid, digested with the same enzymes. The cDNA sequence was confirmed by automated DNA sequencing. The resulting plasmid was called pET21b-DpreS.

*Escherichia coli* strains HMS174 (DE3) or Tuner (Novagen) were transformed with pET21b-DpreS and plated on LB containing 50 µg/mL ampicillin. In these cells, the T7-polymerase gene is under the control of the isopropyl β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG)-inducible lacUV5 promoter. A single colony was selected and used to inoculate 50 mL of M9 medium supplemented with 0.17% glucose, 1.06 mM MgSO4, 0.053 mM CaCl2, and 100 µg/mL ampicillin. Following overnight incubation at 37 ºC, the culture was used to inoculate 1 L of fresh M9 medium. This culture was grown to an optical density at 600 nm of 0.6 and then IPTG (Sigma) was added to a final concentration of 0.5 mM and incubated at 30 ºC for 4 h, to induce protein expression. The time and temperature of induction were optimized to reduce protein hydrolysis. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 7400 g for 10 minutes in
a GS-3 rotor (Sorvall) and the cell pellet was resuspended in ice cold 10 mM MOPS pH 8.0, 10 mM Imidazole, 0.3 M NaCl, 6 M Urea. Cells were lysed by tip sonication and centrifuged at 89500 g for 30 min in a Beckman SW-28 rotor.

Recombinant protein was purified using a single affinity chromatography step in Sepharose CL-6B Ni-nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA) column (Qiagen) equilibrated with 10 mM MOPS pH 8.0, 10 mM Imidazole, 0.3 M NaCl, 6 M Urea. DpreS-his recombinant protein was eluted with 10 mM MOPS pH 8.0, 200 mM Imidazole, 0.3 M NaCl, 6 M Urea. The urea was removed by dialysis against 10 mM MOPS, pH 7.0. The presence of DpreS-his was monitored throughout the purification by SDS-PAGE. Amino acid composition and protein concentration were determined by amino acid analysis performed on a Beckman 6300 automatic analyzer.

**Spectroscopic Characterization of DpreS-his.** Circular dichroism spectra were recorded on a Jasco J-715 spectropolarimeter equipped with a thermostated cell. The protein concentration was 0.1 mg/mL (far-UV) or 1 mg/mL (near-UV). The buffer used was 10 mM MOPS pH 7.0. A minimum of three spectra were accumulated for each sample and the contribution of the buffer was subtracted. Values of mean residue ellipticity were calculated on the basis of 110 as the average molecular mass per residue and they are reported in terms of $[\theta]_{M,R,W}$ (degrees x cm$^2$ x dmol$^{-1}$). The secondary structure of the protein was evaluated by computer fit of the dichroism spectra according to the algorithm convex constraint analysis (CCA) $^{23}$. This method relies on an algorithm that calculates the contribution of the secondary structure elements that give rise to the original spectral curve without referring to spectra from model systems.

Fluorescence studies were performed on a SLM Aminco 8000C spectrofluorimeter. The protein concentration was 0.05 mg/mL. The buffer used was 10 mM MOPS pH 7.0. At
least three spectra were accumulated and the contribution of the buffer was subtracted. Excitation was performed at a wavelength of 275 or 295 nm, and emission spectra measured over the range of 285-465 nm. The tyrosine contribution to the emission spectra was calculated by subtracting from the emission spectra measured at $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 275$ nm the emission spectra measured at $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 295$ nm multiplied by a factor. The factor was obtained from the ratio between the fluorescence intensities measured with $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 275$ nm and $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 295$ nm at wavelengths higher than 380 nm, where there is no tyrosine contribution.

**Labeling of DpreS-his domains.** Fluorescent labelling of the N-terminus of the protein was achieved following the procedure described by Rapaport and Shai. Briefly, DpreS-his protein was incubated at pH 6.8 with a ten molar excess of NBD-F at room temperature for 4 h. Unbound NBD-F was removed by means of a PD-10 column. Labelling of the protein could be monitored by the appearance of a maximum at 467 in the absorbance spectrum, which was used to determine the labelling ratio. The NBD and protein concentrations were determined by using 20000 M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$ as the molar extinction coefficient of NBD-PE and amino acid analysis, respectively.

**Vesicle preparation.** In all cases a lipid film was obtained by drying chloroform: methanol (2:1) solution of the lipid under a current of nitrogen and this film was further kept under vacuum for 4–5 h to completely remove the organic solvent. The phospholipids were resuspended at a concentration of 1 mg/ml in medium buffer (100 mM NaCl, 5 mM MES, 5 mM sodium citrate, 5 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA) at the appropriate pH value and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h and eventually vigorously vortexed. This suspension was sonicated in a bath sonicator (Branson 1200) for 15 min and was subsequently subjected to fifteen cycles of
extrusion in a LiposoFast-Basic extruder apparatus (Avestin, Inc.) with 100-nm polycarbonate filters (Costar).

**Binding assay.** Binding experiments were conducted as previously described. In order to determine the degree of NBD-DpreS-his association with phospholipid vesicles, PC or PG vesicles were added to a fixed amount of labeled protein (0.03-0.06 µM) in medium buffer at the desired pH and incubated at 37 °C for 1-2 min. Fluorescence spectra between 480 and 650 nm were registered in a SLM AMINCO 8000C spectrofluorimeter (SLM Instruments) with excitation wavelength set at 467 nm. A minimum of three spectra were accumulated for each sample. In order to obtain the fluorescence maximum in the presence of lipids, a saturating condition has been employed (molar lipid:protein ratio of 4600:1) to avoid the contribution of the free protein to the emission spectrum.

The fluorescence intensity registered at 530 nm at different lipid/protein molar ratios was utilized to obtain the binding isotherm. In order to obtain the partition coefficient, data were analyzed using the equation:

\[ X_b = K_p \cdot C_f \]

where \( X_b \) is the molar ratio of bound protein per total lipid, \( K_p \) corresponds to the partition coefficient and \( C_f \) represents the equilibrium concentration of free protein in solution. It was assumed that proteins only partitioned over the outer leaflet of vesicles. Therefore, \( X_b \) values were corrected as \( X_b^* = X_b / 0.5 \) and the data analyzed as:

\[ X_b^* = K_p^* \cdot C_f \]

Values of the corrected partition coefficient, \( K_p^* \), were determined from the initial slopes of the binding isotherms. In order to calculate \( X_b \), we estimated \( F_\infty \), the fluorescence signal obtained with a saturating phospholipid concentration by extrapolating from a double
reciprocal plot of F (total protein fluorescence) versus $C_L$ (total lipid concentration). At every phospholipid concentration, the fraction of bound protein can be calculated by the formula:

$$f_b = \frac{F - F_0}{F_\infty - F_0}$$

where $F_0$ represents the fluorescence of unbound protein and $F_\infty$ the fluorescence of bound protein. In all cases, fluorescence from control vesicles in the absence of labeled protein was subtracted. At least three different experiments were performed for each condition.

**Fluorescence polarization.** Fluorescence polarization measurements of the probes 1,6-diphenyl-1,3,5-hexatriene (DPH) and 1-(4-trimethylammoniumphenyl)-6-phenyl-1,3,5-hexatriene (TMA-DPH) were taken in the SLM AMINCO 8000C spectrofluorimeter by using 10 mm Glan-Thompson polarizers. DMPG and DMPC vesicles (0.14 mM) were prepared as indicated above containing DPH or TMA-DPH at a weight ratio of 1:500 or 1:100, respectively. The protein-vesicle mixtures were incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. The excitation was set at 365 nm and emission was measured at 425 nm, after equilibration of the samples at the indicated temperature. The temperature in the cuvette was maintained with a circulating water bath. A minimum of three different experiments were performed for each condition tested.

**Vesicle aggregation.** The increase in the optical density at 360 nm ($\Delta OD_{360}$) produced by addition of DpreS-his protein to a phospholipid vesicle suspension, in medium buffer at the appropriate pH, was measured on a Beckman DU-7 spectrophotometer after incubation at 37 °C for 1 h. Values of control samples containing only vesicles and only protein were subtracted at each protein concentration. The final phospholipid concentration was kept at 60 µM. At least three different experiments were performed for each condition.
**Lipid mixing.** Lipid mixing was monitored by using the fluorescent probe dilution assay\textsuperscript{25} in which the decrease in the efficiency of the fluorescence energy transfer between NBD-PE (energy donor) and Rh-PE (energy acceptor) incorporated into liposomes, as a consequence of lipid mixing, is measured. Liposomes, in medium buffer at the appropriated pH, labeled with 1 mol % NBD-PE and 1 mol % Rh-PE were mixed with unlabeled liposomes in a 1:9 molar ratio. After incubation of liposomes with the DpreS domains at different concentrations at 37 °C for 1 h, emission spectra were recorded with excitation wavelength set at 450 nm. Both the excitation and emission slits were set at 4 mm. The excitation polarizer was kept constant at 90° and the emission polarizer was kept constant at 0° to minimize dispersive interference. The efficiency of the energy transfer was calculated from the ratio of the emission intensities at 530 and 590 nm and the appropriated calibration curve. The final phospholipid concentration was 0.14 mM. The organic solvent itself had no effect on the efficiency of the energy transfer. At least three different experiments were performed for each condition.

**Release of aqueous contents.** Leakage was determined by the ANTS/DPX assay\textsuperscript{26}, which is based on the dequenching of ANTS fluorescence caused by its dilution upon release of the aqueous contents of one vesicle preparation containing both ANTS and DPX. It was performed by coencapsulating 12.5 mM ANTS and 45 mM DPX in 10 mM Tris, 20 mM NaCl, pH 7.2, in phospholipid vesicles. The lipid film was hydrated as described previously and the vesicles were sonicated for 30 min. Afterwards vesicles were subjected to five cycles of freeze-thawing in liquid nitrogen and passed 15 times through a Liposo Fast-Basic extruder apparatus (Avestin, Inc.) with 100-nm polycarbonate filters (Costar). After the vesicles with the coencapsulated probe and quencher were formed, the whole sample was passed through a Sephadex G-75 column (Pharmacia) to separate the vesicles from the non encapsulated
material using medium buffer for elution. Assays were performed at a phospholipid concentration of 0.1–0.14 mM in medium buffer at the appropriate pH, by incubating with different amounts of protein at 37 °C for 1 h and measuring in the SLM Aminco 8000C spectrofluorimeter. The excitation wavelength was set at 385 nm and the ANTS emission was monitored at 520 nm. Both the excitation and emission slits were set at 4 mm. The excitation and emission polarizers were kept constant at 90° and 0°, respectively, to minimize interference due to dispersion. The fluorescence scale was set to 100% by addition of 0.5% Triton X-100, and 0% leakage was obtained measuring the fluorescence of control vesicles without protein. A minimum of three different experiments were performed for each condition tested.
RESULTS

Cloning, Expression and Purification of DpreS-his. The cDNA of DpreS-his domain was cloned in the plasmid pET21b at the NdeI/EagI sites to yield pET21b-DpreS as described in Materials and methods. The cDNA sequence was confirmed by automated sequencing.

Plasmid DNA from a colony containing the correct construct was purified and transformed into *E. coli* HMS174 (DE3) cells that were grown and harvested as described under Materials and methods. Protein expression was induced with IPTG 0.5 mM at 30 °C for 4 h (Figure 1A, lane 3). After lysing the cells in 10 mM MOPS pH 8.0, 10 mM Imidazole, 0.3 M NaCl, 6 M Urea, most of the DpreS-his remained in the centrifuged supernatant (Figure 1A, lane 5). This supernatant was applied to a Ni-NTA column equilibrated with the same buffer. Protein was eluted with 10 mM MOPS pH 8.0, 200 mM Imidazole, 0.3 M NaCl, 6 M Urea. As it can be observed in Figure 1A, lane 8, the purified protein was partly hydrolyzed. Thus, in order to reduce DpreS-his proteolysis, the plasmid was transformed into Tuner cells (Novagen) and the protein expression was induced with 0.5 mM IPTG at 30 °C for 2 h (Figure 1B). The purification process was carried out under denaturing conditions because it was observed that DpreS-his domains precipitate at concentrations higher than 1 mg/mL. Therefore, after elution, the protein was diluted to approximately 1 mg/mL and dialyzed against 10 mM MOPS pH 7.0 to remove urea. Under these conditions 15-20 mg of highly pure and stable protein were obtained per liter of cell culture (Figure 1B, lane 7).

The protein was shown to be pure by SDS-PAGE, with an electrophoretic mobility close to that expected from its theoretical molecular mass, 19224 Da. The amino acid composition was also indicative of the purity of the protein since it was almost identical to that calculated from the amino acid sequence derived from the DNA sequence (Table 1),
taking into account that the cloning strategy added five additional amino acids (TAALE) to
the carboxy-terminal end of DpreS just before the six histidine tag.

**Spectroscopic Characterization of DpreS-his.** The far-UV CD spectrum of the recombinant
DpreS-his protein at pH 7.0 is depicted in Figure 2A. It showed a minimum at 200 nm and a
shoulder at 220 nm, indicative of a high content of non-ordered secondary structure. The
assignment of the secondary structure elements according to the algorithm CCA \(^23\) is shown
in Table 2. Half of DpreS-his residues are in aperiodic secondary structure being β-sheet the
major repetitive ordered secondary structure component (16%). On the other hand, the
positive band observed in the near-UV CD spectra of DpreS-his (Figure 2B) indicates an
asymmetric environment of the aromatic residues of the protein in the three-dimensional
structure. The magnitude and the shape of the spectra observed at both pH 7.0 and 5.0 were
similar (data not shown).

More information about the three dimensional structure of the recombinant protein
was obtained from the fluorescence emission spectrum (Figure 3). When exciting at either
275 or 295 nm the recombinant protein exhibited a maximum centered at 344 nm, both at pH
7.0 and 5.0, indicating that all Trp residues are in a highly hydrophilic environment very
exposed to the solvent. The tyrosine contribution, calculated as described in Materials and
methods, is almost negligible and very different from that expected for a mixture of Trp and
Tyr in the ratio 4:2 of recombinant DpreS-his, probably due to resonance energy transfer from
Tyr residues to nearby Trp residues or to quenching by other nearby amino acids.

**Interaction with phospholipids.** Fluorescent labelling of DpreS-his was carried out
following the procedure described in Materials and methods. The extent of labelling was
calculated from the absorbance spectrum of NBD-DpreS-his. The reaction with NBD-F
resulted in the incorporation of one molecule of NBD to the protein. Taking into account that
the reaction was carried out at pH 6.8, it is likely that the α-amino group, and not the Lys side
chain, is the main labelling target. The emission spectrum of NBD-DpreS-his showed an
emission maximum centered at 548 nm, both at pH 7.0 and pH 5.0 (Figure 4), which reflects a
hydrophilic environment for the NBD moiety.

The position of the fluorescence emission maximum exhibited by the NBD-DpreS-his
upon binding to phospholipid vesicles provides information on the relative location of the
NBD moiety and hence of the protein N-terminus. Upon interaction with PG vesicles at
saturating conditions (molar lipid:protein ratio of 4600:1), to avoid the contribution of the free
protein to the emission spectrum, the maximum was shifted to 528 nm, both at pH 5.0 (Figure
4A) and pH 7.0 (Figure 4B), although the increase in fluorescence was lower at neutral pH.
The observed blue shift reflects a relocation of the NBD group into a more hydrophobic
environment in the presence of negatively charged phospholipids. When neutral
phospholipids, PC, were used no changes in the position of the maximum nor in the
fluorescence intensity were observed (Figure 4).

In order to calculate the extent of binding, labeled protein at a final concentration of
0.03-0.06 µM was titrated with increasing amounts of PG or PC vesicles. The mixtures were
incubated at 37 °C for 2 min and the fluorescence intensity was measured at 530 nm. The
protein concentration was low enough to avoid vesicle aggregation. The measured
fluorescence at 530 nm, after subtracting the contribution of control experiments performed
by titrating unlabeled proteins with the same vesicle concentration, was plotted against the
phospholipid concentration (Figure 5). After incubation with PG, there is a significant
increase in the fluorescence intensity either at pH 5.0 (Figure 5A) or pH 7.0 (Figure 5B), with
the effect observed at acidic pH being higher. However, after incubation with PC the increase
in fluorescence was almost negligible (Figure 5).
From this data, binding isotherms were obtained (Figure 6). Both at pH 5.0 and pH 7.0 the data showed a non-linear behaviour. From a threshold concentration of free protein, $C_f$, a sharp increase in the slope was observed. The partition coefficients, reflecting the binding constants, were calculated as the slopes at the initial intervals (Figure 6, inset), being $1.9 \times 10^4$ M$^{-1}$ and $2.8 \times 10^4$ M$^{-1}$ for pH 7.0 and 5.0 respectively. No partition coefficients could be calculated in the presence of PC.

To study the involvement of a hydrophobic component in the interaction with phospholipids, the effect of DpreS-his on the thermotropic behaviour of DMPG and DMPC vesicles was measured by fluorescence depolarization. Liposomes, labeled in the hydrophobic core of the bilayer with the fluorescent probe DPH, were incubated with different DpreS-his concentrations, both at pH 7.0 and pH 5.0. The addition of DpreS-his to DMPG vesicles induced a decrease in the phase transition amplitude in a protein concentration-dependent manner with a minimal variation of 2 °C of the phase transition temperature (Figure 7). DpreS-his affected almost exclusively the fluorescence polarization values at temperatures above the transition temperature, indicating that the proteins altered mainly the acyl chains in the liquid–crystal phase, inducing a higher order in the chain packing. The presence of DpreS-his up to a molar protein:lipid ratio of 1:20 did not modify neither the transition temperature nor the phase transition amplitude of DMPC vesicles. The fact that only acidic phospholipids vesicles were distorted points to the electrostatic interactions as a crucial determinant of the lipid-protein interaction. As it is observed in the insets of Figure 7, the fluorescence polarization measured at 37.5 °C increased linearly up to a protein to lipid ratio of 0.02-0.03, remaining almost constant from this point. This value indicates that each molecule of protein prevents the transition phase of approximately 30-50 molecules of phospholipid.

The alteration of the thermotropic behaviour of these phospholipids was also studied with the fluorescent probe TMA-DPH. This probe interacts with the polar head of the
phospholipids and gives information mainly from the outer monolayer. The results obtained were very similar to those described above for DPH (Figure 7C and D).

**Effect of phospholipid vesicles on the secondary structure of DpreS-his.** The circular dichroism spectra of DpreS-his in the presence of PG vesicles are depicted in Figure 8. At pH 5.0 and low phospholipid concentration (up to a protein:lipid ratio of 1:10) there is an increase in the ellipticity value probably due to the aggregation of the protein in the outer layer of the vesicles. At lower protein:lipid ratios, from 1:20 to 1:50, the ellipticity values decreased with a shift of the minimum from 200 to 209 nm and the appearance of a shoulder at 225 nm, characteristic of α-helical structure (Figure 8A). In fact, deconvolution of the CD spectrum by the CCA method indicated that the percentage of α helix and β-sheet increased from 4 and 16 to 30 and 33% respectively with the concomitant decrease of non-regular structures (Table 2). In contrast, at pH 7.0 the ellipticity decreased in all cases indicating that aggregation phenomena on the bilayer observed at pH 5.0 are not so important at pH 7.0 (Figure 8B). Furthermore, there is a gradual shift of the minimum to 204 nm and the shoulder at 225 nm is not as obvious as it is at pH 5.0. Deconvolution of CD spectrum by CCA method indicated that the β-sheet structure disappeared increasing the aperiodic component with no change in β-turn. These data indicated a change in the secondary structure of the protein when it interacts with acidic phospholipids and a different behaviour at pH 5 and 7. Only at pH 5.0 does the presence of acidic phospholipids induce a conformational change in the protein that increases the α-helix content. On the other hand, the CD spectrum of DpreS-his at pH 5.0 in the presence of PC vesicles at a molar protein:lipid ratio of 1:20 is practically indistinguishable from that shown in Figure 8 in the absence of phospholipid vesicles.
Aggregation, lipid mixing and release of contents of liposomes. The ability of the protein to induce vesicle aggregation was monitored by measuring the variation of the optical density at 360 nm (ΔOD$_{360}$) of a suspension of PG liposomes upon incubation with different DpreS-his concentrations at 37 ºC for 1 h. Upon addition of increasing amounts of protein the OD$_{360}$ increased until the protein/lipid molar ratio reached a value of 0.017 and 0.042 at pH 7.0 and 5.0 respectively and then remained constant (Figure 9A). The optical density values reached at pH 5.0 were twice those reached at pH 7.0. On the other hand, DpreS-his did not induce any variation of the optical density of neutral phospholipid vesicles, PC, either at pH 5.0 or pH 7.0. (Figure 9A).

Lipid mixing of phospholipid vesicles was followed by the resonance energy transfer (RET) assay between the fluorescence probes NBD-PE and Rh-PE incorporated into a lipid matrix in which, mixing of phospholipids from labeled and unlabeled liposomes results in a decrease in energy transfer between the fluorescent probes.$^{25}$ As observed in Figure 9B, DpreS-his domain was able to induce lipid mixing in PG vesicles, both at pH 5.0 and 7.0. Although at low protein/lipid molar ratios, up to a value of 0.014, the decrease of RET was higher at acidic pH, the results obtained at both pH were almost identical when the protein concentration was increased. At both pH values the % RET decreased from 66.5%, in the absence of protein, to 6.5–7.0% at the highest DpreS-his concentration. These values correspond to approximately a tenfold dilution in the acceptor surface density. Since the mere aggregation of the vesicles would not result in such a change in energy transfer$^{28}$, it could be concluded that, under the conditions studied, DpreS-his domains induce the complete fusion of acidic vesicles. However, when neutral phospholipids, PC, were employed DpreS-his did not induce any effect at both pH assayed (Figure 9B).

The ability of DpreS-his to destabilize the lipid bilayer has also been studied by determining the release of aqueous content from phospholipid vesicles, monitored by
measuring the increase in ANTS fluorescence at 520 nm. As it occurs with aggregation and lipid mixing assays, DpreS-his did not show any effect on PC vesicles neither at pH 5.0 nor at pH 7.0 (Figure 9C). However, the protein induced instability of PG vesicles and was able to induce the release of internal contents of the vesicles in a concentration-dependent manner. The maximum effect was achieved at a protein/lipid molar ratio of $0.06 \times 10^{-2}$ at pH 5.0 and $0.7 \times 10^{-2}$ at pH 7.0 (Figure 9C), ratios much lower than those needed to induce vesicle aggregation or lipid mixing. On the other hand, the pH-dependence is higher than that observed in vesicle aggregation or lipid mixing, which could be due to the assay sensitivity or because an aggregation step is needed in order to destabilize the lipid bilayer, the effect being more pronounced at pH 5.0. The maximum fluorescence reached at both pH values, 80–85%, is similar to that described for other proteins and did not attain the value obtained when liposomes were lysed with the detergent Triton X-100 (100% leakage).
DISCUSSION

We have previously shown that the preS domain of HBV is able to interact with and destabilize model membrane systems \(^{17}\). In order to extend the studies carried out to other members of the hepadnavirus family, a recombinant DHBV preS protein was obtained with six histidines at the carboxy-terminal end. The strategy employed yielded 15-20 mg of pure and stable protein per liter of culture. Although as a result of the cloning strategy the recombinant protein has another 5 extra amino acids, it would be expected that 11 residues out of 172 do not promote or modify significantly the interaction of this protein with phospholipid vesicles. At least, the extension of six histidines has no effect on the overall conformation and destabilization properties of HBV preS domains \(^{17, 22}\).

The DpreS-his domain, which has an open and mostly non-ordered conformation as indicated by fluorescence and circular dichroism spectroscopies, has the ability to interact with acidic phospholipid vesicles. In the presence of negatively charged phospholipids, both at pH 5.0 and 7.0, the maximum of the emission spectrum of NBD-labeled protein shifted to shorter wavelengths, from 548 to 528 nm, which indicates that the region of DpreS-his domain to which NBD moiety is bound, probably the amino terminal end, is located in a more hydrophobic environment. However, the extent of the observed blue shift is lower than that observed with ayw and adr preS subtypes \(^{17}\) indicating that penetration of the N-terminal end of DpreS-his into the hydrophobic bilayer is not as deep as that reported for preS subtypes or other pore-forming polypeptides \(^{24, 29}\). Binding isotherms provide information about the mechanism of the interaction \(^{30}\). They showed two different slopes, with a threshold concentration, \(C_t\) of 0.7 and 4.5 x 10\(^{-8}\) M at pH 5.0 and 7.0, respectively, at which a sharp increase in the slope was observed. The existence of such a critical concentration could indicate either aggregation of the protein on the surface of the bilayer or the formation of
pores with relatively large diameters. The partition coefficients, reflecting the binding constants, were of the order of 10^4 M^{-1}, similar to those described for labeled peptides which insert into phospholipid bilayers and similar to that obtained with human preS domains.

The differences observed between neutral and acidic phospholipids reveal the importance of electrostatic interactions in the binding of the DpreS-his domain to phospholipid vesicles. Only after ionic interaction between the phospholipid polar head group and the protein, the insertion of a region of the latter would take place. Moreover, an increase of positive charge as the pH decreases would explain the differences observed between the two pH values.

The results obtained by fluorescence polarization studies corroborate the observed phospholipid specificity since DpreS-his is able to modify the thermotropic behaviour of acidic phospholipid vesicles but not that of neutral phospholipids. The decrease of the transition enthalpy together with the small modification of the transition temperature, are typical effects of integral membrane proteins. The insertion of the recombinant protein into the bilayer is stabilized by hydrophobic interaction between the aliphatic chain of the phospholipid and the apolar core of the protein as indicated by the fluorescent probe (DPH), which provides information of the internal area of the bilayer. However, an electrostatic interaction component must also exist, as indicated by the fluorescence polarization data obtained with the TMA-DPH probe that remains anchored to the surface area of the bilayer.

When fluorescence polarization data are examined as a function of the protein:lipid ratio, it can be concluded that each molecule of protein prevents the transition phase of approximately 30-50 molecules of phospholipid, suggesting that DpreS-his inserts into the membrane in a more tilted manner than HBV preS which prevents the transition phase of only 20 molecules of phospholipids.

Interaction with liposomes also involves structural alteration of the DpreS-his domain. CD spectra indicate the existence of structural changes upon interaction with acidic
phospholipids both at pH 7.0 and 5.0. At the latter and at low phospholipid concentration protein aggregates are formed probably because of an increase in the density of protein on the surface of the bilayer. Such aggregates would produce optical artifacts of differential light scattering and differential absorption flattening and hence an increase in the ellipticity values \(^3^4\). When the phospholipid concentration increases up to a protein:lipid ratio of 1:20, there is a change in the CD spectrum which must reflect a structural change. This behavior has been described for other proteins which interact with phospholipids and the conformational change is the result of an increase in the \(\alpha\)-helix structure \(^3^5\). This is the case of ferredoxin in the presence of negatively charged phospholipids \(^3^6\) which yields a CD spectrum analogous to that obtained for DpreS-his. At pH 7.0, the aggregation does not apparently take place but there is also some conformational change that modifies the CD spectrum. However, the increase in \(\alpha\)-helix is not so evident. If we assume that the N-terminal portion of the protein is inserted into the bilayer maintaining the same conformation at both pH values, then the C-terminal end would be the region which increases the helical conformation at pH 5.0 or is able to adopt a non-ordered conformation at pH 7.0. However, these data do not allow to know the conformation that the inserted region adopts. On the other hand, the appearance of either helical or extended conformation as a consequence of the interaction with the bilayer does not determine the acquisition of fusogenic properties since both \(\alpha\)-helix and \(\beta\)-sheets have been described as potential fusogenic domains \(^3^7,3^8\). What seems to be more important in terms of fusogenic propensity is the flexibility that the polypeptide chain possesses, being able to adopt both extended and helical conformations. This is a characteristic feature which has been ascribed to some fusogenic peptides, such as in the case of feline leukemia virus \(^3^9\). Moreover, the presence of helical and extended structures in the same protein interacting with phospholipids is compatible with their involvement in the fusion process since it has been
described that some fusogenic peptides can be divided into two domains, an oblique α-helix inserted in the water-lipid interface, followed by a turn and a β extended structure in the carboxy-terminal end into the hydrophilic phase.

Like HBV preS, DpreS-his domain not only interacts with but it is also able to destabilize membrane model systems. The interaction of the protein with acidic phospholipid vesicles induces their aggregation, as demonstrated by the increase in optical density at 360 nm, higher at pH 5.0 than 7.0. However, these aggregates do not appear when neutral phospholipid vesicles are used which indicates the importance of the electrostatic component on the interaction. In the case of acidic phospholipids, the aggregation leads to fusion, as demonstrated by lipid mixing studies. The maximum value of aggregation and lipid mixing was reached at a protein concentration of 3-5 μM, respectively, considerable higher than that needed to break the physical integrity of the vesicles, 0.05 μM at pH 5.0. Thus, fusion is not necessary for the release of the aqueous content of the vesicles to take place, just as it occurs with the amino-terminal fusogenic peptide of HIV. The observed dependence of the destabilizing capacity of DpreS-his on both phospholipid composition and pH does not necessarily preclude or determine a particular fusion mechanism. Thus, both neutral and acidic phospholipids have been shown to be specific for the interaction of fusion peptides. On the other hand, and although the membrane destabilizing properties are higher at acidic pH, the fact that all properties are observed at both pHs and that the differences mostly disappear at high protein concentrations, would be in accordance with a pH-independent viral infection model. In the case of viruses infecting cells in a pH-dependent manner, such as influenza virus, no destabilizing effects at neutral pH were observed.

Despite the low similarity of HBV and DHBV preS domains amino acid sequences, only 13 identical amino acids (Figure 10A), both proteins present virtually identical spectroscopic properties. The fact that they share a very similar hydrophobic profile (Figure
10B), points to the importance of the overall three-dimensional structure as well as to its conformational flexibility and the distribution of polar and non-polar amino acids for the expression of their destabilizing properties rather than to a particular amino acid sequence. In this sense, it has previously been demonstrated that recombinant polypeptides corresponding to a large portion of preS (30-115) of DHBV and heron hepatitis B virus also compete for the interaction with the possible cellular receptor, gp180, despite 50% difference between their amino acid sequences.

In summary, the results presented herein point to the involvement of DpreS in the initial steps of DHBV infection. Similar conclusions have been reached from different studies. For instance, by carrying out mutations of highly conserved amino acids in two cell permeable translocation motifs of DpreS (amino acids 20-31 and 42-53), it has been proposed that the preS domains are essential for infectivity. Taken together with previously reported results, the notion that both S and preS regions may participate in the fusion process may be extended to other members of the hepadnaviridae family. The involvement of different regions of a protein on the membrane fusion step has been proposed for various enveloped virus. In Hepatitis C virus, different regions of E2 envelope protein have been implicated in the membrane fusion process and in HIV a hydrophilic region consecutive to the fusion peptide participates in the fusion of membranes, increasing the activity and adopting a structure in α-helix on the surface of the membrane while the fusogenic peptide adopts β-structure, conformations which have been observed for the preS domain and the amino-terminal end of S, respectively.
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TABLES

Table 1. Amino acid composition of DpreS-his. The theoretical composition was determined from the amino acid sequence deduced from the cDNA sequence. The experimental composition was determined from the amino acid analysis. The numbers of Histidine residues was the sum of the 6xHis tag and the DpreS domain (4). The five amino acids added by the cloning procedure were: 1 Thr, 2 Ala, 1 Leu and 1 Glu. N.D (not determined).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Theoretic</th>
<th>Experimental</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asx</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thr</td>
<td>12+1</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ser</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glx</td>
<td>27+1</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pro</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gly</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ala</td>
<td>8+2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Val</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ile</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leu</td>
<td>15+1</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>His</td>
<td>4+6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lys</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arg</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trp</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>N.D.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Secondary structure of DpreS-his under different conditions calculated from the CD spectra according to the CCA method 23.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Secondary Structure (%)</th>
<th>α-Helix</th>
<th>β-Sheet</th>
<th>β-Turn</th>
<th>Aperiodic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DpreS-his, pH 7.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DpreS-his:PG (1:50) pH 7.0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DpreS-his:PG (1:50) pH 5.0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIGURE LEGENDS

**Figure 1.** SDS-PAGE of DpreS-his purification steps. *E. coli* HMS174 cells (DE3) (A) and Tuner cells (B) were transformed with pET21b-DpreS. Lane 1, protein markers. Lane 2, transformed cells before induction. Lane 3, transformed cells after 4 h (A) and 2 h (B) IPTG induction. Lane 4, cell pellet. Lane 5, supernatant obtained after disruption of cells in 10 mM Imidazol buffer. Lane 6, protein not retained in the Ni-NTA column. Lane 7, proteins washed from the Ni-NTA column with 10 mM Imidazol (A) or 30 mM Imidazol (B). Lane 8, pure protein after eluting with 200 mM Imidazol buffer.

**Figure 2.** Circular dichroism spectra of DpreS-his. (A) Far-UV CD spectrum. Protein concentration was 0.1 mg/ml and cell pathlength 0.1 cm. (B) Near-UV CD spectra. Protein concentration was 1 mg/ml and cell pathlength 1 cm. The buffer employed was 10 mM MOPS pH 7.0.

**Figure 3.** Fluorescence emission spectra of DpreS-his. The protein concentration was 0.05 mg/mL in 10 mM MOPS pH 7.0. The spectra were obtained upon excitation at 275 nm (—) and 295 nm (— —). The contribution of tyrosine residues to the emission spectra of the protein (······) is calculated as described in Materials and methods.

**Figure 4.** Effect of PG and PC on the fluorescence spectrum of NBD-DpreS-his at pH 5.0 (A) and pH 7.0 (B). Spectrum of NBD-DpreS-his alone (······) and in presence of PG (— —) or PC vesicles (—) at a lipid:protein molar ratio of 4600:1. The excitation wavelength was 467 nm and the protein concentration was 0.03-0.06 µM.
Figure 5. Fluorescence increase at 530 nm of NBD-DpreS-his upon addition of PG (●) or PC vesicles (○) at pH 5.0 (A) or pH 7.0 (B). The excitation wavelength was set at 467 nm. Protein concentration was 0.03-0.06 µM. The fluorescence values refer to those obtained without lipids.

Figure 6. Binding isotherms of NBD-DpreS-his to PG vesicles at pH 5.0 (A) or pH 7.0 (B). From the increments in fluorescence intensity at 530 nm, Xb* and Cf are calculated as described in Materials and methods. Partition coefficients were calculated from the initial slope of the corresponding binding isotherm (insets).

Figure 7. Fluorescence depolarization of DPH-labeled (A and B) and TMA-DPH-labeled (C and D) DMPG vesicles with increasing temperatures in the presence of DpreS-his at different protein/lipid molar ratios at pH 5.0 (A and C) and 7.0 (B and D). Phospholipids vesicles (0.14 mM) labeled with DPH (probe/phospholipid weight ratio 500/1) and TMA-DPH (probe/phospholipid weight ratio 100/1) in absence of protein (■) and incubated with DMPG at a molar protein:phospholipid ratio of 1:100 (●) and 1:20 (○). Insets represent the fluorescence polarization at 37.5 °C as a function of the protein/lipid molar ratio.

Figure 8. Effect of PG vesicles on the CD spectra of DpreS-his. The spectra were recorded both in the absence (■) and in presence of PG vesicles, at pH 5.0 (A) and 7.0 (B) after incubating at 37 °C for 1 h. The protein concentration was 0.5 µM and the protein/lipid molar ratios were 1:5 (●), 1:10 (○) and 1:20 (Δ).
**Figure 9.** Aggregation (A), lipid mixing (B) and leakage of aqueous content (C) of PG (circles) and PC (squares) vesicles induced by DpreS-his at pH 7.0 (○, □) and 5.0 (●, ■). The final phospholipid concentration was 60 µM (A) and 0.14 mM (B and C).

**Figure 10.** Amino acid sequence (A) and hydrophobicity profile (B) of the human preS domain subtype ayw (—) and DpreS (---). The hydrophobicity profile has been calculated according to the scale of hydrophobicity proposed by Eisenberg \(^5\).
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Biochemistry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residue Number</th>
<th>Amino Acid Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>HGQNLSTSNP LGFFPDHQLD PAFRANTANP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>DWDFNPKD WPDANKVGAG AFGLGFPPPH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>KGTLTWGKFL PTLDHVLDHV QTMEEINLTQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>GGILQQVTPQANPPPASTNRQS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>151</td>
<td>GRQPTPLSPP LRNTHPQAMQ WNSTTFHQT1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>QDGPRVRGLYF TAGCGCSGTV IVWTTASQ1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>QWKLGPGDDP LLGNQLLEET HPLYQSEFAV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Graph**

- **X-axis:** Residue Number
- **Y-axis:** Hydrophobicity Index

**Graph Details**

- The graph shows the hydrophobicity index of the amino acid sequence over the residue number.

**Legend**

- The sequence is represented by a line graph, with each point indicating the hydrophobicity index at that residue number.