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\textbf{Abstract.} We have carried out a survey searching for Hα emitting galaxies at \( z \simeq 0.24 \) using a narrow band filter tuned with the redshifted line. The total sky area covered was 0.19 square degrees within the redshift range 0.228 to 0.255 in a set of four fields in the ELAIS-N1 zone. This corresponds to a volume of \( 9.8 \times 10^8 \) Mpc\(^3\) and a look-back time of 3.6 Gyr when \( H_0 = 50 \) km s\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-1}\) and \( q_0 = 0.5 \) are assumed. A total of 52 objects are selected as candidates for a broad band limiting magnitude of \( I \sim 22.9 \), plus 16 detected only in the narrow band image for a narrow band limiting magnitude for object detection of 21.0. The threshold detection corresponds to about 20 Å equivalent width with an uncertainty of \( \sim 10 \) Å. Point-like objects (15) were excluded from our analysis using \texttt{CLASS} \texttt{STAR} parameter from \texttt{SE}\texttt{xtractor}. The contamination from other emission lines such as [O\textsc{ii}]\( \lambda \lambda 3727, \lambda \beta \) and [O\textsc{iii}]\( \lambda \lambda 4959, 5007 \) at redshifts 1.2, 0.66 and 0.61 respectively is estimated, and found to be negligible at the flux limits of our sample. We find an extinction-corrected Hα luminosity density of \( (5.4 \pm 1.1) \times 10^3 \) erg s\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-3}\). This uncertainty takes into account the photometric and Poissonian errors only. Assuming a constant relation between the Hα luminosity and star formation rate, the SFR density in the covered volume is \( (0.043 \pm 0.009) \) M_{\odot} yr\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-3}\). This translates to \( (0.037 \pm 0.009) \) M_{\odot} yr\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-3}\) when the total density is corrected for the AGN contribution as estimated in the local Universe. This value is a factor \( \sim 4 \) higher than the local SFR density. This result needs to be confirmed by future spectroscopic follow-up observations.
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\section{Introduction}

The star formation rate density of the Universe is one of the key observables needed for our understanding of galaxy formation and evolution. In a key reference paper, Madau et al. (1996) connected the high-redshift luminosity density obtained from the coaddition of the emission from individually-detected galaxies with that obtained from low redshift surveys. This luminosity density was then translated into a star formation rate (SFR) density. Their original SFR density versus redshift plot showed that the SFR density steeply increases from \( z \sim 0 \) to \( z \sim 1 \) and decreased beyond \( z \sim 2.5 \), suggesting that it probably peaked between \( z \sim 1 \) and \( z \sim 2 \). Deep redshift surveys also suggest that the star-formation activity substantially increases with redshift until \( z \sim 1 \) (Songaila et al. 1994; Ellis et al. 1996; Lilly et al. 1996; Hammer et al. 1997; Hogg et al. 1998). However, the high redshift behaviour is not so clear, and the decline in SFR density beyond \( z \sim 2 \) is still contentious.

Detailed theoretical works are starting to shed light onto the problem. It is now possible to build models which, within the hierarchical clustering scenario, put together dark matter, gas and stars (e.g., Lacey & Silk 1991; Kauffmann et al. 1993, 1994, 1999; Cole et al. 1994). These models can provide a reasonable match to both the present-day characteristics of galaxies (Baugh et al. 1998), as well as the properties of galaxies at high redshift (Somerville & Primack 1998; Somerville et al. 2001). These models are also able to quantitatively predict the global star formation history of the Universe, i.e. the comoving number density of galaxies as a function of star formation rate, and as a function of redshift.

One of the major problems that arises when analysing galaxy populations at different redshifts is how to make a meaningful comparison. To test directly whether substantial evolution in the star-formation activity has occurred, we need to measure the SFR density of the Universe at different redshifts using similar techniques. Optimally, we should try to use the same selection criteria, same galaxy populations and same SFR tracer. Such a uniform measurement would provide a much stronger constraint for galaxy formation and evolution models.
The Hα luminosity, related to the number of massive stars, is a direct measurement of the current star formation rate (modulo the Initial Mass Function). Metallic nebular lines such as [O II]λ3727 and [O III]λλ4959, 5007 (affected by excitation and metallicity) and far-IR fluxes (affected by dust abundance and properties) are star-formation indicators rather than quantitative measurements (see, e.g., Gallagher et al. 1989; Kennicutt 1992a). Thus the best way to quantify current star formation is by using an Hα selected sample of galaxies (Charlot 1998).

Although star formation in heavily obscured regions will not be revealed by Hα, if we select the galaxies with the same criteria at all redshifts, the samples – and the derived SFRs – will be directly comparable.

A few pioneering works have estimated average SFR densities measuring Hα luminosities in the near-infrared for small samples below z = 1 (Jones & Bland-Hawthorn 2001, using tunable filters), at z ~ 1 (Glazebrook et al. 1999; Yan et al. 1999) and z ~ 2 (Iwamuro et al. 2000; Moorwood et al. 2000; van der Werf et al. 2000), and Hβ luminosities for samples of 5 and 19 objects at z ~ 3 (Pettini et al. 1998, 2001). These preliminary results indicate that SFRs from Balmer lines follow the general trend traced by UV broad-band luminosities at high redshifts. They are found to be 2-3× higher than those inferred from the extinction corrected UV at all redshifts. The UV flux comes from the OB stars on the star-forming region and the underlying population whereas the Hα flux comes from the HII region surrounding the OB stars. The different origin of the radiation explains the different measured SFRs.

A z ~ 0 benchmark in this field is the SFR density obtained from the Universidad Complutense de Madrid survey of Hα emission line galaxies in the local Universe (Gallego et al. 1995). At z ~ 0.2, the only available Hα luminosity function is the one obtained for the broad-band selected CFRS sample (Tresse & Maddox 1998). In this paper we describe a survey for Hα emitting galaxies at z ~ 0.24 carried out with a narrow band filter.

Section 2 describes the data acquisition and the image reduction; Sect. 3 shows the galaxy selection process; in Sect. 4 we calculate the Hα luminosity function and the SFR density, and finally in Sect. 5 the conclusions are presented. All along the paper we assume a Friedman model cosmology (cosmological constant Ω0 = 0) with a Hubble constant H0 = 50 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹ and deceleration parameter q0 = 0.5.

### 2. Data acquisition and reduction

Our survey for Hα emitting galaxies was carried out using the focal reducer CAFOS¹ at the 2.2 m telescope in CAHA (Centro Astronómico Hispano-Alemán, Almería, Spain).

This instrument is equipped with a 2048×2048 Site#1d CCD with 24 μm pixels (0′′.53 on the sky), which covers a circular area of 16′ diameter.

#### Table 1. Survey fields.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field Name</th>
<th>RA (J2000)</th>
<th>Dec (J2000)</th>
<th>Exposures (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELAIS a3</td>
<td>16:05:30</td>
<td>+54:30:36</td>
<td>600 3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELAIS a4</td>
<td>16:04:00</td>
<td>+54:30:36</td>
<td>600 6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELAIS b3</td>
<td>16:06:15</td>
<td>+54:17:36</td>
<td>600 5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELAIS b4</td>
<td>16:04:45</td>
<td>+54:17:36</td>
<td>600 4000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the data reduction process, the covered area is reduced to 14′6. Four fields were observed, all of them located near the centre of the European Large-Area ISO Survey field ELAIS-N1 (Rowan-Robinson et al. 1999; Oliver et al. 2000). Our strategy was to observe overlapping regions between the fields, so we can have consistent photometry on all the frames. All four fields were observed through a 16 nm FWHM narrow band filter centred at 816 nm, in a region of low OH emission. Broad band I-filter images were also obtained. The filters used were, respectively, 816/16 and 850/150c in the CAHA filters database.

Of the four observing nights, two were lost due to Sahara’s dust on the atmosphere. The other two nights had non-photometric conditions. The overall seeing was 1′2. Total exposures were 600 s in the broad and 3000-6000 s in the narrow filter. The survey covered 0.19 square degrees, corresponding to 9.8 × 10³ Mpc⁻³ comoving volume at z = 0.242, given the width of the narrow-band filter. Table 1 shows the fields surveyed.

The images were processed using the standard reduction procedures for de-biasing and flat-fielding found in the CCDRED facility within IRAF². Fringing was present in the broad band images at ~5% of the sky level. It was removed by combining deep blank sky frames to obtain the fringe pattern, placing it at zero mean level, scaling it to the level of the sky background of the science frame, and subtracting. The frames were aligned using the coordinates of bright stars on the images before combining. Due to the use of the focal reducer, the borders of the frames suffer from geometrical distortion and it is not enough to apply a shift to align the images. We have applied a general transformation using shifts (about 30″), rotation (~2 × 10⁻³%) and re-scaling (~0.1%). Finally the frames were combined to obtain the final image for each filter used. The frames were scaled to a common count level using stars in the overlapping regions between the images. Because the nights were not photometric, photometric calibration was achieved using stars of the USNO-A2 catalogue (Monet et al. 1996) contained in our fields and using synthetic colours B - R and R - I calculated with template spectra from Pickles (1998). We estimate that the zero-point uncertainty is ±0.1 mag. The zero-point of the narrow band calibration was obtained assuming a mean

¹ http://www.caha.es/CAHA/Instruments/index.html

² IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc. (AURA) under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.
I − m_{NB} = 0, using the bright end of the selection diagrams (Fig. 1).

3. Nature of candidates

3.1. Object detection and candidate selection

Catalogues of the objects in all the four surveyed fields were made using SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996). The objects are detected using the double-image mode: the narrow band frame is used as a reference image for detection and then the flux is summed up in 6 pixel diameter apertures in both the narrow- and broad-band image. This aperture size is 3''18, i.e., 2.65 × FWHM of the seeing, corresponding to 15 kpc at z = 0.242.

Candidate line emitting objects were selected using their excess narrow versus broad flux on a plot of m_{NB} versus I − m_{NB}. For each candidate, both broad and narrow aperture fluxes, line equivalent width and the sigma excess are calculated. The flux density in each filter can be expressed as the sum of the line flux and the continuum flux density (the line is covered by both filters):

\[ f^B = f^N + \frac{f^L}{\Delta_B} \]

\[ f^N = f^L + \frac{f^L}{\Delta_N} \]

with \( f^N \) the continuum flux; \( f^L \) the line flux; \( \Delta_B \) and \( \Delta_N \) the broad and narrow band filter effective widths and \( f^B \) and \( f^N \) the flux density in each filter. Then the line flux, continuum flux and equivalent width can be expressed as follows:

\[ f_L = \Delta_N (f^N - f^B) \left( \frac{1}{1 - \Delta_B} \right) \]

\[ f^N = \frac{1 - f^L}{1 - \frac{\Delta_B}{\Delta_N}} \]

\[ EW = \frac{f^L}{f^N} = \Delta_N \left( \frac{f^N - f^B}{f^B} \right) \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\Delta_B}{\Delta_N}} \right) \]  

The effective widths of the filters are calculated as the integral of the transmission of the filter multiplied by the quantum efficiency of the CCD:

\[ \Delta_{\text{filter}} = \int T_{\text{filter}} \times QE \, d\lambda. \]

For the broad band filter \( \Delta_B = 1665 \) Å; for the narrow band filter \( \Delta_N = 173 \) Å. The conversion flux-magnitude was done using the spectral energy distribution of Vega given by Castelli & Kurucz (1994).

In Fig. 1 we show the plot for the four surveyed fields showing the curve for flux excess 3σ. Several clear objects exhibiting excess emission are shown. The dashed vertical line is the narrow band limiting magnitude, defined as the m_{NB} that makes \(-2.5 \log(1 - 3\sigma(m)) \rightarrow \infty\). Under this magnitude no object can be selected. The solid vertical line is the brighter of the detection-limiting magnitudes on the four fields. Only objects brighter than this limit will be used to obtain the luminosity function.

Table 2 lists the candidates detected in the fields at \( \geq 3\sigma \). The first Col. (1) is the identification number in the catalogue produced by SExtractor; (2) and (3) are the coordinates of the object, with an accuracy better than 1''; (4) and (5) are the magnitudes of the objects inside the apertures, with typical accuracies better than 0.1 magnitudes for both bands; (6) is the equivalent width measured using Eq. (4). The uncertainty is better than 30% for low EWs (<400 Å). (7) is the \( \sigma \) excess of the detection; and (8), (9) are the CLASS_STAR parameter produced by SExtractor in the I and narrow band images.

A total of 52 line-emission candidates were selected in the frames, with an additional 16 objects detected only in the narrow band image. The density of objects selected in both bands is 279 objects per square degree (365 objects per square degree when counting also the objects only detected in the narrow-band image). The objects cover an I magnitude range from 17 to 22. In Table 3 the range of variation of several quantities of the objects detected in each field is listed. In Fig. 2 several examples of the detected objects are shown.

3.2. Galaxy – star segregation

The sample can be contaminated by stellar objects (either stars or AGN). To minimise their effect, we have used the parameter CLASS_STAR provided by SExtractor. We can see in Fig. 3 how this parameter is distributed. Only the candidates selected over the limiting magnitudes are plotted, as SExtractor tends to mis-classify dim objects. Our assumption is that all the objects over CLASS_STAR = 0.5 in at least one of the images are stars (15 objects in the sample). The objects with a large variation of the CLASS_STAR parameter from the narrow to the broad-band image were visually inspected, and classified according to their light profiles. Objects classified as stars were excluded from our analysis.

3.3. Contamination from other lines

A narrow band survey of emission line galaxies can potentially detect galaxies with different emission lines at different redshifts. If the source redshift and the rest frame wavelength of the line act to place it inside the narrow band filter, the line will be detected if it is sufficiently strong. The fixed flux detection limit translates to different luminosities for each line given the different redshift of the galaxies. Furthermore, a different volume is covered for each line for the same reason. The emission lines we would expect to detect are Hα, Hβ, [O III]λλ4959, 5007 and [O II]λ3727 (Tresse et al. 1999; Kennicutt 1992b) as the narrow band filter pass band is too wide to separate [N II]λλ6548, 6584 from Hα. In Table 4 we show the different redshift coverage for each line.

Since we do not have spectroscopic redshifts for the candidate, it is necessary to estimate the number of background emission line galaxies likely to appear in the
survey. We made estimates of the number of galaxies expected from each of the lines relative to Hα (Jones & Bland-Hawthorn 2001). For this purpose we need to know the luminosity function in each line and its evolution with redshift. For Hα, this function has been determined at a wide range of redshifts (Gallego et al. 1995; Tresse & Maddox 1998; Yan et al. 1999). We also assume an evolution of the parameters $\phi^*$, $L^*$ and $\alpha$ of the form:

$$\phi^*(z) = \phi_0^*(1+z)^{\gamma_\phi}$$

$$L^*(z) = L_0^*(1+z)^{\gamma_L}$$

$$\alpha(z) = \alpha_0 + \gamma_\alpha z$$

using the functional forms adopted by Heyl et al. (1997). The free parameters were constrained using the luminosity function of Gallego et al. (1995) at $z = 0$ and Tresse & Maddox (1998) at $z = 0.2$. The parameters of the Hα luminosity function are then:

$$\phi^*(z) = 10^{-3.2}(1+z)^{3.68} \text{Mpc}^{-3}$$

$$L^*(z) = 10^{42.15}(1+z)^{-0.25} \text{erg s}^{-1}$$

$$\alpha(z) = -1.3 - 0.25z.$$  

As the luminosity functions for the other emission lines have not been determined, our approach was to scale the $L^*$ parameter of the Hα luminosity function using mean flux ratios from Kennicutt (1992a), weighted with the relative occurrence of each galaxy type (Jones & Bland-Hawthorn 2001). The values of $\log L^*$ in erg s$^{-1}$ are:

$$\log L^*[\text{O\,iii}] = 41.97, \log L^*[\text{H\,\beta}] = 41.69, \log L^*[\text{O\,ii}] = 42.63.$$  

The rest of the parameters of the luminosity function are assumed to be equal to the values of the local Hα luminosity function. We have assumed the line ratio Hα/[N\,ii]$\lambda$6548,6584 = 2.3 (obtained by Kennicutt 1992a; Gallego et al. 1997; used by Tresse & Maddox 1998; Yan et al. 1999; Iwamuro et al. 2000).

The mean internal extinction is assumed to be 1 magnitude in Hα following Kennicutt (1983), who finds this value for nearby spirals. Also the star-forming galaxies sample from Gallego et al. (1995) has a mean $E(B-V) = 0.6$, which yields a mean Hα extinction of 1 magnitude. The extinction in the another lines is scaled using the flux ratios and the extinction law of Mathis (1990). These values are 1.78 magnitudes for [O\,iii]$\lambda$4959,5007, 1.88 for H\β and 2.72 for [O\,ii]$\lambda$3727.

Figure 4 shows the predicted cumulative number counts for the Hα, H\β, [O\,ii]$\lambda$3727 and [O\,iii]$\lambda$4959,5007 as a function of line flux. The population of Hα emitting
Fig. 2. Sample of selected objects on the field ELAIS-a4. The size of the boxes is 25″, north is on the right, east is upwards. Each figure shows the identification number in the catalogue produced by SExtractor (ID) and the apparent magnitude in the band.

Fig. 3. CLASS_STAR versus aperture magnitude in the narrow band. The tail of the arrow is CLASS_STAR in the broad band image and the head is CLASS_STAR in the narrow band image. The arrows with a circle in the tail are objects selected as stars. There is a clear segregation between low CLASS_STAR (non stellar-like profiles) and high CLASS_STAR (stellar-like profiles).

galaxies clearly dominates at brighter fluxes as a galaxy emitting in another line would be more distant, and hence more luminous and rarer. The flux line where Hα emitters are more than 90% of the total number of galaxies is $1.68 \times 10^{-16} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. As the minimum line flux of the objects in the sample is $7.22 \times 10^{-16} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ (corrected from extinction, and the effect of the [N II]λ6548, 6584 lines), we can be confident that the contamination from other emission lines is negligible.

3.4. Luminosity of the objects

At this stage we assume that we have a sample of Hα emitting galaxies. The distribution of equivalent widths and magnitudes of these objects is shown in Fig. 5.
Table 2. Objects selected in the field ELAIS a3 with measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>RA</th>
<th>DEC</th>
<th>Mag</th>
<th>EB</th>
<th>σ</th>
<th>CLASS_STAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1751</td>
<td>16:04:14.4</td>
<td>+54:34:25.52</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>561</td>
<td>16:04:23.8</td>
<td>+54:34:35.55</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1245</td>
<td>16:04:52.8</td>
<td>+54:28:08.54</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1305b</td>
<td>16:04:53.9</td>
<td>+54:28:23.80</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1344b</td>
<td>16:04:54.5</td>
<td>+54:28:16.98</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2247</td>
<td>16:05:06.6</td>
<td>+54:37:59.13</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3420b</td>
<td>16:05:17.9</td>
<td>+54:36:10.93</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4084</td>
<td>16:05:23.9</td>
<td>+54:34:45.12</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5901</td>
<td>16:05:35.1</td>
<td>+54:27:04.54</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6224b</td>
<td>16:05:39.8</td>
<td>+54:30:26.25</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7003a</td>
<td>16:05:46.3</td>
<td>+54:37:12.68</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>&gt;3000</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7224</td>
<td>16:05:47.2</td>
<td>+54:25:57.49</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7227b</td>
<td>16:05:46.3</td>
<td>+54:39:11.74</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7605b</td>
<td>16:05:50.0</td>
<td>+54:38:48.02</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7836</td>
<td>16:05:54.1</td>
<td>+54:32:07.63</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7930</td>
<td>16:05:52.3</td>
<td>+54:33:23.82</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8814</td>
<td>16:05:54.5</td>
<td>+54:35:37.76</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8661</td>
<td>16:06:07.9</td>
<td>+54:35:42.45</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8971</td>
<td>16:06:32.2</td>
<td>+54:35:27.51</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9064a</td>
<td>16:06:33.3</td>
<td>+54:38:11.14</td>
<td>&gt;21.6</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>&gt;3000</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9668b</td>
<td>16:06:38.0</td>
<td>+54:31:50.12</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9856</td>
<td>16:06:20.3</td>
<td>+54:31:49.59</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9996b</td>
<td>16:06:12.6</td>
<td>+54:29:42.39</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1018b</td>
<td>16:06:05.5</td>
<td>+54:36:25.75</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1014I</td>
<td>16:06:18.1</td>
<td>+54:30:50.90</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Selected under the broad limit magnitude.

Catalogued as star.

Table 2. (continued) objects selected in the fields ELAIS b3 and b4 with measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>RA</th>
<th>DEC</th>
<th>Mag</th>
<th>EB</th>
<th>σ</th>
<th>CLASS_STAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15808</td>
<td>16:05:59.0</td>
<td>+54:33:40.60</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14173a</td>
<td>16:06:10.2</td>
<td>+54:23:42.57</td>
<td>&gt;21.3</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>&gt;4000</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14456</td>
<td>16:06:13.7</td>
<td>+54:21:48.86</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14568</td>
<td>16:06:18.6</td>
<td>+54:17:10.07</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14620</td>
<td>16:06:20.9</td>
<td>+54:25:30.20</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Selected under the broad limit magnitude.

Catalogued as star.

Note: a5,551 and a4,10732 are the same object.

The luminosity of the objects is calculated from their line flux. We correct for the presence of the [NI]λ6548, 6584 lines, as the narrow filter is unable to separate the contribution of these lines. We also apply a mean internal extinction correction to the objects. For the first two corrections we have assumed the same values used in Sect. 3.3, i.e., Ho/[NI]λ6548, 6584 = 2.33 and A_Ho = 1. (Note that a wide range of Ho/[NI]λ6548, 6584 ratios is present in star-forming galaxies.)

To apply a small statistical correction (8%) to the measured flux due to the fact that the filter is not square in shape. Due the small apparent size of the objects, it is not necessary to make an aperture correction. The corrected

The luminosity of the objects is calculated from their line flux. We correct for the presence of the [NI]λ6548, 6584 lines, as the narrow filter is unable to

Table 3. Number of objects detected in each field.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>mag</th>
<th>mNB</th>
<th>EW</th>
<th>Limit Mag</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELAIS</td>
<td>range</td>
<td>range</td>
<td>range (Å)</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>mNB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>20.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>20.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Only objects detected in both bands included in the ranges.
Table 4. Emission lines potentially detected inside the narrow band.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line</th>
<th>Redshift range</th>
<th>$\bar{z}$</th>
<th>$d_L^0$ (Mpc)</th>
<th>$V \times 10^{10}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hα</td>
<td>0.228 – 0.256</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[O III] 4959, 5007</td>
<td>0.610 – 0.645</td>
<td>0.628</td>
<td>2590</td>
<td>3.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hβ</td>
<td>0.659 – 0.694</td>
<td>0.676</td>
<td>2730</td>
<td>4.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[O I] 3727</td>
<td>1.16 – 1.20</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>3870</td>
<td>7.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Comoving distance.
$^b$ Comoving volume.

Fig. 5. a) (left panel) Histogram of the rest frame EWs of our objects. The histogram of the EWs for UCM survey galaxies is shown in the inset. b) (right panel) Histogram of the broad band and narrow band magnitudes of the objects selected in both bands. The $I$ magnitudes are represented by solid lines, $m_{NB}$ by dashed lines.

Ho flux is given by:

$$f_0(H\alpha) = f(H\alpha) \frac{H\alpha}{Ho + [N II] \lambda 6548, 6584} \times 10^{0.4A_{Ho}}$$

Finally the Hα luminosity is given by:

$$L(H\alpha) = 4\pi d_L^2(z) f_0(H\alpha)$$

using the redshift of the line at the centre of the filter $z = 0.242$ and $d_L$ the luminosity distance, defined from the comoving distance as $d_L = (1 + z)d_c$.

4. Luminosity function and star formation rates

4.1. Galaxy luminosity function

Direct information of the amount and distribution of the SFR can be obtained by constructing the luminosity function for galaxies with star formation activity. With all the objects in a small range of redshifts (Table 4), the luminosity function will be given by:

$$\Phi(\log L_i) = \frac{1}{\Delta \log L} \sum_j \frac{1}{V(z)_j}$$

with $|\log L_j - \log L_i| < \Delta \log L$

where $V(z)_j$ is the volume of the narrow slice in redshift covered by the filter. We have taken into account the filter shape in the computation of the volume. The correction can be as large as 25% for the faintest galaxies (as compared to a square filter).

The summation is over all the galaxies in the Hα luminosity range $\log L(H\alpha) + \Delta \log L(H\alpha)$. We have used $\Delta \log L(H\alpha) = 0.4$ (i.e., one magnitude). Figure 6 shows the luminosity function, compared with the luminosity functions of Gallego et al. (1995) and Tresse & Maddox (1998).

4.2. Luminosity density and star formation rate density

The Hα luminosity density can be obtained integrating the luminosity function:

$$\mathcal{L} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \phi^* L \left( \frac{L}{L^*} \right)^\alpha \exp \left( - \frac{L}{L^*} \right) d \left( \frac{L}{L^*} \right).$$

Given the small luminosity range covered by our objects, we cannot fit a Schechter function. We assume a shape ($\alpha$ and $L^*$) for the luminosity function, and obtain the total luminosity density from the summed luminosity density of the objects in the sample, extrapolating using the assumed LF outside the observed range. We use the Hα luminosity function at $z = 0$ obtained by Gallego et al. (1995) in this exercise. The line flux of the faintest object ($7.22 \times 10^{-16} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) translates into an Hα luminosity of $3.78 \times 10^{31} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$. The surveyed volume is $9.8 \times 10^{18} \text{ Mpc}^3$. The summed luminosity density (\mathcal{L_{sum}}) in our sample is $(3.3 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-3}$. Thus, the total luminosity density can be written as:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{sum} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{L}{L^*} \alpha \exp \left( - \frac{L}{L^*} \right) d \left( \frac{L}{L^*} \right).$$
Using the incomplete gamma function\(^3\) finally we obtain:

\[ \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{sum}} \frac{1}{1 - \gamma(x, 2 + \alpha)} \quad \text{with} \quad x = \frac{L_{\text{lim}}}{L_r} = 0.27. \quad (15) \]

The total luminosity density is then \((5.4 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{39} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-3}\). Note that using the luminosity function of Tresse & Maddox (1998) produces a luminosity density of \((5.9 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{39} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-3}\). The difference is small (~10\%) and inside the error bars. In principle, not all the H\(\alpha\) luminosity is produced by star formation. The Active Galactic Nuclei can also contribute to the luminosity. The amount of this contribution is 8\% of the number of galaxies and 15\% of the luminosity density for the UCM sample of H\(\alpha\) emitting galaxies. Assuming no evolution in the contribution to H\(\alpha\) from AGN, the luminosity density corrected from the AGN contribution is \((4.7 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{39} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-3}\).

The star formation rate can be estimated from the H\(\alpha\) luminosity using (Kennicutt 1998):

\[ SFR_{\text{H\(\alpha\)}}(M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}) = 7.9 \times 10^{-42} L(\text{H}\alpha)(\text{erg s}^{-1}). \quad (16) \]

Thus, the H\(\alpha\) luminosity density translates into a SFR density of \((0.043 \pm 0.009) M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-3}\) (with AGN correction \(0.037 \pm 0.009) M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-3}\)). Figure 7 shows the evolution of the SFR density of the Universe from \(z = 0\) to \(z = 2.2\) measured using Balmer lines. The right axis shows the luminosity density and the left axis the SFR. All the points have been computed using the same SFR-luminosity conversion factor and the point from Gallego et al. (1995) was computed with the AGN contribution.

The H\(\alpha\) luminosity is sensitive only to star formation in stars over 10 \(M_{\odot}\), which are the main contributors to the ionising flux. The SFR density given here is thus an extrapolation assuming a given IMF. The conversion factor between H\(\alpha\) luminosity density and SFR density is thus very sensitive to the IMF, metallicity and details of the population synthesis models used (Glazebrook et al. 1999). It is thus very important to be consistent when comparing different SFR density estimates from the literature. In Fig. 7 we have taken the measured H\(\alpha\) luminosity densities and transformed them into SFR densities using the same transformation given above.

The SFR density measured here is lower than that of Jones & Bland-Hawthorn (2001). At their lower flux cut-off at \(z \sim 0.2\) \((0.5 \times 10^{-16} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-3}\)) there is a noticeable contamination from [O\(\text{III}\)]\(\lambda\lambda4959, 5007\) and H\(\beta\) (see Fig. 4). The technique used (tunable filters) implies a very low minimum detected EW (~5 A). Consequently, more objects are detected (either low EW H\(\alpha\), not detected in our survey or [O\(\text{III}\)]\(\lambda\lambda4959, 5007\) and H\(\beta\) emitting galaxies classified as H\(\alpha\)). This could explain the very high SFR found by Jones & Bland-Hawthorn (2001) compared to our result. Another important result at \(z \sim 0.2\) is that given by Tresse & Maddox (1998). They measured spectroscopic H\(\alpha\)+[N\(\text{II}\)]\(\lambda\lambda6548, 6584\) fluxes of the I-selected

\(^3\) Defined as \(\gamma(x, \alpha) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty \alpha^{-1} e^{-u} du.\)
of 21.0. The threshold detection corresponds to about 20 Å equivalent width with an uncertainty of $\pm 10$ Å. After excluding point-like objects from our analysis, a sample of 47 emission line galaxies was produced, 37 of which were detected in both the narrow-band and broadband filters. The minimum line flux in the sample is $7.22 \times 10^{-16}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$, corresponding to a minimum H$\alpha$ luminosity of $3.8 \times 10^{41}$ erg s$^{-1}$.

In the absence of spectroscopic confirmation, we have estimated the likely contamination from other emission lines such as [O II]λ3727, H$\beta$ and [O III]λλ4959, 5007 at redshifts 1.2, 0.66 and 0.61 respectively, and found it to be negligible at the relatively high flux limits of our sample.

We find an extinction-corrected H$\alpha$ luminosity density of $(5.4 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{49}$ erg s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-3}$. This uncertainty takes into account the photometric and Poissonian errors only. Assuming a constant relation between the H$\alpha$ luminosity and star formation rate, the SFR density in the covered volume is $(0.043 \pm 0.009) M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-3}$. This translates to $(0.037 \pm 0.009) M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-3}$ when the total density is corrected for the AGN contribution as estimated in the local Universe. This value is a factor 4 higher than the local SFR density, and consistent with the strong increase in the SFR density from $z = 0$ to $z = 1$ previously reported, although our results will have to be confirmed by future spectroscopic follow-up observations.
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