Typhoons in the Philippine Islands, 1901–1934
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ABSTRACT: A chronology of typhoons and storms in the Western North Pacific is presented, based on the previous work of Miguel Selga, a former director of the Manila Observatory. It includes data about 863 typhoons, storms and depressions over the western Pacific area between 1901 and 1934. The chronology provides information covering a wide area, from Guam to the Gulf of Tonkin and from the equator to Japan. The resulting typhoon series has been included in a database, which is freely accessible, and annual typhoon occurrence and trajectories are also shown. A comparison of the typhoon passage frequency over the Philippine area with a previously available chronology was made to assure the validity of Selga’s observations, showing good agreement.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Tropical cyclones cause extensive human and economic losses. An increasing number of publications have addressed this topic, in order to gain an understanding both of the mechanisms responsible for their frequency and intensity (i.e. Landsea et al. 1996, Chang & Shin 2000) and of their environmental, social and economical consequences (i.e. Diaz & Pulwarty 1997).

The high variability in tropical cyclone frequency, with significant millennial, multidecadal and interannual oscillations (Landsea et al. 1996, Liu & Fearn 2000, Elsner & Bossak 2001), indicates that there is not a simple mechanism responsible for cyclone development. Relationships between Western North Pacific (WNP) tropical cyclones and climate oscillations such as the El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO) or the Quasibiennial Oscillation (QBO) have been described in the literature (Chang 1995, 2000, Wang & Chang 2002). In particular, the source region of WNP typhoons seems to be sensitive to the ENSO (Chang 1985), and significant interdecadal changes in their trajectories in the last 5 decades have been documented (Ho et al. 2004).

One of the biggest problems when studying tropical cyclones is the length and resolution of the instrumental series. The series are relatively short, approximately 50 to 60 yr at best. Thus, various efforts are being made to extend these series back in time, using historical data or natural proxies (Spennemann & Marschner 1994, Doyle & Gorham 1996, Chang & Shin 2000, Liu & Fearn 2000, Donnelly 2001, Liu et al. 2001, García-Herrera et al. 2005). If we focus our attention on the WNP basin, there are 3 major databases: the ‘US Joint Typhoon Warning Center’s Best Track’, which begins in 1945 (available at http://www.npmoc.navy.mil/); the ‘Regional Specialized Meteorological Center–Tokyo Typhoon Center database’, with reliable data since 1951 (available at http://www.jma.go.jp/JMA_HP/jma/jma-eng/jma-center/rsmc-hp-pub-eg/RSMC_HP.htm); and ‘The China Year Typhoon Book database’, in existence since 1945, although reporting only typhoons which made landfall in China. Data previous to 1945 are limited to the annual number of landfalling typhoons in certain areas, such as China, where there is a series on the annual number of landfalling typhoons since 1880.

In this paper, data for a 30 yr period, initially catalogued by the Spanish Jesuit Miguel Selga, are
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described and made available. Selga’s (1935) original work consists of a chronology of typhoons in the vicinity of The Philippines lasting from the 16th century to 1934; it is divided into 2 periods: 1564–1900 and 1901–1934. The first period contains mostly reports from historical records and has been analyzed in R. Garcia-Herrera et al. (unpubl.). The second period includes mostly direct instrumental observations made by the Manila Observatory and its associated network. The present paper analyses the 1901–1934 period. During this period, the Manila Observatory, founded and supervised by the Jesuits, acted as the meteorological agency of the islands (Udías 2003). In the final section of our paper, an analysis of the geographical probability distribution of typhoon-passage frequency was performed, with the aim of detecting differences between Selga’s data and analyses conducted in the instrumental period. The recent work by Ho et al. (2004) was used to provide a comparison with Selga’s chronology.

2. DATA AND METHODS

The data presented and analyzed in this paper have been extracted from the Catalogue of Typhoons 1348–1934, which is an addendum to Selga (1935). It includes information for 863 events, of which 619 are included as typhoons, the others being catalogued as storms or depressions. The starting date of 1901 was selected in this study, because this is then when the US Administration entrusted control of the newly created Philippines Weather Bureau to the Manila Observatory. The meteorological service was established with 9 first-class, 25 second-class and 17 third-class stations, though not all of them were actually operational. Additionally, meteorological information was shared among different services/observatories, including ones located in Taiwan, Shanghai and Manila (Udías 2003). Thus, the chronology is not limited to The Philippines but covers most of the WNP.

All the events listed were direct observations from one of the observatories; their descriptions include complete dating information. As an example, 4 reports have been included in Table 1. The first report describes a typhoon that crossed the Visayas and entered the China Sea, and it provides an instrumental measurement of sea-level pressure. The second report includes a much more detailed description of the typhoon trajectory and some of the effects caused by this typhoon. In addition, it includes 2 measurements of sea-level pressure at 2 different positions of the typhoon trajectory. In the third record, some information about the typhoon trajectory is provided, but no instrumental information is included. Finally, the last record is an example of a storm, with some information about its trajectory.

Records of more than 30% of the typhoons (264) include some instrumental information, usually pressure data. The complete information provided by Selga has been digitized, including information about dating, the areas most affected, sources, intensity of the phenomenon and the original description included by Selga. The complete database is accessible at http://www.ucm.es/info/tropical/.

Selga’s chronology includes information about at least 1 location, usually more, where the typhoons were observed, so this allows us to characterize, at least partially, the typhoon tracks. We have used 2 different approaches to identify tracks. (1) An approxima-

Table 1. Examples of different kinds of reports included in the Selga chronology. Reports of 3 typhoons, (1) and (2) with instrumental data; and (3) without instrumental data; and (4) 1 report of a storm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) 15–16 May 1901 Typhoon</td>
<td>A depression crossed Visayas: intense typhoon in the China Sea: barometric minimum of 728.46 mm on board the ‘Esmeralda’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) 8 Nov 1912 Typhoon</td>
<td>This typhoon formed near the Western Carolines. It moved W by N with great velocity. The center of the storm, not fully developed yet, passed close to Surigao, over northern Bohol, across Cebu, a few miles to the south of the capital, across the central part of Negros, and across the southernmost part of Panay by the south of Iloilo and San Jose, Antique. The steamer ‘Marinduque’ ran aground on a coral reef in the Dumaran Channel. Her barometric minimum was 739.40 mm and she experienced a central calm of about 16 min. After passing the island of Dumaran and the northern part of Palawan, the storm crossed the China Sea without changing its direction nor diminishing its velocity and reached Annam with such fury that it was considered the most violent that had passed over Nhatrang within the memory of man. The barometric minimum at Nhatrang was 729.9 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) 3–10 Aug 1919 Typhoon</td>
<td>A typhoon formed over the Pacific, crossed the Balintang Channel, recurving northeastward to the south of Batanes and moved back northwest, crossing southern Formosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) 7–14 Oct 1916 Storm</td>
<td>This depression formed E of the Visayas, moved NNW and W, passed north of Luzon, recurved to the NE and crossed the southern part of Formosa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
tion of each typhoon trajectory was estimated using the coordinates of every point where it was reported by means of a curve that was fitted to pass through all those points as smoothly as possible. Trajectories were not expected to be complete, and probably some areas affected by the typhoon were not included, but they included all the information available in the chronology. Individual trajectories were included online for all the typhoons in the database. (2) We estimated the spatial distribution of the typhoon trajectories using maps with 5° latitude by 5° longitude boxes accounting for the frequency of typhoons traversing through them. Selga’s chronology is very much centered on typhoons affecting The Philippines. Thus, the chronology tends to contain typhoons that included this archipelago in their trajectories. In order to compare this chronology with analyses done for a more recent period over this area, we computed the geographical distribution of typhoon passage frequency as done in Ho et al. (2004), defined as the percentage value obtained by dividing the observed frequency in a box by the total number of typhoon formations in the whole area considered. This percentage indicates the probability that a typhoon will appear in each 5° × 5° area.

3. RESULTS

Fig. 1 shows the annual number of typhoons occurring over the whole area analyzed between 1901 and 1934. The mean number of typhoons per year was slightly higher than 18, and it remained approximately constant throughout the whole period with no significant trends. The annual number of typhoons and tropical storms (of at least 18 m s⁻¹) formed in the whole WNP basin was about 27 (Yumoto & Matsuura 2001), and the annual number of typhoons having winds of at least 33 m s⁻¹ was around 17 (http://www.aoml.noaa.gov/hrd/tcfaq/E10.html). Furthermore, this number shows a moderate interannual variability linked to the ENSO (Wang & Chang 2002) and the QBO (Chan 1995). Selga’s chronology is likely to have missed several typhoons yearly because of its lack of observational coverage over the whole basin; nevertheless, it seems to have overestimated the number of typhoons in the region, and it was not very sensitive to interannual variability. Most probably, overestimation is due to biases produced by errors such as considering as independent 2 or more typhoons reported at different observatories but representative of a single event. However, the main reason for overestimation could be the inclusion of storms as fully developed typhoons, but the difference between Selga’s data and modern instrumental data is not as big as could be expected. The lack of instrumental data in Selga’s work makes it difficult to know how many of the systems called ‘typhoons’ by Selga were what we define today as a typhoon (systems with winds ≥33 m s⁻¹) and how many were storms or depressions. However, an estimation can be made using the approximately 30% (256 of 619) of Selga’s typhoons for which a measurement of atmospheric pressure was provided. According to Dvorak (1975, 1984), a central pressure of at most 976 mb is necessary to support minimal typhoon intensity for the WNP. In 139 of Selga’s 256 typhoons, the pressure was lower than 976 mb, although it is important to note that the atmospheric pressure referenced in Selga’s work could have been measured in any part of the typhoon. This estimation shows that a minimum of 55% of the so-called ‘typhoons’ by Selga were really typhoons, which suggests that Selga might have overcounted the number of typhoons.

Fig. 2 shows the typhoon trajectories included in Selga’s chronology. It agrees with recent chronologies in identifying late summer and early autumn (July to October) as having the highest number of typhoons. It also shows the area covered by the Manila Observatory, with observations from Guam to the Gulf of Tonkin and from the equator to Japan, and includes most of the continental coast of eastern Asia. The quality of the estimated typhoon trajectories depends very much on the number of reference points available. When only 1 point is given in the text, an asterisk is used to locate the typhoon on the map. Trajectories made from only 2 points result in unrealistic straight trajectories, such as that in October 1932 (Selga 1935, p. 4–10), which followed a straight line from the Western Carolines to the NE corner of the analyzed area:

‘Forming over the Western Carolines, this typhoon moved NNE and then NE passing into the regions of the Aleutian Low.’
On the other hand, typhoons with many points referenced in their description allow a more detailed and realistic reconstruction of their trajectory; an example in November 1932 (Selga 1935, p. 24–29):

‘This typhoon formed halfway between Yap and Palau, moved WNW and inclined NW near Surigao Strait, passing close to, and north of, Guiuan. Crossing Samar in a SE to NW direction, it passed over San Bernardino Strait into Sorsogon province. Then shifting more to the north, it moved across the hills of southern Tayabas to Hondaqua Bay, entering Luzon again between Mauban and Infanta. Over Luzon the centre touched the Provinces of Laguna, Rizal, Bulacan, Nueva Ecija, Nueva Vizcaya, Isabela and Cagayan, passing into the Pacific S of Tuguegarao.’

Fig. 3 shows an analysis of the geographical distribution of typhoon passage frequency. In Fig. 3a this
Typhoon passage frequencies in Selga’s chronology are similar (about 30%) to those obtained in recent chronologies (Ho et al. 2004) over the Philippines. For other areas, values obtained for Selga’s chronology are lower than those obtained using modern data (1951–2001): over the South China Sea, Selga’s chronology indicates about 10% passage frequency, while modern data show 20%. Over the Philippine Sea, these values are 5 and 25% respectively; and over the East China Sea they are 10% for Selga’s chronology and 20% for modern data. The differences are not so marked when the whole reconstructed trajectories are used to compute typhoon passage frequencies (Fig. 3b). This suggests that the reason for this difference is related to the limited area reported in Selga’s chronology. Finally, the interdecadal variations reported by Ho et al. (2004), who detected significant increments of the passage frequencies over the South China Sea and significant decreases over the East China Sea and the Philippine Sea since 1970, these are linked to the expansion of the SNPH, and are not found in Selga’s chronology.

4. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Selga’s chronology has been presented as a high-resolution chronology. It includes complete dating and partial spatial information of the events included, and some instrumental information in more than 30% of the events catalogued as typhoons. It also includes information about geographical areas affected by each typhoon, from which estimated trajectories were plotted. Although Selga’s chronology does not give complete information for tropical cyclone activity...
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