Focusing of geodesic congruences in an accelerated expanding Universe
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We study the accelerated expansion of the Universe through its consequences on a congruence of geodesics. We make use of the Raychaudhuri equation which describes the evolution of the expansion rate for a congruence of timelike or null geodesics. In particular, we focus on the space-time geometry contribution to this equation. By straightforward calculation from the metric of a Robertson-Walker cosmological model, it follows that in an accelerated expanding Universe the space-time contribution to the Raychaudhuri equation is positive for the fundamental congruence, favoring a non-focusing of the congruence of geodesics. However, the accelerated expansion of the present Universe does not imply a tendency of the fundamental congruence to diverge. It is shown that this is in fact the case for certain congruences of timelike geodesics without vorticity. Therefore, the focusing of geodesics remains feasible in an accelerated expanding Universe. Furthermore, a negative contribution to the Raychaudhuri equation from space-time geometry which is usually interpreted as the manifestation of the attractive character of gravity is restored in an accelerated expanding Robertson-Walker space-time at high speeds.
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I. INTRODUCTION

General Relativity (GR) as a theory that describes the geometrical structure of space-time and its connection with the energy content of the Universe has been highly successful in the last one hundred years. GR is not only able to give explanation of some discrepancies that had been arisen with Newtonian theory in the Solar System, but also provides a satisfactory description of the cosmological evolution of the space-time.

However, GR is not able to account for the latest cosmological and astrophysical observations with standard matter sources. Among these observations are the anomalous rotational velocities of objects near the edge of the galaxies, the dynamics of galaxies in clusters, formation of large scale structures or gravitational lensing of background objects by galaxy clusters, such as the Bullet Cluster. For the explanation of these observations, more matter than the standard one is required, the so-called dark matter (DM) which has an attractive gravitational character that decelerates the expansion of the Universe. Although there are many plausible origins for this component \cite{1}, DM is usually assumed to be in the form of thermal relics that naturally freeze-out with the right abundance in many extensions of the standard model of particles \cite{2}. Future experiments will be able to discriminate among the large number of candidates and models, such as direct and indirect detection designed explicitly for their search \cite{2}, or even at high energy colliders, where they could be produced \cite{4}. Furthermore, the authors of \cite{5}, recently awarded with the Nobel Prize in physics, discovered the accelerated expansion of the Universe. This result has been one of the main reasons for the revision of the cosmological evolution as predicted by GR. Neither the properties of usual matter and energy nor the believed properties of DM can account for this acceleration. One way of circumventing this problem is to postulating a new kind of fluid, the so-called dark energy, which has a non-standard equation of state allowing a positive energy density while having a negative pressure \cite{6, 7}. Another possibility to generate the present accelerated expansion of the Universe is by the modification of GR \cite{8, 9}. Indeed, this type of modifications could produce an inflationary epoch in the very early Universe. This epoch is postulated to evade the problems with the initially homogeneous and isotropic state of the Universe which arise from considering particle horizons in Robertson-Walker cosmological models.

In this work, we will analyze the accelerated expansion of the Universe by its description through its effects on a congruence of geodesics without assuming any specific gravitational theory. This description has the advantage of being coordinate-independent. In an accelerated expansion it is expected that neighboring geodesics with similar direction will increasingly recede from each other. This evolution may be studied either through the geodesic deviation equation \cite{10} or alternatively by the definition of a kinematic quantity, the expansion, which is quite analogous to the spatial divergence of a vector field. The expansion rate along the congruence will provide us with the appropriate tool to analyze the consequences of the cosmological accelerated expansion of the Universe. This rate is given by the Raychaudhuri equation (RE), which will be discussed and used throughout this work.
RE was first derived by Raychaudhuri in [11] for congruences of timelike geodesics. Later, Sachs [12] introduced the corresponding RE for null geodesics. Ehlers et al. [13] provided a generalization of hydrodynamics to GR where results analogous to well-known theorems of hydrodynamics using RE equation were obtained. Given a congruence of timelike or null geodesics this equation provides the contraction or expansion rate of the congruence according to some kinematic properties of the congruence and the curvature of the space-time where the congruence is embedded. In GR, the RE together with assumed energy conditions guarantee the attractive character of gravity represented by a non-positive contribution to this equation from the space-time geometry. Furthermore, the RE has a fundamental role in the demonstration of important theorems due to Hawking and Penrose. These theorems assert that singularities of Einstein’s equations provided that some energy conditions hold [14, 15].

In this investigation, we shall analyze the evolution of the expansion of a congruence of geodesics. This is carried out in a Robertson-Walker cosmological model from a kinematical point of view since no gravitational theory is assumed beyond the equivalence principle. This work is organized as follows: First, in Section II we present a short review of the derivation of the RE for illustrative purposes and stress some aspects usually disregarded in the available literature. Then, the kinematics of a congruence of geodesics in a Robertson-Walker space-time is studied in Section III. We conclude the paper by giving our conclusions in Section IV.

II. RAYCHAUDHURI EQUATION DERIVATION

The RE provides the expansion rate of a congruence of timelike or null geodesics. In the following, we will summarize the main results for both cases separately according to the notation in [15]. For different reviews and approaches to the RE, we refer the reader to [16]. In the following let us use abstract index notation (see [15] for details). Thus, an expression like $\xi^a$ represents the vector itself and not a component in a certain basis. An expression like $g_{ab}\xi^a\phi^b$ must be understood as $g(\xi, \phi)$. When explicit mention to the components of a tensor in a certain basis is made, greek indices will be used, i.e., $\xi^\mu$. The principal advantage of this notation is that it distinguishes equations that hold between tensors and equations that only hold for components in a certain basis. We use a metric signature $(-, +, +, +)$ and the Riemann and Ricci tensors and the Ricci scalar are defined respectively as $R_{abc}^d = \partial_d \Gamma_{ac}^d - \partial_a \Gamma_{bc}^d + \Gamma_{ac}^e \Gamma_{eb}^d - \Gamma_{bc}^e \Gamma_{ea}^d$, $R_{ac} = R_{abc}^b$ and $R = R_{a}^a$.

### Congruences of timelike geodesics

Let us consider a congruence of timelike geodesics and its tangent vector field $\xi^a$. It is assumed that the tangent vector field is normalized, i.e., $\xi^a \xi_a = -1$, which is always feasible by choosing an affine parameterization of the congruence. Let us define the $(0,2)$ tensor

$$\Xi_{ab} \equiv \nabla_b \xi_a. \quad (1)$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\xi^a \Xi_{ab} = 0 \quad ; \quad \xi^b \Xi_{ab} = 0. \quad (2)$$

The first expression is a consequence of $\xi^a$ being normalized whereas the second one is the geodesic equation. As usual the projector tensor $h_{ab}$ is defined by

$$h_{ab} = g_{ab} + \xi_a \xi_b. \quad (3)$$

This is a spatial metric provided that $\xi^a$ is hypersurface orthogonal. This property of the vector field means that there exists a foliation of the manifold by hypersurfaces orthogonal to $\xi^a$. The metric induced by $g_{ab}$ on these hypersurfaces is $h_{ab}$. For cases where an orthogonal hypersurface cannot be found, the projector tensor $h_{ab}$ is still well-defined as the tensor that projects tensors in a subspace orthogonal to $\xi^a$ at each point of the manifold. It is worth noticing that $\Xi_{ab}$ has no components in the direction of $\xi^a$ according to expression (2). Consequently, $\Xi_{ab}$ is rather defined in the subspace orthogonal to $\xi^a$. This subspace is the hypersurfaces tangent space foliating the manifold when $\xi^a$ is hypersurface orthogonal. Finally, let us consider the following quantities

$$\theta \equiv \Xi_{ab} h_{ab}, \quad (4)$$

$$\sigma_{ab} \equiv \Xi_{(ab)} - \frac{1}{3} \theta h_{ab}, \quad (5)$$

$$\omega_{ab} \equiv \Xi_{[ab]}; \quad (6)$$

which are the trace (with respect to the metric $h_{ab}$, but the trace is the same respect to $g_{ab}$ because of (2)), the trace-free symmetric part and the antisymmetric part of $\Xi_{ab}$ respectively. These quantities are known as the expansion $\theta$, shear $\sigma_{ab}$ and twist $\omega_{ab}$ of the congruence. Thus, $\Xi_{ab}$ can be decomposed as

$$\Xi_{ab} = \frac{1}{3} \theta h_{ab} + \sigma_{ab} + \omega_{ab}, \quad (7)$$

which is analogous to the decomposition of the deformation velocity tensor in hydrodynamics. The meaning of $\theta$, $\sigma_{ab}$ and $\omega_{ab}$ is clear from the analogy with hydrodynamics. The expansion $\theta$ takes into account the divergence or convergence of nearby geodesics. It may also be interpreted as a relative change in volume of a fluid particle. The shear $\sigma_{ab}$ provides information about the deformation of the geodesic congruence without change...
in volume. Finally, the twist $\omega_{ab}$ contains the vorticity of the congruence. Equations for the rate of these kinematic quantities along each geodesic can be derived in the following way. After some calculus, it can be proved
\[ \xi^a \nabla_c \Xi_{ab} = -\Xi^c_b \Xi_{ac} + R_{cba} \xi^c \xi_d, \] (8)
where the Leibniz's rule and the geodesic equation satisfied by $\xi^a$ have been used. Since we are interested in the expansion $\theta$, the trace of $\Xi$ becomes
\[ \frac{d\theta}{d\tau} = -\frac{1}{3} g^{ab} \sigma_{ab} \xi^b + \omega_a \omega^a - R_{ab} \xi^a \xi^b, \] (9)
where $\tau$ is an affine parameter of the geodesics, i.e., the proper time of an observer moving along a geodesic of the congruence. Equation (9) is known as the RE [11, 14, 15]. Let us recall that the expansion $\theta$ provides a natural coordinate-independent way of measuring the focusing or divergence of free-falling matter following a certain congruence. Equation (8) is known as the RE [11, 14, 15].

The implications of the last three inequalities will be the keystone of this investigation. The effect that the two first possibilities produce in the congruence may be expressed as a tendency to diverge and a tendency to focus respectively. It can also be understood as accelerated expansion in the case of (10) and decelerated expansion in the case of (11). On the other hand, the value of $R_{ab} \xi^a \xi^b$ depends both on the point of the manifold where it is calculated and on the direction of the vector field $\xi^a$ at that point. Thus, a positive contribution to the RE may be obtained at some points of the manifold for certain directions whereas may be negative at those points for different directions.

Although it is rarely considered, the expression $R_{ab} \xi^a \xi^b$ admits a geometrical interpretation [17]. Let $e^{(\alpha)}_a$ be a unit vector and $\xi^{(\alpha)}_a$ with $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ an arbitrary set of unit vectors orthogonal to $\xi^a$. The Gaussian curvature $K_{(0)}$ of the geodesic surface generated by $\xi^{(\alpha)}_a$ and $\xi^{(\alpha)}_a$ is
\[ K_{(0)} = e^{(\alpha)}_a e^{(\beta)}_c R^{(\alpha)}_{abcd} \xi^{(\alpha)}_a \xi^{(\beta)}_c \xi^{(\epsilon)}_d \xi^{(\delta)}_e, \] (13)
where $e^{(\alpha)}$ and $e^{(\beta)}$ are the usual Eisenhart $e$’s which take the values $+1$ or $-1$ according to $g^{ab} e^{(\alpha)}_a e^{(\beta)}_b = e^{(\alpha)} e^{(\beta)}$ and $g_{ab} e^{(\alpha)}_a e^{(\beta)}_b = e^{(\alpha)} e^{(\beta)}$. As is widely known, the sign convention for Riemann and Ricci tensors is arbitrary a priori. However, let us recall that the sign of the Gaussian curvature possesses an intrinsic meaning sign-convention independent. Thus, when all these Gaussian curvatures $K_{(0)}$ are summed one gets
\[ \sum_{\alpha=0}^{3} K_{(0)} = e^{(\alpha)} R^{(\alpha)}_{abcd} \xi^{(\alpha)}_a \xi^{(\alpha)}_c \xi^{(\alpha)}_d \xi^{(\alpha)}_e, \] (14)
where we have considered that $K_{(0)}$ is zero and the spectral decomposition of the metric
\[ g^{ab} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{3} e^{(\alpha)}_a \xi^{(\alpha)}_c \xi^{(\alpha)}_b \] (15)
has been used. Manifestly, the sum (13) does not depend on the election of the set of orthogonal unit vectors $e^{(\alpha)}_a$ under consideration. Then, $e R_{ab} \xi^a \xi^b$ is the sum of all the Gaussian curvatures of the geodesics surfaces generated by $\xi^a$ and any set of orthogonal unit vectors to it. This has been referred to as the mean curvature in the direction of $\xi^a$ [17]. Thus, by following our sign convention $e = -1$ and the mean curvature $M_{\xi^a}$ in the direction of a timelike vector $\xi^a$ becomes
\[ M_{\xi^a} \equiv -R_{ab} \xi^a \xi^b. \] (16)
Hence, the contribution of the space-time geometry to the RE has a clear geometrical interpretation as the mean curvature $M_{\xi^a}$ in the direction of the tangent vector field $\xi^a$. Furthermore, the inequalities (10), (11) and (12) can be rewritten in terms of the mean curvature $M_{\xi^a}$ in the direction of $\xi^a$ as follows
\[ M_{\xi^a} > 0 \quad \text{Positive contribution}, \] (17)
\[ M_{\xi^a} < 0 \quad \text{Negative contribution,} \quad (18) \]

\[ M_{\xi^a} = 0 \quad \text{Zero contribution.} \quad (19) \]

Thus, if the mean curvature for a given timelike vector \( \xi^a \) at one point of the manifold is positive (negative) the space-time geometry would tend to make geodesics with tangent vector \( \xi^a \) passing through this point diverge (focus). This formulation represents a clear advantage over the previous one in terms of \( R_{ab}k^a\xi^b \) since it does not depend upon any sign convention nor upon the metric signature. Let us recall that when energy conditions are assumed in GR, one always obtains (18) and (19) but not (17). The fact that in GR \( M_{\xi^a} \) is always negative or zero is interpreted as a manifestation of the attractive character of gravity.

Let us return to our discussion about the RE. It is clear that the sign of the expansion rate \( d\theta/d\tau \) will depend upon all the contributions of the r.h.s of (9). From their respectively definitions, it is obvious that all these terms depend upon the congruence of geodesics under consideration. However, the terms \( \theta^2 \), \( \sigma_{ab} \sigma^{ab} \) and \( \omega_{a\beta} \omega^{ab} \) depend on the behavior of the congruence in the neighborhood of the point where they are calculated. Let us recall that these terms come from \( \nabla_b \xi_a \), then the tangent vector field \( \xi^a \) must be known locally in order to calculate its covariant derivative. Nevertheless, the contribution of space-time geometry \( M_{\xi^a} \) depends only upon the direction of the congruence at a given point. Thus, all the congruences pointing in the same direction at a given point, will have the same contribution from space-time. Moreover, there are only two terms that may have a positive contribution: the term \( \omega_{a\beta} \omega^{ab} \) and the one given by \( M_{\xi^a} \). Specifically, we shall restrict ourselves to congruences with \( \omega_{ab} = 0 \) as we shall explain at the end of this section. Therefore, in this type of congruences the only term that may have a positive contribution to (9) is \( M_{\xi^a} \).

Further remarks

At this stage, we estimate important to stress that the Raychaudhuri equations (9) and (20) are identities, i.e., geometrical statements which do not require any underlying gravitational theory to be derived. They are quite general and apply whenever a Riemannian geometry for the space-time is assumed.

A more general equation for the evolution of the expansion of a congruence results if the assumption of being a congruence of geodesics is discarded. Moreover, the RE can be generalized to spaces with torsion [18]. For our purposes (9) and (20) are sufficient.

As was briefly mentioned, there are analogous equations to (9) and (20) for the shear \( \sigma_{ab} (\hat{\sigma}_{ab}) \) and twist \( \omega_{ab} (\hat{\omega}_{ab}) \) that provide the rate of these quantities along geodesics. These equations form a coupled system of non-linear first order differential equations. They also receive the generic name of Raychaudhuri equations. For instance, the equation for the twist \( \omega_{ab} \) is an homogeneous differential equation in \( \omega_{ab} \) and thus if \( \omega_{ab} \) is zero initially it will remain zero along the geodesics. This result does not prove that the unique solution is \( \omega_{ab} = 0 \) because of the non-linearity of the equation. However, this conclusion can be eventually proved more rigorously (see [13]). This is in fact the analogous result to Kelvin’s theorem in hydrodynamics showing the analogy between theorems of hydrodynamics and theorems concerning congruences of geodesics [13].

The initial value problem of Raychaudhuri equations is still an open question. However, in the case of equation (9) if congruences that satisfy \( \omega_{ab} = 0 \) are considered,

\[ \omega_{ab} = 0 \]
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The initial value problem of Raychaudhuri equations is still an open question. However, in the case of equation (9) if congruences that satisfy \( \omega_{ab} = 0 \) are considered,
there would exist hypersurfaces orthogonal to the vector field that foliate the space-time. This is a consequence of Frobenius’ theorem. Therefore, for a normalized vector field defined orthogonally to an arbitrary hypersurface – not necessarily in the whole manifold – its shear and its expansion (with components only in that hypersurface) can be determined. This way, the expansion rate of the congruence of geodesics defined by this vector field can also be determined.

Therefore, the rate of the congruence of geodesics defined by this vector field defined orthogonally to an arbitrary hypersurface that foliate the space-time. This is a consequence of the singularity theorems by Hawking and Penrose and the cosmic time. However, provided that the inequality (17) holds for all the timelike directions \( \xi^a \) in a region of the manifold, the contribution of space-time geometry then favors a tendency to diverge of all the congruence of geodesics in that region. Therefore, the natural question that arises is whether the condition \( \ddot{a} > 0 \), when satisfied in a region, implies \( \mathcal{M}_{\xi^a} > 0 \) for every timelike direction \( \xi^a \). This question will be addressed throughout the present section.

One may thus wonder about the effects of the gravitational field on the kinematical behavior of a congruence of timelike geodesics. In particular, it is of special interest to study whether a congruence of timelike geodesics tends to focus – or to diverge – and under which conditions this happens. The kinematic quantity of a congruence known as expansion \( \theta \) introduced in Section III provides us with a useful tool to study the focusing of timelike geodesics. In this sense, the inequality

\[
\xi^a \nabla_c \theta = \frac{d \theta}{d \tau} > 0,
\]

states the tendency of nearby geodesics to diverge measured by a comoving observer. The proper time \( \tau \) is the time of the comoving observer. Since the l.h.s. of the previous expression (22) can be replaced by the r.h.s. of the RE (9), a vector field tangent to a congruence of timelike geodesics must be chosen in order to determine the expansion \( \theta \), shear \( \sigma_{ab} \) and twist \( \omega_{ab} \).

Fundamental congruence

Since we are dealing with a Robertson-Walker metric (21), the most natural choice for a vector field would be

\[
\xi^a_{\text{RW}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t},
\]

which is the preferred direction of a Robertson-Walker space-time because of the local isotropy in hypersurfaces orthogonal to \( \xi^a_{\text{RW}} \). The vector field (23) gives rise to a congruence of timelike geodesics of the form \( x^a = (t - t_0, \bar{x}_0) \) in comoving coordinates with \( t_0 \) and \( \bar{x}_0 \) constants. These geodesics are followed by the funda-

---

\( \theta \) field can also be determined.
mental observers, those seeing homogeneity and isotropy in constant-time hypersurfaces. Thus, a fundamental congruence refers to the congruence of timelike geodesics generated by $\xi^a_{\text{RW}}$. As it is easy to verify by straightforward calculation – or by using symmetry arguments –, the kinematic quantities of the fundamental congruence are all zero except the expansion

$$\theta_{\text{RW}} = \frac{3}{a} \dot{a}. \quad (24)$$

Thus, by inspection of (9) it follows that the rate of the expansion seen by a fundamental observer will be entirely determined by the value of the expansion $\theta_{\text{RW}}$ and the contribution of space-time geometry

$$\mathcal{M}_{\xi^a_{\text{RW}}} = -\mathcal{R}_{ab} \xi^a_{\text{RW}} \xi^b_{\text{RW}} = 3 \frac{\ddot{a}}{a}. \quad (25)$$

Hence

$$\frac{d\theta_{\text{RW}}}{dt} = 3 \left[ -\left( \frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)^2 + \frac{\ddot{a}}{a} \right], \quad (26)$$

where the proper time of the fundamental observer is $t$, i.e., the time coordinate in (21). A fundamental observer will then see nearby geodesics with a tendency to diverge if and only if $d\theta_{\text{RW}}/dt > 0$. By imposing this condition on (26) we get

$$\ddot{a} > \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a}, \quad (27)$$

which can be expressed in the following way

$$q \equiv -\frac{1}{H^2} \frac{\dot{a}}{a} < -1 \iff \frac{d\theta_{\text{RW}}}{dt} > 0, \quad (28)$$

where $q$ is the deceleration parameter and $H \equiv \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble parameter. Hence, a fundamental observer will perceive nearby geodesics with a tendency to diverge if and only if $q < -1$. In other words, getting a deceleration parameter smaller than zero is not sufficient for fundamental timelike geodesics to be perceived by a fundamental observer as diverging in an increasingly fashion.

In the standard cosmological model $\Lambda$CDM, the value of $q$ today can be determined by using the values of the present relative abundances of matter (including dark matter) and cosmological constant, $\Omega_M$ and $\Omega_\Lambda$ respectively by the expression

$$q = \frac{1}{2} \Omega_M - \Omega_\Lambda, \quad (29)$$

where flatness in spatial sections was again assumed. Thus, using the approximate values $\Omega_M \simeq 0.27$ and $\Omega_\Lambda \simeq 0.73$ given in (21), the present value of the deceleration parameter $q_0$ results $q_0 \simeq -0.6$, with an uncertainty of the order of 0.01. This value of $q_0$ does not imply a tendency of nearby geodesics to diverge since $q_0 > -1$. One may argue that we have assumed GR to calculate the deceleration parameter $q_0$, and therefore the discussion is not independent of a gravitational theory. However, there are straightforward estimations of the deceleration parameter using Supernovae Ia (22) without appealing to a gravitational theory. The preferred values obtained for $q_0$ in these analyses are always larger than $-1$, although with uncertainties of the order 0.1.

Before continuing with the study of the kinematics of a congruence of timelike geodesics, let us mention that in general, the determination of other geodesics is not possible in a closed form since an expression for $\omega(t)$ is usually missing. Therefore, it will not be possible in general to obtain an expression for the tangent vector field $\xi^a$ of a congruence of geodesics. However, if our interest is focused on calculating the space-time geometry contribution to the RE for timelike geodesics, the knowledge of the vector field $\xi^a$ expression is not necessary. The reason is that the value of $\mathcal{M}_{\xi^a}$ only depends on the direction of the vector field at a given point. Then, given a timelike vector, the value of $\mathcal{M}_{\xi^a}$ can be determined by using the expressions for the components of the Ricci tensor. Moreover, the value of $\mathcal{M}_{\xi^a}$ will be constant in each hypersurface orthogonal to $\xi^a_{\text{RW}}$ because of homogeneity in these hypersurfaces.

However, if we wish to know the total value of $d\theta/d\tau$ from the RE, an expression for the tangent vector field is needed. It means that we must know the vector field locally at each point of the manifold. Nevertheless, we can use the property stated in equations (2) which asserts the spatial character of $\Sigma_{ab} = \nabla_b \xi_a$. The procedure consists on defining a smooth vector field on a spacelike hypersurface. The only conditions on the definition of this vector field are that it be normalized $\xi^a \xi_a = -1$ and that it be orthogonal to the hypersurface where it is defined. This slice of a vector field will give rise to a congruence of timelike geodesics passing by the hypersurface with the direction of the vector field in each point. This congruence will have $\omega_{ab} = 0$ because being zero in the initial hypersurface it will remain so. However, the shear $\sigma_{ab}$ and the expansion $\theta$ do not need to be zero. Then, instead of considering a vector field tangent to a congruence of geodesics defined in all the manifold, a vector field is instead defined at a spacelike hypersurface. Let us remark that this procedure restricts us to consider congruences of timelike geodesics without vorticity. By this way $\Sigma_{ab}$ at the initial hypersurface can be determined. Thus, the expansion rate $d\theta/d\tau$ of the congruence evaluated in the hypersurface will be known from a slice of the geodesic tangent vector field.

**One-parameter dependent congruences of timelike geodesics**

The congruences under consideration from now on are obtained from a one-parameter dependent slice of a vector field. First of all, we must choose a spacelike hy-
persurface where the vector field will be defined. The simplest one-parameter dependent $\epsilon$ family of spacelike hypersurfaces defined by a function $f = 0$ in terms of the coordinates (21) is

$$f = t - \vec{c} \cdot \vec{x} = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)

where $0 \leq |\vec{c}|/a < 1$ in order the hypersurfaces to be spacelike. This constraint is easily understood if one considers the normal vector to the hypersurfaces (30) and this vector is then constrained to be timelike. This family of hypersurfaces depends in fact on three parameters, namely the three components of $\vec{c}$. However, thanks to the spatial isotropy, the direction of $\vec{c}$ does not affect the results. Thus, the relevant parameter is $|\vec{c}| = \epsilon$. Moreover, because of spatial homogeneity and the arbitrariness in the election of the time origin we have eliminated the constant that may appear in (30), i.e., the hypersurfaces pass through the origin of coordinates. The restriction on the value of $\epsilon$ means that the hypersurface is defined only in the manifold region $a > \epsilon$. Otherwise, the hypersurfaces are not spacelike. Let us now define the following quantity

$$\beta \equiv \epsilon/a^2(t).$$  \hspace{1cm} (31)

The slice of the timelike vector field which is normalized and orthogonal to the hypersurface given by (30) then becomes

$$\xi^a_{(\beta)} = \tilde{\gamma} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (32)

where

$$\tilde{\gamma} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - a^2 \beta^2}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (33)

The tangent vector field that gives rise to the fundamental congruence is obtained in the specific case $\epsilon = 0$ or equivalently $\beta = 0$. In order to guarantee $\xi^a_{(\beta)}$ to be timelike, one imposes $0 \leq a \beta < 1$. Then, it follows the restriction on the value of $\epsilon$ commented above. From (32), $\beta$ can be interpreted as the spatial coordinate velocity of a particle passing through the hypersurfaces defined by (30). The dependence of $\beta$ on the scale factor $a$ means that this velocity is not the same in the whole hypersurface defined by a certain value of the parameter $\epsilon$. Note that in expression (32) we have chosen the $x$-axis in the direction of the spatial coordinate velocity $\beta$ without loss of generality thanks to the spatial isotropy. The $\tilde{\gamma}$ factor just ensures the normalization $g_{ab}\xi^a_{(\beta)}\xi^b_{(\beta)} = -1$.

One realizes of the similarity of the former derivation with the usual definitions of $\beta$ and $\gamma$ in Special Relativity. Consequently, the slice of the timelike vector field orthogonal to the hypersurfaces (30) in Special Relativity becomes

$$a^a = \gamma \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (34)

with $0 \leq \beta < 1$ in order to guarantee $\eta_{ab}a^a a^b < 0$, with $\eta_{ab}$ the Minkowskian metric. Hence, expressions (32) and (33) are completely valid both in Special Relativity (setting $a = 1$) and in Robertson-Walker space-times. However, when we are considering a Robertson-Walker metric, the maximum coordinate velocity $\beta_{\text{max}}$ that a physical entity can reach, in the sense that it moves along null geodesics, depends on the value of scale factor $a(t)$ at each point. In order to guarantee that the vector field as given by (32) is timelike, the required condition reads $0 \leq a \beta < 1$. Therefore the maximum coordinate velocity is expressed as $\beta_{\text{max}} = 1/a(t)$. Nevertheless, let us recall that the physical spatial velocity which is measured is $a\beta$. One may interpret a physical velocity as the ratio between a spatial and time distances. These distances hold for the ones induced by the timelike geodesic one follows. Therefore, for a particle with four-velocity $\xi^a_{(\beta)}$, its physical velocity yields

$$V_{\text{physical}} = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{\int_{t}^{t+\Delta t} \sqrt{\eta_{ab}\xi^a_{(\beta)} \xi^b_{(\beta)} dr'}}{\Delta t} = a\beta.$$  \hspace{1cm} (35)

where $\xi^a_{(\beta)}$ is given by (21) and $h_{ab} = g_{ab} + \xi^a_{(\beta)} \xi^b_{(\beta)}$ is the spatial metric induced by the flow of time in the direction of $\xi^a_{(\beta)}$. Alternatively, one may also build a unit vector orthogonal to $\xi^a_{(\beta)}$ being the spatial projection of $\xi^a_{(\beta)}$, namely $h_a = h_{ab} \xi^b_{(\beta)}/\sqrt{g_{ac}\xi^c_{(\beta)} \xi^d_{(\beta)} h_{cd}}$ and then calculate the ratio between the projection of $\xi^a_{(\beta)}$ in the direction of $h_a$ and in the direction of $\xi^a_{(\beta)}$, finally obtaining the same result as in (35).

Therefore, after considering the slice of the timelike vector field $\xi^a_{(\beta)}$ (32) defined in the hypersurface (30), the tensor $\Xi_{ab} = \nabla_b \xi_a$ is calculated in order to obtain both the expansion $\theta$ and the shear $\sigma_{ab}$ (the twist $\omega_{ab}$ is zero by construction). By following this procedure, the value of $d\theta/d\tau$ in the hypersurfaces $f = t - \vec{c} \cdot \vec{x}$ will be determined. This will provide us with information about the focusing or divergence of the congruence generated by the vector field slice in terms of the scale factor $a(t)$, its derivatives and the spatial coordinate velocity $\beta$.

However, in the following we shall focus on the mean curvature $\mathcal{M}_{\xi^a}$. This is due to the fact that although $\sigma_{ab}$ and $\theta$ may not be zero, their contribution to the RE is always non-positive. Moreover, as stressed in Section 3, the implications of the mean curvature $\mathcal{M}_{\xi^a}$ are more general than those of the other terms. Furthermore, $\mathcal{M}_{\xi^a}$ is the unique term that may have a positive contribution to the RE, since for the congruence given rise by the vector field slice (32) we have $\omega_{ab}\omega^{ab} = 0$. Hence, let us proceed with the calculation of $\mathcal{M}_{\xi^a}$ in order to extract information about the focusing of these one-parameter dependent congruences.
Evaluation of the condition $\mathcal{M}_{\xi} > 0$

In the following we will obtain a condition on $a(t)$ and the spatial coordinate velocity $\beta$ that guarantees $\mathcal{M}_{\xi} > 0$, i.e., a positive contribution to the RE $\mathcal{M}_{\xi}$. The motivation of choosing a positive contribution lies in the fact that the fundamental congruence satisfies $\mathcal{M}_{\xi} > 0$ in the present epoch as follows from (25).

Then, by using the Ricci tensor components derived from the metric (21) and the expression for the timelike vector field given in (24), we get

$$R_{ab}\xi_{(a)}\xi_{(b)} = \gamma^2 \left[ -3\frac{\dot{a}}{a} + \beta^2 (a\ddot{a} + 2\dot{a}^2) \right].$$

Thus, imposing $\mathcal{M}_{\xi} = -R_{ab}\xi_{(a)}\xi_{(b)} > 0$, yields

$$-3\frac{\dot{a}}{a} + \beta^2 (a\ddot{a} + 2\dot{a}^2) < 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\ddot{a}}{\beta^2} > 2a\beta^2\dot{a}^2,$$

where $a^2\beta^2 - 3 < 0$ (because of $a\beta < 1$) have been used.

This last inequality tells us that the condition $\ddot{a} > 0$ is sufficient for a timelike vector of the form (24) to get a positive contribution to the RE from the space-time geometry $\mathcal{M}_{\xi}$. Consequently, $\ddot{a}$ must be larger than a certain positive value. Therefore, a positive contribution to the RE from space-time geometry (17) for all timelike congruences is not equivalent to $\ddot{a} > 0$. The inequality (38) can be recast as

$$q < -\frac{2a^2\beta^2}{3 - a^2\beta^2},$$

which depends only on the physical velocity $a\beta$. In Figure 1 the condition (38) has been depicted. In that figure, the values $(a\beta, q)$ ensuring a positive contribution to the RE from the mean curvature are plotted.

Moreover, it is worthwhile to stress that the requirement $\mathcal{M}_{\xi} > 0$ implies lower values of the deceleration parameter $q$ for timelike vectors approaching the null cone. Then, in the limit $a\beta \rightarrow 1$, i.e., timelike vectors near the null cone, we get $q < -1$. Therefore, provided that $q < -1$ all the congruences of timelike geodesics will have a positive contribution to the RE from space-time geometry which means $\mathcal{M}_{\xi} > 0$ for all timelike vectors $\xi_{(a)}$. The implication of this condition must not be confused with (28): the condition (28) implied $d\theta_R/dt > 0$ for the fundamental congruence whereas in this case the condition $q < -1$ implies a positive contribution from the space-time geometry, namely $\mathcal{M}_{\xi} > 0$, for every congruence of timelike geodesics. Since the present value of the deceleration parameter is not smaller than $-1$, it remains possible to get $\mathcal{M}_{\xi} < 0$ for timelike congruences whose tangent vector field is sufficiently inclined with respect to $\xi_R = \partial / \partial t$. Therefore, using (25), the following possibilities for the physical velocity $a\beta$ may be fulfilled

$$a\beta < \sqrt{-\frac{3q}{2 - q}} \quad \text{Positive contribution,} \quad (39)$$

$$a\beta > \sqrt{-\frac{3q}{2 - q}} \quad \text{Negative contribution,} \quad (40)$$

$$a\beta = \sqrt{-\frac{3q}{2 - q}} \quad \text{Zero contribution;} \quad (41)$$

to the RE from the space-time geometry.

If $\beta = 0$ in (37) we obtain $a > 0$ which means that the fundamental congruence will experience a positive contribution from the space-time if $\ddot{a} > 0$ and therefore in agreement with (25).

In Figure 2 a future light cone is represented. Using the value for the present deceleration parameter $(q_0 \simeq -0.6)$, the limiting value obtained from (11), $a\beta \simeq 0.8$ separates the regions of different contribution to the RE. The requirement $a\beta > 0.8$ constitutes a necessary condition to ensure $\mathcal{M}_{\xi} < 0$. However, due to the non-positive character of all the other terms of the RE for a congruence without vorticity, $d\theta/dt$ might be negative despite a positive contribution $\mathcal{M}_{\xi} > 0$. 

\begin{figure}[h]
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\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{Figure1.png}
\caption{Mean curvature sign for congruence of geodesics generated by $\xi$ : The line represents the limiting case $q = -2a^2\beta^2/(3 - a^2\beta^2)$. For a given spatial coordinate velocity $\beta$ and scale factor $a(t)$ if the deceleration parameter $q$ is below the line (region in blue) a congruence of geodesics with a physical velocity $a\beta$ acquires a positive contribution to the RE from the mean curvature $\mathcal{M}_{\xi}$ or equivalently from the term $-R_{ab}\xi^a\xi^b$. In the region above the line (white color) we depict the opposite case, i.e., negative contribution.}
\end{figure}
Let us recall that a value of the deceleration parameter \( q \) equals to \(-1\) is obtained for \( a(t) \propto e^{\theta_0 t} \) which is the solution in Robertson-Walker cosmological model of the Einstein’s equations with cosmological constant \( \Lambda = 3H_0^2 \) in vacuum. This solution is usually referred as the de Sitter expanding universe. This means that a value of \( q \) lower than \(-1\) implies an expansion rate higher than the rate of expansion of a de Sitter solution. Therefore, it seems very unlikely to have \( q < -1 \) in any epoch of the evolution of the Universe. Let us recall that even in the inflation phase the rate of expansion is expected to be exponential and therefore \( q_{\text{inflation}} = -1 \). Thus, let us conclude this section by remarking that for timelike vectors sufficiently close to the light cone one obtains \( \mathcal{M}_{\xi^a} < 0 \) in any epoch of the evolution of the Universe.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this investigation we have studied the RE and its implications on the evolution of geodesics. We addressed the kinematics of a congruence of timelike geodesics without vorticity in a Robertson-Walker cosmological model with no underlying gravitational theory assumed a priori. From a general point of view, we have established the difference between the implications of a cosmic acceleration \( \ddot{a} > 0 \) and a positive contribution to the RE from the space-time geometry, i.e. \( \mathcal{M}_{\xi^a} = -R_{ab} \xi^a \xi^b > 0 \).

We have then considered the fundamental congruence generated by the vector field \( \xi^{\text{RW}} \). We proved that the tendency to focus or diverge for the fundamental congruence depends of the fact that \( q \) is either bigger or smaller than \(-1\) respectively. Given the fact that an approximate value for the deceleration parameter today \( q_0 \approx -0.6 \) can be found either by assuming GR or just by using Supernovae Ia data in theory-independent calculations, one concludes that although the fundamental congruence is expanding because of \( \theta_{\text{RW}} = 3\dot{a}/a > 0 \), it has a tendency to focus since \( \frac{d\theta_{\text{RW}}}{dt} < 0 \).

Moreover, we have examined the congruence of timelike geodesics generated by a vector field orthogonal to a one-parameter \( \epsilon \) dependent spacelike hypersurface. The spatial coordinate velocity \( \beta = \epsilon/a^2(t) \) represents – at each point of the hypersurface – the inclination of the vector field with respect to the preferred direction of Robertson-Walker models \( \xi^{\text{RW}} \). In the case of the slice of a vector field to be normalized and orthogonal to the hypersurface, it is possible to determine the condition for the deceleration parameter \( q \) which ensures the contribution of the space-time geometry to the RE, \( \mathcal{M}_{\xi^a} = -R_{ab} \xi^a \xi^b \) to be positive. The aforementioned condition depends both upon the spatial coordinate velocity \( \beta \) and the scale factor \( a(t) \) but only through the physical spatial velocity \( a\beta \). In congruences without vorticity, if \( \mathcal{M}_{\xi^a} > 0 \) does not hold all the contributions to the RE are non-positive since \( \omega_{ab} = 0 \) and the remaining terms are non-positive as we discussed. We found that this condition is not satisfied in the present Universe provided that \( a\beta > 0.8 \). This means that congruences of geodesics without vorticity with its tangent vector fulfilling \( a\beta > 0.8 \) will not tend to diverge. In the Robertson-Walker preferred framework this situation occurs for geodesics moving near the light cones. These congruences will tend to focus provided that the other terms of the RE are negative. Besides, a negative contribution from space-time geometry to the RE would mean that the attractive character of gravity is restored at high speeds for \(-1 < q < 0\). The term high speeds must be understood with respect to the preferred framework of a Robertson-Walker cosmological model which is usually identified with the CMB because of its high isotropy.

In the previous sense, systems may decouple from the cosmological accelerated expansion. This result can help to understand the structure formation of system moving fast, that is favored with respect to slow systems. Even taking into account the present value of the deceleration
parameter $q_{0}$, $a\beta > 0.8$ gives a negative contribution to the RE from space-time geometry. This means that in order to get a negative contribution to RE from the space-time geometry, it is necessary to move with a velocity bigger than $0.8c$ with respect to the preferred framework of Robertson-Walker space-times. Although this value is quite large, the deceleration parameter $q$ was smaller in the past and consequently the velocity respect the CMB necessary to accomplish a negative contribution to the RE from space-time geometry was also smaller.

To conclude, we would like to highlight the fact that the results obtained in this investigation provide us with a mechanism for a gravitational system to decouple from the accelerated expansion of the Universe. This mechanism does not need to appeal to the gravitational interaction of the system itself. Although the decoupling of astrophysical systems from the cosmic acceleration is usually carried out by the stronger effect of their own gravitational forces, our investigation may help to understand how geodesic motion in an expanding Universe influences this decoupling.
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