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ABSTRACT: We establish a factorization theorem for entropy ideals generated by Lorentz-Marcinkiewicz sequence spaces $\lambda^\infty(\varphi)$.

0. INTRODUCTION

Entropy ideals generated by Lorentz-Marcinkiewicz sequence spaces $\lambda^q(\varphi)$ have been considered in [2] and [3], where some of their properties have been derived. These ideals play an important role in order to characterize the degree of compactness of weakly singular integral operators (see [4]). In this paper we obtain factorization formulae for entropy ideals of the type $\lambda^\infty(\varphi)$.

To establish such factorization, we shall use some techniques developed by A. Pietsch [10] for the case of entropy ideals generated by $\ell_p$ spaces (see also [6]) combined with the real method of interpolation with a function parameter (cf., e.g., [5] and [8]). In the process, we will also obtain some information on the behaviour of entropy numbers under interpolation with function parameter.

1. PRELIMINARIES

We will use throughout this paper standard operator ideal notation, as may be found for example in [9]. Concerning interpolation theory, we refer to [1] and [5].

The class of all (bounded linear) operators between arbitrary Banach spaces is denoted by $\mathcal{L}$, while $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ stands for the collection of those operators acting from $E$ into $F$. For the closed unit ball of $E$ we use the symbol $U_E$.

If $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ and $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, then the $n$th entropy number $e_n(T)$ is defined as the infimum of all $\varepsilon > 0$ such that there are $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_q \in F$ with $q \leq 2^{n-1}$ and

$$T(U_E) \subseteq \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq q} \{y_j + \varepsilon U_F\}.$$ 

Let $[\mathcal{U}, A]$ and $[\mathcal{V}, B]$ be quasi-normed operator ideals. The component $\mathcal{U} \cdot \mathcal{V}(E, F')$ of the product $\mathcal{U} \cdot \mathcal{V}$ consists of all operators $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ which can be factorized in the form $T = SR$ with $S \in \mathcal{U}(M, F)$ and $R \in \mathcal{V}(E, M)$. Here, $M$ is a suitable Banach space. We put

$$A \cdot B(T) = \inf \{A(S)B(R)\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all possible factorizations as above. Then $[\mathcal{U} \cdot \mathcal{V}, A \cdot B]$ is a quasi-normed operator ideal (see [9], Thm. 7.1.2).

(*) The second named author was supported in part by FAPESP-BRASIL (Proc. 86-0964-0).
2. FUNCTION PARAMETER AND INTERPOLATION

The function \( \varphi : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty) \) belongs to the class \( \mathcal{B} \) if and only if \( \varphi \) is continuous, \( \varphi(1) = 1 \) and

\[
\varphi(t) = \sup_{s > 0} \left( \frac{\varphi(st)}{\varphi(s)} \right) < \infty, \quad \text{for every } t > 0.
\]

If \( \varphi \in \mathcal{B} \) then \( \varphi \) is submultiplicative (i.e., \( \varphi(ts) \leq \varphi(t)\varphi(s) \)) and Lebesgue measurable. Moreover, the so-called Boyd indices, \( \alpha_{\varphi} \) and \( \beta_{\varphi} \), of the function \( \varphi \) are well defined by

\[
\alpha_{\varphi} = \inf_{1 < t < \infty} \left( \frac{\log \varphi(t)}{\log t} \right) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \left( \frac{\log \varphi(t)}{\log t} \right)
\]

\[
\beta_{\varphi} = \sup_{0 < t < 1} \left( \frac{\log \varphi(t)}{\log t} \right) = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \left( \frac{\log \varphi(t)}{\log t} \right)
\]

They are real numbers, satisfying \( -\infty < \beta_{\varphi} \leq \alpha_{\varphi} < \infty \) and the following holds

\[
\alpha_{\varphi} < 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \int_1^\infty \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} \, dt < \infty;
\]

\[
\beta_{\varphi} > 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \int_0^1 \frac{\varphi(t)}{t} \, dt < \infty.
\]

Important examples of functions belonging to \( \mathcal{B} \) are

\[
\varphi(t) = t^{1/p}(1 + |\log t|)^{\gamma}, \quad \text{for } 0 < p \leq \infty \text{ and } -\infty < \gamma < \infty.
\]

In this case,

\[
\varphi(t) = t^{1/p}(1 + |\log t|)^{\gamma},
\]

its indices being \( \beta_{\varphi} = \alpha_{\varphi} = 1/p \).

Two positive functions \( \varphi \) and \( \rho \) are referred to as equivalent if there are two positive constants \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) such that

\[
c_1 \rho(t) \leq \varphi(t) \leq c_2 \rho(t), \quad t > 0.
\]

In order to prove the factorization formula, we shall need the two following essentially known facts on function parameters. For the sake of completeness we give their proofs.
Lemma 2.1. Let $\varphi, \chi \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\beta_\varphi > 0$, and let $\rho$ be the function defined by $\rho(t) = \frac{\varphi(t)}{\chi(\varphi(t))}$. Then $\rho$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Since $\beta_\varphi > 0$, the function $\chi$ is equivalent to an increasing function (see [8], Prop. 4). Hence, there exists a constant $c > 0$, such that

$$\overline{\chi}(t_1) \leq c\overline{\chi}(t_2), \text{ if } t_1 \leq t_2.$$ 

Consequently,

$$\overline{\rho}(t) = \sup_{s > 0} \left( \frac{\chi(\varphi(s))\varphi(ts)}{\chi(\varphi(ts))\varphi(s)} \right)$$

$$\leq \overline{\varphi}(t) \sup_{s > 0} \left( \frac{\varphi(s)}{\varphi(ts)} \right)$$

$$= \overline{\varphi}(t) \sup_{s > 0} \left( \frac{\varphi(st^{-1})}{\varphi(s)} \right)$$

$$\leq \overline{c\varphi}(t) \overline{\varphi(t^{-1})},$$

which shows that $\rho$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}$. \hfill \Box

Lemma 2.2. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$ be an increasing function with $\beta_\varphi > 0$. Then $\psi = \varphi^{-1}$ also belongs to $\mathcal{B}$, and its indices are $\beta_{\psi} = 1/\alpha_{\varphi}$, $\alpha_{\psi} = 1/\beta_{\varphi}$.

Proof. Given $\epsilon > 0$, according to the definition of $\beta_{\varphi}$, we can find $\delta > 0$ such that for any $s > 0$ and any $t < \delta$ we have

$$\varphi(st) \leq t^\mu \varphi(s),$$

where $\mu = \beta_{\varphi} - \epsilon$. Thus,

$$st \leq \varphi^{-1}(t^\mu \varphi(s)).$$

Set $u = t^\mu \varphi(s)$ and $v = t^{-\mu}$. It follows that for any $u > 0$ and any $v > \delta^{-\mu}$ we get

$$\varphi^{-1}(uv) \leq v^{1/\mu} \psi^{-1}(u).$$

Whence, we conclude that $\psi = \varphi^{-1}$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}$ and that $\alpha_{\varphi} \leq 1/\beta_{\varphi}$. By using a similar argument, one can easily show that $\beta_{\psi} \geq 1/\alpha_{\varphi}$. If we now interchange the roles of $\varphi$ and $\psi$ we shall have $\alpha_{\varphi} \leq 1/\beta_{\varphi}$ and $\beta_{\psi} \geq 1/\alpha_{\varphi}$. This, together with the above estimates, give the desired results. \hfill \Box
We close this section with some definitions from interpolation theory (see [1], [5] and [8]).

An interpolation couple \((E_0, E_1)\) consists of two Banach spaces \(E_0\) and \(E_1\) which are continuously embedded into a Hausdorff topological vector space \(Z\). We can endow \(E_0 + E_1\) with the norm \(K(1, x)\), where

\[
K(t, x) = \inf \{ ||x_0||_{E_0} + x||x_1||_{E_1} : x = x_0 + x_1 \},
\]

and \(E_0 \cap E_1\) with the norm \(J(1, x)\), where

\[
J(t, x) = \max \{ ||x||_{E_0}, x||x||_{E_1} \}.
\]

A Banach space \(E\) is called an intermediate space between \(E_0\) and \(E_1\) if \(E_0 \cap E_1 \subset E \subset E_0 + E_1\) and the corresponding embedding maps are continuous.

**Definition 2.3.** Let \(\varphi \in \mathcal{B}\) and let \((E_0, E_1)\) be an interpolation couple. Suppose that \(E\) is an intermediate space between \(E_0\) and \(E_1\). Then, we say that

i) \(E\) is of \(K\)-type \(\varphi\) if \(K(t, x) \leq c\varphi(t)||x||_E, t > 0, x \in E\);

ii) \(E\) is of \(J\)-type \(\varphi\) if \(||x||_E \leq cJ(t, x)/\varphi(t), t > 0, x \in E_0 \cap E_1\).

In order to give examples of such spaces, we recall the definition of real interpolation space with a function parameter. Let \((E_0, E_1)\) be an interpolation couple, let \(1 \leq q \leq \infty\) and \(\varphi \in \mathcal{B}\). The space \((E_0, E_1)_{\varphi, q; K}\) consists of all \(x \in E_0 + E_1\) for which the following functional is finite:

\[
||x||_{\varphi, q; K} = \begin{cases} 
\left( \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{K(t, x)}{\varphi(t)} \right)^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q}, & \text{if } 1 \leq q < \infty \\
\sup_{t>0} \left( \frac{K(t, x)}{\varphi(t)} \right), & \text{if } q = \infty.
\end{cases}
\]

**Example 2.4.** Let \(\varphi \in \mathcal{B}\) with \(0 < \beta_\varphi \leq \alpha_\varphi < 1\) and let \((E_0, E_1)\) be an interpolation couple. Then, for every \(1 \leq q \leq \infty\), \((E_0, E_1)_{\varphi, q; K}\) is of \(K\)-type \(\varphi\) and \(J\)-type \(\varphi\) (see [5], Lemma 2.1).

3. ENTROPY IDEALS

**Definition 3.1.** Given \(\varphi \in \mathcal{B}\), we define

\[
\mathcal{E}_{\varphi, \infty} = \{ T \in \mathcal{L} : E_{\varphi, \infty}(T) = \sup_{n \geq 1} (\varphi(n) e_n(T)) < \infty \}.
\]

It is well known that the classes \(\mathcal{E}_{\varphi, \infty}\) are quasi-normed operator ideals (see [3], §2). Observe that \(E_{\varphi, \infty}(T) = ||(e_n(T)||_{\varphi, \infty}, \text{ where } || \cdot ||_{\varphi, \infty}\text{ is the quasi-norm in the Lorentz-Marcinkiewicz sequence space } \lambda^\infty(\varphi)\) (see [2], §2).

We will also need the following two Propositions
Proposition 3.2. Let \( \varphi, \chi \in \mathcal{B} \) with \( 0 < \beta_\chi \leq \alpha_\chi < 1 \) and let \( E \) be an intermediate space between \( E_0 \) and \( E_1 \) having \( K \)-type \( \chi \). If \( T \in \mathcal{E}_{\varphi, \infty}(E_0, F) \) and \( T \in \mathcal{L}(E_1, F) \), then we have \( T \in \mathcal{E}_{\varphi, \infty}(E, F) \), where \( \rho(t) = \frac{\varphi(t)}{\chi(\varphi(t))} \).

Proof. First we notice that Lemma 2.1 implies \( \rho \in \mathcal{B}. \) Denote by \( T_i \) the operator \( T \) acting from \( E_i \) into \( F(i = 0, 1) \). Taking into account that \( \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \chi(1/t) = 0 \) and proceeding as in [9], Prop. 12.1.11, it is not hard to check that

\[
(1) \quad e_{n_0 + n_1 - 1}(T: E \to F) \leq 2c e_{n_0}(T_0) \chi \frac{e_{n_1}(T_1)}{e_{n_0}(T_0)}.
\]

Here, \( e_{n_0 + n_1 - 1} = 0 \) if \( e_{n_0}(T_0) = 0 \) or \( e_{n_1}(T_1) = 0 \).

From (1) and the fact \( \overline{\rho} \) is bounded on every compact set contained in \((0, \infty)\) (see [7], p. 241), we can easily see that there are two positive constants \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) (independent of \( T \)) such that

\[
\sup_{n \geq 1} (\rho(n)e_n(T)) \leq c_1 \sup_{n \geq 1} \left( \rho(n)e_n(T_0) \chi \frac{e_n(T_1)}{e_n(T_0)} \right)
\]

\[
\leq c_1 \sup_{n \geq 1} \left( \frac{\varphi(n)e_n(T_0)}{\varphi(n)e_n(T_0)} \chi \frac{1}{\chi(\varphi(n)e_n(T_0))} \right)
\]

This last expression is finite because the sequence \( (\varphi(n)e_n(T_0)) \) is bounded and the function \( t \to t\chi(1/t) \) has lower Boyd index greater than zero.

Proposition 3.3. Let \( \varphi, \chi \in \mathcal{B} \) with \( 0 < \beta_\chi \leq \alpha_\chi < 1 \) and let \( F \) be an intermediate space between \( F_0 \) and \( F_1 \) having \( J \)-type \( \chi \). If \( T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F_0) \) and \( T \in \mathcal{E}_{\varphi, \infty}(E, F_1) \), then we have \( T \in \mathcal{E}_{\varphi, \infty}(E, F) \), where \( \tau(t) = \chi(\varphi(t)) \).

Proof. Let \( T_i \) denote the operator \( T \) acting from \( E \) into \( F_i(i = 0, 1) \). A similar reasoning to that in [9], Prop. 12.1.12, allows us to obtain

\[
(2) \quad e_{n_0 + n_1 - 1}(T: E \to F) \leq 2c e_{n_0}(T_0) \left[ \chi \frac{e_{n_0}(T_0)}{e_{n_1}(T_1)} \right]^{-1}.
\]

Here, \( e_{n_0 + n_1 - 1} = 0 \) if \( e_{n_0}(T_0) = 0 \) or \( e_{n_1}(T_1) = 0 \).
Consequently, we have
\[
\sup_{n \geq 1} (\tau(n) e_n(T)) \leq c_1 \sup_{n \geq 1} \left( \tau(n) e_n(T) \left[ \chi \left( \frac{e_n(T_0)}{e_n(T_1)} \right) \right]^{-1} \right)
\]
\[
\leq c_1 \sup_{n \geq 1} \left[ e_n(T_0) \chi \left( \frac{\varphi(n) e_n(T_1)}{e_n(T_0)} \right) \right]
\]
\[
\leq c_1 \sup_{n \geq 1} \left[ e_n(T_0) \chi \left( \frac{1}{e_n(T_0)} \right) \right] \sup_{n \geq 1} \left[ \chi(\varphi(n) e_n(T_1)) \right] < \infty.
\]

Now we are in a position to state the factorization formula.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let \( \varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{B} \) with \( \beta_{\varphi} > 0(i = 0, 1) \) and \( \alpha_{\varphi_0} - \beta_{\varphi} < \beta_{\varphi_1} \) or \( \alpha_{\varphi_1} - \beta_{\varphi_1} < \beta_{\varphi_0} \).

If \( \varphi = \varphi_0 \varphi_1 \), then
\[
\mathcal{E}_{\varphi_1, \infty} \cdot \mathcal{E}_{\varphi_0, \infty} = \mathcal{E}_{\varphi_0, \infty}.
\]

**Proof.** Suppose first \( \alpha_{\varphi_0} - \beta_{\varphi_0} < \beta_{\varphi_1} \) and let \( T \in \mathcal{E}_{\varphi_0, \infty}(E, F) \). Since \( \beta_{\varphi} \geq \beta_{\varphi_0} + \beta_{\varphi_1} > 0 \), we may assume without loss of generality that \( \varphi \) is increasing (see [8], Prop. 4). In order to factorize \( T \), we proceed similarly as in [10], Thm. 3. Let \( E_0 = E/\ker(T) \) and \( F_0 = \overline{T(E)} \).

Then, the following diagram commutes

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
E & \xrightarrow{T} & F \\
\downarrow Q & & \uparrow J \\
E_0 & \xrightarrow{T_0} & F_0
\end{array}
\]

Here \( Q \) denotes the canonical surjection form \( E \) into \( E_0 \), \( J \) denotes the canonical injection form \( F_0 \) into \( F \), and \( T_0 \) is a one-to-one operator. Moreover, \( (E_0, F_0) \) forms an interpolation couple, the embedding being \( T_0 \).

Write \( \chi(t) = \varphi_0(\varphi^{-1}(t)) \). According to Lemma 2.2 and the assumption on the indices of \( \varphi_0 \) and \( \varphi_1 \), we have

\[
\alpha_{\chi} \leq \alpha_{\varphi_0} \cdot \alpha_{\varphi^{-1}} = \frac{\alpha_{\varphi_0}}{\beta_{\varphi}} \leq \frac{\alpha_{\varphi_0}}{\beta_{\varphi_0} + \beta_{\varphi_1}} < 1
\]

and

\[
\beta_{\chi} \geq \beta_{\varphi_0} \cdot \beta_{\varphi^{-1}} = \frac{\beta_{\varphi_0}}{\alpha_{\varphi}} \geq \frac{\beta_{\varphi_0}}{\alpha_{\varphi_0} + \alpha_{\varphi_1}} > 0.
\]
Hence, we can find an intermediate space $M$ between $E_0$ and $F_0$ which has $K$-type $\chi$ and $J$-type $\chi$. (Take, for example, $M = (E_0, F_0)_{x,1}$).

Let us denote by $R_0 \in \mathcal{L}(E_0, M)$ and $S_0 \in \mathcal{L}(M, F_0)$ the corresponding embedding maps.

Next, consider the diagram

The operator $S_0 R_0 Q$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}_{\varphi, p_0}(E, F_0)$ because $T = J S_0 R_0 Q$ and $J$ is a metric injection. Therefore, Proposition 3.3 yields that $R = R_0 Q \in \mathcal{E}_{\varphi, p_0 \cdot \infty}(E, M)$.

On the other hand, since $Q$ is a metric surjection we have that $J S_0 R_0 \in \mathcal{E}_{\varphi, p_0 \cdot \infty}(E_0, F)$. Whence, we can use the following diagram

and Proposition 3.2 to get that $S = J S_0 \in \mathcal{E}_{\varphi_1, \infty}(M, F)$.

This shows that

$$T = S R \in \mathcal{E}_{\varphi_1, \infty} \cdot \mathcal{E}_{\varphi_0, \infty}(E, F).$$

The case $\alpha_{\varphi_1} - \beta_{\varphi_1} < \beta_{\varphi_0}$ can be treated in the same way, now setting $\chi(t) = \frac{t}{\varphi_1(\varphi^{-1}(t))}$. 
Finally, the inclusion $\mathcal{E}_{\varphi_1, \infty} \cdot \mathcal{E}_{\varphi_0, \infty} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\varphi, \infty}$ follows by using the multiplicativity property of entropy numbers and the fact that $\overline{\varphi}$ is bounded on every compact subset of $(0, \infty)$. □

We end the paper with a consequence of Theorem 3.4. Let us first recall that given $0 < p < \infty$ and $-\infty < \gamma < \infty$, the Lorentz-Zygmund entropy ideal $\mathcal{E}_{p, \infty, \gamma}$ is formed by all $T \in \mathcal{L}$ such that

$$E_{p, \infty, \gamma}(T) = \sup_{n \geq 1} [n^{1/p} (1 + \log n)^{\gamma} e_n(T)] < \infty.$$  

This is nothing else but the ideal $\mathcal{E}_{\varphi, \infty}$ with $\varphi(t) = t^{1/p} (1 + |\log t|)^{\gamma}$.

As we mentioned before, we have in this case $\alpha_{\overline{\varphi}} = \beta_{\overline{\varphi}} = 1/p$ and, therefore, according to the preceding theorem we obtain the following

**Corollary 3.5.** Assume that $0 < p_0, p_1 < \infty$, $-\infty < \gamma_0, \gamma_1 < \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_0}$ and $\gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_0$. Then

$$\mathcal{E}_{p_1, \infty, \gamma_1} \cdot \mathcal{E}_{p_0, \infty, \gamma_0} = \mathcal{E}_{p, \infty, \gamma}.$$  
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