Nonequilibrium Casimir-like Forces in Liquid Mixtures
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In this Letter, we consider a liquid mixture confined between two thermally conducting walls subjected to a stationary temperature gradient. While in a one-component liquid nonequilibrium fluctuation forces appear inside the liquid layer, nonequilibrium fluctuations in a mixture induce a Casimir-like force on the walls. The physical reason is that the temperature gradient induces large concentration fluctuations through the Soret effect. Unlike temperature fluctuations, nonequilibrium concentration fluctuations are also present near a perfectly thermally conducting wall. The magnitude of the fluctuation-induced Casimir force is proportional to the square of the Soret coefficient and is related to the concentration dependence of the heat and volume of mixing.
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When large and long-range fluctuations are present, they will induce forces in confined fluids [1]. These are commonly referred to as Casimir-like forces in analogy to forces induced by vacuum fluctuations between two conducting plates [2,3]. A well-known example is the Casimir force induced by critical fluctuations in fluids [4–7]. Apart from critical systems, long-range correlations also exist in equilibrium systems with Goldstone modes [1] and in many nonequilibrium systems, where even long-range correlations can exist [8–11].

In this Letter, we consider a liquid mixture in a nonequilibrium steady state (NESS) between two parallel thermally conducting plates subjected to a uniform temperature gradient $\nabla T$. In a liquid mixture a temperature gradient induces large concentration fluctuations through the Soret effect [12,13]. These nonequilibrium concentration fluctuations vary with the 4th power of the inverse of the wave number $k$ of the fluctuations, just as the nonequilibrium temperature fluctuations in a one-component fluid [8,14]. However, there is a principal difference between the Casimir pressures induced by nonequilibrium concentration fluctuation and those induced by nonequilibrium temperature fluctuations. In thin fluid layers, fluctuations not only may induce a force on the walls, but also may introduce an effective potential inside the fluid layer causing a modification of the density or composition profile [15]. While in a one-component fluid nonequilibrium fluctuations induce the latter phenomenon yielding a rearrangement of the density profile [16], the purpose of the present Letter is to demonstrate that nonequilibrium concentration fluctuations induce an actual Casimir pressure on the walls directly.

It is well known that in considering the dynamics of fluctuations around thermal equilibrium, nonlinear terms in the hydrodynamic equations serve to renormalize various terms in the linearized hydrodynamic equations [17–24]. Here we show that in a NESS the nonlinear terms cause a most important renormalization of the nonequilibrium (NE) pressure or normal stresses in a binary fluid. To determine the nonequilibrium induced pressure in a liquid mixture, we need to consider the pressure $p$ as a function of the fluctuating conserved quantities, which are the fluctuating energy density $\rho e$, the fluctuating mass densities $\rho_1 + \delta \rho_1$, and $\rho_2 + \delta \rho_2$ of components 1 (solute) and 2 (solvent). As in the case of a one-component fluid, we can neglect the fast propagating sound modes and, hence, the linear fluctuation contribution to the pressure [16]. Applying a Taylor expansion to the pressure then yields a contribution quadratic in terms of $\delta e$, $\delta \rho_1$, and $\delta \rho_2$:

$$p(e + \delta e, \rho_1 + \delta \rho_1, \rho_2 + \delta \rho_2) - p(e, \rho_1, \rho_2) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial a_i \partial a_j} \delta a_i \delta a_j,$$

(1)

with $\mathbf{a} = (e, \rho_1, \rho_2)$. In a liquid mixture there are two diffusion modes that are linear combinations of heat diffusion and mass diffusion [12,25]. An important parameter for dealing with fluctuations in liquid mixtures is the Lewis number, which is the ratio of thermal diffusivity $D_T$ and mutual mass diffusivity $D$: $Le = D_T / D$. In liquid mixtures this Lewis number is commonly larger than unity. Hence, in dealing with fluctuations in liquid mixtures one often adopts a large-Lewis-number approximation [10]. For large values of the Lewis number, these diffusion modes decouple into a pure temperature fluctuation mode with a decay time proportional to $D_T^{-1}$ and a concentration fluctuation mode with a decay time proportional to $D^{-1}$ [26]. Hence, to get the slowest fluctuation mode contribution for $Le \gg 1$, we not only may neglect linear pressure fluctuations, but also linear temperature fluctuations.
For the concentration variable we adopt the mass fraction of the solute \( w = \rho_1 / \rho \) with \( \rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2 \) being the mass density of the mixture. At constant \( p \) and \( T \), \( \delta \rho, \delta \rho_1, \delta \rho_2 \) are related to the concentration fluctuations \( \delta w \) by \( (\delta e / \delta w)_{p,T} \delta \rho \), \( (\delta \rho_1 / \delta w)_{p,T} \delta \rho_1 \), \( (\delta \rho_2 / \delta w)_{p,T} \delta \rho_2 \). We then obtain from Eq. (1) for the average NE contribution \( p^w_{\text{NE}}(r) \) at a position \( r = \{ x, y, z \} \) to the equilibrium pressure \( p \) in terms of \( e, \rho \):

\[
p^w_{\text{NE}}(r) = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial p}{\partial e} \right)_{p,w} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^2 e}{\partial w^2} \right)_{p,T} - \left( \frac{\partial e}{\partial p} \right)_{p,w} \left( \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial w^2} \right)_{p,T} \right] \delta \omega(r)^2_{\text{NE}}, \tag{2}
\]

where the superscript \( w \) indicates that \( p^w_{\text{NE}}(r) \) is a Casimir pressure induced by concentration fluctuations. We note that only the NE concentration fluctuations \( \left( \delta \omega(r)^2_{\text{NE}} \right) \) cause a renormalization of the pressure, since the equilibrium concentration fluctuations are already incorporated in the unrenormalized pressure. Just as for the case of a one-component fluid [16], the NE pressure can be obtained from an explicit mode-coupling theory generalized to NESS, which justifies the approach adopted above.

Relevant thermodynamic relations, associated with the hydrodynamic modes in a mixture, can be found in an article of Wood [25]. Noting that the thermodynamic field conjugate to the mass fraction \( w \) is the difference between the specific chemical potentials of the solute and the solvent, \( \mu = \mu_1 - \mu_2 \), we can transform Eq. (2) into

\[
p^w_{\text{NE}}(r) = -\rho \gamma \left( \frac{\partial e}{\partial \rho} \right)_{T,w} \left( \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \rho} \right)_{T,w} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial w^2} \right)_{T,w} \delta \omega(r)^2_{\text{NE}}, \tag{3}
\]

where \( \alpha = -\rho \gamma \left( \frac{\partial e}{\partial \rho} \right)_{T,w} \) is the thermal expansion coefficient, \( c_{p,w} \), the isobaric specific heat capacity, \( \gamma = c_{V,w} / c_{p,w} \) the ratio of the isochoric and isobaric heat capacities, and \( \chi = \left( \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \mu} \right)_{T,w} \) an osmotic susceptibility. This osmotic susceptibility can be related to the molar excess Gibbs energy [27] and, hence, its temperature and pressure derivatives to the excess molar enthalpy \( H^E \) and the excess molar volume \( V^E \), so that

\[
p^w_{\text{NE}}(r) = -\rho \gamma \left( \frac{\partial e}{\partial \rho} \right)_{T,w} \left( \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \rho} \right)_{T,w} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial w^2} \right)_{T,w} \delta \omega(r)^2_{\text{NE}}, \tag{4}
\]

where \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) are the molar weights of the solute and solvent, respectively, \( M = M_1 x_1 + (1 - x_1) M_2 \) the molar weight of the mixture, and \( x_1 \) the mole fraction of the solute.

The intensity of the NE concentration fluctuations \( \left( \delta \omega(r)^2_{\text{NE}} \right) \) can be obtained by solving appropriate fluctuating hydrodynamics equations [10,28,29]. We consider a liquid mixture subjected to a stationary temperature gradient \( \nabla T \) confined between two horizontal thermally conducting plates located at \( z = \pm L/2 \) in the coordinate direction perpendicular to the plates. Such a temperature gradient induces a stationary concentration gradient \( \nabla w = -w(1-w)S_T \nabla T \), where \( S_T \) is the Soret coefficient [12,13].

A procedure for solving the fluctuating hydrodynamic equations to obtain the intensity of the NE concentration fluctuations has been developed by two of us, but with artificial boundary conditions for the fluctuations at the walls adopted for mathematical convenience [29]. It turns out that exactly the same procedure can be used to obtain the solution for the intensity of the NE concentration fluctuations which satisfies physically realistic boundary conditions, namely, a rigid-boundary condition for the wall-normal velocity fluctuations, \( \delta v_z = \delta v_r / dz = 0 \) [30], and the condition of no mass flux through the boundaries, \( \delta \omega / dz = 0 \), at \( z = \pm L/2 \), for \( L \gg 1 \). The solution \( \left( \delta \omega(r)^2_{\text{NE}} \right) \) for the intensity of the NE concentration fluctuations and, hence, for the NE Casimir pressure \( p^w_{\text{NE}}(z) \), only depends on the height \( z \) in the liquid layer. While the solution for arbitrary values of \( z \) is rather complicated, the important new result is that we have obtained a simple expression for the concentration fluctuations at the walls:

\[
\left( \frac{\delta \omega(z = \pm L/2)}{\omega} \right)^2_{\text{NE}} = \frac{k_B T}{\rho \nu D} F_0 \omega^2 (1 - w)^2 S^2_T L (\nabla T)^2, \tag{5}
\]

with

\[
F_0 = \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_0^\infty q \left[ \frac{1}{q^4} + \frac{4(1 - \cosh q)}{q^2 (q + \sinh q)} \right] dq = 3.11 \times 10^{-3}, \tag{6}
\]

where \( k_B \) is Boltzmann's constant and \( \nu \) is the kinematic viscosity. In Eq. (6) \( q = k_b L \), where \( k_b \) is the magnitude of the component of the wave vector \( k \) of the fluctuations parallel to the plates [29]. A derivation of the intensity of the NE concentration fluctuations, given by Eqs. (5) and (6), can be found in [31]. Substitution of Eq. (5) into Eq. (4) yields for the NE Casimir pressure \( p^w_{\text{NE}}(z = \pm L/2) \) exerted on the walls:

\[
p^w_{\text{NE}}(z = \pm L/2) = -\frac{k_B T}{2 \alpha D} \left( \frac{\partial^2 H^E}{\partial x_1^2} \right)_{T,w} \times \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \rho} \left( \frac{\partial^2 V^E}{\partial x_1^2} \right)_{T,w} \times F_0 \omega^2 (1 - w)^2 S^2_T L \left( \frac{\nabla T}{T} \right)^2. \tag{7}
\]
It has been verified experimentally that approximating the thermodynamic and transport properties in Eq. (5), and hence in Eq. (7), by their average values in the center of the liquid layer reproduces the intensity of the nonequilibrium fluctuations to within 1% at temperature differences up to \( \Delta T = 40 \text{ K} \) between two plates [27,32]. We note that for a given temperature gradient \( \nabla T \), the Casimir pressure exerted on the walls increases with the distance \( L \) between the plates, indicating that we are dealing with a giant, i.e., surprisingly large, Casimir effect [33]. The physical reason is that the NE correlations diverge as \( k^{-4} \), which means that in real space the correlations scale with the system size \( L \).

While there exists an extensive literature on long-range correlation in NESS, we emphasize that only NE temperature and NE concentration fluctuations cause such a dramatic effect [8,10]. Physically, it may be more practical to study the NE Casimir pressure as a function of the distance \( L \) for a given temperature difference \( \Delta T \) between the plates so that \( \nabla T = \Delta T/L \). It then follows from Eq. (7) that \( p^p_{\text{NE}} \) will be proportional to \( L^{-1}(\Delta T/T)^2 \). In principle \( p^p_{\text{NE}} \) is also affected by gravity. However, it is expected that this effect will be minor except when the mixture is close to a hydrodynamic instability [16].

It is interesting to compare the Casimir pressure induced by NE concentration fluctuations with a Casimir pressure induced by NE temperature fluctuations in a one-component fluid [16]. In analogy to Eq. (4), that result can be written as

\[
p^T_{\text{NE}}(z) = -\frac{\rho(\rho - 1) \alpha}{2aT} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial T^2} \right)_\rho - \frac{\rho_c \alpha}{\rho} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \nu}{\partial T^2} \right)_\rho \right] \times \left\langle \left( \delta T(r) \right)^2 \right\rangle_{\text{NE}}, \tag{8}\]

where \( h \) is the specific enthalpy and \( \nu \) the specific volume. While Eqs. (4) and (8) look very similar, there is a fundamental difference between the two. In contrast to Eq. (7), Eq. (8) implies

\[
p^T_{\text{NE}}(z = \pm L/2) = 0, \tag{9}\]

since at a thermally conducting wall \( \delta T = 0 \). Hence, the Casimir pressure \( p^T_{\text{NE}} \) induced by NE temperature fluctuations appears in the inside of the fluid layer, causing a rearrangement of the density profile [16], and exerting a pressure on the thermally conducting walls indirectly. Hence, we only quoted finite values for \( \langle p^T_{\text{NE}} \rangle_z \) averaged over the height of the fluid layer in previous publications [16,33]. We note that in the dilute-gas limit \( p^T_{\text{NE}} \) vanishes for any value of \( z \).

TABLE I. Estimated Casimir pressures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( L = 10^{-6} \text{ m} )</th>
<th>( L = 10^{-4} \text{ m} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( p^a_{\text{en}} )</td>
<td>(-1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Pa} )</td>
<td>(-1 \times 10^{-11} \text{ Pa} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p_b )</td>
<td>(-6 \times 10^{-4} \text{ Pa} )</td>
<td>(-6 \times 10^{-10} \text{ Pa} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p^\text{w}_{\text{NE}} ) toluene + n-hexane</td>
<td>(+2 \times 10^{-1} \text{ Pa} )</td>
<td>(+2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Pa} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( p^w_{\text{NE}} ) 1-methylnaphthalene + n-heptane</td>
<td>+9 Pa</td>
<td>+0.9 \times 10^{-1} Pa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| \( p^w_{\text{NE}} \) aniline + methanol | \(-3 \times 10^{-1} \text{ Pa} \) | \(-3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Pa} \)

\( ^a \)Ref. [2,16].

\( ^b \)Ref. [16].

\( ^c \)Equimolar mixture, \( T = 298 \text{ K} \), \( \Delta T = 25 \text{ K} \).
negative, essentially depending on whether the concentration
dependence of the heat of mixing is convex or concave.

Some authors have proposed NE Casimir forces induced by
long-range fluctuations that originate from the spatial
dependence of the noise correlations associated with the
local fluctuation-dissipation theorem in the presence of a
gradient [36,37]. However, for fluids it has been found that
these NE correlations are insignificant compared to the NE
correlations caused by a coupling between hydrodynamic
modes in NE states considered here [38].

Since, in contrast to the Casimir pressure \( p_{\text{NE}}^{T} \) induced by
NE temperature fluctuations, the Casimir pressure \( p_{\text{NE}}^{c} \) induced by NE concentration exerts a direct force on the
walls confining the liquid layer, we believe that the Casimir
pressure induced by NE concentration fluctuations is a
promising candidate for an initial attempt to detect the
phenomenon experimentally. As can be seen from Eq. (7),
the effect can be enhanced by selection of a mixture with a
small diffusion coefficient \( D \) and a large Soret coefficient
\( S_T \). This is the principal reason why in Table I \( p_{\text{NE}}^{c} \) of
1-methyl-naphthalene+heptane with \( S_T = 1.73 \times 10^{-2}\) K\(^{-1}\) [39] is much larger than in toluene + n-hexane with
\( S_T = 0.32 \times 10^{-2}\) K\(^{-1}\) [40]. The validity of linear non-
equilibrium fluctuating dynamics for the NE temperature and
NE concentration fluctuations has been confirmed experimentally both by light scattering [12,12,32] and by
shadowgraph experiments [41]. Experimental evidence for the
existence of a NE Casimir pressure would provide

evidence for the validity of nonequilibrium nonlinear
fluctuating hydrodynamics.

We note that similar NE concentration fluctuations and,
hence, NE Casimir forces, will also be present in liquid
films with an isothermal concentration gradient or chemi-
cal-potential gradient [10,42–44]. Hence, this kind of NE
Casimir force may be ubiquitous in nature.
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