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Abstract

This paper empirically investigates volatility transmission among stock and foreign exchange markets in seven major world economies during the period July 1988 to January 2015. To this end, we first perform a static and dynamic analysis to measure the total volatility connectedness in the entire period (the system-wide approach) using a framework recently proposed by Diebold and Yilmaz (2014). Second, we make use of a dynamic analysis to evaluate the net directional connectedness for each market. To gain further insights, we examine the time-varying behaviour of net pair-wise directional connectedness during the financial turmoil periods experienced in the sample period. Our results suggest that slightly more than half of the total variance of the forecast errors is explained by shocks across markets rather than by idiosyncratic shocks. Furthermore, we find that volatility connectedness varies over time, with a surge during periods of increasing economic and financial instability.
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1. Introduction

Economic and financial globalization generates intense co-movement across countries. As Chinn (1989) argued, the greater integration of equity markets, that has led to a greater link between these markets and to substantial international financial flows, means that equity markets have an increasing influence on exchange rate. This influence can help to explain some excess variability in foreign exchange markets, since equity markets have a tendency to develop significant pricing errors [see, e.g., Shiller (1981) and Campbell and Shiller (1987)]. In fact, Sarantis (1987) expanded Branson (1976, 1977)'s portfolio-balance model of exchange rate determination by introducing equity assets into the portfolio of investors obtaining better results than the original specification.

The interdependence of stock price returns and exchange rate changes has been extensively examined in the empirical literature with mixed findings on the directional causality (see Adler and Dumas, 1984; Booth and Rotenberg, 1990; Jorion, 1990; Sercu and Vanhulle, 1992; Smith 1992; Bodnar and Gentry, 1993; Amihud, 1994 and Inci and Lee, 2014; among others). Likewise, empirical evidence on the dynamic linkage between stock and currency market volatilities also provides conflicting findings. Early studies, such as Jorion (1990), suggested that exchange rate fluctuations do not affect stock return volatility, while others (see, for example, Dumas and Solnik, 1995; Roll, 1992) identified the existence of a strong linkage. More recently, Kanas (2000) has analysed volatility transmission between stock and currency markets in the USA, the UK, Japan, Germany, France and Canada finding evidence of spillovers between stock returns and exchange rate changes for five of the six countries analysed (with Germany being the exception). Yang and Doong (2004) investigated volatility spillovers between stock prices and exchange rates for the G-7 countries finding that stock markets play a relatively more important role than foreign exchange markets in the second moment interactions and spillovers. Wang et al. (2013) use a dependence-switching copula model to describe the dependence structure between the stock and foreign exchange markets for six major industrial countries (Canada, France, Germany, Italy, Japan and the United Kingdom) over the 1990–2010 period concluding that the dependence and tail dependence among the above four market statuses are asymmetric for most countries in the negative correlation regime, but symmetric in the positive correlation.

In this study we will focus on the volatility interconnection between the stock and currency markets of seven major world economies making use of Diebold and Yilmaz's (2014) measures of connectedness1. Diebold and Yilmaz's (2014) connectedness framework is closely linked with both modern network theory (see Glover and Richards-Shubik, 2014) and modern measures of systemic risk (see Ang and Longstaff, 2013 or Acemoglu et al., 2015) and has been used by Diebold and Yilmaz (2015) for defining, measuring, and monitoring connectedness in financial and related macroeconomic environments (cross-firm, cross-asset, cross-market, cross-country, etc.). The degree of connectedness, on the other hand, measures the contribution of individual units to systemic network events, in a fashion very similar to the conditional value at risk (CoVaR) of this unit (see, e.g., Adrian and Brunnermeier, 2008).

Our study extends and complements the existing literature by providing a novel

---

1 The connectedness methodology has several advantages over the alternative approach of focusing on contemporaneous correlations (corrected or not for volatility). First, while correlation is a symmetrical measure, connectedness is an asymmetrical one, so the procedure provides information on the direction and magnitude of the volatility transmission (from country A to country B, from country B to country A, or both). Second, by investigating dynamic connectedness through a rolling window, we can evaluate how the strength of the connectedness evolves over time, allowing us to detect episodes of sudden and temporary increases in volatility transmission.
perspective on the interdependence of stock markets and exchange-rate markets. Although a substantial amount of literature has used different extensions of Diebold and Yilmaz’s (2012) previous methodology to examine spillovers and transmission effects in different financial markets\textsuperscript{2}, to the best of our knowledge it has not been applied to explore volatility transmission between the stock and currency markets of seven major world economies.

Since volatility reflects the extent to which the market evaluates and assimilates the arrival of new information, the analysis of its transmission pattern might provide useful insights into the characteristics and dynamics of exchange-rate and stock markets. So, since the information gathered would provide a barometer for the vulnerability of these markets, we consider that to empirically examine volatility spillovers is a novel and relevant issue. Moreover, during crises, markets’ volatilities tend to increase rapidly, and financial analysts seem to believe that volatility shocks in one market can easily have an impact on the other markets, being the connectedness analysis ideal for testing net directional spillovers, identifying when and where they started in a given market and how subsequently spread to the rest of markets.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents Diebold and Yilmaz (2014)’s methodology for assessing connectedness in financial market volatility, and the empirical results (both static and dynamic) obtained for our sample of seven major world economies (a system-wide measure of connectedness). Section 3 presents the empirical results regarding the evolution of net directional connectedness in each market. In Section 4 we examine the time-varying behaviour of net pair-wise directional connectedness during the financial turmoil periods experienced during in the sample period. Finally, Section 5 summarizes the findings and offers some concluding remarks.

2. Connectedness analysis

2.1. Econometric methodology

The main tool for measuring the amount of connectedness is based on a decomposition of the forecast error variance, which we will now briefly describe.

Given a multivariate empirical time series, the forecast error variance decomposition results from the following steps:

1. Fit a standard vector autoregressive (VAR) model to the series.
2. Using series data up to and including time $t$, establish an $H$ period-ahead forecast (up to time $t + H$).
3. Decompose the error variance of the forecast for each component with respect to shocks from the same or other components at time $t$.

Diebold and Yilmaz (2014) propose several connectedness measures built from pieces of variance decompositions in which the forecast error variance of variable $i$ is decomposed into parts attributed to the various variables in the system. This section provides a summary of their connectedness index methodology.

Let us denote by $d_{ij}^H$ the $ij$-th $H$-step variance decomposition component (i.e., the fraction of variable $i$’s $H$-step forecast error variance due to shocks in variable $j$). The connectedness measures are based on the "non-own", or "cross", variance decompositions, $d_{ij}^H$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, N, i \neq j$. 

Consider an $N$-dimensional covariance-stationary data-generating process (DGP) with orthogonal shocks: $x_t = \Theta(L)u_t$, $\Theta(L) = \Theta_0 + \Theta_1 L + \Theta_2 L^2 + \ldots$, $E(u_t, u_t') = I$. Note that $\Theta_0$ need not be diagonal. All aspects of connectedness are contained in this very general representation. Contemporaneous aspects of connectedness are summarized in $\Theta_0$ and dynamic aspects in $\{\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \ldots\}$. Transformation of $\{\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \ldots\}$ via variance decompositions is needed to reveal and compactly summarize connectedness. Diebold and Yilmaz (2014) propose a connectedness table such as Table 1 to understand the various connectedness measures and their relationships. Its main upper-left $N \times N$ block, which contains the variance decompositions, is called the “variance decomposition matrix,” and is denoted by $D^H = [d_{ij}]$. The connectedness table increases $D^H$ with a rightmost column containing row sums, a bottom row containing column sums, and a bottom-right element containing the grand average, in all cases for $i \neq j$.

The off-diagonal entries of $D^H$ are the parts of the $N$ forecast-error variance decompositions of relevance from a connectedness perspective. In particular, the gross pairwise directional connectedness from $j$ to $i$ is defined as follows:

$$C_{i\leftarrow j}^H = d_{ij}^H.$$ 

Since in general $C_{i\leftarrow j}^H \neq C_{j\leftarrow i}^H$, the net pairwise directional connectedness from $j$ to $i$, can be defined as:

$$C_j^H = C_{j\leftarrow i}^H - C_{i\leftarrow j}^H.$$ 

As for the off-diagonal row sums in Table 1, they give the share of the $H$-step forecast-error variance of variable $x_i$ coming from shocks arising in other variables (all others, as opposed to a single other), while the off-diagonal column sums provide the share of the $H$-step forecast-error variance of variable $x_i$ going to shocks arising in other variables. Hence, the off-diagonal row and column sums, labelled “from” and “to” in the connectedness table, offer the total directional connectedness measures. In particular, total directional connectedness from others to $i$ is defined as

$$C_{i\rightarrow \ast}^H = \sum_{j=1}^N d_{ij}^H,$$

and total directional connectedness to others from $i$ is defined as

$$C_{\ast \rightarrow i}^H = \sum_{j=1}^N d_{ji}^H.$$

We can also define net total directional connectedness as

$$C_i^H = C_{i\rightarrow \ast}^H - C_{\ast \rightarrow i}^H.$$ 

### Table 1: Schematic connectedness table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$\ldots$</th>
<th>$x_N$</th>
<th>Connectedness from others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x_2$</td>
<td>$d_{11}^H$</td>
<td>$d_{12}^H$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$d_{1N}^H$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_2$</td>
<td>$d_{21}^H$</td>
<td>$d_{22}^H$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$d_{2N}^H$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_N$</td>
<td>$d_{N1}^H$</td>
<td>$d_{N2}^H$</td>
<td>$\ldots$</td>
<td>$d_{NN}^H$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Connectedness to others

| $\sum_{j=1}^N d_{i1}^H$, $i \neq 1$ | $\sum_{j=1}^N d_{i2}^H$, $i \neq 2$ | $\sum_{j=1}^N d_{iN}^H$, $i \neq N$ |

| $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j=1}^N d_{iN}^H$, $i \neq N$ |

| $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j=1}^N d_{iN}^H$, $i \neq N$ |
Finally, the grand total of the off-diagonal entries in $D^H$ (equivalently, the sum of the "from" column or "to" row) measures total connectedness:

$$C^H = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} d^H_{ij}.$$  

For the case of non-orthogonal shocks, the variance decompositions are not as easily calculated as before, because the variance of a weighted sum is not an appropriate sum of variances; in this case, methodologies for providing orthogonal innovations like traditional Cholesky-factor identification may be sensitive to ordering. So, following Diebold and Yilmaz (2014), a generalized VAR decomposition (GVD), invariant to ordering, proposed by Koop et al. (1996) and Pesaran and Shin (1998) will be used. The $H$-step generalized variance decomposition matrix is defined as $D^{gH} = \{d^{gH}_{ij}\}$, where

$$d^{gH}_{ij} = \frac{\sigma^H \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} (e'_i \Theta_{ij} \Sigma e_j)^2}{\sum_{h=0}^{H-1} (e'_i \Theta_{ij} \Sigma \Theta_{h} e_j)}$$

In this case, $e_j$ is a vector with $j$th element unity and zeros elsewhere, $\Theta_{ij}$ is the coefficient matrix in the infinite moving-average representation from VAR, $\Sigma$ is the covariance matrix of the shock vector in the non-orthogonalized-VAR, $\sigma^H$ being its $j$th diagonal element. In this GVD framework, the lack of orthogonality means that the rows of $d^{gH}_{ij}$ do not have sum unity and, in order to obtain a generalized connectedness index $\tilde{D}^g = \{\tilde{d}^g_{ij}\}$, the following normalization is necessary:

$$\tilde{d}^g_{ij} = \frac{d^{gH}_{ij}}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} d^{gH}_{ij}},$$

where by construction $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \tilde{d}^g_{ij} = 1$

and $\sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \tilde{d}^g_{ij} = N$

The matrix $\tilde{D}^g = \{\tilde{d}^g_{ij}\}$ permits us to define similar concepts as defined before for the orthogonal case, that is, total directional connectedness, net total directional connectedness, and total connectedness.

### 2.2. Data

The data consist of daily closing stock prices denominated in local currency for the US (Standard & Poor’s 500 composite index, S&P500), the Euro Area (Eurostoxx 50 Index), Japan (Nikkei 225 index), the UK (Financial Times Stock Exchange 100 Index, FTSE100), Australia (All Ordinaries Index, AOI), Switzerland (Swiss Market Index, SMi) and Canada (Toronto Stock Exchange Composite Index, TSX). The exchange rate series for each country is a trade-weighted exchange rate, to account for each country’s diverse investment positions in foreign equities. In particular, we examine the following effective exchange rates: US Dollar (USD), Euro (EUR), Australian dollar (AUD), Swiss franc (CHF), Canadian dollar (CAD), British pound (GBP) and Japanese yen (JPY). The stock price data has been extracted from Datastream. The exchange rate series are the Bank of England trade-weighted exchange rates. Note that focusing on these seven major world economies, we cover 174.9% of global foreign exchange market turnover.

Weekly data is used to partially overcome the potential problem of nonsynchronous data, which may arise because there are instants in which markets are closed in one country and open in another (Burns and Engle (1998) and Lo and MacKinlay (1990) study the effects of this problem). The returns are computed as log differences using Wednesday to Wednesday closing index prices to avoid any potential day of the week biases (see Brailsford (1995) and Griffin et al. (2007) among others). If a particular Wednesday happens to be a non-trading day, then closing values are recorded on the previous trading day. Our final sample covers the period 6 July 1988 to 21 January 2013.

2015 (i.e., a total of 1,386 observations), spanning several important financial market episodes in addition to the global financial crisis of 2007-2008 – in particular, the tequila crisis in 1994, the Asian crisis in 1997-1998, the ruble crisis in 1998, the dotcom crash in 2001 and the euro area sovereign debt crisis from 2009 onwards.

2.3. Static (full-sample, unconditional) analysis

The full-sample connectedness table appears as Table 2. As mentioned above, the \(ij\)th entry of the upper-left 14x14 market submatrix gives the estimated \(ij\)th pair-wise directional connectedness contribution to the forecast error variance of market \(i\)'s volatility yields coming from innovations to market \(j\). Hence, the off-diagonal column sums (labelled TO) and row sums (labelled FROM) gives the total directional connectedness to all others from \(i\) and from all others to \(i\) respectively. The bottom-most row (labelled NET) gives the difference in total directional connectedness (to-from). Finally, the bottom-right element (in boldface) is the total connectedness.

As can be seen, the diagonal elements (own connectedness) are the largest individual elements in the table (ranging from 34.97% for FTSE100 to 68.38% for CHF), being higher in foreign exchange markets (with an average of 59.79%) than in stock markets (with an average of 30.91%). Nevertheless, total directional connectedness (from others to or from others) tends to be much larger for stock markets, except the contribution to others by AOI and the Nikkei 225 Index. In addition, the spread of the “from” degree distribution is noticeably greater than that of the “to” degree distribution for nine out of the fourteen cases under study.

Regarding pair-wise directional connectedness (the off-diagonal elements of the upper-left 14x14 submatrix), the highest observed pair-wise connectedness is from FTSE100 to Eurostoxx 50 (17.48%). In return, the pair-wise connectedness from Eurostoxx 50 to FTSE100 (1.16%) is the second-highest. The highest value of pair-wise directional connectedness between foreign exchange markets is from EUR to GBP (9.61%), followed by that from GBP to EUR (9.46%). The highest value of pair-wise directional connectedness between stock markets and foreign markets is from TSX to CAD (7.12%), followed by that from CAD to TSX (5.26%). The total directional connectedness from others, which measures the share of volatility shocks received from other market in the total variance of the forecast error for each market, ranges between 31.62% (CHF) and 51.47% (AOI). As for the total directional connectedness to others, our results suggest that it varies from a low of 31.59% for CHF to 73.14% for FTSE100. Finally, we obtain a value of 48.75% for the total connectedness between the fourteen markets under study for the full sample (system-wide measure), indicating that 51.25% of the variation is due to idiosyncratic shocks. This result sharply contrasts with the value of 78.3% obtained by Diebold and Yilmaz (2014) for US financial institutions and with the value of 97.2% found by Diebold and Yilmaz (2012) for international financial markets.

2.4 Dynamic (rolling, conditional) analysis

The full-sample connectedness analysis provides a good characterization of “unconditional” aspects of the connectedness measures. However, it does not help us to understand the connectedness dynamics. The appeal of connectedness methodology lies in its use as a measure of how quickly return or volatility shocks spread across countries as well as within a country. This section presents an analysis of dynamic connectedness which relies on rolling estimation windows.

The dynamic connectedness analysis starts with total connectedness, and then moves on to net directional connectedness across countries in Section 3.

2.4.1. Total connectedness

The rolling-window approach has the advantages of tremendous simplicity and coherence with a wide variety of possible
### Table 2: Full-sample connectedness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SP500</th>
<th>EURO STOXX 50</th>
<th>AOI</th>
<th>SMI</th>
<th>TSX</th>
<th>FTSE100</th>
<th>NIKKEI 225</th>
<th>USD</th>
<th>EUR</th>
<th>AUD</th>
<th>CHF</th>
<th>CAD</th>
<th>GBP</th>
<th>JPY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contribution FROM others</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP500</td>
<td>37.2857</td>
<td>11.6835</td>
<td>3.0059</td>
<td>7.8305</td>
<td>15.0724</td>
<td>12.2892</td>
<td>2.2320</td>
<td>0.6484</td>
<td>1.8158</td>
<td>2.4083</td>
<td>0.6405</td>
<td>2.1462</td>
<td>0.8676</td>
<td>2.0740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EURO STOXX 50</td>
<td>9.8602</td>
<td>34.5867</td>
<td>2.3415</td>
<td>12.5163</td>
<td>9.2308</td>
<td>17.4767</td>
<td>3.2183</td>
<td>0.4720</td>
<td>2.1900</td>
<td>1.1515</td>
<td>0.6483</td>
<td>3.2919</td>
<td>1.1028</td>
<td>1.9131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOI</td>
<td>6.2060</td>
<td>6.1919</td>
<td>48.5254</td>
<td>6.8919</td>
<td>8.7696</td>
<td>10.8857</td>
<td>2.9403</td>
<td>0.4472</td>
<td>0.3835</td>
<td>3.5338</td>
<td>1.6277</td>
<td>2.1630</td>
<td>0.1272</td>
<td>1.3068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMI</td>
<td>7.8393</td>
<td>15.7560</td>
<td>3.4861</td>
<td>38.9666</td>
<td>5.2836</td>
<td>16.6722</td>
<td>2.4517</td>
<td>1.2270</td>
<td>1.2046</td>
<td>1.6548</td>
<td>1.8031</td>
<td>1.1177</td>
<td>0.4005</td>
<td>2.1417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSX</td>
<td>12.9108</td>
<td>10.2329</td>
<td>3.8295</td>
<td>3.7699</td>
<td>44.4942</td>
<td>9.6661</td>
<td>1.4169</td>
<td>0.3170</td>
<td>1.6343</td>
<td>2.2120</td>
<td>0.2370</td>
<td>5.2556</td>
<td>1.6120</td>
<td>2.4118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTSE100</td>
<td>10.1387</td>
<td>16.1628</td>
<td>4.5047</td>
<td>12.4197</td>
<td>9.1525</td>
<td>34.9680</td>
<td>2.8623</td>
<td>0.5248</td>
<td>1.5150</td>
<td>2.1063</td>
<td>1.6036</td>
<td>1.8077</td>
<td>0.4948</td>
<td>1.7932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIKKEI 225</td>
<td>4.2543</td>
<td>6.5055</td>
<td>3.1248</td>
<td>5.4404</td>
<td>4.1405</td>
<td>6.5659</td>
<td>60.1534</td>
<td>0.3935</td>
<td>0.6867</td>
<td>1.6974</td>
<td>1.6762</td>
<td>1.1668</td>
<td>0.5203</td>
<td>3.6743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USD</td>
<td>1.1388</td>
<td>1.3005</td>
<td>0.2566</td>
<td>2.5359</td>
<td>0.9405</td>
<td>2.5381</td>
<td>1.5117</td>
<td>59.4052</td>
<td>7.8757</td>
<td>3.4905</td>
<td>5.7047</td>
<td>5.6512</td>
<td>1.7436</td>
<td>5.9071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUR</td>
<td>2.4060</td>
<td>3.7160</td>
<td>0.4053</td>
<td>1.3572</td>
<td>3.4411</td>
<td>3.6401</td>
<td>1.4469</td>
<td>7.5446</td>
<td>53.0696</td>
<td>2.6182</td>
<td>5.6259</td>
<td>1.3875</td>
<td>9.4579</td>
<td>3.8837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHF</td>
<td>1.0487</td>
<td>1.0827</td>
<td>1.6798</td>
<td>2.6109</td>
<td>0.6528</td>
<td>2.8968</td>
<td>2.5729</td>
<td>3.8472</td>
<td>5.4741</td>
<td>2.6887</td>
<td>68.3822</td>
<td>1.5789</td>
<td>3.9396</td>
<td>1.5448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>2.1586</td>
<td>5.4393</td>
<td>0.9444</td>
<td>1.1292</td>
<td>7.1164</td>
<td>3.0410</td>
<td>1.5407</td>
<td>4.5931</td>
<td>1.7852</td>
<td>3.4379</td>
<td>1.8027</td>
<td>62.5128</td>
<td>2.7902</td>
<td>1.7086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBP</td>
<td>1.8997</td>
<td>2.2649</td>
<td>0.8214</td>
<td>0.6604</td>
<td>4.0608</td>
<td>1.8540</td>
<td>2.1209</td>
<td>1.8688</td>
<td>9.6135</td>
<td>3.4213</td>
<td>3.8505</td>
<td>2.9837</td>
<td>60.7224</td>
<td>3.8578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPY</td>
<td>1.7995</td>
<td>1.9356</td>
<td>0.7396</td>
<td>2.4270</td>
<td>5.0871</td>
<td>2.6832</td>
<td>3.4483</td>
<td>6.1156</td>
<td>3.2585</td>
<td>5.2500</td>
<td>2.2840</td>
<td>2.6285</td>
<td>2.7193</td>
<td>59.6238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contribution TO others</strong></td>
<td>63.4643</td>
<td>70.8494</td>
<td>36.7510</td>
<td>61.4765</td>
<td>63.2152</td>
<td>73.1445</td>
<td>33.1355</td>
<td>34.6633</td>
<td>43.3965</td>
<td>39.4121</td>
<td>31.5896</td>
<td>36.4519</td>
<td>31.6359</td>
<td>39.1356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net contribution (TO - FROM) Others</strong></td>
<td>0.7500</td>
<td>5.4361</td>
<td>-14.7236</td>
<td>0.4430</td>
<td>7.7094</td>
<td>8.1125</td>
<td>-6.7111</td>
<td>-5.9315</td>
<td>-3.5340</td>
<td>-5.7517</td>
<td>-0.0282</td>
<td>-1.0352</td>
<td>-7.6417</td>
<td>-1.2406</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: SP500, EURO STOXX 50, AOI, SMI, TSX, FTSE100, NIKKEI 225, USD, EUR, AUD, CHF, CAD, GBP and JPY stand for Standard & Poor's 500 composite index, Eurostoxx 50 Index, Australian All Ordinaries Index, Swiss Market Index, Toronto Stock Exchange index, Nikkei 225 index, Financial Times Stock Exchange 100 Index, US Dollar, Euro, Australian dollar, Swiss franc, Canadian dollar, British pound and Japanese yen effective exchange rates, respectively.
underlying time-varying parameter mechanisms. Rolling windows do, however, require choice of window width, in a manner precisely analogous to bandwidth choice in density estimation. In this paper we focus on a 200-day rolling-sample windows and using 10 days as the predictive horizon for the underlying variance decomposition. As expected, the connectedness index plotted in Figure 1 shows a time-varying pattern over the sample period.

The total volatility connectedness index during the period between 1992 and 1994 was relatively high, 41% on average. After the tequila crisis in 1994, began an upward trend that was successively reinforced by the Asian crisis (July 1997) and the rubble crisis (August 1998). After reached a peak in October 1998 there was an obvious downward trend that was strengthened after the dotcom crash (March 2000) and a period of relatively stability around the value of 45%. Interestingly, the events of September 11, 2001, and the wars of Afghanistan and Iraq did not seem to have a significant impact on total volatility connectedness. Following the subprime crisis and the complete evaporation of liquidity that initiated the global financial crisis (August 2007), there was an increase in volatility connectedness that reaches its peak in April 2009, coinciding with a statement by the European Central Bank (ECB) expressing its fears of a slowdown in financial market integration that triggered a first episode of the European sovereign crisis. It was only after a forceful response of central by implementing nonstandard monetary policies and the ECB’s President Mario Draghi’s statement that he would do “whatever it takes to preserve the euro” (July 2012) that there was a substantial decrease in the level of total connectedness. Finally, after the collapse of the Russian rubble in June 2014 there was an intensification of connectedness. Our findings are consistent with earlier literature in that the linkage between markets intensifies during periods of increasing economic and financial instability (see, e.g., Kolb, 2011), implying a loss of diversification just when it is needed most.

Figure 1: Rolling total connectedness

Note: Vertical lines mark the start of major financial crisis: the tequila crisis (December 1994), the Asian crisis (July 1997), the rubble crisis (August 1998), the dotcom crash (March 2000), the global financial crisis (August 2007), the European sovereign crises (April 2009 and July 2012) and the Russian financial crisis (June 2014).

---

4 This finding is in line with to those of Diebold and Yilmaz (2014) where the total connectedness of select companies in the financial services industry increased during the global financial crisis.
The dynamic analysis of the total connectedness of returns gave a clear understanding of the dynamics of connectedness over the full sample period, and provides insight into the system as a whole. The next step is to look at the dynamics of directional connectedness over the same period. To better evaluate the differences between the 'to others' and 'from others' directional connectedness, the evolution of the entire 'net' degree distribution is examined in the next section.

### 3. Net directional connectedness

The net directional connectedness index provides information about how much each market’s volatility contributes in net terms to other market's volatilities and, like the full sample dynamic measure presented in the previous section, also relies on rolling estimation windows. The time varying-indicators are displayed in Figures 3, 4 and 5 for stock markets, exchange-rate markets and national stock markets to exchange-rate market respectively.

**Figure 2: Net directional connectedness, stock markets**

![Graphs showing net directional connectedness for various stock markets](image)
Figure 3: Net directional connectedness, exchange-rate markets

Figure 4: Net directional connectedness, national stock markets to exchange-rate market
Regarding the stock markets, it is noticeable that in the cases of the Eurostoxx 50 (89.24%), SMI (65.00%), TSX (78.73%), FTSE100 (97.46%), more than 50% of the computed values are positive, indicating that during most of the sample period, the stock market volatility in these markets influenced that of the rest of markets, whereas for the remaining stock markets the opposite is true (i.e., they are net receivers during most of the period). Interestingly, the SP500 becomes volatility trigger after the outbreak of the global financial crisis while Eurostoxx 50 becomes volatility receiver. When we split the sample into crises periods, some interesting patterns emerge. The Tequila crisis intensified the volatility spillovers from the US, European and British stock markets. The 1997 Asian crisis seems to have specially affected the US, British and Japanese stock markets, while the rubble crisis played a significant role in the transmission of volatility from the European, Canadian and British stock markets. Finally, the successive European sovereign crises especially impacted in volatility transfer from the US, Swiss, Canadian and British stock markets.

As for the exchange-rate markets (Figure 3), in all the cases under study more than 50% of the computed values are negative, suggesting that during most of the sample period, these markets were importing volatility from the rest of markets, the GBP standing out as a significant net receiver (with 82.80% of negative values). Nevertheless, it is interesting to note that for the cases of the USD, EUR, CAD, GBP and JPY at the beginning of the sample there are subperiods of net directional connectedness in volatility to the other markets.

Finally, and in relation to the evolution of the net directional connectedness between the national stock markets and its exchange-rate markets, in Figure 4 we can see that in all the cases more than 50% of the computed values are positive, indicating that during most of the sample period, the volatility in these stock markets crucially determined the volatility registered in their exchange rate markets. It is noticeable the role of net volatility transmitters of the USA, Canada and the UK during the global financial crisis.

4. Net pair-wise directional connectedness

So far, we have discussed the behaviour of the total connectedness and total net directional connectedness measures for the fourteen stock and foreign-exchange markets. However, we have also examined their net pair-wise directional connectedness during the financial turmoil periods experienced in the sample period. Figure 5 synthetically displays the main results for our dynamic analysis of the net pairwise directional connectedness among the 91 possible pairs formed from the 14 markets under study, focusing on cases where intensity was especially significant. That is, using of the Diebold and Yilmaz’s (2014) graphical methodology, we provide a visualization of the complex network of innovation overflows among the 14 variables in our sample. The colour of the arrows indicates the intensity of the connectedness among the variables: black, red and yellow links correspond, respectively, to the tenth, twentieth and thirtieth percentiles of all net pairwise directional connections between markets.
Figure 5: Net pair-wise directional connectedness before and after turmoil periods

(a) Tequila crisis
(b) Asian crisis
(c) Rubble crisis
(d) Dotcom crash
(e) Subprime crisis
(f) European sovereign crises

Note: We show the most important directional connections among the 91 possible pairs formed from the 14 markets under study. Black, red and orange links (black, grey and light grey when viewed in grayscale) correspond to the tenth, twentieth and thirtieth percentiles of all net pair-wise directional connections.
Specifically, Figure 5a displays stronger net pair-wise directional connectedness during the tequila crisis, suggesting that stock markets were the main triggers in the volatility connectedness relationships (representing the two thirds of the relationships). Conversely, during the rubble crisis and the dotcom crash (Figure 5c and 5d), the connectedness relationships account, respectively, for 63% and 65.22% of the total when exchange-rate markets are the triggers (especially in the thirtieth percentile, where only one relationship is detected departing from stock markets in both episodes). As for the Asian crisis (Figure 5b), our results suggest an almost equal distribution of the source of volatility, since 51.85% of the stronger connectedness relationships depart from exchange-rate markets and 48.15% from stock markets. Interestingly, during the global financial crisis (Figure 5e), only 44.4% of the most intensive connectedness linkages originated in the stock markets. Finally, during the successive European sovereign crises, the exchange-rate markets are the triggers (representing two thirds of the detected relationships in the tenth and twentieth percentiles).

5. Concluding remarks.

Several financial as well as currency crises across emerging markets around the globe and the advent of floating exchange rate led the academicians along with practitioners to reconsider the nature of volatility spillovers, between stock and foreign exchange markets, that have seen large correlated movements resulting in market contagion. The recent global financial crisis of 2007‒2009 has prompted renewed academic interest in financial market volatility. In this paper we investigated interdependence in volatility using a new method developed by Diebold and Mariano (2014). We focuses on short-run volatility interaction effects within a system that compromises the stock and foreign exchange markets of the seven major world economies over the period between 6 July 1988 and 21 January 2015. We especially emphasized the analysis volatility transmission for the successive of financial crises registered during the sample period.

Our study may enhance the understanding of cross-market volatility dynamics in times of both turbulence and calm, and may help to assess the risk of crisis transmission. We stress the paper’s important methodological contribution: that is, the use of the ‘volatility surprise’ component (along with other traditional measures of volatility) to fully apprehend the sensitivity of financial markets to volatility shocks.

The main findings of our research can be summarized as follows. In the first step, we found a system-wide value of 48.75% for the total connectedness between the fourteen markets under study for the full sample period. This level is much lower than that obtained by Diebold and Yilmaz (2012, 2014) for international financial markets and US financial institutions respectively. In the second step, we analysed the dynamic nature of total net connectedness, obtaining evidence of spillovers showing large variation over time and supporting the literature documenting that volatility across markets increases during unstable periods such as the Asian crisis and the looming financial crisis in USA and Europe. In a third step, we examined the time-varying net spillovers across markets, observing in all cases that the variables frequently switch between a net transmitting and a net receiving role. Finally, when analysing net pair-wise directional spillovers, our results suggest that throughout the tequila crisis, stock markets played a dominant role in the transmission of volatility, whereas during the rubble crisis, the dotcom crash, the global financial crisis and the European sovereign crises the exchange-rate markets were the main volatility triggers and during the Asian crisis there was an almost equal distribution of the source of volatility between stock markets and exchange-rate markets.

Our results may be of interest to policymakers, who should take into consideration the spillover effects explained by the dynamic
interdependences among the markets under study. Indeed, the connectedness measure can be used in a static or dynamic context, by showing the state of potential contagion at a certain point in time or a time dependent contagion index, allowing us to identify systemically relevant markets that can be a source of contagion and systemic risk, hence providing a macro-prudential toolbox for measuring the potential contagion using market data that can be adapted to the needs of policymakers by integrating other markets or extending it to real economy variables.

A natural extension to the analysis presented in this paper would be to explore the main determinants of the detected net directional connectedness, with special emphasis in the economic and institutional factors. This is an item in our future research agenda.
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