β-Ga$_2$O$_3$ nanowires for an ultraviolet light selective frequency photodetector

I López$^1$, A Castaldini$^2$, A Cavallini$^2$, E Nogales$^1$, B Méndez$^1$ and J Piqueras$^1$

$^1$ Departamento de Física de Materiales, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 28040, Spain
$^2$ Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Bologna, Viale Berti Pichat 6/2, I-40127 Bologna, Italy

E-mail: inaki.lopez@ucm.es

Received 5 May 2014, revised 24 July 2014
Accepted for publication 12 August 2014
Published

Abstract

The behaviour of β-Ga$_2$O$_3$ nanowires as photoconductive material in deep ultraviolet photodetectors to operate in the energy range 3.0–6.2 eV has been investigated. The nanowires were grown by a catalyst-free thermal evaporation method on gallium oxide substrates. Photocurrent measurements have been carried out on both undoped and Sn-doped Ga$_2$O$_3$ nanowires to evidence the influence of the dopant on the photodetector performances. The responsivity spectrum of single nanowires show maxima in the energy range 4.8–5.4 eV and a strong dependence on the pulse frequency of the excitation light has been observed for undoped nanowires. Our results show that the responsivity of β-Ga$_2$O$_3$ nanowires can be controlled by tuning the chopper frequency of the excitation light and/or by doping of the nanowires. Non-linear behaviour in characteristic current–voltage curves has been observed for Ga$_2$O$_3$ : Sn nanowires. The mechanism leading to this behaviour has been discussed and related to space-charged-limited current effects. In addition, the responsivity achieved by doped nanowires at lower bias is higher than for undoped ones.
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1. Introduction

One-dimensional nano- and microstructures of transparent conductive oxides (TCO) are a subject of increasing interest. Their physical properties, such as luminescence, wave-guiding, field emission and electrical photosresponse, have potential applications in optoelectronic and sensing devices [1–3]. In particular, recent works have demonstrated the feasibility of solar-blind photodetectors using semiconductor oxides, such as ZnO, Zn$_2$GeO$_4$ or SnO$_2$ as photoconductive active materials [4–6]. One of the main criteria in the material choice is the requirement of a wide band gap material, which shows certain electrical conductivity. The goal is to achieve a significant increase in the electrical conductivity during ultraviolet (UV) illumination, while keeping optical transparency in the visible range. Some of the problems of the photoconductive detectors, in comparison with other types of photodetectors involving p–n junctions, are the higher dark current and the longer recovery process. To overcome these drawbacks, oxide semiconductor nanostructures have been proposed as effective UV detectors because of two main factors related to their high surface-to-volume ratio: (i) the presence of hole-state traps at the nanowire surface, that enhance carrier lifetime, and (ii) a shorter transit time in nanowires than in thin films due to the small size of nanowires [4]. Among binary TCOs, monoclinic gallium oxide (β-Ga$_2$O$_3$) is a good candidate for this purpose as it exhibits: one of the widest energy band gaps, ∼4.9 eV, chemical and thermal stability and low carrier concentration under dark conditions at room temperature [7]. The n-type electrical conduction of undoped β-Ga$_2$O$_3$ has been related to shallow donor centres associated to oxygen vacancies and/or external impurities [8–10]. Some applications exploiting the optical and electrical properties of gallium oxide nanowires have been reported, such as gas sensor [11], transparent electronic devices [12], UV photodetectors [13] and waveguides [14–16]. However, there are few works...
Concerning the photoresponse in the deep UV range of Ga$_2$O$_3$ nanowires [7, 17, 18].

In this work, we report the response of single undoped and Sn-doped $\beta$-Ga$_2$O$_3$ nanowires under dynamic excitation conditions with UV photons (3.0–6.2 eV). The illumination source was chopped at frequencies ranging from 16 to 325 Hz. A selective photosresponse of the photodetector, as a function of the chopping frequency has been studied for the undoped case. The mechanisms involved in the photocurrent (PC) process are discussed. The results suggest that the chopped frequency of the excitation light would be a valid parameter to tune the maxima energies in the responsivity curves. On the other hand, a responsivity enhancement is observed for the Sn-doped Ga$_2$O$_3$ nanowires.

2. Experimental method

Ga$_2$O$_3$ nanowires have been grown by a thermal evaporation method on substrates of compacted gallium oxide pellets, as described in [19]. The pellets were discs of 7 mm diameter and 2 mm thickness and were made by compacting 99.999% purity Ga$_2$O$_3$ powders. A pellet with metallic Ga on its top surface was placed in an alumina boat into a horizontal tubular furnace. The thermal treatment consisted of annealing at 1100 °C under an argon gas flow (0.81 min$^{-1}$) for 10 h. The synthesis route was the growth of gallium oxide nanowires by thermal oxidation of metallic gallium that acted as source material, avoiding the use of a catalyst. Sn-doped $\beta$-Ga$_2$O$_3$ nanowires were grown by a similar procedure, but tin oxide powders were added onto the pellet surface. The thermal treatment followed a two-stage profile of 1 h at 1100 °C and 15 h at 1500 °C. In this case, the oxidation of metallic Ga took place in the presence of SnO$_2$ powders.

The morphological and size characterization of the nanowires was carried out by using two scanning electron microscopes (SEMs) and either a FEI Inspect S50 or a PHILIPS 515. The current–voltage ($I$–$V$) characteristics were performed with a Keithley 6517 electrometer/higher resistance system and a Keithley 6514 source meter. To obtain the PC measurements, a white light from a Xe lamp, which was mechanically chopped at frequencies ranging from 72 to 325 Hz, was focused onto the entrance slit of a monochromator with the output light focused onto the sample. The light wavelength ranged from 200 to 450 nm (corresponding to the energy range 6.2–2.76 eV). The electrical signal generated in the sample was amplified with a Keithley 428 amplifier and collected with a Stanford research system Model SR830DPS lock-in amplifier. The so obtained PC spectra were corrected taking into account the optical response of the system according to the lamp calibration curve (spectral irradiance of Newport’s Xe arc lamps).

3. Results and discussion

Figures 1(a) and (b) show SEM images of the undoped and Sn-doped Ga$_2$O$_3$ nanowires, respectively, obtained as described above. All wires had a high aspect ratio with lengths of hundreds of micrometres and diameters of hundreds of nanometres. Previous works of our group on x-ray powder diffraction (XRD), Raman and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) studies have revealed a high crystalline quality of these nanowires and that their structure and stoichiometry correspond to the monoclinic $\beta$-Ga$_2$O$_3$ phase [19]. Sn concentration is about 1 at% in the Sn-doped Ga$_2$O$_3$ nanowires [19]. For the $I$–$V$ and PC investigations, the nanowires were gently removed from the substrate and placed onto a special sample holder that is illustrated in figure 2(a). Measurements were performed on several single nanowires to achieve statistically reliable results. Figure 2(b) shows an optical image of a single nanowire in the holder for PC measurements.

Figure 3 shows the typical $I$–$V$ characteristic of an undoped Ga$_2$O$_3$ nanowire for a distance between the Ag contacts of 500 $\mu$m, which exhibits an ohmic behaviour. The measurements were carried out at room temperature, in air and dark conditions. The applied voltage was first increased from $-100$ to 100 V by steps of 0.02 V at a rate of 1 step s$^{-1}$ and then it was decreased in order to check possible hysteresis effects.
Neither deviation from the ohmic behaviour nor hysteresis effects were observed. The current was found to vary from $-80$ to $80$ pA.

We investigated the responsivity, $R_{\lambda}$, of the undoped Ga$_2$O$_3$ nanowire photodetector under UV illumination as a function of the chopper frequency. The responsivity was calculated as the measured PC under unit power density of illumination light. Responsivity curves are drawn in figure 4 for different frequency values. The main bands observed in these spectra, with energies close to the nominal band gap energy of bulk gallium oxide ($E_g = 4.9\text{--}5.1$ eV), have been previously reported by other authors and associated to the absorption edge of $\beta$-Ga$_2$O$_3$ [20, 21]. These spectra show a significant UV shift of the band peak and an enhancement of the responsivity as the chopper frequency increases. To explain this result, we consider the possible carriers recombination paths that may control the measured PC. Electrical conduction in Ga$_2$O$_3$ is mainly due to the carriers promoted to the conduction band and to the defects band related to oxygen vacancies, which are the responsible for the n-type conductivity in Ga$_2$O$_3$ [10, 22]. Characteristic lifetimes of excited carriers in defect related traps in gallium oxide have been found to be around a few milliseconds, while direct band-gap transitions typically have much shorter lifetimes (less than microseconds) [22].

The peak position shift with the chopper frequency could be tentatively assigned to these differences in the lifetimes for different recombination paths. In particular, after excitation at photon energies ($E_{ph}$) above the band gap, some of the carriers would be trapped at the defect levels within the band gap. This would result in a decrease of the PC signal. When the illumination pulses are sufficiently separated, i.e. at lower chopper frequencies, most of the carriers located in these trapping levels will recombine in the interval between one pulse and the following one. Therefore, the trapping process will be repeated during the following illumination pulse, resulting in a moderate PC. Conversely, for higher chopping frequencies, carriers located at these trapping levels do not have enough time to recombine and the PC increases.

Representative current–voltage ($I$–$V$) characteristics for a single Sn-doped Ga$_2$O$_3$ nanowire are shown in figure 5. In this case, the distance between Ag contacts was 600 $\mu$m. These curves, recorded in air and dark conditions, represent the first (black squares) and the last (red squares) of a series of several $I$–$V$ scans. The first curve of figure 5(a) shows a non-linear $I$–$V$ characteristic while, after repeated scans, the $I$–$V$ curve becomes linear as in ohmic behaviour (red
Figure 5. (a) $I$–$V$ characteristics of a single Sn-doped Ga$_2$O$_3$ nanowire, showing the $I$–$V$ characteristics, from −15 to 15 V, after the first scan and after numerous scans. (b) $I$–$V$ characteristic curves of the same nanowire, but from −20 to 20 V.

Figure 6. (a) $I$–$V$ hysteresis curve measured with different voltage sweep directions in dark and air conditions and (b) $I$–$V$ (log–log scale) curve of a representative Sn-doped Ga$_2$O$_3$ nanowire measured in dark and air conditions during the hysteretic regime.
obtained. The comparison of the \( I-V \) curves shows that the non-ohmic behaviour of the Sn-doped wires results in a very high increase of the current above and around the 12 V bias, a result we believe to be interesting. On the other hand, for undoped \( \beta \)-Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\), the maximum responsivity is 80 mA W\(^{-1}\). In that case, a voltage equal to 500 V was used because lower voltages resulted in signal/noise ratios too low to reliably measure the PC behaviour. Figure 7 shows that a wide intense band with a peak centred at 5.4 eV and two additional bands centred at 3.9 and 4.4 eV is present in the responsivity spectrum. As discussed above for undoped Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanowires, the peaks located at around 4.4 and 5.4 eV may be associated to the photoresponse of the Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\) host, while the peak centred at 3.9 eV could be related to the presence of Sn in the wires. Maximenko et al [28] calculated the density of states in Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\):Sn, with Sn impurities substituting for Ga\(^{3+}\) ions at tetrahedral sites. They ascertained that the energy levels associated to this dopant lie at 0.98 eV below the Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\) band maximum. On the other hand, Zhang et al [29] reported a shoulder around 4.1 eV in the absorption spectra for Sn-doped Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\), by increasing the Sn concentration above 10 mol\%.

Therefore, the 3.9 eV band that we observe in the photoresponse is in agreement with bands in Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\):Sn associated in previous reports to Sn-related levels.

4. Conclusions

Undoped and Sn-doped \( \beta \)-Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanowires were grown by a thermal evaporation method through a catalyst-free vapour–solid process. Current–voltage characteristics of several \( \beta \)-Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanowires display an ohmic behaviour, while SCLC behaviour is observed for Sn-doped Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanowires. The low resistivity of nanowires makes them suitable for reliable photoconductive photodetectors. Moreover, the photoresponse of a UV photodetector based on single undoped Ga\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanowires has been investigated under modulated UV light by a mechanical chopper. By increasing the frequency of the modulated light, the responsivity peak of the UV photodetector has been found to shift from 5.1 to 5.4 eV and an increase of the peak intensity has been observed. The results can be tentatively explained by taking into account the characteristic lifetimes of the localized defect states in gallium oxide. As compared with undoped wires, the photosresponse from Sn-doped wires shows a higher intensity and wider range responsivity at lower bias voltages.
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