New ichnites from the Middle Triassic of the Iberian Ranges (Spain): paleoenvironmental and paleogeographical implications
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The Iberian Basin or its present-day expression, the Iberian Ranges, was refilled with red bed sediments of alluvial origin during the late Olenekian–Anisian period represented by the Canzar (Olenekian–Anisian) and Eslida (Anisian) Formations, both commonly known as Buntsandstein facies. In the late part of the Anisian, the Tethys Sea reached the eastern side of the Iberian microplate, represented by the shallow marine facies of the Landete and Canete Formations, also called Muschelkalk facies. The ichnites studied in this paper belong to the Anisian continental-marine transition in the SE Iberian Ranges.

The Canzar Formation shows the oldest Triassic footprints found in the Iberian Peninsula, consisting in swimming, incomplete lacertoid three digit Rhynchosauroides traces with possibly resting (cubichnia) and furrowing (pascichnia) Cruziana/Rusophycus due to large triopsids. Specimens from Lacertoïd and Crocodiloïd groups have been collected in the Eslida Formation. Rhynchosauroides sp. is the most representative ichnospieces of the first group, while in the Crocodiloïd group, the presence of Chirotherium barthii Kaup 1835 and Isochirotherium cf coureli (Demathieu 1970) are distinctive. In the Landete Formation specimens are found from Crocodiloïd and Dinosaurïd groups. Brachychirotherium gallicum Willruth 1917, Brachychirotherium sp. and Chirotherium sp. are characteristic of the first one, and ‘Coelurosaurichnus’ perriauxi and cf Paratrisauropus latus as the most representative of the second group.

Some of the specimens described here present ancestors in the Early Triassic and have been described in the Triassic of North America, Italy and France. Possible paleogeographical connections with faunas of SE France can be inferred.

Based on different sedimentary structures and plant remains, the footprints are related to fluvial systems within huge flood plains, playa and shallow marine environments, with alternating dry and wet periods. The vertical ichnites distribution during the Anisian shows that the fauna modification was weak at a high clade level. In the Triassic of the Iberian microplate, there are no findings of traces prior to the Anisian, and the footprint content for the Middle Triassic is less diversified than in other neighbouring regions. By comparison with other western Pangea areas, there was a later appearance of the forms after the end-Permian mass extinction event in the studied area.
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Introduction

Some of the earliest studies on vertebrate footprints in the world were made during the nineteenth century in Spain. The first study of footprints was made by Calderoñ (1897) in the Rillo de Gallo area, Guadalajara Province. During the 1970s, new discoveries were reported by different teams of researchers from several Spanish universities, most of them were reviewed in Demathieu et al. (1978). In the Iberian Ranges, central-eastern Spain, different outcrops between Molina de Aragoñ and Siguñenza (Guadalajara Province) were studied in detail in the siliciclastic red beds (Buntsandstein) and shallow marine dolomites (Muschelkalk) of the Lower-Middle Triassic sections (Figure 1). During the last decades of the past century, new specimens coming from the same sections were described in the SE Iberian Ranges, mostly in Cuenca, Teruel and Castelloñ provinces (Lopez-Gomez 1985). This material, never studied before in detail, and new unpublished discoveries are the backbone of this work. The fossil localities are found in the sections of Camarena, Boniches, Corbalañ and Desierto de Las Palmas (Figure 1). This study improves the Spanish Triassic palichnofauna record and its stratigraphical, paleontological and paleoenvironmental interest is reported here.

Geological setting

The present-day Iberian Ranges had an early stage represented by the development of the Iberian Basin,
an intracratonic basin with its first extensional stages developed during the Middle-Late Permian. This period of extensional character evolved in different phases during the Mesozoic in central-eastern Iberia as part of the break-up of Pangea dynamics (Arche and López-Gómez 1996). The present-day Iberian Ranges are the result of different later Cenozoic Alpine compressional phases that caused tectonic inversion of the previous extensional basins (Sopeña et al. 1988; López-Gómez et al. 2002; De Vicente et al. 2009).

The extensional period (Middle-Late Permian to Late Jurassic) had two main phases, an early one or ‘synrift phase’, lasting until the Early-Middle Triassic, and a second one, or ‘thermal post-rift phase’, lasting until Late Jurassic. The latter period of the rift phase is initially represented by hundreds of metres of red beds (Buntsandstein facies) of alluvial origin, similar to the coeval sedimentary record of most of the Western-Central Europe domain (Bourquin et al. 2007). Following this period of continental deposition, and also included into the thermal post-rift phase related to a rapid transgressive period, the Tethys Sea covered the eastern part of the Hercynian basement of the Iberia microplate in an onlap-type morphological disposition. During the Middle Triassic, sediments of marine carbonatic platforms, also known in Central and Southern Europe as the Muschelkalk facies, were deposited by different pulses related to two transgressive–regressive cycles (López-Gómez et al. 1998).

The present work is focused on the transition of these two transgressive–regressive phases, that is, the rocks of the upper Buntsandstein facies and the sediments which represent the first marine transgression of the Tethys Sea (lower Muschelkalk facies) in the SE Iberian Ranges.
The sediments
The Lower and Middle Triassic sediments of the Iberian Ranges were controlled in their deposition by the syntectonic activity of the extensional fault systems creating the sedimentary basins that resulted in a succession of lithological units of characteristic facies (Arche and López-Gómez 2005; Bourquin et al. 2007).

The Buntsandstein facies
The sediments represented by the red beds are known as the Buntsandstein facies and are subdivided into four lithostratigraphical formations: Valdemeca, Cañizar, Eslida and Marines (Röt), but only the Cañizar and Eslida Formations are within the focus of this work.

The Cañizar Formation is up to 170 m thick and consists of pink to white arkoses with paleocurrents pointing towards the SE. These sediments were deposited in sandy braided fluvial systems of huge lateral extension (López-Gómez and Arche 1993). Its upper part is lower Anisian in age, according to palynomorph assemblages (Doubinger et al. 1990). Sedimentological and palynological data point to a dominant arid environment regime during the time of deposition of this formation (Arche and López-Gómez 2005; Bourquin et al. 2007).

The Eslida Formation is up to 750 m thick and consists of alternating red to pink sandstones and dark-red mudstones. It is interpreted as braided and punctually mean-dering fluvial systems with intercalated playa lake deposits, conditioned by a rapid tectonic subsidence controlled by NNE–SSW-trending faults systems located to the east of the Iberian microplate (Arche and López-Gómez 1999). The Eslida Formation is dated by palynomorphs as Anisian (Boulouard and Viallard 1982), it is also bounded conformably by well-dated formations of this age. Based on plant remains, sedimentary structures and paleosol characteristics, the deposition of the Eslida Formation is related to a climate regime of alternating humid and semiarid periods (Arche and López-Gómez 2005).

The Marines Formation (Röt facies) is situated at the top of the Buntsandstein red bed sediments and represents the transition from the siliciclastic continental sediments to the shallow marine carbonate rocks of the first marine incursion of the Tethys Sea on the Iberian microplate (López-Gómez et al. 1998). The Marines Formation is up to 65 m thick and consists of clays, muds and marls with some intercalated thin gypsum levels. The age of this formation is Anisian, according to palynomorph assemblages (Doubinger et al. 1990). Based on sedimentary characteristics and palynological data, it is possible to determine a persistent dry climate in most of the Iberian Ranges and western Tethys areas, during the time of deposition of the Marines Formation. This Formation grades up into the upper unit (lower part of the Muschelkalk facies) in a transitional way, and it is represented by a progressive increase in carbonate sediments.

The Muschelkalk facies
The progressive development of the Tethys Sea onto the Iberian basin during the Middle Triassic was the result of two main transgression–regression pulses that reached the interior of the Iberian microplate in an onlap disposition (López-Gómez et al. 1998). In general terms, each pulse is represented by a unit of shallow marine carbonate platform sediments. These two pulses and units are represented by the Muschelkalk facies, while another and younger one is represented by the Imón Formation, dated as Raethian (Goy et al. 1976). The lowermost unit is the Landete Formation (López-Gómez et al. 1998) and is the only Muschelkalk facies unit studied in this work, although some footprints, as will be discuss later on, were also found in the upper carbonate platform of the Muschelkalk facies in other sections of the NW Iberian Ranges. The Landete Formation is of Anisian age based on the study of foraminifer assemblages by Márquez et al. (1994).

Figure 2. General stratigraphy of the Permian and Triassic lithological units of the studied area in the SE Iberian Ranges.
Figure 3. The four studied Triassic sections in the SE Iberian Ranges Rio Mayor Section is located 1.5 km west of Can小孩子 in figure 1. Numbers correspond to the studied samples. These are: 1: Can小孩子 R2D2; 2: Ant. 100; 3: Ant. 102; 4: Ant. 104; 5: Ant. 105; 6: Ant. 30; 7: Ant. 30A; 8: Ant. 30-6; 9: Ant. 108; 10: Ant. 37; 11: ECor. 214; 12: ECor. 214-3562; 13: ECor. 214-3569; 14: ECor. 215; 15: ECor. 216-3679; 16: ECor. 217; 17: ECor. 217-3595; 18: ECor. 217-3586; 19: ECor. 217-3581; 20: ECor. 217-3583; 21: ECor. 217-3583; 22: ECor. 218-b; 23: ECor. 218-3599; 24: ECor. 218-ab; 25: Boniches X; 26: Boniches Y; 27: Camarena X. Code for the Units: MSMG, Mas Sandstones Marls and Gypsum; LD, Landete Dolomites; CS, Can小孩子 Sandstones; VC, Valdemeca Conglomerates; MCMM, Marines Clays, Marls and Mudstones; ESS, Eslida Sandstones and Siltstones; LBT, Levantine-Balear Triassic.
The studied sections

Figure 3 shows the four studied sections. All the Triassic rocks of these sections lie unconformably on the Permian sediments at their base.

From the lower Anisian to the upper Ladinian, a differential subsidence between the NW and the SE areas led to thicker sedimentary records in the latter area. Thus, while the Eslida and Marines Formations (upper Buntsand-stein facies) were deposited in the Corbalán and Desierto de Las Palmas area, a clear sedimentary interruption was formed between the Cañizar and Landete Formations in the Camarena and Boniches areas (Arche and López-Gómez)
Differential subsidence, caused by regional tectonics, together with the transgressive–regressive dynamics of the Tethys sea into the Iberian microplate, led to the deposition of a thicker sedimentary sequence of dolomites (Muschelkalk facies) in the SE area in comparison with the NW area (Arche and López-Gómez 1996). Only during the Late Triassic times (middle Carnian), a period of relative tectonic quiescence allowed a similar carbonatic deposition in the whole eastern Iberian microplate.

Footprints descriptions and results
General location of the samples
The new ichnites presented in this work were found in four stratigraphical sections (Figure 3). A total of 27 ichnite samples, numbered from the oldest one to the youngest one, are described here. The samples were obtained from the Cañizar, Eslida and Landete Formations, all of them in high-quality outcrops with good vertical and lateral continuity in all the studied area. From base to top, the general location of the footprints in the sections is as follows (Figure 3):

In the Desierto de Las Palmas section, different lacertoid trackways (sample 1) were found at the upper third part of the Cañizar Formation. Based on its location, this is the oldest sample in this study and probably has a lowermost early Anisian age.

In the Corbala’n section, eight levels bearing several footprints were discovered from near the base to the top of the Eslida Formation (samples 2 to 24). They appear on small decimetric micaceous mudstone and fine-grained sandstone sequences whose limited lateral extent does not allow to observe trackways. Therefore, footprints are only manus and pes traces, most of them reported as Rhynchosauroides and, two of them, attributed to Chirotherium barthii and Isochirotherium cf. coureli.

In the Boniches section, a fragmentary dinosaurian track (sample 25) was collected by one of the co-authors (J L-G) at the base of the Muschelkalk, in the Landete Formation. This sample was lost and it is preserved only by one photo from López-Gómez (1985), which is used in this work. In similar levels of the same outcrop, co-author (GG) has recently discovered several chirotherioid and dinosaurian traces on the surface of a large loose block (sample 26), easily located in its original place in the outcrop. Also in the lower part of the Landete Formation, some 10 km north of Boniches, near to Camarena, a large slab bearing Brachychirotherium gallicum footprints (sample 27) was found by another co-author (AA) and later on referred in López-Gómez (1985), but was never studied in detail. This sample is today stored and exhibited at the Cuenca Science Museum.

Lacertoid and Rhynchocephaloid Groups Nopsca, 1923; (Cañizar and Eslida Formations)
Ichnogenus Rhynchosauroides Maidwell, 1911
This ichnogenus gathers a great number of ichnospecies listed in Haubold (1971), Avanzini et al. (2001) and Avanzini and Renesto (2002) in Italy, and Gand et al. (2007) in France. In Spain, four ichnospecies were described in the Triassic of the Iberian Ranges by Demathieu et al. (1978), in the Basque Country (Demathieu and Saiz de Omen’aca 1976) and in the Cantabrian Cordillera (Demathieu and Saiz de Ome’aca 1979, 1990).

All of them were made by quadrupedal animals whose autopod traces are digitigrade to semi-plantigrade, finely clawed and pentadactyl, when they are complete (Figure 4, 2). The thin and flexuous digits are clearly spread out going from I to IV in the pes and only from I to III in the manus, because the IV length is similar to that of the III.
In the pes, the V digit print is shifted behind, angled laterally outwards the I–IV digits, and it is often reduced to its claw mark as is the case for the I toe (Figure 4, 4–5, 10, 16). In several cases, the impression of scales are preserved as in R. peabody (Faber 1958; Demathieu and Oosterink 1983; Diedrich 2000) and R. tirolicus (Abel 1926; Avanzini and Renesto 2002; Figure 4, 4–5).

In the studied trackways, the manus position is variable compared to the pes (Figure 4, 1–6), but it is generally located at the back of the pes (Figure 4, 1, 3–5, 7–8, 16) clearly indicating rear limbs longer than forelimbs (Figure 4, 14).

Based on comparisons between Triassic skeletons and our own interpreted reconstructions obtained from the studied footprints, as well as from the animal morphology suggested by the trackway measurements, these Rhynchosauroides are related to different trackmakers. They all are lacertoid reptiles which could have been rhynchosaurians by comparison with different trackways from North America (Peabody 1948; Figure 4, 14), possibly
Rhynchosaurians or prolacertiforms such as Macrocnemus petri for R. petri Demathieu 1966 (Demathieu 1970), rhyncho-saurians sphenodontidae related to R. hyperbates Baird 1957 (Silvestri and Olsen 1988), and prolacertiforms such as Macrocnemus bassanii related to R. tirolicus Abel 1926 (Avanzini and Renesto 2002).

Plate 1. Eslida Formation, Rhynchosauroides sp. 1–2: ECor 214-3562 and ECor 214-3569 possible manus footprints reduced to distal II–IV digits; 3–12: tridactyl pes also reduced to distal II–IV digits except 7 showing I and V digits more from slight sharpened claw tip, 3: ECor. 215; 4: ECor. 216-3679; 5: ECor. 218- ab; 6: ECor. 217-3583; 7: ECor. 217; 8: ECor. 217-3581; 9: ECor. 217-3586; 10: ECor. 218-3599; 11: ECor. 217-3595; 12: ECor. 214; 13: ECor. 218b (root traces surrounding Rhynchosauroides). Ruler elementary division: 1 mm (scale of picture 1 valuable for photos 3, 10, 11, 12 and 13).

Rhynchosauroides sp. from the Corbala’n section (Eslida Formation)

Description
These footprints often appear grouped and oriented in random directions on the slabs where they do not exceed 40 mm length. They are generally incomplete, represented
by the II–IV distal ends digits, finely clawed and bent internal sidewards. Plate 1 (1–13) and Plate 2 (6, 8) show round and thin marks interpreted as the I and V claw ends of pes traces. Plate 2 (5, 9) shows manus prints recognizable from the III and IV digits, similar in dimensions.

The incomplete Rhynchosauroides morphologies of the Eslida Formation are similar to many footprints that were described in the French Triassic (Gre’s Infe rieurs Formation) of the eastern border of the Massif Central by Demathieu (1970) and Gand (1978a). Some of them were collected in Culles-les-Roches (Burgundy site), close to R. petri Demathieu 1966, and represented in Figure 4 (11–12). In both cases, for the French and Spanish footprints, their subdigitigrade morphology is due to the

fast speed of the trackmakers moving on wet substrates, where there are also in situ plant remains (Pl) and root-marks (R) (Plate 1, 13 and Plate 2, 5).

Swimming uncomplete Rhynchosauroides trackways with three digit scratches and possible resting (cubichnia) and furrowing (pascichnia) traces from sample 1 are shown in Figure 5 (1 and 2, respectively).

Comparison with previously described Spanish ichnospecies (1976–1987)

Rhynchosauroides footprints were described before in other localities of Spain. Apart from the ones from the Eslida Formation, R. santanderensis Demathieu and Saiz de Omenáca (1976) was defined from eight traces which were collected 40 m below the Triassic upper boundary in Puentenansa, Cantabrian Cordillera. Some of them are swimming prints (Demathieu and Saiz de Omenáca 1976; Figures 2 and 3). The rest are represented by very wide II–IV straight digits (Figure 2(C) of the latter authors) and are similar to some footprints of the Eslida Formation described in this paper (Plate 1, 1–2).

In Triassic sections of the Cantabrian Cordillera, Demathieu and Saiz de Omenáca (1979) defined R. extraneus and R. simulans from fragmentary material coming from the ‘tramo 43’. In the same area, Demathieu and Saiz de Omenáca (1990) quoted one pentadactyl manus – pes couple Rhynchosauroides sp. from the surroundings of Cueto Cuco’n in the Peña Sagra area.

Rhynchosauroides virgiliae, Demathieu and Saiz de Omenáca (1976) and Demathieu et al. (1978), were defined from numerous samples from the Middle Triassic of the Iberian Ranges (upper Buntsandstein facies, Rillo de Gallo, Guadalajara) and the Eastern border of Massif Central (France). These footprints show slender digits, generally incomplete, in the pes marks.

Calzada (1987) presented some Rhynchosauroides sp. and R. cf. beasleyei tracks (determined by Demathieu) which were collected from the base of the Triassic series of Catalan Coastal Ranges without any precise location.

As many Triassic ichnospecies of Rhynchosauroides, these ones described here have small differences among them. Probably, these differences are not very significant due to the substrate nature and because they were originated by the variable speed gait of the animals. Therefore, we prefer to present the incomplete Rhynchosauroides of the Eslida Formation in open nomenclature as we cannot compare them with other numerous taxa defined from other complete footprints.

Crocodiloid Group Nopsca, 1923; Crurotarsi Sereno and Arcucci, 1990

Ichnogenus Chirotherium Kaup, 1835.

Ichnospecies Chirotherium barthii Kaup 1835; Corbala ´n section, Eslida Formation.

In contrast to many ichnotaxa, Chirotherium barthii remains stable since its creation in the nineteenth century. It is clearly represented by a pentadactyl and heteropod manus–pes couple coming from the base of the Eslida Formation (Plate 2, 1).

The pes trace is approximately 2.6 times longer than that of the hand. It is longer (L) than broad (W):(L ÷ W ¼ 84 ÷ 38 mm), with strongly clawed digits having rather well-marked pads. The tridactyl II–IV unit is clearly larger than the length of toe I. The V is lost and the long and only broad mark of the metatarsals pad is shown. The manus trace is a little longer than broad (L ÷ W ¼ 33 ÷ 28 mm). The digits are clawed except for the V which is not very well printed. The V metacarpal trace is long, located at the back of the I–IV digital part, wider than longer (W ÷ L ¼ 28 ÷ 23 mm).


Isochirotherium cf coureli (Demathieu 1970) Haubold 1971; Corbala´n section, Eslida Formation.

A pes trace from sample Ant-104 (Plate 2, 3), located some 24 m above the bed bearing C. barthii, shows the I–IV digital part, whose the I–III digits are ended by balled claws in shape, typical of I. coureli defined in the Middle Triassic of France by Demathieu (1970). From this character but also from the III–II length ratio ¼ 1.03, this footprint appears like Isochirotherium close to coureli. In this French ichnospecies, the III/II confidence interval is included between 0.96 and 1.09 at the 95% statistical limit.

*Ichnogenus Brachychirotherium Beurlen, 1950

Brachychirotherium gallicum Willruth 1917, Camar-ena section, Landete Formation.

This ichnotaxa is represented by a 29 cm long pace which consists of two manus traces (M) connected with a pes (P) (Plate 3, 9). The pentadactyl pes is plantigrade. It is longer than broad with L ÷ W ¼ 110 ÷ 76 mm. The length differences of I–IV toes are as follows: I, II ¼ IV, III. These digits are slightly clawed, especially the fourth. The complete plantigrady character as well as the weakness of the obliqueness defined by the III digit and the articular digito-metatarsian I–IV axis angle of 608, differentiates it from the Chirotherium pes, which shows a value near of 908. The V digit is short and extends anterolaterally the long tarso-metapodial pad.

The digitigrade manus is as long as broad with L ÷ W ¼ 54 mm. The manus length is twice shorter than that in the pes. Based on some formulae described in Soergel (1925) and Baird (1957), the trunk length is assessed at 50 cm. So, possibly the trackmaker was a quadruped reptile of about 2 m long.

Chirotherioid traces.

In the same bed bearing C. barthii (cf supra), it was found a chirotherioid trace which is reduced to the I–IV
Plate 3. Landete Formation (base of Muschelkalk), Camarena and Boniches area, Chirotherium sp., cf. Brachychotherium gallicum, 'Coelurosauchichnus' cf perriauixi, cf. Paratrisauropus; 1: Outcrop near Cañete observed during the 2004 AGP trip, LF, Landete Formation upperlaying CF, Cañizar Formation; 2–3: A white sandy dolomitic block bearing hyporelief footprints seen in the Landete Formation outcrops, 2: Frontal view, A and B details are enlarged in photographs 3 and 6; 3: Enlargement of detail A with chirotheriod (Ch) traces; 4: Sketches of the detail B (from photograph 2); 5: Back view, details B and D are enlarged on photographs 6; 6: enlargement of detail B (from photograph 5) where D is a dinosauroid trace, Ch are chirotheriod traces, R are rounded coupled traces (cf Brachychotherium couples); 7: Sketches from photograph 6; 8: Ornithoid footprints, base of the Landete Formation, Boniches area, cf Paratrisauropus latus, sample Boniches X; 9: Brachychotherium gallicum pace trace, sample Camarena X, P: pes, M: manus, base of the Landete Formation, Camarena section, Cuenca Museum. Scale bar: 10 cm, except for photograph 8: 5 cm; coin diameter: 23 mm.
part of a pes (Plate 2, 4). Its dimensions are: L £ W of the I–IV digital part ¼ 56 £ 56 mm; successive I–III digit lengths ¼ 47, 56 mm. Because of the III–II length relative subequality, this footprint was first assigned to Isochirotherium closed to I. coureli; this last ichnospecies being selected because of the presence of balled claws in shape. However, the III/II length ratio ¼ 1.19, exceeding the confidence interval at the 95% limit of I. coureli (0.96–1.09), it seems more appropriate to arrange only this Spanish trace in the Chirotherioid group like that suggested by the anonymous referee.

Boniches section, Landete Formation.

One metrical, white-pale sandy dolomite block (sample 26) turned upside down, located in the base of the Landete Formation (Plate 3, 1-LF, 2, 5) shows many hyporelief footprints. Most of them consist of superposed and incomplete decimetric Chirotherium sp. (Plate 3, 2, 7-Ch). Other footprints of the same sample are heterometrical rounded coupled traces (R in Plate 3, 2–7), possibly of Brachychirotherium sp. pes–manus. A similar ichno-preservation was also described in Gand (1978b:15), found in the Grès inférieurs Formation of the French Middle Triassic and related to B. pachydactylum. It is due to wide digits arranged in a very close pattern in the trackmaker autopods. Thus, when the animal moved on very wet substrates they may have produced this kind of sauropodoid footprints.

Comparison with previously described Spanish chirotherioid footprints (1897–1987)

Chirotherioïd footprints of the Eslida Formation should be added to those from Spain already discovered as early as the nineteenth century. However, most of the footprints studied here are located in the same section and therefore they show a more detailed stratigraphic control.

Demathieu et al. (1978) described a Chirotherium sp. pes, almost complete, approximately 20 cm long, found in the Buntsandstein facies of Aragoncillo, NW Iberian Ranges, Rillo Formation (Ramos 1979). This ichnogenus was mentioned for the first time by Calzada (1897), lately related to Chirotheriidae by Leonardi (1959). The ichnogenus found in the Triassic of the Boniches section and described in this paper was also described at the base of the Buntsandstein facies in the Triassic series of the Catalan Coastal Ranges (Lapparent 1966; Calzada 1987).

Demathieu et al. (1978) described Synaptichnium sp., in the locality of Rillo de Gallo (Buntsandstein facies, Rillo Formation, Ramos 1979) and in the Riba de Santiusite locality (Muschelkalk facies, Cueva de Ayllón Formation, Sopena 1979), as well as Isochirotherium sp., found in the Buntsandstein facies, in the upper part of the Ermita del Buen Labrador section (Torete Formation, Ramos 1979; Figure 3). This latter ichnogenus trace, related to a manus print, is larger than broad.

The five different types (among others) of vertebrate ichnites presented by Calafat et al. (1986–1987) in the Buntsandstein facies of Mallorca, described as the A type trackway, were named ‘Cheirotherium’. From the photos of these footprints, this assignation could be inexact because they show lacertoid manus–pes traces and the fourth digit is frequently the longest. Therefore, based on the position of the V digit compared to the I digit, the Hyloldicchota taxa could be better suited.

Dinosauroid Group Nopsca, 1923; Dinosauromorpha Benton, 1985

The ichnogenus ‘Coelurosaurichnus’ Huene 1941 had been synonymised with Grallator by Leonardi and Lockley 1995 and, thus referred to Theropoda. However, if Grallator was only made by Dinosauria, it is not possible to name the Middle Triassic dinosauroid footprints from this ichnogenus, as the trackmakers are not dinosauria (cf. supra), but their ancestors. For this reason, we have preferred to present the Spanish dinosauroid traces with their original ichnogenus, Coelurosaurichnus.

On the other hand, the holotypus Coelurosaurichnus toscanus, Huene 1941, was described from a deformed print, what returned it nomen vanum for Umberto Nicosia (Gand and Demathieu 2005), which does not approve the Leonardi and Lockley (1995) suggestion to do a synonymy between ‘Coelurosaurichnus’ and Grallator.

It appears clearly, based also on a statistical comparison, that the Middle Triassic French dinosauroid, such as ‘Coelurosaurichnus’ perriauxi Demathieu and Gand 1972, cannot be named Grallator sensu Lull (1953) and Olsen et al. (1998).

Therefore, in order to underline the nomenclatural problem concerning the ‘Coelurosaurichnus’ use, this ichnogenus will be written with commas in this paper.

‘Coelurosaurichnus’ sp., found in the Buntsandstein facies (Rillo Formation, Ramos 1979) and described in Demathieu et al. (1978), was the first description of this
group in Spain. It seems that this ichnogenus could be present on the previously described block surface (sample 26) of the Landete Formation, in the Boniches section. In this sample, there is a digitigrade tridactyl II–IV footprint of 14 cm long, showing broad digits weakly clawed (Plate 3, 5–7). This unique trace is close to ‘Coelurosaurichnus’ perriauxi, frequently described in the Middle Triassic of the eastern border of the French Central Massif, (Demathieu and Gand 1972; Gand and Demathieu and Haubold 1972; Haubold 1984, 1986; Gand et al. 2005; Gand et al. 2007).

Sample 27 was found by López-Gómez (1985) in the Boniches section, and here is described as a large digitigrade tridactyl footprint of which the distal digits II–IV are lost (Plate 3, 8). The external II–IV angle of digits, which are very broad, is high (1208). This value characterises an ornithoid pes trace. Such footprints were described and classified by Demathieu and Weidmann (1982) from the Ladinian of Vieux Emosson (Valais, Switzerland). Although the Boniches print is clearly uncomplete, it seems that it should be close to Paratrisauropus latus Demathieu and Weidmann 1982.

Stratigraphical, paleontological and paleoenvironmental results

Some datations from newly described footprints

The new paleoichnological observations in the Boniches and Corbala’n sections expand the Chirotherium, Isochirotherium, Brachychirotherium, ‘Coelurosaurichnus’ and Rhynchosauroides ichnogenera presence to broader geographic domains of the Triassic of the Iberian Ranges. An incomplete trace, similar to Paratrisauripus, also suggests ornithoid footprints presence in the Muschelkalk facies (Landete This Formation) palichnological in the SE assemblage part of the confirms Ranges the age of the overlying Landete Formation as Anisian, already known from ammonites and foraminifers (Ma’riquez et al. 1994; López-Gómez et al. 1998). Thus, the presence of Isochirotherium, close to I. courei ichnospecies, distributed in the Grés inférieurs Formation of the northeast French Central Massif (Demathieu 1970; Demathieu and Gand 1986), and dated as upper Anisian–lower Ladinian (Demathieu et al. 1984). The Esilda Formation beds also bear I. cf. coureli.

The Esilda Formation was previously dated as Anisian (Boulouard and Viallard 1982; Arche and Lo‘pez-Gomez 1999, 2005). This formation is conformably overlain by the Marines and Landete Formations, containing rich palynomorph and foraminifer assemblages of middle-upper Anisian age (Pelsonian–Ilirian) (Doubinger et al. 1990; López-Gómez and Arche 1993; Arche and López-Gómez 1999; López-Gómez et al. 2002, 2005). Therefore, it is reasonable to attribute an older age to the Esilda Formation and locate ‘C’ it in the oelurosaurichnusearly-middle’ found Anisian in the Landete and Rillo Formations, also is Anisian in age, because this ichnogenus was discovered in the upper Anisian–lower Ladinian interval in France (Demathieu et al. 1984; López et al. 2005) and in the upper Anisian of Italy (Avanzini 2002).

The cf. Paratrisauropus latus ornithoid trace of the Landete Formation (Plate 3, 8) has also an Anisian age, as the previously interpreted age of the level bearing this print.

Finally, we also confirm that the base of the Mallorca redbeds with Chirotherium is not Triassic, but Late Permian in age, since the footprints described by Calafat et al. (1986–1987) are Hyloidichnus. This conclusion is in agreement with previous studies that dated these beds as Thuringian, according to palynological analysis (Ramos and Doubinger 1989).

Vertical range of Spanish footprints and comparisons

Based on stratigraphic correlations, previous datations of the studied formations, and the five most representative localities bearing footprints in the Central and NW Iberian Ranges, as well as our comparisons with some references listed before of the Cantabrian Mountains, Catalan Coastal Ranges and Mallorca, we have detailed in Figure 6(A) the vertical distribution of Triassic ichnogenera currently indexed in Spain. For the Olenekian–Ladinian interval, Figure 6 shows that the Triassic palichnofauna of this country is related to others in areas such as Germany, France, England, USA and Africa, where footprints are also mentioned in the Late Triassic (Huene 1941; Peabody 1948; Baird 1957; Wills and Sarjeant 1970; Demathieu and Haubold 1972; Haubold 1984, 1986; Gand et al. 2007).

The palichnologic assemblages of the Middle Triassic in Spain and the above-mentioned areas show close relationships at the ichnogenera level, concerning the lacertoid, crocodiloid and dinosauroid groups. However, for this time interval in Spain, the smaller content of footprints makes difficult the ichnospecific determination. In this aspect, the Dinosauromorph Rotodactylus Peabody 1948, mentioned from the Lower to the Upper Triassic, and Sphingopus (Demathieu 1966) probably related to Ornithosuchidea, are not found in Spain. This absence could be due to gaps in the sedimentary record, among other reasons.

However, comparative studies of the Middle Triassic ichnospecific contents show that the Spanish ichnospecies Rhynchosauroides virgiliae, Brachychirotherium gallicum and Isochirotherium cf. coureli are common in France. In a similar way, the Spanish samples of Isochirotherium coltoni and Chirotherium diabloense are also abundant in the Lower Triassic of USA (Lull 1953). The omnipresence of Chirotherium Barthii, indexed in the Triassic world from the Olenekian to the Ladinian, is, however, rather uncommon in Spain.
Paleontological interpretations

Since the mid-1990s, there is good agreement concerning the general paleontological interpretations of trackmakers of different Triassic ichnogenera, as shown by different authors (Peabody 1948; Baird 1957; Demathieu 1970; Haubold 1970, 1971; Demathieu and Haubold 1974; Courel et al. 1982; Avanzini and Renesto 2002; Benton 2005; Gand et al. 2007). Therefore, we can assert that *Rhynchosauroides* of the Esilda Formation is related to Lepidosauria (Prolacertiforms), 1–2 m long, that were living together with larger crurotarsian carnivorous as *Chirotherium*, *Isochirotherium* and *Brachychoirotherium*. From previously described Spanish footprints in Demathieu et al. (1978), concerning several formations of the Iberian Ranges, we know that the Middle Triassic palichnocoenosis of the Iberian Plate was differentiated in *Synaptichnium* sp., due to Archosauriformes (*? Euparkeria*), and *Coelurosaurichnus* sp., footprints related to Dinosauriformes.

*Coelurosaurichnus* sp., found in Triassic rocks of the Cantabrian Cordillera of undetermined age (Demathieu and Saiz de Omeñaca 1978, 1990), is classically ascribed to little Parareptiles (formerly named Cotylosauria).

Paleoenvironmental implications

The described footprints are commonly related to several sedimentary structures, such as current ripples, mudcracks, parting lineation, rain-drops marks and others of early diagenetic origin, as halite hoppers (Arche and López-Gómez 1999, 2005). This information, added to other paleontological and sedimentological data concerning regional studies in the Middle Triassic in the Iberian Ranges (Pérez-Arlucea and Sopena 1985; Ramos et al. 1986; Gómez-Gras 1993; Sánchez-Moya et al. 2004; Bourquin et al. 2007), have allowed us to make some considerations about the paleoenvironments of the different studied formations bearing footprints.

It could be considered that the landscape during the Middle Triassic in the Iberian microplate was formed by very extensive, lowlands around the highly weathered Hercynian reliefs. This landscape probably extended far towards the south of Armorique and Devon (Dercourt et al. 1993). However, during the early Anisian times, the SE Iberian basin or present-day Iberian Ranges, was an active tectonically controlled intracratonic basin as result of the connection of two main rift systems in the E of Iberia, one of them coming from the Central Europe plate, with a general NNE–S.SW trend, and the other represented by the NW–SE Iberian basin rift system (Arche and López-Gómez 1996). This connection resulted in an active and immense fluvial system plain with wide flood plains and playa environments, represented by the Esilda Fm., in which Reptiles, such as Crurotarsi, Lepidosauria, Archosaurus (whose Dinosauriformes) and Parareptiles, were living. This tectonic control could be somehow responsible for the environmental conditions changes and therefore, for the presence and diversity of the reptiles, as was recently proposed by Diedrich (2009) for the Middle Triassic of Central Europe.

Some of the footprints were probably made during emersion periods of fluvial plains and were preserved in the desiccated zones of overbanks. Presence of mud-cracks, halite hoppers and rain-drops underline a climate with dry and wet period alternations. This interpretation is confirmed also by the plant assemblage nature which was observed in the different biotas. The macroflora remains are frequent in the Esilda Formation (Arche and López-Gómez 1999) where they appear associated with footprints, often on the same levels (Plate 2, 5). In Catalonia, NE Spain, in the section of Caldes, equivalent in time to the upper part of the Cañizar Formation and the Esilda Formation, Calzada (1987) mentioned *Equisetites cf. mougeotti*, Equisetophytina growing in wetlands, and Coniferophytina such as *Aetophyllum* sp., an herbaceous plant, as well as abundant *Volzia* trees. These two latter genera of the Triassic euramerican flora were well adapted to the dryness characterising an overall hot climate (Lemoigne 1988).

From the middle Anisian times onwards, the Buntsandstein continental red beds were progressively covered by the onlapping deposits of the Muschelkalk during the westward transgression of the Tethys Sea (Sopena et al. 1988; López-Gómez et al. 1998), here represented by the Landete Fm. Eustatic changes in sea level were also important and produced changes in the tidal flat subenvironments represented by this latter unit. This control can be observed in Figure 6(A) that shows the progressive displacement of these tidal facies belt from east to west and, at the same time, from base to top of the series, a disposition that represents the time interval that took to the coast to reach the interior of the microplate. This displacement was probably followed by the reptiles that migrated almost parallel to this belt towards the interior of the Iberian plate.

Inferred from the vertical distribution of the footprints, Figure 6(B) shows how the fauna modifications during the Middle Triassic times did not change at the large clades level. They were always Crurotarsi and Lepidosauria, except for the Dinosauriformes revealed by *Coelurosaurichnus* traces. They do not seem to appear before the middle Anisian base, a fact that is also known in France and Italy (Avanzini 2002; Gand and Demathieu 2005). It could be related to a protracted recovery of life after the Permian–Triassic crisis in this area.
Conclusions
The Spanish Triassic rocks have a great palichnologic potential due to the huge and well-preserved outcrops. The new discoveries in the Can'tzar, Eslida and Landete Formation (27 samples) of the SE Iberian Ranges in four different sections, support this point of view. They complete the Middle Triassic palichnafauna already described in the Iberian Ranges and Cantabrian Mountains. From the samples studied here, it is possible to identify the same ichnogena as in North America and Europe, with some ichnotaxa being common with other ones previously found in the Middle Triassic of France and USA. All these footprints testify the presence of varied Crurotarsi and Lepidosauria, which present ancestors in the Early Triassic. From the late Anisian, the fauna is gradually growing richer in Dinosauriformes, whose existence is proven in France, Italy and Spain by 'Coelurosaurichnus' footprints. These biped reptiles are the ancestors of Dinosaurs who will later develop at the beginning of Carnian.

The continental Buntsandstein red beds bearing footprints in the Middle Triassic of the Iberian Ranges were related to vast fluvial systems with important flood plains and playa environments, in a paleogeographic domain which includes the south of Armorique and Devon areas. At the end of the Anisian, the environment evolved into a shallow carbonate platform with large lagoon or restricted areas, but still under the same previous hot climate characterised by alternating of dry and rainy seasons. The continental environments were colonised by wetlands plants such as Equisetites, but also by Coniferials whose existence is proven in France, Italy and Spain by 'Coelurosaurichnus' footprints. These biped reptiles are the ancestors of Dinosaurs who will later develop at the beginning of Carnian.
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