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Abstract

The transparent semiconductor Zn$_2$SnO$_4$ with cubic spinel structure and the isostructural Zn$_2$TiO$_4$ have been magnetically doped with Co$^{2+}$. ZnCoSnO$_4$ and ZnCoTiO$_4$ exhibit ferrimagnetism below $T_N \approx 13$ K and $T_N \approx 17$ K. Ferrimagnetic moments are evident in $M$ vs $H$ curves below $T_N$ by small hysteresis. Fits to strictly linear Curie-Weiss plots above $T_N$ give $\mu_{\text{eff}} \approx 4.86$ $\mu_B$ and $\approx 4.91$ $\mu_B$ for ZnCoSnO$_4$ and ZnCoTiO$_4$, above theoretical predictions. Impedance spectroscopy data from sintered ceramic can be fitted with a standard equivalent circuit model based on two RC elements for bulk and GB areas. The relative dielectric permittivity of the bulk is $\approx 20$ and $\approx 30$ for Zn$_2$SnO$_4$ and Zn$_2$TiO$_4$. The semiconducting ZnCoSnO$_4$ and ZnCoTiO$_4$ ceramics exhibit bulk resistivity of $\approx 1 \times 10^6$ $\Omega$cm and $\approx 1 \times 10^5$ $\Omega$cm at 560 K (287 °C), and bulk activation energies of $E_A \approx 1.2$ eV and 1.1 eV.
I. Introduction

The spinel compound Zn$_2$SnO$_4$ is a prominent candidate for applications in dye-sensitized solar cells as a transparent semiconducting electrode $^{1-4}$, and in the decomposition of benzene and methylene as a photocatalyst $^{5-7}$. For such applications Zn$_2$SnO$_4$ is considered a viable alternative to TiO$_2$ based materials $^8$. Furthermore, the use of spinel Zn$_2$SnO$_4$ in Li-ion batteries as an anode material has been demonstrated $^9,10$. In the typical spinel structure the O$^{2-}$ anions are cubic closed packed, whereas the cations occupy tetrahedral A-site interstitials by a fraction of 1/3 and octahedral B-sites by 2/3. In Zn$_2$SnO$_4$ the Sn$^{4+}$ cations occupy octahedral sites and the Zn$^{2+}$ tetrahedral and octahedral sites by equal amounts, i.e. Zn[ZnSn]O$_4$.

In the work presented here, isovalent Co$^{2+}$ magnetic doping of Zn$_2$SnO$_4$ and Zn$_2$TiO$_4$ by substitution of Zn$^{2+}$ was studied in order to modify the optical absorption properties and increase the functionality of the material by adding a magnetic component to it. The end member spinel compounds Co$_2$SnO$_4$ and Co$_2$TiO$_4$ exhibit ferrimagnetic moments below $T_N =$ 41 K and $T_N =$ 48 K respectively $^{11,12}$, which arise from the different magnetic moments of Co$^{2+}$ on tetrahedral or octahedral sites. From an alternative point of view it may be regarded that the Co$^{3+}$ magnetism in the ferrimagnetic spinels Co$_2$SnO$_4$ and Co$_2$TiO$_4$ was diluted by partial Zn$^{2+}$ substitution.

Stoichiometric ZnCoSnO$_4$ and ZnCoTiO$_4$ were synthesized by conventional ceramic processing and the structural, microstructural, magnetic and dielectric properties were investigated. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) was employed to demonstrate that the O$^{2-}$ sublattice of the spinel structure is ideally suited to accommodate Sn$^{4+}$, Zn$^{2+}$ and Co$^{2+}$ cations. The valence state of Co was confirmed to be predominantly 2+ in ZnCoSnO$_4$ and ZnCoTiO$_4$ from X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements, and the stoichiometry was checked using Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS).
Magnetic measurement data revealed strictly linear Curie-Weiss fits with Co$^{2+}$ magnetic moments of $\mu_{\text{eff}} \approx 4.86 \mu_B$ and $\approx 4.91 \mu_B$ for ZnCoSnO$_4$ and ZnCoTiO$_4$, which is significantly above the maximum theoretical prediction of 4.19 $\mu_B$ associated with Co$^{2+}$ high spin on octahedral sites. This unusually high Co$^{2+}$ high spin moment may be explained by an exceptionally high orbit contribution or an unusual anisotropy of the $g$-factors $g_{||}$ and $g_{\perp}$. Field cooled and zero field cooled magnetization ($M$) vs temperature ($T$) curves deviate from each other below $T_N$, typical for a glassy magnetic spin behaviour.

Impedance spectroscopy measurements on ZnCoSnO$_4$ and ZnCoTiO$_4$ pressed and sintered pellets revealed insulating electronic conduction in both compounds. Intrinsic bulk and extrinsic grain boundary (GB) dielectric contributions were detected and analysed separately in terms of the resistivity and dielectric permittivity by fitting the dielectric data to a standard equivalent circuit model containing a series connection of two standard non-ideal RC elements or RQ elements, one each for bulk and GB contributions.

II. Experimental

ZnCoSnO$_4$ and ZnCoTiO$_4$ powders were synthesized using the conventional ceramic method of mixing and homogenizing the analytical grade ZnO (Merck, 99 %), CoO (Merck, 99.9%) and SnO$_2$ (Merck, 99.9%) precursors in acetone using agate pestle and mortar. The mixed precursor powders were placed in an alumina crucible and calcined in a conventional Labtech LEF-115S muffle furnace for 24 hours at two different temperatures of 900 °C and 1000 °C in two separate sample batches. After calcination, the samples were again ground in an agate mortar and calcined a second time at 900 °C or 1000 °C for 12hr respectively to ensure complete homogeneity. For dielectric measurements the powders were pressed into pellets using a 1 ton uniaxial dye press and sintering of the green ceramic pellets was performed at 1000 °C and
1100 °C for the samples synthesized at 900 °C and 1000 °C respectively, i.e. the sintering temperature was always 100 °C above the synthesis to ensure optimum pellet compaction due to grain growth.

XRD pattern from the synthesized powders were collected at room temperature on a Siemens D5000 powder diffractometer with CuKα radiation at diffraction angles in the range of 5° ≤ 20 ° ≤ 80° with a counting time of 1 s over 0.02° (20) steps. The analysis of XRD patterns was performed using the Crystal Impact Match program with the PDF-2 database. The lattice parameters were calculated using the LeBail method in the Fullprof software. XPS spectra were recorded with a CLAM2 electron spectrometer under vacuum below 1 x 10^-9 mbar, using Mg Kα radiation (1253.6 eV) and a constant pass energy of 200 eV and 20 eV for the wide scan and narrow scan spectra, respectively. All binding energies (BE ± 0.2 eV) were charge–corrected to the C 1s signal of the adventitious contamination carbon layer, set at 284.6eV. The ceramic microstructure of sintered pellets was imaged using a JEOL JSM 6400 scanning electron microscope (SEM). The pellets had been polished, significantly thinned and thermally etched to obtain images representative of the bulk interior microstructure. Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS) was carried out for quantitative analysis of the stoichiometry using an INCAx-sight detector. The compositional EDS results were confirmed by Inductive-Coupled Plasma (ICP) spectroscopy using an ICP PERKIN ELMER mod. OPTIMA 2100 DV.

A Quantum Design SQUID MPMS was used to measure the powder magnetisation $M$ vs $T$ curves between 5 K and 320 K during heating under ZFC and FC conditions under an applied magnetic field of $H = 100$ Oe. Furthermore, $M$ vs $H$ curves were measured at 5 K and 15 K below and above the ferrimagnetic transitions $T_N$ to detect possible magnetic hysteresis.

The dielectric properties of the sintered pellets were measured by alternating current (AC) impedance spectroscopy using an Alpha Analyser Novocontrol system. Au electrodes were sputter deposited on both faces of the pellets and covered by Ag conductive paint. Prior to
measurement the short sides of the pellets were polished thoroughly to avoid short-circuiting. Impedance measurements were performed at a frequency \( (f) \) range of 1 Hz – 10 MHz with 6 measurement points per frequency decade using an applied AC voltage signal of 0.1 V amplitude under various \( T \) between 160 K and 560 K upon heating. The dielectric data were collected in terms of the real and imaginary parts \((Z', Z'')\) of the complex impedance \( Z^* = Z' + iZ'' \) under steady state conditions, where the selected \( T \) was stabilised for \( \approx 10 \) minutes before taking data. Equivalent circuit fitting of the dielectric data was performed by using commercial Z-View software.

### III. Results & Discussion

#### (A) Structural analysis

Figure 1 demonstrates that the XRD patterns of all synthesized ZnCoSnO\(_4\) and ZnCoTiO\(_4\) powders are strictly single phase and the crystal structure is a cubic spinel in the Fd3m space group. The unit cell parameters were calculated and are listed in Table I. Substitution of the Zn\(^{2+}\) cations with an ionic radius of 0.74 Å (VI) in the octahedral or 0.60 Å (IV) in the tetrahedral coordination, by Co\(^{2+}\) in the low spin (LS) or high spin (HS) configuration (VI: 0.65 Å LS / 0.75 Å HS; IV: 0.58 Å HS)\(^{13}\) leads to isostructural phase pure compounds due to the good match of ionic radii.
Figure 1. Powder XRD pattern for spinel ZnCoSnO$_4$ and ZnCoTiO$_4$ heat treated at different temperatures. No secondary phases are evident. Large background signals from the fluorescence of Co were subtracted from the data.

Table I. Lattice parameters $a$ calculated from powder XRD patterns for ZnCoSnO$_4$ and ZnCoTiO$_4$ heat treated at different temperatures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>ZnCoSnO$_4$</th>
<th>ZnCoTiO$_4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>8.6446(1)</td>
<td>8.4457(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>8.6465(1)</td>
<td>8.4467(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures 2 a & b show the wide scan XPS spectra recorded from ZnCoSnO$_4$ and ZnCoTiO$_4$ samples. Only the more intense photoemission lines are labeled. The features of the Co 2p$_{3/2}$ line were rather similar for all samples and Figure 2c shows the ZnCoTiO$_4$ Co 2p$_{3/2}$ line as a representative example.
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Figure 2. Full XPS spectra for (a) ZnCoSnO$_4$ and (b) ZnCoTiO$_4$ heat treated at different temperatures. (c) Fits to the 2p Co peaks for ZnCoTiO$_4$ treated at 1000 °C. No Co$^{3+}$ traces were detected.

The main photoemission line is centered at 780.1 eV and a strong shake-up satellite at 786.2 eV is observed. These spectral features and binding energy values are typical for Co$^{2+}$ cations in spinel-related compounds$^{14}$. The existence of some Co$^{3+}$ cations was considered by including the characteristic photoemission line at 779.5 eV into the fits. However, in all cases the quality of the fits did not improve as compared to only Co$^{2+}$ cations and therefore, the presence of considerable amounts of Co$^{3+}$ was discarded. The ceramic microstructure of the bulk interior areas of sintered pellets is demonstrated in Figure 3, where a regular grain structure, and a narrow and uniform grain size distribution were evident in the secondary electron (SE) and backscattered (BE) SEM micrographs.
Figure 3. Secondary electron (SE) and backscattered electron (BSE) SEM micrographs for ZnCoSnO$_4$ (a-d) and ZnCoTiO$_4$ (e-h) ceramic pellets sintered at 1000 °C and 1100 °C as indicated.
This indicates that the sintered ceramic pellets may be well-suited for dielectric spectroscopy measurements. The results from quantitative EDS analysis are summarized in Table II. It is indicated that all atomic percentages (at. %) are approximately within the expected range considering the experimental error of EDS analysis of \( \approx 2 \% \).

Table II. Cation ratios in atomic percentage from quantitative analysis by EDS for \( \text{ZnCoSnO}_{4} \) and \( \text{ZnCoTiO}_{4} \) heat treated at different temperatures. Uncertainties are in the range of \( \approx 2\% \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synthesis Temperature</th>
<th>( \text{ZnCoSnO}_{4} )</th>
<th>( \text{ZnCoTiO}_{4} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>900 °C</td>
<td>1000 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn (at. %)</td>
<td>31.6(6)</td>
<td>32.5(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co (at. %)</td>
<td>32.8(7)</td>
<td>32.4(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn/Ti (at. %)</td>
<td>35.6(7)</td>
<td>35.1(7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(B) Magnetic properties

The \( M \) vs \( T \) curves of \( \text{ZnCoSnO}_{4} \) and \( \text{ZnCoTiO}_{4} \) powder samples heat treated at 1000 °C (Figure 4 a & b) indicate \( T_{N} \approx 13 \) and \( T_{N} \approx 17 \) respectively. These values are considerably lower as compared to the isostructural compounds \( \text{Co}_{2}\text{SnO}_{4} \) and \( \text{Co}_{2}\text{TiO}_{4} \) (\( T_{N} = 41 \) K and \( T_{N} = 48 \) K), which may well be a result of the diluted \( \text{Co}^{2+} \) magnetism by \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) substitution. Figure 4 further indicates a separation of the ZFC and FC curves below \( T_{N} \), which is reminiscent of a glassy spin magnetic moment commonly observed in (anti)ferromagnetic and ferrimagnetic spinels \[^{11,15-17}\]. The Figure 4 insets demonstrate that the deviations between ZFC and FC curves (maxima in the ZFC curves) occur at temperatures very close to the Neel transitions \( T_{N} \) as indicated by the maxima in the differentiated FC curves, for both \( \text{ZnCoSnO}_{4} \) and \( \text{ZnCoTiO}_{4} \). Therefore, both compounds may enter into a glassy spin state just below \( T_{N} \), which had been observed previously in \( \text{Co}_{2}\text{SnO}_{4} \[^{11,18,19}\].
Figure 4. Magnetization $M$ (emu/g) vs $T$ (K) plots collected under ZFC (open symbols) and FC (filled symbols) conditions for (a) ZnCoSnO$_4$ (red symbols) and (b) ZnCoTiO$_4$ (blue symbols) powders heat treated at 1000 °C. The figure insets show low $T$ magnifications and the differentiated FC curves $dM/dT$ vs $T$ (brown and dark blue symbols). The maxima in the $dM/dT$ vs $T$ curves were regarded the transition temperatures $T_N$, whereas the peaks in the ZFC curves indicate glassy spin behaviour below $T_N$. 
The magnetic data from ZnCoSnO$_4$ and ZnCoTiO$_4$ powders heat treated at 1100 °C show the equivalent $T_N$ transition temperatures, glassy spin behaviour and ferrimagnetism (data not shown). Therefore, it can be concluded that the magnetism in ZnCoSnO$_4$ and ZnCoTiO$_4$ powders is not affected qualitatively by the differences in heat treatment. The ferrimagnetic moments were confirmed from the hysteretic $M$ vs $H$ curves at $T = 4.2$ K below $T_N$, whereas above $T_N$ at 20 K and 40 K approximately linear behaviour is indicated in Figure 5 a & b. The ferrimagnetic moment arises from the different magnetic moments of the Co$^{2+}$ cations situated at tetrahedral or octahedral sites, since the octahedral coordination entails orbit contributions, which are absent in the tetrahedral coordination $^{20}$. This ferrimagnetic moment detected here had been demonstrated previously in the end member compounds Co$_2$SnO$_4$ and Co$_2$TiO$_4$ $^{11,12}$. 

![Graph showing magnetization vs magnetic field for ZnCoSnO$_4$ at 1000 °C, 20 K, and 4.2 K](image)
Figure 5. Magnetization $M$ (emu/g) vs applied magnetic field $H$ (Oe) plots for (a) ZnCoSnO$_4$ and (b) ZnCoTiO$_4$ powders heat treated at 1000 °C. Small hysteresis loops for $T < T_N$ indicate net ferrimagnetic moments.

The magnetic behaviour of both ZnCoSnO$_4$ and ZnCoTiO$_4$ above $T_N$ in the paramagnetic regime is dominated by strictly linear susceptibility $1/\chi$ vs $T$ Curie-Weiss plots, which indicate a conventional paramagnetism of non-interacting magnetic spin moments. However, the linear fits to the Curie-Weiss plots and calculating the total effective magnetic moment $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ leads to values in the range of $\approx 4.9 \mu_B$ that are significantly above the maximum values predicted. This is the case even by assuming an HS scenario and full octahedral occupation of Co$^{2+}$ cations with the higher predicted HS moment of 4.16 $\mu_B$ as compared to the predicted tetrahedral HS moment of 3.88 $\mu_B$. All $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ values calculated from the strictly linear Curie-Weiss plots shown in Figure 6 are summarized in Table III. The theoretical predictions for the total $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ values were calculated assuming a spin-only moment of the tetrahedral Co$^{2+}$ cations, but both spin and orbit contributions for the octahedral Co$^{2+}$ cations in the HS state. The possibility of a mixed...
LS/IS/HS spin-state scenario on octahedral Co\textsuperscript{3+} lattice sites has been proposed previously in LaCoO\textsubscript{3} perovskites (here in the Co\textsuperscript{3+} spinel only LS/HS mixing on octahedral sites would be possible) \textsuperscript{21-26}, but this scenario was discarded in this study due to the high $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ values encountered.

**Figure 6.** Curie-Weiss plots of $1/\chi$ vs $T$ for ZnCoSnO\textsubscript{4} and ZnCoTiO\textsubscript{4} powders heat treated at 1000 °C. Both curves show excellent linearity.

**Table III.** Total efficient magnetic moment $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ for ZnCoSnO\textsubscript{4} and ZnCoTiO\textsubscript{4} heat treated at different temperatures. Uncertainties are below 1%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synthesis Temperature</th>
<th>ZnCoSnO\textsubscript{4}</th>
<th>ZnCoTiO\textsubscript{4}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>900 °C</td>
<td>1000 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_{\text{eff}}$ ($\mu_0$)</td>
<td>4.91(1)</td>
<td>4.91(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>900 °C</td>
<td>1000 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_{\text{eff}}$ ($\mu_0$)</td>
<td>4.73(1)</td>
<td>4.91(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The detection of an increased magnetic moment in $\text{Co}^{2+}$ magnetic cations may be interpreted in terms of an unusually high orbit contribution and strong spin-orbit coupling. However, the detected moment of $\mu_{\text{eff}} \approx 4.9 \, \mu_B$ is considerably higher than the predicted 4.16 $\mu_B$ for a strictly octahedral occupation of $\text{Co}^{2+}$, which in fact may be unrealistic. Due to a close match of the ionic radii on octahedral ($\text{Zn}^{2+}$: 0.74 Å; $\text{Co}^{2+}$ HS: 0.75 Å) and tetrahedral ($\text{Zn}^{2+}$: 0.60 Å; $\text{Co}^{2+}$ HS: 0.58 Å) sites, a good dispersion of $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ and $\text{Co}^{2+}$ on tetrahedral and octahedral sites is likely, which would imply an inversion parameter of the spinel structure near $\nu \approx 0.5$. In this case the detected total $\mu_{\text{eff}}$ would be $\approx 20\%$ above theoretical predictions. It may be doubtful if this large increase can be explained by unusual orbit contributions only, and the alternative explanation of an unusual anisotropy of the $g$-factors $g_{||}$ and $g_{\perp}$ may be considered as well.20 A possible $\text{Co}^{2+}$ excess in the samples could be discarded from the EDS results presented in Table II. Furthermore, ICP spectroscopy data did not give any indications for $\text{Co}^{2+}$ excess either.

(C) Dielectric properties

Impedance spectroscopy data from $\text{ZnCoSnO}_4$ and $\text{ZnCoTiO}_4$ ceramic pellets sintered at 1000 °C and 1100 °C are presented in Figure 7 in form of the complex impedance plots of $Z''$ vs $Z'$. The characteristic semicircles indicate different dielectric contributions, where the data from 1100 °C treated $\text{ZnCoSnO}_4$ ceramics (Figure 7b) shows the signs of two strongly overlapping semicircles, whereas the data from the 1100 °C sample indicate electronic homogeneity. On the other hand, the $\text{ZnCoTiO}_4$ ceramics sintered at 1000 °C and 1100 °C both show the clear signs of two dielectric contributions (Figure 7 c & d), which can be clearly assigned to intrinsic bulk and grain boundary (GB)27,28.
Figure 7. Complex impedance plane plots of $Z'$ vs $Z''$ for ceramic ZnCoSnO$_4$ sintered at (a) 1000 °C and (b) 1100 °C, and ZnCoTiO$_4$ sintered at (c) 1000 °C and (d) 1100 °C. Open symbols correspond to the data, solid squares and lines to the equivalent circuit fits. The circuit models are displayed in the panels. Excellent fits and bulk and GB dielectric contributions are evident.

It is interesting to note that increasing the sintering temperature in ZnCoSnO$_4$ ceramics does not improve the sample’s homogeneity but leads to the formation of a dielectrically distinct GB contribution. This points towards a certain degree of chemical inhomogeneity, which may arise within the ZnCoSnO$_4$ ceramics at higher sintering temperatures when the diffusivity of the
constituting atoms increases. Although this behaviour may be reminiscent of the formation of GB barrier layers in dielectric ceramics\textsuperscript{29,30}, the diameter of the GB semicircle in the 1100 °C sintered ZnCoSnO\textsubscript{4} ceramic is smaller as compared to the bulk and thus, the barrier effect may not be relevant for potential applications. The dielectric data presented in Figure 7 were fitted to a standard equivalent circuit model containing RC elements of ideal resistors and parallel non-ideal capacitors represented by Constant-Phase element (CPEs), i.e. R-CPE or RQ elements. In the case of two R-CPE elements, they were connected in series to obtain a valid fit and the resistance and capacitance of bulk and GB contributions were extracted\textsuperscript{31}. Figure 7 indicates a good fit, which was reflected in low fitting errors (< 5%). The bulk and GB resistance and capacitance values extracted from the fits were normalized by the pellet geometry and plotted in form of the electrical resistivity $\rho$ vs $1/T$ (Figure 8) and the relative dielectric permittivity $\varepsilon$ vs $T$ (Figure 9), both on semi-logarithmic axes. The charge transport activation energies $E_A$ (eV), the $\varepsilon$ values and the resistivity obtained at 560 K are summarized in Table IV. The resistivity $\rho$ vs $1/T$ plots in Figure 8 show good linearity for ZnCoSnO\textsubscript{4} and ZnCoTiO\textsubscript{4} ceramics sintered at 1100 °C, which indicates a conventional thermally activated electron charge transport. The $E_A$ values are indicated in Figure 8 and summarized in Table IV.

All $E_A$ values are relatively large for electronic charge transport, but none of the typical signs of ionic charge transport were detected and the charge carriers may well be electrons. The $E_A$ values for the GB contributions are slightly higher, which indicates a lower concentration of charge carriers within the GB areas. Nevertheless, the nominal values of the GB resistance or resistivity are smaller as compared to the bulk values, which is evidenced in Figure 7 and 8 by the size of the respective semicircles and the resistance or resistivity values extracted. This can be understood by a geometrical effect of reduced thickness of the GB areas as compared to the bulk leading to lower resistance, whereas the effective resistivity within the GB areas may in fact be larger than in the bulk.
Figure 8. Resistivity vs $1/T$ for bulk and GB dielectric contributions obtained from equivalent circuit fits for ceramic ZnCoSnO$_4$ and ZnCoTiO$_4$ sintered at 1100 °C. Good linearity indicates thermally activated electron transport. The displayed activation energies $E_A$ (eV) were obtained from the respective Arrhenius plots.

All data in Figures 7 & 8 were normalized to the same macroscopic pellet geometry to obtain the resistivity, which may not be adequate for the microscopically thin GB areas and the effective resistivity within GB areas may thus be significantly higher than the bulk, i.e. charge transport can be assumed to be hindered by the GBs. Figure 9 indicates an intrinsic bulk $\varepsilon \approx 20$ and $\approx 30$ for ZnCoSnO$_4$ and ZnCoTiO$_4$ ceramics sintered at 1100 °C, respectively.
Figure 9. Relative dielectric permittivity vs $T$ for bulk and GB dielectric contributions obtained from equivalent circuit fits for ceramic ZnCoSnO$_4$ and ZnCoTiO$_4$ sintered at 1100 °C.

The relative dielectric permittivity values for the 1000 °C sintered pellets are marginally higher in both cases. All $\varepsilon$ values are relatively low, especially for ZnCoTiO$_4$. Quite often, Ti$^{4+}$ on octahedral sites leads to larger permittivity values in complex oxides, e.g. $\approx$ 100 in CaCu$_3$Ti$_4$O$_{12}$ and TiO$_2$ 32, or even to ferroelectricity, e.g. in BaTiO$_3$ 33 and PbTiO$_3$ 34. It can be concluded that ZnCoSnO$_4$ and ZnCoTiO$_4$ exhibit a dielectric permittivity that is typical for standard dielectric materials. The GB permittivity is higher than the bulk permittivity by a factor of $\approx$ 5.
- 10 and ≈ 300 for ZnCoSnO₄ and ZnCoTiO₄ ceramics, respectively. Both values are in a typical range for extrinsic GB contributions.

Table IV. Bulk and GB resistivity $\rho$, activation energy $E_A$ and dielectric permittivity $\varepsilon$ for ZnCoSnO₄ and ZnCoTiO₄ ceramics sintered at different temperatures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sintering Temperature</th>
<th>ZnCoSnO₄</th>
<th>ZnCoTiO₄</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000 °C</td>
<td>1100 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$ (560 K) Bulk ($\Omega$cm)</td>
<td>5.96 $10^5$ ± 4 $10^2$</td>
<td>1.04 $10^6$ ± 3 $10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$ (560 K) GB ($\Omega$cm)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>6.39 $10^5$ ± 3 $10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_A$ Bulk (eV)</td>
<td>1.085(1)</td>
<td>1.032(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_A$ GB (eV)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.17(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon$ Bulk</td>
<td>22.8(1)</td>
<td>17.4(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon$ GB</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>≥ 120 ± 20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. Conclusions

The compounds ZnCoSnO₄ and ZnCoTiO₄ have been synthesized successfully and characterized comprehensively. Both compounds crystallize in a cubic spinel structure and exhibit ferrimagnetism below $T_N$. The Co$^{2+}$ spin states were suggested to be HS in both compounds above theoretical predictions. Electronic conduction was detected at elevated temperature and ZnCoSnO₄ and ZnCoTiO₄ may be regarded bad electronic insulators or high resistivity semiconductors. This work demonstrates that transparent semiconductors like Zn₂SnO₄ can be doped magnetically to increase their functionality.
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