Insertions of mitochondrial DNA into the nucleus—effects and role in cell evolution
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Abstract: We review the insertion of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) fragments into nuclear DNA (NUMTS) as a general and ongoing process that has occurred many times during genome evolution. Fragments of mtDNA are generated during the lifetime of organisms in both somatic and germinal cells, by the production of reactive oxygen species in the mitochondria. The fragments are inserted into the nucleus during the double-strand breaks repair via the non-homologous end-joining machinery, followed by genomic instability, giving rise to the high variability observed in NUMT patterns among species, populations, or genotypes. Some de novo produced mtDNA insertions show harmful effects, being involved in human diseases, carcinogenesis, and ageing. NUMT generation is a non-stop process overpassing the Mendelian transmission. This parasitic property ensures their survival even against their harmful effects. The accumulation of mtDNA fragments mainly at pericentromeric and subtelomeric regions is important to understand the transmission and integration of NUMTS into the genomes. The possible effect of female meiotic drive for mtDNA insertions at centromeres remains to be studied. In spite of the harmful feature of NUMTs, they are important in cell evolution, representing a major source of genomic variation.
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Introduction

The evolution of the DNA-carrying cell organelles, mitochondria and chloroplasts, may be considered under three related points of view: (i) the evolution from bacteria to organelles, according to the endosymbiotic origin of the eukaryotic cell; (ii) the evolution of organelle chromosomes producing a large variability in size and structure in different species, resulting in the nearly-unidirectional loss of DNA from the former bacterial chromosomes to the extant organelle chromosomes, including the insertion of organelle-coding genes in the main nuclear DNA; and (iii) the phylogenetic relationships among species or pop-
ulations that can be established to compare the DNA sequences of their organelles, as well as the insertions of organelle DNA into nuclear DNA.

In the present work, we review the insertion of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) fragments into nuclear DNA (nDNA), considering that the mtDNA transfer to the nucleus seems to be a general evolutionary trend that may have occurred many times along the genome evolution and it is still an ongoing process, including the lifetime of many organisms. This is a type of intracellular horizontal gene transfer whose effects on the individuals undergoing the transfer and the long-term evolutionary consequences are of general biological interest.

The comparison between mitochondrial and bacterial genomes has shown that mitochondria share a common ancestor with the *Alphaproteobacteria*. The first complete genome sequence of the obligate intracellular parasite *Rickettsia prowazekii* showed similarities to mitochondrial genes (Andersson et al. 1998). More recently, Thrash et al. (2011) determined a common origin of mitochondria and SAR11 clade as a sister group to the Rickettsiales. These results are accepted as a proof that the symbiotic event that gave origin to mitochondria occurred only once in cell evolution.

The sequencing of mtDNA in a number of animal, plant, fungi, and protists has shown that there is a large variation in structure and DNA amount among mitochondria of different species. Remarkable is the case of Jakobid protists, showing the most bacteria-like mitochondria of different species. Variation in structure and DNA amount among mitochondria of different species has shown that there is a large variation in structure and DNA amount among mitochondria of different species.

Numerous studies have been published on the origin and evolution of mitochondria. The first complete genome sequence of the obligate intracellular parasite *Rickettsia prowazekii* showed similarities to mitochondrial genes (Andersson et al. 1998). More recently, Thrash et al. (2011) determined a common origin of mitochondria and SAR11 clade as a sister group to the Rickettsiales. These results are accepted as a proof that the symbiotic event that gave origin to mitochondria occurred only once in cell evolution.

The sequencing of mtDNA in a number of animal, plant, fungi, and protists has shown that there is a large variation in structure and DNA amount among mitochondria of different species. Remarkable is the case of Jakobid protists, showing the most bacteria-like mitochondria of different species. Variation in structure and DNA amount among mitochondria of different species has shown that there is a large variation in structure and DNA amount among mitochondria of different species.

Mitochondrial DNA sequencing reveals that mitochondria carry an incomplete set of genes for their own function, together to non-coding regions necessary for the regulation of mtDNA replication and expression. One remarkable example is the nuclear-encoded DNA polymerase gamma (PolG) that replicates and repairs mtDNA and is homologous to *E. coli* Pol I (Ito and Braithwaite 1991). Polg nuclear mutations affect the maintenance and proofreading function of mtDNA (Bailey et al. 2009). Polg mice mutants suffer premature ageing and various deleterious effects (Trifunovic et al. 2004). This provides evidence that functional genes have been transferred from the mtDNA to the nDNA. Most of the major protein complexes involved in oxidative phosphorylation contains both nuclear- and mitochondrial-encoded subunits, which promotes interesting questions on the co-evolution of mitochondrial and nuclear genetic functional interactions within the same cell. Other mitochondrial genes transferred to nDNA are unable to be expressed, maybe due, among other reasons, to the different genetic codes used in the mitochondria and the cytosol. These genes reside in the nDNA as pseudogenes.

Lopez et al. (1994) coined the term NUMT (nuclear mitochondrial DNA segment) for mtDNA sequences present in eukaryotic nuclei, which is generally applied to pseudogenes only or, in broad sense, to mitochondrial sequences found in nDNA ignoring if they are expressed or not. Singh et al. (2017) used numtogenesis to refer to the transfer of mtDNA into the nuclear genome or, less specifically, the transfer of mitochondrial components into the nucleus. Similarly, in the case of plants, the term NUPT refers to chloroplast DNA (cpDNA) inserted in nDNA.

NUMT identification is important both for the annotation and understanding of the genomes and for evolutionary studies. The first works identifying NUMTs were carried out by DNA hybridization, reporting the presence of sequences homologous to mitochondrial genes in nDNA, mainly rRNA genes and cytochrome oxidase subunit I gene. For example, Fukuda et al. (1985) isolated phage clones carrying DNA homologous to human mtDNA, estimating that human nDNA contains several hundred copies of mtDNA-like fragments. The variety of organisms where homology of mtDNA and cpDNA sequences was found in nDNA early made clear that this phenomenon was of general occurrence (Zhang and Hewitt 1996; Bensasson et al. 2001). The advances of whole genome sequencing projects allowed much more accurate studies, particularly in the case of the human
genome. Mourier et al. (2001) presented the first extensive NUMT analysis; they found long NUMTs representing nearly all parts of the mtDNA. Woiischnich and Maoras (2002) found 612 independent integrations of mitochondrial pseudogenes in the human genome evenly distributed among all nuclear chromosomes as well as within each individual chromosome.

The comparison of NUMTs among species shows a high variability. Richly and Leister (2004) compared 13 species with sequenced mitochondrial and nuclear genomes revealing large interspecific variation of NUMT copy number and size, although no clear explanation exists for the interspecific diversity of NUMTs and NUPTs (Leister 2005). In certain protists the low NUMT and NUPT using a kanamycin resistance gene (neo) transferred gins.
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The existence of NUMTs implies that fragments of mtDNA must be produced in the mitochondria and reach the nucleus despite of the physical barriers it must overcome. It is generally accepted that mtDNA fragments are produced by the constant generation of ROS in the mitochondria (Barja 2013). The mtDNA, devoid of histones and located in the inner membrane of the mitochondria, very close to the place of ROS production, is more vulnerable than nDNA to oxidative damage caused by ROS.

Several mechanisms have been proposed that would facilitate the exit of mtDNA from the mitochondria and the entry into the nucleus. The most commonly accepted one is that the fragments generated by ROS in the mitochondria appear with a high 8-oxo-deoxyguanosine content in the cytoplasm due to alterations of the organelle membrane, either during division, fission/fusion events (Dimmer and Scorrano 2006), lysis (Mota 1963), mitophagy (Higgins and Coughlan 2014), or by the opening induction of a permeability transition pore (Patrushev et al. 2004; Garcia and Chavez 2007). Once in the cytoplasm, mtDNA is protected from nucleases thanks to a mechanism mediated by vacuoles, which are degraded when they contact the nucleus (Campbell and Thorsness

from cpDNA to the nucleus in tobacco. They found that the gene is highly unstable, with deletion often occurring within a single generation, indicating that plastid DNA insertion into and removal from the nuclear genome might be in dynamic equilibrium. Schneider et al. (2014) found reversible accumulation of mtDNA in the mouse nucleus. They studied the accumulation of mtDNA in embryonic and induced pluripotent stem cells, reporting that upon differentiation, the level of mtDNA in these nuclei was substantially reduced.

In spite of being essential for understanding the NUMT inheritance, there are few studies comparing the mtDNA between somatic and germ lines. Sato et al. (2007) compared the proportions of mitochondria carrying deleted mtDNA in various tissues at various ages. Certain somatic tissues showed increases in the proportion of deleted mtDNA with age, but the germ cells of females and their offspring showed a strong decrease in deleted mtDNA with maternal age. It seems that female germ cells have machinery that prevents the inheritance of defective mtDNA. De Paula et al. (2013b) have shown that female gametes of Aurelia aurita do not transcribe mtDNA, lack electron transport, and produce no free radicals. In contrast, male gametes actively transcribe mitochondrial genes for respiratory chain components and produce reactive oxygen species (ROS). The authors predict that quiescent oocyte mitochondria contain DNA as an unexpressed template that avoids mutation accumulation by being transmitted through the female germ line.

NUMT generation and insertion mechanisms
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It has also been proposed (Kutsyi et al. 2005) that mtDNA could form a complex with DNA-binding histone-like proteins avoiding degradation. Other pathways enabling mtDNA to reach the nucleus are direct physical association between the mitochondrial and nuclear membranes (Mota 1963) or encapsulation of the mitochondria inside the nucleus (Jensen et al. 1976). It has been suggested that mtDNA could be transferred to the nucleus in the form of mRNA with the help of a reverse transcriptase to complete the process (Rodley et al. 2012). Nevertheless, some experimental studies do not support this possibility. For example, Woischnik and Moraes (2002) found that large NUMTs found in nDNA contain two or more mitochondrial genes with fragments of non-coding regions. As well, Falkenberg et al. (2007) reported that the mitochondrial transcripts are significantly shorter than the sequences of many NUMTs.

The mtDNA fragments that enter the nucleus must interact with the nDNA before their integration for NUMT’s formation. All regions of the mitochondrial genome are able to interact with nDNA, as evidenced by the fact that recent human NUMTs contain fragments originated from the entire mtDNA (Dayama et al. 2014). However, Doyanova et al. (2016), using chromosome conformation capture techniques to detect physical interactions between mt- and nDNA in mammalian cells, showed that the D-loop region exhibited a higher tendency to interact with the nuclear genome, probably because this region is more prone to breakage than other mtDNA regions (Rothfuss et al. 2010).

MtDNA fragments are inserted into the nucleus during the process of double-strand breaks (DSBs) repair via the non-homologous end-joining (NHEJ) machinery. This hypothesis was first proposed by Blanchard and Schmidt (1996) and later confirmed by Ricchetti et al. (1999) in a study on yeast in experimental conditions where homologous recombination, the other DSBs repair mechanism, was avoided. Similar results revealing the involvement of the NHEJ mechanism in the integration of mtDNA in the nucleus were obtained in humans (Ricchetti et al. 2004). Hazkaní-Covo and Covo (2008) identified 35 and 55 lineage-specific NUMTs in the human and chimpanzee genomes, respectively, showing that in 54% of the NUMT integration events examined no deletions were detected. Considering that DSBs repair without NUMTs requires nuclease processing of DNA ends that usually produce small deletions, these results led the authors to propose that mtDNA fragments provide an alternative to nuclease activity in DSBs repair via NHEJ using the mtDNA as a filler DNA.

Mitochondrial fragments are transferred to the nucleus in a single or several copies and, in some cases, mtDNA suffers rearrangements prior or during the insertion event (Ricchetti et al. 1999; Huang et al. 2003). These rearrangements include tandem duplications or changes in gene order with respect to the organelle organization, as well as the presence of fragments belonging to different regions of the mitochondrial genome. Nevertheless, the evidence that older NUMTs tend to be shorter than recent ones (Bensasson et al. 2003) and large recent insertions usually correspond to the whole sequence of the mitochondrial genome (Huang et al. 2005) indicates that NUMTs can be fragmented after insertion. Matsuo et al. (2005) and Michalovova et al. (2013) demonstrated that, once inside the nuclear genome, organelar DNA exhibits insertion instability, with fragmentation and recombinational events in most cases mediated by nuclear mobile elements.

**mtDNA nuclear insertions are involved in disease and ageing**

Certain de novo mtDNA fragment insertions may produce harmful effects, being involved in human disease. Willett-Brozick et al. (2001) described for the first time a spontaneous recent germ line insertion of human mtDNA at the breakpoint junctions of a familial constitutional reciprocal translocation. The 41-bp mitochondrial fragment was captured during the repair of the DSBs involved in the translocation, revealing the implication of this mechanism in the mtDNA transfer to the nucleus. A 251-bp mtDNA insertion was found in a patient suffering from severe plasma factor VII deficiency, a rare bleeding disorder. The mitochondrial fragment, containing the encoding DNA for tRNA-Phe and part of the 12S subunit of rRNA, was integrated in the IVS 4 acceptor splice site (Borensztajn et al. 2002). Turner et al. (2003) described a rare case of Pallister-Hall syndrome caused by a transfer of mtDNA to the nuclear genome. The fragment, 72-bp long, was found in exon 14 of the GLI3 gene, generating a premature stop codon and producing a truncated protein. Interestingly, this case was associated with the radioactive contamination that followed the Chernobyl accident, revealing again the link between NUMTs and DNA repair and instability. Cases of human disease, type IV mucolipidosis (Goldin et al. 2004) and Usher syndrome type IC (Ahmed et al. 2002), have also been related to mtDNA fragment nuclear insertions. Millar et al. (2010) reported an isolated case of lissencephaly caused by the insertion of a mitochondrial genome-derived DNA sequence into the 50 untranslated region of the **PAFAH1B1 (LIS1)** gene.

The mtDNA fragments are produced, transported, and inserted from the mitochondria towards the nucleus during the lifetime of the individuals in vital mitotic and post-mitotic tissues. The consequences for the individual itself are important. Richter (1988) first proposed that the oxidatively generated mtDNA fragments that escaped from mitochondria and become integrated into the nuclear genome might transform cells to a cancerous state.

Several studies have concluded that both insertion and change in copy number of mtDNA fragments are associated with carcinogenesis because mtDNA insertion...
may disrupt tumor suppressor genes or activate onco-
genomes, contributing to cancer development. Remarkably,
surveys of thousands of human whole-cancer genomes
have shown that chromosomal rearrangements are fre-
quently combined with mtDNA fragments somatically
transferred to the nucleus (Ju et al. 2015; Ju 2016). Mito-
chondrial fragments have been identified in the c-myc on-
cogene of HeLa cells (Shay et al. 1991). Srinivasainagendra
et al. (2017) reported increased mtDNA insertions in the
nuclear genomes of colorectal adenocarcinomas. Mobile
LINE elements with mitochondrial inserts were found in
the nuclear genome of mouse and rat tumors (Hadler
et al. 1998).

The genomic instability caused by the insertions of
mtDNA in the nuclear genome during individual life-
time has also implications in ageing. The mitochondrial
free radical theory of ageing (MFRTA) (Harman 1972) pro-
poses that ageing is caused by the cumulative damage
produced by the constant generation of ROS in the mito-
condria throughout the life of the individuals. It has
been found that the mtDNA fragments have a higher
level of 8-oxo-deoxyguanosine, a marker of ROS-induced
dNA oxidative damage, than wild type non-fragmented
mtDNA (Suter and Richter 1999), supporting ROS as caus-
ing agents of producing these fragments. The 8-oxodG
levels are lower in the heart and brain of long-lived than
short-lived animal species in the case of mtDNA and not
in the nDNA (Barja and Herrera 2000). MFRTA was up-

Studies in rat have shown that the amount of mtDNA in
the nucleus increases with the age of individuals in
liver and brain (Caro et al. 2010). The mitochondrial
regions identified corresponded to cytochrome oxidase III
and 16S rRNA. Interestingly, these fragments contained
the same SNPs found in the mitochondrial genome of the
same individuals, revealing their recent origin. Similar
results showing an association between increasing the
copy number of mtDNA insertions and ageing were ob-
erved in yeast, where the insertion of mtDNA fragments
decreases the chronological life span, measured as the
time that non-proliferative cell populations can survive
(Cheng and Ivessa 2010, 2012). Likewise, it has been
proven in mice (Martinez-Cisuelo et al. 2016) that age-
related mtDNA fragment insertion is associated with the
production of ROS in the mitochondrial throughout the
life of individuals. The amount of mtDNA found in the
nuclei of hepatocytes and the rate of mitROS production
of old mice decreased to levels similar to those found in
young individuals (100% reversion) when the old ones
were treated during 7 weeks with rapamycin. This drug,
which inhibits the TOR (Target Of Rapamycin) protein,
decreases the production of ROS in the mitochondrial
complex I, and it is the only one described to date capa-
bale of increasing longevity in mammals in a reproducible
way (Harrison et al. 2009).

NUMTS are frequently located at pericentromeric and
(or) subtelomeric regions

In situ localization of NUMTs to chromosomes using
mtDNA as probe provides data of particular interest be-
cause NUMT position at specific chromosomes or chro-
mosome regions may reveal important features; however,
not many works include these studies. In situ localization
in animal and plant species reveals frequent interactions
between mtDNA and nuclear heterochromatin such as the
pericentromeric and (or) subtelomeric regions.

Vaughan et al. (1999) localized mtDNA sequences to
meiotic chromosomes of several orthopteran species us-
ing in situ hybridization; mtDNA localization varied be-
tween species being centromeric, telomeric, or present
throughout the chromosomes in different species. Stupar
et al. (2001) found an insertion of 270-kb mtDNA into the
pericentric region on the short arm of chromosome 2 of
Arabidopsis. Michalovova et al. (2013) found mtDNA and
cpDNA insertions located at the pericentromeric regions
of Arabidopsis and rice. Lough et al. (2008, 2015) studied
mtDNA insertions in a set of maize inbred lines and found
extensive variation in size and location among lines, but in many lines the signals were located near the
centromere or the telomere. Caro et al. (2010) using FISH
in bone marrow cells of young and old rats reported that
10 pairs of chromosomes showed mtDNA signal located
always at the pericentromeric region in rats of both ages.
Similar results were observed in the telocentric chro-
mosomes of mice where mtDNA fragments colocalize with
pericentromeric satellite sequences (Martinez-Cisuelo
genomes of mitochondria from wild sheep species or
subspecies. In situ localization showed strong hybridiza-
tion to the centromeric regions of all autosomal sheep
chromosomes, but not the Y, with varying abundance
of different mitochondrial regions. Recently, Koo et al.
(2018) have developed a technique of single-molecule
mtFIBER FISH to study numtogenesis in human, to aid in
establishing a role for numtogenesis in cancer and other
human diseases.

B chromosomes (Bs) are supernumerary to the normal
chromosome set (A chromosomes or As) that are not
required for the normal growth and development of the
B-carrier organism. In situ localization of mtDNA and
cpDNA has been carried out in the Bs of rye and Aegilops
speltoides (Martis et al. 2012; Ruban et al. 2014). In both
species, the B has accumulated large and significantly
greater amounts of cp- and mtDNA-derived sequences
than the chromosomes of the normal set. Almost all
parts of the chloroplast and mitochondrial genomes
were found on the Bs. In rye, the organellar DNA local-
izes in pericentromeric regions, whereas in Ae. speltoides
insertions were found along both arms of the Bs except
at the pericentromere.

According to Michalovova et al. (2013), the frequent
finding of mtDNA fragments located at the pericentro-
meric regions is explained because the centromeres constitute a stable genomic environment, being regions poor in genes, and because frequent DSBs occur that are repaired using the NHEJ mechanism, thanks to which the fragments are incorporated into the nuclear genome (Matsuo et al. 2005). This model predicts that in species with great genome dynamism these blocks of pericentromeric mtDNA would be fragmented by the insertion of transposable elements (TEs) taking them to other regions of the genome, away from the centromeres. In addition, the ectopic recombination mediated by TEs would be responsible for the remodeling of the regions where the mtDNA fragments are found, producing their exit from the genome either when they are forming a pericentromeric block or when they have been fragmented and are found in other locations.

Centromeric function is highly conserved in all eukaryotes; however, centromeric DNA shows remarkable sequence variability between species or even among different chromosomes of the same species, as for example between As and Bs (Jones et al. 2008). This makes it remarkably difficult to define and identify the DNA elements responsible for centromere activity. This large divergence is possible thanks to the coevolution between the centromeric sequences and the kinetochore proteins. All centromeres consist in repeated sequences, making difficult its sequencing. The functional domain of the centromere consists in satellites, centromeric retrotransposons, or both. This functional region is surrounded by repeated sequences, possibly including mtDNA insertions in many cases, which are important for other centromeric functions such as chromatid cohesion. The role of each of the regions is important in the processes of cohesion and dissociation that occur in the centromeres during chromosomal segregation in cell division (Guenatri et al. 2004).

**mtDNA insertions may be considered as parasitic genetic elements**

Parasitic genetic elements (called selfish genetic elements as well) are those that produce harmful effects to the host organisms, whereas they are maintained in populations because they undergo a mechanism of drive resulting in higher than Mendelian transmission. One of the best-studied examples of parasitic genetic elements are the Bs, supernumerary to the normal As set, where population dynamics of B-carrying species depends on the strength of non-Mendelian drive mechanisms countered by the harmful effect of Bs on the fitness of B-carrier individuals (Jones et al. 2008).

TEs are considered parasitic elements as well, because they may produce harmful effects when they move producing deleterious mutations and possess mechanisms that promote their own higher than Mendelian transmission. Besides their parasitic features, the TEs have been demonstrated to be a main evolutionary force influencing or even driving the genomic and karyotypic evolution (Ayarpadikannan and Kim 2014).

Interestingly, NUMTs and TEs share certain common features: they are "passengers" of the nucleus that play various roles in processes affecting genome evolution and genetic instability. They are instable per se and produce genomic instability. The effects of TE and NUMTs in the genome vary from negligible to harmful, producing various genetic disorders and cancer. Recently, the possible adaptive phenotypic changes associated with TEs were considered (Schrader and Schmitz 2019), indicating that the activity of TEs might facilitate adaptive responses to environmental challenges.

Mitochondrial ROS production breaks mtDNA into mtDNA fragments that are transferred to the nucleus, mainly at pericentromeric regions, during the lifetime of the individual, promoting ageing at the nuclear level. The mechanisms involved potentially include harmful effects such as the induction of major chromosomal rearrangements, inhibition of cell division and transposon-mediated insertion, and modification of regulatory regions and structural coding genes (Barja 2017). On the other hand, the generation of NUMTs seems to be a non-stop process overpassing the Mendelian transmission. These parasitic properties ensure their survival and spread in natural populations, even against the harmful effects on the host. The accumulation of mtDNA fragments mainly at centromeric and also subtelomeric regions is important to understand the transmission and integration of NUMTs into the genomes which might promote their own higher than Mendelian transmission.

The potential harmful effect of NUMTs is evidenced in the case of the parasitic Bs of rye and *Ac. speltoides*. The Bs show a much higher amount of organelle-derived DNA than the As of the normal set. Insertions into As may disrupt gene function with lethal consequences. In contrast the Bs, which are not required for growth and development, can tolerate more mutations (Martis et al. 2012; Ruban et al. 2014).

As in the case of TEs, the proposed parasitic features of NUMTs does not mean that they are not important in cell evolution; contrarily, as stated by Leister (2005) NUMTs and NUPTs are more than only "mutagens". NUMTs and NUPTs can influence nuclear processes such as replication or transcription, or they might even rebuild genes and their products by providing new exons. If they can, this would constitute, in addition to the ancient transfer of entire prokaryotic genes to the nucleus, a further contribution that organelles make to the evolution of nuclear genomes. Popadin et al. (2017) found that the pseudogene *Ps5*, a large 9Kb-NUMT, was independently fixed in populations of gorilla and the human/chimp nascent populations, which implies that the spread of the pseudogene within and across populations might have been driven by positive selection. Besides, the rate of NUMT insertion is not constant and may correlate with
critical points in evolution. Gunbin et al. (20017a, 2017b) estimated the times of incorporation of 18 selected NUMTs during the period of evolution of the human lineage after separating from the chimpanzee and found a non-random rate of insertion, with one cluster situated after separating from the chimpanzee and found a remarkable acceleration of insertions in the ancestor of both species followed by a slower accumulation in each lineage (Liang et al. 2018). The results indicate that mtDNA insertions represent a major source of nuclear chromosomal variation. Whether or not mtDNA insertions might play an adaptive role in the speciation processes is a matter of future research.

In the case of mtDNA fragment insertion into nDNA, the co-evolution between nuclear and cytoplasmic genomes has to be considered differently in unicellular or asexually-reproducing versus multi-cellular organisms with separated somatic and germ lines. In asexually-reproducing organisms, the NUMTs inserted into nDNA will be transmitted to the progeny, unless a hypothetical detachment mechanism were present; whereas in organisms with separated somatic and germ lines, only those NUMTs present in the gamete DNA will be transmitted. The great majority of NUMT studies were carried out in somatic tissues, thus lacking much information on this main point.

It should be also considered that the harmful effect of NUMT insertion during the lifetime of individuals (cancer, ageing) usually occurs after the reproduction of the individual has occurred, and therefore it is reasonable to think that they will not affect significantly the fertility either at the individual or population levels. It was also hypothesized (Richly and Leister 2004) that factors, such as the number and (or) stability of mitochondria in the germline, or species-specific mechanisms controlling accumulation/loss of nDNA, might be responsible for the interspecific diversity in NUMT accumulation, but as far as we know there are no population quantitative studies relating the NUMT number and size polymorphism with effects on fitness.

Various studies propose a different role of female and male germ lines for NUMT transmission. It has been hypothesized (Woischnik and Moraes 2002) that sperm mtDNA, which is released from degenerating mitochondria after fertilization, could be an important source of nuclear mtDNA pseudogenes transmitted to the progeny. In contrast, Sato et al. (2007) proposed that female germ cells have machinery that prevents the inheritance of defective mtDNA to the following generation because germ cells are kept for a long time until they are ovulated. De Paula et al. (2013a, 2013b) indicated that suppressed mitochondrial metabolism in the female germ line may constitute a mechanism for increasing the fidelity of mtDNA inheritance. They proposed that quiescent oocyte mitochondria contain DNA as an unexpressed template that avoids mutational accumulation by being transmitted through the female germ line. The avoidance of ROS-dependent mutation would be the evolutionary pressure underlying maternal mitochondrial inheritance and the developmental origin of the female germ line.

Female meiosis, and megagametogenesis in the case of plants, are moments of the vital cycle where there is opportunity for a non-Mendelian drive mechanism to occur because only one of the four meiotic products (and one of the nuclei of the megasporos) acts as female gamete. When competition occurs for a meiotic product to be included in the female gamete, the process is called female meiotic drive, which is mainly associated to repetitive sequences, such as those present in centromeres, subtelomeric heterochromatin, and other chromosome regions mainly composed by repetitive sequences (Puertas and Villasante 2013; Lindholm et al. 2016). To explain centromere drive, Iwata-Otsubo et al. (2017) proposed that amplified repetitive sequences act as parasitic elements by promoting expansion of CENP-A chromatin and increased transmission through the female germline. The study of female meiotic drive for mtDNA insertions remains to be studied.
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