

GROUPS OF TRANSFORMATIONS OF A G-STRUCTURE WHICH LEAVE INVARIANT A SUBSTRUCTURE

by

A. M. AMORES



1. INTRODUCTION.

Troughout this paper our manifolds will be Hausdorff, infinitely differentiable, and second countable. We fix the following objects: A m -dimensional manifold M , two closed subgroups G, H of $GL(m; \mathbb{R})$, with $G \supset H$, and a G -structure $p: A \rightarrow M$. Let Σ be a Lie group of transformations of A . We ask: Is there an H -structure $B \subset A$ such that $\Sigma \subset \text{Aut}(B)$? If the answer is affirmative we say that Σ is inessential. Thus, inessential groups are groups of transformations of a substructure of A . In order to avoid trivial cases we will assume that there are H -structures contained in A . The aim of this paper is to impose conditions on M, G, H, A , and Σ so that Σ be inessential.

2. AUXILIARY RESULTS.

We will write $G/H = L$. The group G acts canonically on L on the left, and we denote by E the bundle associated to A with fibre L . There is a projection $A \times L \rightarrow E$ which we write $(a, z) \rightarrow az$. The group Σ acts on the left on E by $(\sigma_0, az) \rightarrow (\sigma_0(a))z$, where σ_0 is the bundle isomorphism of A induced by σ . There are canonical bijections between the set of H -structures contained in A , the set of sections of E , and the set of maps $\Phi: A \rightarrow L$ such that $\Phi(ag) = g^{-1}\Phi(a)$ for all $a \in A, g \in G$. In fact, the H -structure B corresponds to Φ if and only if $B = \Phi^{-1}(H)$, and Φ corresponds to the section s if and only if for all

$$a \in A, s(p(a)) = a \Phi(a).$$

The following lemma is easy.

2.1. Lemma. Let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(A)$, and let B be an H -structure contained in A determined by the map $\Phi: A \rightarrow L$, or by a section s of E . Then, the following statements are equivalent

- a) $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(B)$
- b) $\Phi \circ \sigma_\circ = \Phi$
- c) For all $x \in M$, we have $\sigma(s(x)) = s(\sigma(x))$.

2.2. Lemma. Let Σ be a Lie group acting on the manifolds M and N , and $f: N \rightarrow M$ an equivariant map; i.e. $f(\sigma(x)) = \sigma(f(x))$ for all $x \in M$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma$. Suppose there is a submanifold P of M such that

- a) The map $\Sigma \times P \rightarrow M$, $(\sigma, x) \rightarrow \sigma(x)$ is a surjective submersion.
- b) There is a map $s': P \rightarrow N$ such that $f \circ s' = \text{id}_P$ and for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $x \in P$ with $\sigma(x) \in P$ we have $s'(\sigma(x)) = \sigma(s'(x))$.

Then the map s' can be extended to a unique map $s: M \rightarrow N$ such that $f \circ s = \text{id}_M$, and $s(\sigma(x)) = \sigma(s(x))$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $x \in M$.

Proof: Define $h: \Sigma \times P \rightarrow N$ and $g: \Sigma \times P \rightarrow M$ by $h(\sigma, x) = \sigma(s'(x))$ and $g(\sigma, x) = \sigma(x)$. It is clear from the hypothesis that h is constant on the fibres of g . Hence there is a $s: M \rightarrow N$ such that $s \circ g = h$, and one checks easily that it is the required extension.

We will give without proofs some results about a Lie group acting properly on a manifold.

2.3. Lemma. If Σ acts freely and properly on M , the orbit space is a quotient manifold of M . In fact, M is a principal Σ -bundle with base the orbit space.

(It follows from proposition (1.2.3), th (1.1.3), and th. (4.1) in [4]).

2.4. Lemma. Let Σ be a Lie group acting properly on a manifold M . There exists an open set U and a closed set C such that $C \subset U$, $\Sigma C = M$, and for each compact K , $\{\sigma \in \Sigma \mid U \cap \sigma(K) \neq \emptyset\}$ is relatively compact

(See [3] l. lemma 2).

3. CASE OF A FREE PROPER ACTION.

3.1. Theorem. If $\Sigma \subset \text{Aut}(A)$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^k for some k and acts freely and properly on M , then Σ is inessential.

Proof: If Q is the orbit space of Σ , we know by (2.3) that $M \rightarrow Q$ is a principal bundle with group Σ . The fibre of this bundle is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^k . Hence, this bundle admits a global section. Being a principal bundle it must be trivial. Therefore there is a submanifold $P \subset M$ such that the map $\Sigma \times P \rightarrow M, (\sigma, x) \rightarrow \sigma(x)$ is a diffeomorphism. Let s' be the associated section to an H-structure contained in A . We still denote by s' the restriction to P . If $x \in P$ and $\sigma(x) \in P$, then $\sigma = \text{id}_M$. Therefore we may apply (2.2) getting a section s of E . If B is the H-structure associated to s , we get from (2.1) and (2.2) that $\Sigma \subset \text{Aut}(B)$.

Example: We take $G = \text{GL}(m; \mathbb{R})$ and $H = \text{O}(m; \mathbb{R})$. Thus, any free proper action of \mathbb{R} on M induces a group Σ to which (3.1) can be applied, and we get that Σ can be considered as a group of isometries of a certain Riemannian metric on M . The vector field induced by the \mathbb{R} -action is a Killing vector field.

4. CASE OF A TRANSITIVE ACTION.

We will denote by Σ_x the isotropy group of Σ at $x \in M$. Consider the property: Any Lie homomorphism $h: \Sigma_x \rightarrow G$ has its image contained in a conjugate of H .

4.1. Theorem: If $\Sigma \subset \text{Aut}(A)$ acts transitively and the property above holds, then Σ is inessential.

Proof: Choose a frame $a \in p^{-1}(x)$. For each $\sigma \in \Sigma_x$, $\sigma_0(a) \in p^{-1}(x)$. Therefore there is a unique element $h(\sigma)$ of G such that $\sigma_0(a) = a h(\sigma)$. It is clear that $h: \Sigma_x \rightarrow G$ is a Lie group homomorphism, and that if $a' \in p^{-1}(x)$ is written $a' = ag$, the corresponding h' is related to h by $h'(\sigma) = g^{-1} h(\sigma) g$. We may assume then that a has been chosen with the condition $h(\Sigma_x) \subset H$. We take in (2.2) $P = \{x\}$. Hypothesis (a) holds clearly because the action is transitive. We define $s': P \rightarrow E$ by $s'(x) = aH$. Then $\sigma(x) \in P$ if and only if $\sigma \in \Sigma_x$, and we have

$$\sigma s'(x) = \sigma(aH) = (ah(\sigma))H = a(h(\sigma)H) = aH = s'(x) = s'(\sigma(x)).$$

If B is the H -structure associated to the extension s of s' , it follows from (2.1) that $\Sigma \subset \text{Aut}(B)$.

We point out some cases in which the property of the isotropy group holds.

(4.2) Suppose Σ_x is compact and H is a normal subgroup such that G/H is isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^k for some k . If $h: \Sigma_x \rightarrow G$ is a continuous homomorphism, then $h(\Sigma_x) \subset H$. If this were not true the homomorphism $h': \Sigma_x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$, composition of h , the projection $G \rightarrow G/H$, and the isomorphism $G/H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ would not be constant. Then $h(\Sigma_x)$ cannot be bounded and Σ_x is not compact.

(4.3) Let G have a finite number of connected components. There is a compact subgroup H of G having the following property: If K is a compact subgroup of G , then K is contained in a conjugate of H . (See [2] (XV.3.1)). Clearly the property holds for G and H . It is well known that if $G = \text{GL}(m; \mathbb{R})$, we can take $H = \text{O}(m, \mathbb{R})$. Analogously, if $G = \text{GL}(n; \mathbb{C})$, we can take H to be the unitary group. We get then for example, that if Σ is an isotropy compact Lie group of transformations acting transitively on M , there is a Riemannian metric for which Σ is a subgroup of its group of isometries.

5. CASE OF A PROPER ACTION.

(5.1) *Theorem*: Suppose there is a vector space V and a linear action of G on V such that: (a) For a certain $v_0 \in V$, H is the isotropy group at v_0 . (b) The orbit W of v_0 is an open cone; i.e. if $w \in W$ and $r > 0$, $rw \in W$. If $\Sigma \subset \text{Aut}(A)$ acts properly, then Σ is inessential.

Proof: Let $i: G/H \rightarrow W$ be the canonical diffeomorphism, and j its inverse. Let $\Phi: A \rightarrow G/H$ be a map corresponding to an M -structure $B \subset A$. Take C and U as in (2.4) and let $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a map which is 1 on C and 0 outside U . For each $a \in A$ define $h_a: \Sigma \rightarrow V$ by $h_a(\sigma) = f(p(\sigma_o(a))) (i\Phi(\sigma_o(a)))$. Now, define

$$\Phi': A \rightarrow G/H \quad , \quad \Phi'(a) = \int h_a(\sigma) d\sigma,$$

where the integral is the left invariant Haar integral on Σ . Since one-point sets are compact, $\Phi'(a)$ is defined and $\Phi'(\sigma(a)) = \Phi'(a)$, for the integral is invariant under left translations. Given $g \in G$ one checks that $h_{ag} = g^{-1}h_a$. Then, since the integral commutes with linear maps we obtain $\Phi'(ag) = g^{-1}\Phi'(a)$. If B' is the H -structure associated to Φ' we have $\Sigma \subset \text{Aut}(B')$.

Compact groups act properly. Therefore.

(5.2) *Corollary:* If G and H verify the hypothesis of (5.1), any compact group $\Sigma \subset \text{Aut}(\Lambda)$ is inessential.

(5.3) *Example:* Let G be the group of matrices with positive determinant, and $H = \text{SL}(m; \mathbb{R})$. We take as V the space of alternating m -multilinear maps on \mathbb{R}^m , and as v_0 the determinant. We get that if M is an oriented manifold and Σ a Lie group of transformations preserving the orientation, there is a volume element inducing the orientation such that all elements of Σ are volume preserving.

(5.4) *Example:* Let G be the conformal group and H the orthogonal group of \mathbb{R}^m . We take as V the space of multiples of the standard inner product v_0 . The conclusion of (5.1) is that for any Lie group of conformal transformations of a metric g , there is a metric g' of the form $g' = hg$, with h a positive map, such that Σ is a group of isometries of g .

(5.5) *Example:* Let $G = \text{GL}(m; \mathbb{R})$, and $H = \text{O}(m; \mathbb{R})$. We take as V the space of bilinear symmetric forms on \mathbb{R}^m on which G acts by pull-back, and v_0 is the standard inner product. The conclusion of (5.1) is that for any Lie group of transformations Σ acting properly on M there is a Riemannian metric g such that Σ is contained in its group of isometries.

There is a similar example for $G = \text{GL}(n; \mathbb{C})$ and $H = \text{U}(n; \mathbb{C})$, where $m = 2n$.

Examples (5.4) and (5.5) are known, although the result is proved by a different method (See [1] ths. 1 and 4, and [4] (4.3.1)). Besides showing other examples, our theorem allows us to give a simpler treatment with the help of (2.4).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] D. J. ALEKSEVIKII Groups of conformal transformations in Riemannian spaces. Math. USSR Sbornik 18 285-301 (1972).
- [2] G. HOCHSCHILD The structure of Lie groups. Holden-Day. San Francisco (1965).
- [3] J. L. KOSZUL Lectures on fibre bundles and Differential Geometry. Tata Institute. Bombay (1965).
- [4] R. S. PALAIS On the existence of slices for actions of non-compact Lie groups. Ann. of Math. 73 295-323 (1961).