TRANSACTIONS OF THE AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY Volume 359, Number 4, April 2007, Pages 1851–1873 S 0002-9947(06)04114-6 Article electronically published on October 17, 2006

# WEAKLY LEFSCHETZ SYMPLECTIC MANIFOLDS

M. FERNÁNDEZ, V. MUÑOZ, AND L. UGARTE

ABSTRACT. For a symplectic manifold, the harmonic cohomology of symplectic divisors (introduced by Donaldson, 1996) and of the more general symplectic zero loci (introduced by Auroux, 1997) are compared with that of its ambient space. We also study symplectic manifolds satisfying a weakly Lefschetz property, that is, the *s*-Lefschetz property. In particular, we consider the symplectic blow-ups  $\widehat{CP}^m$  of the complex projective space  $CP^m$  along weakly Lefschetz symplectic submanifolds  $M \subset CP^m$ . As an application we construct, for each even integer  $s \geq 2$ , compact symplectic manifolds which are *s*-Lefschetz but not (s + 1)-Lefschetz.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

One of the main results of Hodge theory states that any de Rham cohomology class on a compact oriented Riemannian manifold has a unique harmonic representative. In the symplectic setting a notion of harmonicity can be introduced as follows [3]. Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a 2n-dimensional symplectic manifold. A closed form  $\alpha$  on M is called symplectically harmonic if  $\delta \alpha = 0$ , where  $\delta$  denotes the Koszul differential [16]. However, a symplectic version of the above result does not hold in general. In fact, Mathieu [19] proved that any de Rham cohomology class has a (not necessarily unique) symplectically harmonic representative if and only if  $(M, \omega)$  satisfies the hard Lefschetz property, i.e. the map

(1) 
$$L^{n-k} \colon H^k(M) \longrightarrow H^{2n-k}(M)$$

given by  $L^{n-k}[\alpha] = [\alpha \wedge \omega^{n-k}]$  is onto for all  $k \le n-1$ .

In this paper we deal with symplectic manifolds satisfying a weaker property: following [9], we shall say that  $(M, \omega)$  is an *s*-Lefschetz symplectic manifold,  $0 \le s \le n-1$ , if (1) is an epimorphism for all  $k \le s$ . As an obvious fact, whenever  $(M, \omega)$  is not hard Lefschetz, there is some  $s \ge 0$  such that  $(M, \omega)$  is *s*-Lefschetz but not (s+1)-Lefschetz. So, it seems interesting to understand the way this phenomenon occurs on non-hard Lefschetz symplectic manifolds, in particular if there is some restriction for the possible values of the level *s* at which the Lefschetz property can be lost, how this affects other symplectic invariants of the manifold, such as the above-mentioned harmonicity, or if the *s*-Lefschetz property is preserved under the usual constructions of new symplectic divisors constructed by Donaldson in [5] and the symplectic zero loci constructed by Auroux in [1]. Our purpose in this paper is to explore these questions, as we explain next.

©2006 American Mathematical Society

Received by the editors February 9, 2005.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53D05, 57R17, 53D35, 53C15.

Regarding symplectic harmonicity, in Section 2 we recall some results on the harmonic cohomology of  $(M, \omega)$  and show how the *s*-Lefschetz property is related to the existence problem of symplectically harmonic representatives of de Rham classes of M. Let us denote by  $H^k_{hr}(M, \omega)$  the space of harmonic cohomology in degree k, that is, the subspace of the de Rham cohomology group  $H^k(M)$  consisting of all classes which contain at least one symplectically harmonic k-form. In Proposition 2.5 we prove that a 2n-dimensional symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$  is *s*-Lefschetz if and only if  $H^{2n-k}_{hr}(M, \omega) = H^{2n-k}(M)$  for every  $k \leq s$ ; moreover, the latter condition implies that  $H^k_{hr}(M, \omega) = H^k(M)$  for every  $k \leq s + 2$ . In the proof of this proposition, which can be seen as a refinement of the result of Mathieu, we follow the approach by Yan [27] which uses the theory of infinite-dimensional  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -representations.

Section 3 is devoted to the study of the harmonic cohomology of the symplectic submanifolds constructed by Donaldson and Auroux. Given a compact symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$  of dimension 2n such that  $[\omega] \in H^2(M)$  admits a lift to an integral cohomology class, let  $\mathcal{L} \to M$  be a complex line bundle with first Chern class  $c_1(\mathcal{L}) = [\omega]$ . Donaldson introduces in [5] a technique known as asymptotically holomorphic theory which allows him to find a section  $s_k$  of  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}$  whose zero locus is a symplectic submanifold  $(Z, \omega_Z)$  of codimension 2 in M which realizes the Poincaré dual of  $k[\omega]$  for any sufficiently large integer k, and such that the inclusion  $j: Z \to$ M is (n-1)-connected. Such  $s_k$  is nearly holomorphic, in the sense that  $\bar{\partial}_J s_k$  is very small (for a previously chosen, compatible almost complex structure J). As these manifolds are generalizations of (very ample) divisors for complex algebraic manifolds, we shall call them symplectic divisors. (In [9] they were called Donaldson symplectic submanifolds.) Note also that in [24] a different proof (using microlocal techniques) of the construction of these symplectic divisors is given.

We show the following relation between the harmonic cohomologies  $H^*_{hr}(Z, \omega_Z)$ and  $H^*_{hr}(M, \omega)$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** If k is very large and  $(Z, \omega_Z)$  is a symplectic divisor given as the zero locus of a section of  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}$ , then the inclusion  $j: Z \hookrightarrow M$  induces an isomorphism  $j^*: H^i_{\mathrm{hr}}(M, \omega) \longrightarrow H^i_{\mathrm{hr}}(Z, \omega_Z)$  for any i < n-1, and a monomorphism for i = n-1. Moreover,  $H^i_{\mathrm{hr}}(Z, \omega_Z)$  and  $H^{i+2}_{\mathrm{hr}}(M, \omega)$  are isomorphic for every  $n \leq i \leq 2(n-1)$ .

Roughly speaking, this result says that a symplectic divisor inherits essentially the same harmonic cohomology as that of its ambient space, with the only possible exception of having more symplectically harmonic forms in the middle degree n-1.

Auroux has generalized Donaldson's construction in [1]. Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a compact symplectic manifold of dimension 2n with  $[\omega] \in H^2(M)$  admitting a lift to an integral cohomology class, let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a complex line bundle with  $c_1(\mathcal{L}) = [\omega]$ , and let E be any hermitian vector bundle over M of rank r. Then Auroux constructs symplectic submanifolds  $(Z_r, \omega_{Z_r}) \hookrightarrow (M, \omega)$  as zero sets of (asymptotically holomorphic) sections of  $E \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}$ , for any integer number k large enough. We shall call these  $(Z_r, \omega_{Z_r})$  symplectic zero loci. (In [8] they were called Auroux symplectic submanifolds.) Note that Paoletti [23] also gives a construction of the symplectic zero loci. These submanifolds also satisfy a Lefschetz theorem on hyperplane sections, that is, the inclusion  $j: Z_r \hookrightarrow M$  induces  $j^*: H^i(M) \to H^i(Z_r)$  which is an isomorphism for i < (n-r) and a monomorphism for i = (n-r).

A result like Theorem 1.1 does not hold in general for the symplectic zero loci. Indeed, the harmonic cohomology of such symplectic submanifolds has a very different behaviour with respect to its ambient space, and surprisingly there exist submanifolds having strictly more harmonic cohomology classes than their ambient spaces. The proof of the following result is provided by Example 3.3.

**Theorem 1.2.** There exists a symplectic 10-dimensional manifold  $(X, \Omega)$  with line bundles E and  $\mathcal{L}$ , where  $c_1(\mathcal{L}) = [\Omega]$ , such that for k sufficiently large, the (codimension 2) symplectic zero loci  $(Z_1, \Omega_{Z_1})$  constructed as zero sets of sections of  $E \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}$ satisfy that the inclusion  $j: Z_1 \hookrightarrow X$  induces an isomorphism between the de Rham cohomology groups  $H^3(Z_1)$  and  $H^3(X)$ , but  $\dim H^3_{hr}(Z_1, \Omega_{Z_1}) > \dim H^3_{hr}(X, \Omega)$ .

Also in Examples 3.4 and 3.5 different behaviours of the harmonic cohomology of the symplectic zero loci are shown.

Given a compact symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$  of dimension 2n, we can assume, without loss of generality, that the symplectic form  $\omega$  is integral (by perturbing and rescaling). A theorem of Gromov and Tischler [11, 12, 26] (reproved later in [21] using asymptotically holomorphic theory, and in [24] using microlocal techniques) states that there is a symplectic embedding  $i: (M, \omega) \longrightarrow (CP^m, \omega_0)$ , with  $m \ge 2n + 1$ , where  $\omega_0$  is the standard Kähler form on  $CP^m$  defined by its natural complex structure and the Fubini–Study metric. We consider the symplectic blow-up  $\widetilde{CP}^m$  of  $CP^m$  along the embedding i (see [20]). Then,  $\widetilde{CP}^m$  is a simply connected compact symplectic manifold. In Section 4 we study the *s*-Lefschetz property of  $\widetilde{CP}^m$ ,  $m \ge 2n + 1$ . More concretely we have the following result.

**Theorem 1.3.** If  $(M, \omega)$  is an s-Lefschetz compact symplectic manifold of dimension 2n, then the symplectic blow-up  $\widetilde{CP}^m$   $(m \ge 2n+1)$  is (s+2)-Lefschetz. Moreover, if M is parallelizable and not s-Lefschetz, then  $\widetilde{CP}^m$  is not (s+2)-Lefschetz.

This will be proved in Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.4. Recently Cavalcanti [4] has investigated the hard Lefschetz property of symplectic blow-ups of non-hard Lefschetz symplectic manifolds along hard Lefschetz symplectic submanifolds. In particular, he obtains that the symplectic blow-up of a hard Lefschetz symplectic manifold along a hard Lefschetz submanifold is always hard Lefschetz. Such a result can also be proved with the arguments of Theorem 1.3 as we note in Remark 4.3.

In [9] examples of compact symplectic manifolds which are *s*-Lefschetz but not (s+1)-Lefschetz are constructed for each  $s \leq 2$ . As an application of Theorem 1.3 and of the results of Section 2 on the harmonic cohomology of symplectic complete intersections of symplectic blow-ups, we prove in Section 5 that for each *even* integer number  $s \geq 2$ , there is a simply connected compact symplectic manifold of dimension 2(s+2) which is *s*-Lefschetz but not (s+1)-Lefschetz. Note that 2(s+2) is the lowest possible dimension where such a manifold can live. With the same techniques, we also show a simply connected symplectic 10-manifold which is 3-Lefschetz but not 4-Lefschetz.

## 2. HARMONIC COHOMOLOGY OF s-LEFSCHETZ SYMPLECTIC MANIFOLDS

We recall some definitions and results about the symplectic codifferential and symplectically harmonic forms. Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a symplectic manifold of dimension 2n. Denote by  $\Omega^*(M)$ ,  $\mathfrak{X}(M)$  and  $\mathcal{F}(M)$  the algebras of differential forms, vector fields and differentiable functions on M, respectively. The isomorphism

$$\natural:\mathfrak{X}(M)\longrightarrow\Omega^1(M)$$

given by  $\natural(X) = \iota_X(\omega)$  for  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , where  $\iota_X$  denotes the contraction by X, extends to an isomorphism of algebras  $\natural : \bigoplus_{k\geq 0} \mathfrak{X}^k(M) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{k\geq 0} \Omega^k(M)$ . Then,  $G = -\natural^{-1}(\omega)$  is the skew-symmetric bivector field dual to  $\omega$ . (*G* is the unique non-degenerate Poisson structure [17] associated with  $\omega$ .) The Koszul differential  $\delta \colon \Omega^k(M) \longrightarrow \Omega^{k-1}(M)$  is defined by

$$\delta = [\iota_G, d]$$

In [3] Brylinski proved that the Koszul differential is a symplectic codifferential of the exterior differential with respect to the symplectic star operator defined as follows. Denote by  $\Lambda^k(G), k \geq 0$ , the associated pairing  $\Lambda^k(G) : \Omega^k(M) \times \Omega^k(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(M)$  which is  $(-1)^k$ -symmetric (i.e. symmetric for even k, anti-symmetric for odd k). Let  $v_M$  be the volume form on M given by  $v_M = \frac{\omega^n}{n!}$ . Imitating the Hodge star operator for Riemannian manifolds, the symplectic star operator

$$*: \Omega^k(M) \longrightarrow \Omega^{2n-k}(M)$$

is defined by the condition  $\beta \wedge (*\alpha) = \Lambda^k(G)(\beta, \alpha) v_M$ , for  $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^k(M)$ . An easy consequence is that  $*^2 = Id$ , and if  $\alpha \in \Omega^k(M)$ , then

$$\delta(\alpha) = (-1)^{k+1} (* \circ d \circ *)(\alpha).$$

A k-form  $\alpha \in \Omega^k(M)$  is said to be symplectically harmonic if  $d\alpha = \delta \alpha = 0$ . Let  $\Omega^k_{hr}(M, \omega) = \{\alpha \in \Omega^k(M) \mid d\alpha = \delta \alpha = 0\}$  be the space of the symplectically harmonic k-forms.

Since  $\omega$  is a closed form, for any  $p, k \geq 0$  the homomorphism

$$L^p: \Omega^k(M) \longrightarrow \Omega^{2p+k}(M)$$

given by  $L^p(\alpha) = \alpha \wedge \omega^p$  for  $\alpha \in \Omega^k(M)$  satisfies that  $[L^p, d] = 0$ . Relations between the operators  $\iota_G$ , L, d and  $\delta$  are proved by Yan in [27]. Here we shall need the following:

$$[L,\delta] = d$$

In [27] it is also proved that for any  $k \ge 0$  the map  $L^{n-k} : \Omega^k(M) \longrightarrow \Omega^{2n-k}(M)$  is an isomorphism, which also induces an isomorphism when restricted to the subspaces of harmonic forms, as follows from (2).

Lemma 2.1 (Duality on harmonic forms). The map

$$L^{n-k} \colon \Omega^k_{\mathrm{hr}}(M,\omega) \longrightarrow \Omega^{2n-k}_{\mathrm{hr}}(M,\omega)$$

is an isomorphism for  $k \geq 0$ .

Recall that a non-zero k-form  $\alpha$  on M, with  $k \leq n$ , is called *primitive* if  $L^{n-k+1}(\alpha) = 0$ .

Lemma 2.2. Any closed primitive form is symplectically harmonic.

*Proof.* It follows directly from Yan's relations. In fact, from Corollary 2.6 in [27] we have that  $\alpha$  is a primitive form if and only if  $\iota_G(\alpha) = 0$ . Therefore, if in addition  $\alpha$  is closed, then  $\delta \alpha = [\iota_G, d](\alpha) = 0$ .

For the de Rham cohomology classes of M, we consider the vector space

$$H^{k}_{\rm hr}(M,\omega) = \frac{\Omega^{k}_{\rm hr}(M,\omega)}{\Omega^{k}_{\rm hr}(M,\omega) \cap \operatorname{Im} d}$$

consisting of the classes in  $H^k(M)$  containing at least one symplectically harmonic form.

The following result shows some special cases when  $H^k_{hr}(M,\omega)$  coincides with  $H^k(M)$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a symplectic manifold of dimension 2n.

- (i) If there exists some integer  $k \leq n$  with  $H^{2n-k+2}(M) = 0$ , then for any closed k-form  $\alpha$  there is a k-form  $\widetilde{\alpha}$  cohomologous to  $\alpha$  which is symplectically harmonic; in particular,  $H^k_{\rm hr}(M,\omega) = H^k(M)$ .
- (ii) Any cohomology class of degree  $\leq 2$  has a symplectically harmonic representative.

Proof. Let  $a = [\alpha] \in H^k(M)$ . Since  $L^{n-k+1}(\alpha)$  is a closed (2n-k+2)-form and  $H^{2n-k+2}(M)$  is zero, there is some  $\beta \in \Omega^{2n-k+1}(M)$  such that  $L^{n-k+1}(\alpha) = d\beta$ . But the map  $L^{n-k+1} \colon \Omega^{k-1}(M) \longrightarrow \Omega^{2n-k+1}(M)$  is surjective, so there exists  $\gamma \in \Omega^{k-1}(M)$  satisfying  $\beta = L^{n-k+1}(\gamma)$ . Hence  $L^{n-k+1}(\alpha) = d\beta = L^{n-k+1}(d\gamma)$ , i.e.  $L^{n-k+1}(\alpha - d\gamma) = 0$ . Therefore, the form  $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha - d\gamma$  is cohomologous to  $\alpha$  and symplectically harmonic by Lemma 2.2, because it is primitive.

The second part (proved first by Mathieu [19]) follows from (i) for degree  $\leq 1$ . For degree 2 see [27, page 150], where a similar argument is used.

From the previous results, if  $(M, \omega)$  is a simply connected compact symplectic manifold, then every class in  $H^k(M)$  has a symplectically harmonic representative for  $k \leq 3$ .

**Corollary 2.4.** Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a simply connected symplectic compact manifold of dimension 6. Then every de Rham cohomology class of degree  $k \neq 4$  admits a symplectically harmonic representative.

Note that Lemma 2.1 implies that the homomorphism

$$L^{n-k} \colon H^k_{\mathrm{hr}}(M,\omega) \longrightarrow H^{2n-k}_{\mathrm{hr}}(M,\omega)$$

is surjective. (However, the duality on harmonic forms may not be satisfied at the level of the spaces  $H^*_{\rm hr}(M,\omega)$ .) Since  $H^{2n-k}_{\rm hr}(M,\omega)$  is a subspace of the de Rham cohomology  $H^{2n-k}(M)$ , we conclude (see [14, Corollary 1.7]) that

(3) 
$$H^{2n-k}_{\mathrm{hr}}(M,\omega) = \mathrm{Im}\left(L^{n-k} \colon H^k_{\mathrm{hr}}(M,\omega) \longrightarrow H^{2n-k}(M)\right).$$

Recall that a symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$  of dimension 2n is said to be s-Lefschetz with  $0 \leq s \leq n-1$ , if the map  $L^{n-k} \colon H^k(M) \longrightarrow H^{2n-k}(M)$  is an epimorphism for all  $k \leq s$ . In the compact case we actually have that  $L^{n-k}$  are isomorphisms because of Poincaré duality. Note that M is (n-1)-Lefschetz if M satisfies the hard Lefschetz theorem.

**Proposition 2.5.** Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a symplectic manifold of dimension 2n and let  $s \leq n-1$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i)  $(M, \omega)$  is s-Lefschetz.

- (ii) H<sup>k</sup><sub>hr</sub>(M,ω) = H<sup>k</sup>(M) for every k ≤ s + 2, and H<sup>2n-k</sup><sub>hr</sub>(M,ω) = H<sup>2n-k</sup>(M) for every k ≤ s.
   (iii) H<sup>2n-k</sup><sub>hr</sub>(M,ω) = H<sup>2n-k</sup>(M) for every k ≤ s.

*Proof.* Clearly (ii) implies (iii). Let us see also that (iii) implies (i). Let  $k \leq s$ . From (3) we have that

$$H^{2n-k}_{\mathrm{hr}}(M,\omega) = \mathrm{Im}\,(L^{n-k}\mid_{H^k_{\mathrm{hr}}(M,\omega)}\colon H^k_{\mathrm{hr}}(M,\omega) \hookrightarrow H^k(M) \longrightarrow H^{2n-k}(M)).$$

If  $H^{2n-k}_{hr}(M,\omega) = H^{2n-k}(M)$ , then the map  $L^{n-k} |_{H^k_{hr}(M,\omega)}$  is onto, and therefore the homomorphism  $L^{n-k} \colon H^k(M) \longrightarrow H^{2n-k}(M)$  must also be onto. So M is *s*–Lefschetz.

We want to show that (i) implies (ii). It is enough to prove that  $H^k_{\rm hr}(M,\omega) =$  $H^k(M)$  for every  $k \leq s+2$ , because in this case, for  $k \leq s$ , we have  $H^{2n-k}_{hr}(M,\omega) =$ Im  $(L^{n-k}: H^k(M) \longrightarrow H^{2n-k}(M)) = H^{2n-k}(M)$  using the *s*-Lefschetz property.

Let us see that  $H^k_{hr}(M,\omega) = H^k(M)$  for every  $k \leq s+2$ , by induction on s. For s = 0, we recall that M is 0–Lefschetz, as this is satisfied by every symplectic manifold. Now for any symplectic manifold, any class of degree  $\leq 2$  admits a harmonic representative by Proposition 2.3(ii).

Now take s > 0, and suppose that if  $(M, \omega)$  is (s - 1)-Lefschetz, it holds  $H^k_{\rm hr}(M, \omega) = H^k(M)$  for  $k \leq s+1$ . We have to prove that  $H^{s+2}_{\rm hr}(M, \omega) = H^{s+2}(M)$  if M is s-Lefschetz. Let  $\alpha$  be a closed element of degree s + 2. Consider the map  $L^{n-s-1}: \Omega^{s+2}(M) \longrightarrow \Omega^{2n-s}(M)$ . Then  $L^{n-s-1}(\alpha)$  is a closed (2n-s)-form. By the s-Lefschetz property there is a closed s-form h (which we may suppose to be symplectically harmonic, by induction hypothesis) such that

$$L^{n-s-1}(\alpha) = L^{n-s}(h) + d\beta,$$

for some  $\beta \in \Omega^{2n-s-1}(M)$ . By the surjectivity of

$$L^{n-s-1}: \Omega^{s+1}(M) \longrightarrow \Omega^{2n-s-1}(M)$$

we get the existence of some (s + 1)-form  $\gamma$  with  $\beta = L^{n-s-1}(\gamma)$ . Therefore  $L^{n-s-1}(\alpha) = L^{n-s}(h) + L^{n-s-1}(d\gamma)$  and hence

(4) 
$$L^{n-s-1}(\alpha - L(h) - d\gamma) = 0.$$

Put  $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha - L(h) - d\gamma$ . By (4) and Lemma 2.2 we have that  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is symplectically harmonic. On the other hand, since h is symplectically harmonic, we see that L(h)is symplectically harmonic using (2). Hence  $\alpha - d\gamma$  is symplectically harmonic and cohomologous to the original  $\alpha$ .  $\square$ 

Note that this result implies that every de Rham cohomology class of M admits a symplectically harmonic representative if and only if  $(M, \omega)$  is hard Lefschetz, which is Mathieu's theorem. Also, if M is a simply connected compact symplectic manifold of dimension 6, then  $(M, \omega)$  is hard Lefschetz if and only if every cohomology class of degree 4 has a symplectically harmonic representative.

If M is a manifold of finite type, i.e. all the de Rham cohomology groups  $H^k(M)$  are finite dimensional, then we shall denote by  $b_k^{\rm hr}(M,\omega)$  the dimension of the space  $H^k_{hr}(M,\omega)$ . As usual, the Betti numbers of M will be denoted by  $b_k(M) = \dim H^k(M).$ 

It is well known that if  $(M, \omega)$  is compact and hard Lefschetz, the odd Betti numbers of M are even. When  $(M, \omega)$  is s-Lefschetz we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.6.** Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a compact symplectic manifold of dimension 2n. Suppose that  $(M, \omega)$  is s-Lefschetz with  $s \leq n-1$ . Then the odd Betti numbers  $b_{2i-1}(M)$  are even for  $2i-1 \leq s$ , and  $b_{2n-2j+1}^{hr}(M, \omega)$  is even for  $s < 2j-1 \leq s+2$ .

*Proof.* Put  $k = 2i - 1 \le s$ . Let us consider the non-singular pairing

 $p \colon H^k(M) \otimes H^{2n-k}(M) \longrightarrow \ \mathbb{R}$ 

given by

$$p([\alpha], [\beta]) = \int_M \alpha \wedge \beta$$

for  $[\alpha] \in H^k(M)$  and  $[\beta] \in H^{2n-k}(M)$ . Let  $\langle \ , \ \rangle$  be the skew-symmetric bilinear form defined on  $H^k(M)$  by

$$[\alpha], [\alpha']\rangle = p([\alpha], L^{n-k}[\alpha']),$$

for  $[\alpha], [\alpha'] \in H^k(M)$ . It is well known that the rank of  $\langle , \rangle$  is an even number. The non-singularity of p implies that the rank of  $\langle , \rangle$  equals the rank of the map  $L^{n-k} \colon H^k(M) \longrightarrow H^{2n-k}(M)$ , that is, rank  $\langle , \rangle = b_{2n-k}(M)$  since  $(M, \omega)$  is s-Lefschetz. Hence  $b_k(M)$  is even by Poincaré duality.

For the final part, take k = 2j - 1 with  $s < k \le s + 2$ . Now, the previous argument also shows that  $b_{2n-k}^{\rm hr}(M,\omega)$  is even because the *s*-Lefschetz property implies  $H^k(M) = H_{\rm hr}^k(M,\omega)$  by Proposition 2.5 and, on other hand,  $H_{\rm hr}^{2n-k}(M,\omega) = {\rm Im}(L^{n-k})$ . Therefore the rank of  $\langle , \rangle$  is an even number which equals

$$\dim \operatorname{Im} \left( L^{n-k} \right) = b_{2n-k}^{\operatorname{hr}}(M, \omega).$$

## 3. HARMONIC COHOMOLOGY OF SYMPLECTIC DIVISORS AND SYMPLECTIC ZERO LOCI

In this section we study the relation between the harmonic cohomology of symplectic divisors and symplectic zero loci and that of the ambient space.

Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a symplectic manifold of dimension 2n. A cohomology class  $a \in H^i(M)$  satisfying  $L^{n-i+1}_{[\omega]}(a) = 0$  in  $H^{2n-i+2}(M)$  will be called *primitive*, and we shall denote by  $P_i(M, \omega)$  the subspace of  $H^i(M)$  consisting of all the primitive classes. From Corollary 2.6 in [27] for the special case of harmonic forms, it follows that for any  $2 \leq i \leq n$  the subspace  $H^i_{hr}(M, \omega)$  of  $H^i(M)$  is given by

(5) 
$$H^{i}_{\mathrm{hr}}(M,\omega) = P_{i}(M,\omega) + L_{[\omega]}\left(H^{i-2}_{\mathrm{hr}}(M,\omega)\right),$$

where  $P_i(M, \omega) = \{ a \in H^i(M) \mid L^{n-i+1}_{[\omega]}(a) = 0 \}.$ 

Recall that given a compact symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$  of dimension 2n such that  $[\omega] \in H^2(M)$  admits a lift to an integral cohomology class, Donaldson proves [5] the existence of a symplectic submanifold Z of codimension 2 in M that realizes the Poincaré dual of  $k[\omega]$  for any sufficiently large integer k. We call this submanifold a symplectic divisor. Moreover, the inclusion  $j: Z \hookrightarrow M$  is (n-1)-connected, that is,  $j^*: H^i(M) \longrightarrow H^i(Z)$  is an isomorphism for i < (n-1) and a monomorphism for i = (n-1). Let us denote by  $\omega_Z = j^* \omega$  the symplectic form on Z.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By (5), for the symplectic divisor Z we have

$$H^i_{\rm hr}(Z,\omega_Z) = P_i(Z,\omega_Z) + L_{[\omega_Z]} \left( H^{i-2}_{\rm hr}(Z,\omega_Z) \right),$$
  
for any  $2 \le i \le n-1$ , where  $P_i(Z,\omega_Z) = \{ b \in H^i(Z) \mid L^{n-i}_{[\omega_Z]}(b) = 0 \}.$ 

On the other hand, in [9] it is proved that for any  $i \ge n$ , a cohomology class  $a \in H^i(M)$  satisfies  $j^*a = 0$  if and only if  $a \cup [\omega] = 0$ .

Let us first prove that  $j^*(P_i(M,\omega)) \subset P_i(Z,\omega_Z)$  for any  $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ . Given  $a \in P_i(M,\omega)$ , let us consider  $b = j^*a \in H^i(Z)$ . Since  $0 = L_{[\omega]}^{n-i+1}(a) = a \cup [\omega]^{n-i+1}$  and  $n+1 \leq 2n-i$  (because  $n-1 \geq i$ ), the cohomology class  $L_{[\omega]}^{n-i}a \in H^{2n-i}(M)$  satisfies  $j^*(L_{[\omega]}^{n-i}a) = 0$ . But  $j^* \circ L_{[\omega]} = L_{[\omega_Z]} \circ j^*$ , which implies  $L_{[\omega_Z]}^{n-i}(b) = j^*(L_{[\omega]}^{n-i}a) = 0$ , that is,  $b \in P_i(Z,\omega_Z)$ . Now it is easy to see that  $j^* : P_i(M,\omega) \longrightarrow P_i(Z,\omega_Z)$  is an isomorphism for i < (n-1) and a monomorphism for i = (n-1), because  $j^* : H^i(M) \longrightarrow H^i(Z)$  is also.

Now, we prove by induction that  $j^*(H^i_{\rm hr}(M,\omega)) \subset H^i_{\rm hr}(Z,\omega_Z)$  for any  $i \leq (n-1)$ . This is clear for i = 0, 1, because  $H^i_{\rm hr} = H^i$ . Let us fix i with  $2 \leq i \leq (n-1)$ , and suppose that the inclusion holds in any degree < i. Since  $j^* \circ L_{[\omega]} = L_{[\omega_Z]} \circ j^*$ , and  $j^*$  takes the primitive classes of degree i on M to primitive classes of degree i on the submanifold Z, the induction hypothesis and (5) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} j^*(H^i_{\mathrm{hr}}(M,\omega)) &= j^*(P_i(M,\omega)) + L_{[\omega_Z]} \left( j^*(H^{i-2}_{\mathrm{hr}}(M,\omega)) \right) \\ &\subset P_i(Z,\omega_Z) + L_{[\omega_Z]} \left( H^{i-2}_{\mathrm{hr}}(Z,\omega_Z) \right) \\ &= H^i_{\mathrm{hr}}(Z,\omega_Z). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any  $i \leq (n-1)$ , we have the map  $j^* \colon H^i_{hr}(M,\omega) \longrightarrow H^i_{hr}(Z,\omega_Z)$ , which is just the restriction to the space of harmonic cohomology classes of the homomorphism  $j^* \colon H^i(M) \longrightarrow H^i(Z)$ . Thus,  $j^*$  is injective for  $i \leq (n-1)$ . Finally, an inductive argument as above allows us to conclude that  $j^*$  is surjective for i < (n-1).

To complete the proof, it remains to see that  $H^i_{hr}(Z, \omega_Z)$  and  $H^{i+2}_{hr}(M, \omega)$  are isomorphic for every  $n \leq i \leq 2(n-1)$ . Let us consider the spaces A and B given by

$$A = \ker(L^{i-n+2}_{[\omega]} \colon H^{2n-i-2}_{\mathrm{hr}}(M,\omega) \longrightarrow H^{i+2}(M)),$$
  
$$B = \ker(L^{i-n+1}_{[\omega_Z]} \colon H^{2n-i-2}_{\mathrm{hr}}(Z,\omega_Z) \longrightarrow H^i(Z)),$$

where  $n \leq i \leq 2n-2$ . Next we see that  $j^*$  induces an isomorphism between A and B. Given  $a \in A$ , we denote  $b = j^*(a) \in H^{2n-i-2}(Z)$ . Since 2n-i-2 < n-1, from the first part of the proof it follows that  $b \in H^{2n-i-2}_{hr}(Z, \omega_Z)$ . Moreover, since  $a \cup [\omega]^{i-n+2} = 0$  if and only if  $j^*(a \cup [\omega]^{i-n+1}) = b \cup [\omega_Z]^{i-n+1} = 0$ , we have that  $b \in B$ , that is,  $j^*(A) \subset B$ . Again, from the first part of the proof we conclude that the map  $j^*: A \longrightarrow B$  is an isomorphism, because (2n - i - 2) < (n - 1).

Finally, as an immediate consequence of (3) we get

$$\begin{split} H^{i}_{\mathrm{hr}}(Z,\omega_{Z}) &= \mathrm{Im}\left(L^{i-n+1}_{[\omega_{Z}]} \colon H^{2n-i-2}_{\mathrm{hr}}(Z,\omega_{Z}) \longrightarrow H^{i}(Z)\right) \cong H^{2n-i-2}_{\mathrm{hr}}(Z,\omega_{Z})/B\\ &\cong H^{2n-i-2}_{\mathrm{hr}}(M,\omega)/A \cong \mathrm{Im}\left(L^{i-n+2}_{[\omega]} \colon H^{2n-i-2}_{\mathrm{hr}}(M,\omega) \longrightarrow H^{i+2}(M)\right)\\ &= H^{i+2}_{\mathrm{hr}}(M,\omega), \end{split}$$

for any  $n \leq i \leq (2n-2)$ , so  $b_i^{hr}(Z, \omega_Z) = b_{i+2}^{hr}(M, \omega)$  for any such *i*.

From now on, by a symplectic complete intersection  $(Z_l, \omega_l)$  of  $(M, \omega)$  we shall mean a symplectic manifold obtained as

(6) 
$$(Z_l, \omega_l) \subset (Z_{l-1}, \omega_{l-1}) \subset \cdots \subset (Z_1, \omega_1) \subset (Z_0 = M, \omega_0 = \omega),$$

where  $(Z_i, \omega_i)$  is a symplectic divisor of  $(Z_{i-1}, \omega_{i-1})$ , for any  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . This is a generalization of the complete intersections of complex algebraic manifolds to the symplectic category.

**Corollary 3.1.** If  $(Z_l, \omega_l)$  is a symplectic complete intersection of  $(M^{2n}, \omega)$ , then  $b_{n-l}^{hr}(Z_l, \omega_l) \ge b_{n-l}^{hr}(M, \omega)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} b_i(Z_l) - b_i^{\rm hr}(Z_l,\omega_l) &= b_i(M) - b_i^{\rm hr}(M,\omega) \,, & \text{for } i \leq n-l-1 \,, \\ b_i(Z_l) - b_i^{\rm hr}(Z_l,\omega_l) &= b_{i+2l}(M) - b_{i+2l}^{\rm hr}(M,\omega) \,, & \text{for } i \geq n-l+1 \,. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Applying l times Theorem 1.1 we have  $b_i^{\operatorname{hr}}(Z_l, \omega_l) = b_{i+2l}^{\operatorname{hr}}(M, \omega)$  for any  $i \geq n-l+1$ . Since  $b_{2n-2l-i}(Z_l) = b_{2n-2l-i}(M)$ , the Poincaré duality for  $Z_l$  and M implies that  $b_i(Z_l) = b_{i+2l}(M)$ . This proves the corollary for any  $i \geq n-l+1$ . For the remaining values of i, the result follows directly from Theorem 1.1.

Next we want to show that a result like Theorem 1.1 for the (more general) symplectic zero loci constructed by Auroux [1] does not hold in general.

Suppose that  $(M, \omega)$  is a compact symplectic manifold of dimension 2n with  $[\omega] \in H^2(M)$  admitting a lift to an integral cohomology class, let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a line bundle with first Chern class  $c_1(\mathcal{L}) = [\omega]$  and let E be any hermitian vector bundle over M of rank r. Then, Auroux constructs symplectic submanifolds  $(Z_r, \omega_{Z_r}) \hookrightarrow (M, \omega)$  of dimension 2(n-r) as zero sets of sections of  $E \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}$ , for any integer number k large enough. Therefore their Poincaré duals are

$$\operatorname{PD}[Z_r] = c_r(E \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}) = k^r[\omega]^r + k^{r-1}c_1(E)[\omega]^{r-1} + \dots + c_r(E).$$

These submanifolds also satisfy a Lefschetz theorem on hyperplane sections, that is, the inclusion  $j: Z_r \hookrightarrow M$  induces  $j^*: H^i(M) \to H^i(Z_r)$  which is an isomorphism for i < (n-r) and a monomorphism for i = (n-r).

The strongest result in the direction of Theorem 1.1 for the symplectic zero loci follows from [8, Theorem 4.4]. There it is proved that, for  $Z_r \hookrightarrow M$ , for large enough k, and for each  $s \leq (n - r - 1)$ , if M is s-Lefschetz, then  $Z_r$  is also s-Lefschetz. In this situation, we have, thanks to Proposition 2.5, that  $H^i_{\rm hr}(M,\omega) \cong$  $H^i(M)$  and  $H^i_{\rm hr}(Z_r, \omega_{Z_r}) \cong H^i(Z_r)$ , for  $i \leq s+2$ . Therefore it follows that there is an isomorphism  $j^* \colon H^i_{\rm hr}(M,\omega) \longrightarrow H^i_{\rm hr}(Z_r, \omega_{Z_r})$ , for any  $i \leq \min\{s+2, n-r-1\}$ , and a monomorphism in the case  $i = (n-r) \leq (s+2)$ .

To disprove a result like Theorem 1.1 for general symplectic zero loci, we shall prove Theorem 1.2. Moreover, we shall see examples of different behaviours for the harmonic cohomology of a symplectic zero locus  $(Z_r, \omega_{Z_r})$  and that of its ambient space  $(M, \omega)$ . We shall do this in the simplest case, i.e. when M is not 1–Lefschetz. By the above,  $H^i_{\rm hr}(M, \omega) \cong H^i_{\rm hr}(Z_r, \omega_{Z_r})$ , for i = 1, 2. So the first case to look at is the study of the relation between

$$H^3_{\rm hr}(M,\omega)$$
 and  $H^3_{\rm hr}(Z_r,\omega_{Z_r})$ .

In general, to compare them, we are going to assume n-r > 3, so that there is an isomorphism  $j^*: H^3(M) \to H^3(\mathbb{Z}_r)$ . We need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** Suppose that  $(Z_r, \omega_{Z_r}) \hookrightarrow (M, \omega)$  is a symplectic zero locus of codimension 2r, and n - r > 3. In the situation above,

(i) 
$$b_3^{hr}(M,\omega) = b_3(M) + \dim \ker \left( L_{[\omega]}^{n-2} : H^1(M) \to H^{2n-3}(M) \right)$$
  
 $-\dim \ker \left( L_{[\omega]}^{n-1} : H^1(M) \to H^{2n-1}(M) \right),$ 

(ii)

$$b_3^{\mathrm{hr}}(Z_r, \omega_{Z_r}) = b_3(M) + \dim \ker \left( L_{[\omega]}^{n-r-2} \cup c_r(E \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}) : H^1(M) \to H^{2n-3}(M) \right)$$
$$- \dim \ker \left( L_{[\omega]}^{n-r-1} \cup c_r(E \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}) : H^1(M) \to H^{2n-1}(M) \right),$$

where  $\cup c_r(E \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}) : H^*(M) \to H^{*+2r}(M)$  is interpreted as a map in cohomology.

*Proof.* Let us start by computing  $H^3_{\rm hr}(M,\omega)$ . By (5),

$$H^3_{\rm hr}(M,\omega) = P_3(M,\omega) + L_{[\omega]} \left( H^1_{\rm hr}(M,\omega) \right),$$

where  $P_3(M,\omega) = \{ a \in H^3(M) \mid L^{n-2}_{[\omega]}(a) = 0 \}$ . In the case i = 1, we have that  $H^1_{hr}(M,\omega) = H^1(M)$ . Clearly

$$P_{3}(M,\omega) \cap L_{[\omega]}\left(H^{1}(M)\right) = L_{[\omega]}\left(\ker(L_{[\omega]}^{n-1}:H^{1}(M) \to H^{2n-1}(M))\right).$$

On the other hand,  $P_3(M,\omega) = \ker \left(L^{n-2}_{[\omega]} \colon H^3(M) \to H^{2n-1}(M)\right)$  is dual, via Poincaré duality, to coker  $\left(L^{n-2}_{[\omega]} \colon H^1(M) \to H^{2n-3}(M)\right)$ . Therefore

$$\begin{split} b_{3}^{\mathrm{hr}}(M,\omega) &= \dim \operatorname{coker} \left( L_{[\omega]}^{n-2} \colon H^{1}(M) \to H^{2n-3}(M) \right) \\ &+ \dim L_{[\omega]}(H^{1}(M)) - \dim L_{[\omega]} \left( \ker(L_{[\omega]}^{n-1} \colon H^{1}(M) \to H^{2n-1}(M)) \right) \\ &= b_{3}(M) - b_{1}(M) + \dim \ker \left( L_{[\omega]}^{n-2} \colon H^{1}(M) \to H^{2n-3}(M) \right) \\ &+ b_{1}(M) - \dim \ker \left( L_{[\omega]}^{n-1} \colon H^{1}(M) \to H^{2n-1}(M) \right) \\ &= b_{3}(M) + \dim \ker \left( L_{[\omega]}^{n-2} \colon H^{1}(M) \to H^{2n-3}(M) \right) \\ &- \dim \ker \left( L_{[\omega]}^{n-1} \colon H^{1}(M) \to H^{2n-1}(M) \right) . \end{split}$$

This proves (i). Now we move on to compute  $H^3_{hr}(Z_r, \omega_{Z_r})$ . First, note that for i < n-r, if  $a \in H^{2n-2r-i}(M)$ , we have that

$$j^*(a) = 0 \iff a \cup c_r(E \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}) = 0.$$

Certainly,  $j^*(a) = 0$  is equivalent to

$$0 = \int_{Z_r} j^*(a) \cup j^*(b) = \int_M a \cup b \cup c_r(E \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}),$$

for any  $b \in H^i(M) \cong H^i(Z_r)$ . We use that  $PD[Z_r] = c_r(E \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k})$  for the second inequality. This is equivalent to  $a \cup c_r(E \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}) = 0$ . With the aid of this, and using (i), we have

$$b_{3}^{hr}(Z_{r},\omega_{Z_{r}}) = b_{3}(Z_{r}) + \dim \ker \left( L_{[\omega_{Z_{r}}]}^{n-r-2} : H^{1}(Z_{r}) \to H^{2n-2r-3}(Z_{r}) \right) - \dim \ker \left( L_{[\omega_{Z_{r}}]}^{n-r-1} : H^{1}(Z_{r}) \to H^{2n-2r-1}(Z_{r}) \right) = b_{3}(M) + \dim \ker \left( L_{[\omega]}^{n-r-2} \cup c_{r}(E \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}) : H^{1}(M) \to H^{2n-3}(M) \right) - \dim \ker \left( L_{[\omega]}^{n-r-1} \cup c_{r}(E \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}) : H^{1}(M) \to H^{2n-1}(M) \right).$$

Next we exhibit examples of compact symplectic manifolds  $(X, \Omega)$  having symplectic zero loci  $(Z_r, \Omega_{Z_r})$  such that  $b_3^{hr}(Z_r, \Omega_{Z_r}) \neq b_3^{hr}(X, \Omega)$ . To define X, first we consider the simply connected nilpotent Lie group G of dimension 6 consisting of all the matrices of the form

(1)	y	t+z	$\frac{t}{2}$	$u + \frac{y^2}{2}$	v	
0	1	x	$\frac{x}{2}$	$y + \frac{x^2}{2}$	$xy + \frac{x^3}{6}$	
0	0	1	Ō	0	y $$	
0	0	0	1	2x	$x^2$	
0	0	0	0	1	x	
$\setminus 0$	0	0	0	0	1 /	

where  $x, y, z, t, u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ . With respect to this global system of coordinates, the forms

$$\alpha_1 = dx, \ \alpha_2 = dy, \ \alpha_3 = dz, \ \alpha_4 = dt - ydx,$$
$$\alpha_5 = du - tdx, \ \alpha_6 = dv - (z+t)dy - \left(u + \frac{y^2}{2}\right)dx$$

constitute a basis of left invariant 1-forms on G, and they satisfy

$$d\alpha_1 = d\alpha_2 = d\alpha_3 = 0, \quad d\alpha_4 = \alpha_{12}, \quad d\alpha_5 = \alpha_{14}, \quad d\alpha_6 = \alpha_{15} + \alpha_{23} + \alpha_{24},$$

where we denote  $\alpha_{ij\cdots k} = \alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_k$ . Because the structure constants are rational numbers, Mal'cev Theorem [18] implies the existence of a discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  of G such that the quotient space  $M = \Gamma \setminus G$  is compact. The cohomology of M is given by

Therefore M is a symplectic manifold with symplectic form  $\omega = \alpha_{16} + \alpha_{25} - \alpha_{34}$ , and  $b_3(M) = 6$ . It is simple to check that  $L^2_{[\omega]} : H^1(M) \to H^5(M)$  is the zero map. On the other hand,  $L_{[\omega]} : H^1(M) \to H^3(M)$  has kernel of dimension 1 and generated by  $[\alpha_1]$ . This follows from  $\omega \wedge \alpha_1 = d(\alpha_{45} + \alpha_{35})$ , so  $[\alpha_1]$  is in the kernel, and  $[\omega \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_3] \neq 0$ , so  $[\alpha_2], [\alpha_3]$  are not in the kernel. By Lemma 3.2,  $b_3^{\text{hr}}(M, \omega) = 6 + 1 - 3 = 4$ .

But M is of dimension 6, and we need a manifold of dimension 2n, where n-r > 3. We shall fix 2n = 8 + 2r and define the 2n-dimensional manifold

$$X = M \times \mathbb{CP}^{r+1}.$$

Let  $\omega_0$  be the Fubini-Study symplectic form of  $\mathbb{CP}^{r+1}$ , so X is a symplectic manifold with symplectic form  $\Omega = \omega + \omega_0$ . Now

First we will compute  $b_3^{hr}(X,\Omega)$  by using Lemma 3.2. Clearly  $b_3(X) = 6 + 3 = 9$ . The map  $L_{[\Omega]} = L_{[\omega]} + L_{[\omega_0]}$ , so  $L_{[\Omega]}^{n-1} : H^1(X) \to H^{2n-1}(X) = H^5(M) \otimes H^{2r+2}(\mathbb{CP}^{r+1})$  equals

$$L_{[\Omega]}^{n-1} = \left(L_{[\omega]} + L_{[\omega_0]}\right)^{n-1} = \sum_{j} \binom{n-1}{j} L_{[\omega]}^{j} L_{[\omega_0]}^{n-1-j} = 0,$$

since  $L_{[\omega]}^{j} = 0$  for j > 1 and  $L_{[\omega_{0}]}^{n-1-j} = 0$  for n-1-j > r+1, i.e. for j < 2. The map  $L_{[\Omega]}^{n-2} : H^{1}(X) \to H^{2n-3}(X) = (H^{5}(M) \otimes H^{2r}(\mathbb{CP}^{r+1})) \oplus (H^{3}(M) \otimes H^{2r+2}(\mathbb{CP}^{r+1}))$  equals

$$L_{[\Omega]}^{n-2} = \sum_{j} \binom{n-2}{j} L_{[\omega]}^{j} L_{[\omega_{0}]}^{n-2-j} = L_{[\omega]} L_{[\omega_{0}]}^{r+1}.$$

So  $\ker \left( L^{n-2}_{[\Omega]} : H^1(X) \to H^{2n-3}(X) \right) = \ker \left( L_{[\omega]} : H^1(M) \to H^3(M) \right) = \langle [\alpha_1] \rangle.$ Lemma 3.2 yields

$$b_3^{\rm hr}(X,\Omega) = 9 + 1 - 3 = 7$$

for any value of r. With these preliminaries at hand, we are ready to start with our examples.

**Example 3.3.** The compact symplectic manifold  $(X = M \times \mathbb{CP}^2, \Omega)$  has symplectic zero loci  $Z_1 \subset (X, \Omega)$  such that  $b_3^{hr}(Z_1, \Omega_{Z_1}) > b_3^{hr}(X, \Omega)$ .

Proof. Let  $A = [\alpha_{26} - \alpha_{45}] \in H^2(M)$ . To define symplectic zero loci  $Z_1 \subset (X, \Omega)$ in the conditions required, we consider a rank 1 bundle E with first Chern class  $c_1(E) = A \in H^2(M) \subset H^2(X)$ . Note that n = 5, r = 1 in this case. Hence the symplectic zero locus  $Z_1 \subset X$  has  $PD[Z_1] = k[\Omega] + A$ . To apply part (ii) of Lemma 3.2, we need to compute the map  $L^3_{[\Omega]}(kL_{[\Omega]} + L_A) : H^1(X) \to H^9(X) =$  $H^5(M) \otimes H^4(\mathbb{CP}^2)$ , where  $L_A$  is the map in cohomology given by cup product with the class A. This is

$$L^{3}_{[\Omega]}(kL_{[\Omega]} + L_{A}) = L^{3}_{[\Omega]}L_{A} = L_{[\omega]}L_{A}L^{2}_{[\omega_{0}]},$$

since  $L^4_{[\Omega]} = 0$ , by the above calculation. This map has kernel of dimension 1, generated by  $[\alpha_1]$ , since  $L_{[\omega]}([\alpha_1]) = 0$ , but

(7) 
$$[\alpha_2] \cup [\alpha_3] \cup [\omega] \cup A \neq 0.$$

The map  $L^2_{[\Omega]}(kL_{[\Omega]} + A) : H^1(X) \to H^7(X) = (H^5(M) \otimes H^2(\mathbb{CP}^2)) \oplus (H^3(M) \otimes H^4(\mathbb{CP}^2))$  equals

$$L^{2}_{[\Omega]}(kL_{[\Omega]} + L_{A}) = kL_{[\omega]}L^{2}_{[\omega_{0}]} + L_{[\omega]}L_{A}L_{[\omega_{0}]}.$$

The first component has kernel generated by  $[\alpha_1]$ , by what we have seen above. The second component has the same kernel again, so

$$\dim \ker \left( L^2_{[\Omega]}(kL_{[\Omega]} + L_A) : H^1(X) \to H^7(X) \right) = 1.$$

Now Lemma 3.2 gives

$$b_3^{\rm hr}(Z_1, \Omega_{Z_1}) = 9 + 1 - 1 = 9.$$

Therefore,  $b_3^{\operatorname{hr}}(Z_1, \Omega_{Z_1}) > b_3^{\operatorname{hr}}(X, \Omega)$ .

Example 3.3 proves Theorem 1.2. Note that in the example above, all the calculation hinges in (7). In fact, we have

**Example 3.4.** The compact symplectic manifold  $(X = M \times \mathbb{CP}^2, \Omega)$  has symplectic zero loci  $Z'_1 \subset (X, \Omega)$  such that  $b_3^{hr}(Z'_1, \Omega_{Z'_1}) = b_3^{hr}(X, \Omega)$ .

*Proof.* We take a class  $A \in H^2(M)$  such that  $[\alpha_2] \cup [\alpha_3] \cup [\omega] \cup A = 0$ ; for instance, use  $A = [\alpha_{13}]$ . Then we obtain symplectic zero loci  $(Z'_1, \Omega_{Z'_1})$  of  $(X, \Omega)$  with  $b_3^{hr}(Z'_1, \Omega_{Z'_1}) = b_3^{hr}(X, \Omega) = 7$ .

Finally we give an example where the symplectic zero loci has less harmonic cohomology than the ambient submanifold.

**Example 3.5.** There are symplectic zero loci  $(Z_3, \Omega_{Z_3}) \subset (Z_1, \Omega_{Z_1}) \subset (X = M \times \mathbb{CP}^4, \Omega)$  such that  $b_3^{hr}(Z_3, \Omega_{Z_3}) < b_3^{hr}(Z_1, \Omega_{Z_1})$ .

Proof. Consider the manifold  $(X = M \times \mathbb{CP}^4, \Omega)$  of dimension 14 (now n = 7 and r = 3). Again take  $A = [\alpha_{26} - \alpha_{45}] \in H^2(M)$  and let E be a rank 1 bundle with  $c_1(E) = A$ . There is another bundle F such that  $E \oplus F$  is a trivial bundle. Actually, one may take F to have rank 2 and Chern classes  $c_1(F) = -A$  and  $c_2(F) = A^2$ . Let  $Z_3 \subset (X = M \times \mathbb{CP}^4, \Omega)$  be the symplectic zero loci (of codimension 6) associated to the (trivial) bundle  $E \oplus F$ . Since the Chern classes of  $E \oplus F$  are all zero, we have that

$$b_3^{\rm hr}(Z_3, \Omega_{Z_3}) = b_3^{\rm hr}(X, \Omega) = 7,$$

as in Example 3.4.

Now let  $Z_1 \subset (X = M \times \mathbb{CP}^4, \Omega)$  be the symplectic zero loci associated to the bundle E. By Example 3.3, we have that  $b_3^{hr}(Z_1, \Omega_{Z_1}) = 9$ . But, the construction in [1] is carried out in such a way that  $Z_3$  are also symplectic zero loci of  $Z_1$  (of codimension 4), and

$$b_3^{\rm hr}(Z_3,\Omega_{Z_3}) < b_3^{\rm hr}(Z_1,\Omega_{Z_1}).$$

4. Symplectic blow-ups

This section is devoted to the study of the *s*-Lefschetz property for the symplectic blow-up  $\widetilde{CP}^m$  of the complex projective space  $CP^m$  along a symplectic submanifold  $M \hookrightarrow CP^m$ .

Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a *compact* symplectic manifold of dimension 2n. Without loss of generality we can assume that the symplectic form  $\omega$  is integral (by perturbing it to make it rational and then rescaling), i.e.  $[\omega] \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ . A theorem of Gromov and Tischler [11, 26] (see also [21, 24]) states that there is a symplectic embedding  $i: (M, \omega) \longrightarrow (CP^m, \omega_0)$ , with  $m \ge 2n + 1$ , where  $\omega_0$  is the standard Kähler form on  $CP^m$  defined by its natural complex structure and the Fubini–Study metric. We

take the symplectic blow-up  $\widetilde{CP}^m$  of  $CP^m$  along the embedding *i* (see [20]). Then  $\widetilde{CP}^m$  is a simply connected compact symplectic manifold.

Recall that  $i^*\omega_0 = \omega$ . We will also denote by  $\omega_0$  the pull back of  $\omega_0$  to  $\widehat{CP}^m$  under the natural projection  $\widetilde{CP}^m \to CP^m$ . Let  $\widetilde{M}$  be the projectivization of the normal bundle of the embedding  $M \hookrightarrow CP^m$ . Then  $\pi \colon \widetilde{M} \longrightarrow M$  is a locally trivial bundle with fiber  $CP^{m-n-1}$ . We will denote by  $\nu$  the Thom form of the submanifold  $\widetilde{M} \subset \widetilde{CP}^m$ . The class  $[\nu]$  is called the Thom class of the blow-up. Actually  $[\nu] = \operatorname{PD}[\widetilde{M}] \in H^2(\widetilde{CP}^m)$ . The form  $\nu$  is supported in a small neighborhood of  $\widetilde{M} \subset \widetilde{CP}^m$  and the restriction of  $\nu$  to a fiber of  $\widetilde{M} \to M$  is minus the Fubini-Study symplectic form on the fiber. Then  $\widetilde{CP}^m$  has a symplectic form  $\Omega$  whose cohomology class is  $[\Omega] = [\omega_0] - \epsilon [\nu]$  for  $\epsilon > 0$  small enough.

Let us consider a closed tubular neighborhood  $\widetilde{W}$  of  $\widetilde{M}$  in  $\widetilde{CP}^m$ . By the tubular neighborhood theorem we know that the normal bundle of  $\widetilde{M} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{CP}^m$  contains a disk subbundle which is diffeomorphic to  $\widetilde{W}$ . Denote by  $p \colon \widetilde{W} \longrightarrow \widetilde{M}$  the natural map. There is a map  $q : \Omega^*(M) \to \Omega^{*+2}(\widetilde{CP}^m)$  given by pull-back by  $\pi : \widetilde{M} \to M$ , followed by extending to a neighborhood of  $\widetilde{M}$  using  $p : \widetilde{W} \to \widetilde{M}$  and then wedging by  $\nu$ , i.e.  $q(\alpha) = p^*\pi^*(\alpha) \wedge \nu$ . We shall denote  $q(\alpha) = \alpha \wedge \nu$  for short. Note that

$$(\alpha \wedge \nu) \wedge (\beta \wedge \nu) = (\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \nu) \wedge \nu,$$

for  $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^*(M)$ . This makes notations of the type  $\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \nu^2$  unambiguous. Also remark that  $[\omega_0 \wedge \nu] = [\omega \wedge \nu]$  although  $\omega_0 \wedge \nu \neq \omega \wedge \nu$  as forms.

The cohomology of  $\widetilde{CP}^m$  was studied by McDuff [20]. There she proved that there is a short exact sequence

(8) 
$$0 \longrightarrow H^*(CP^m) \longrightarrow H^*(\widetilde{CP}^m) \longrightarrow A^* \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $A^*$  is a free module over  $H^*(M)$  generated by  $\{[\nu], [\nu^2], \cdots, [\nu^{m-n-1}]\}$ .

Before going on to the study of the *s*-Lefschetz property for  $\widetilde{CP}^m$ , we need to recall the splitting of the cohomology groups in terms of the primitive classes proved by Yan [27] for hard Lefschetz symplectic manifolds. His proof also works for *s*-Lefschetz symplectic manifolds.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a compact symplectic manifold of dimension 2n satisfying the s-Lefschetz property for  $s \leq n-1$ . Then, there is a splitting

$$H^k(M) = P_k(M) \oplus L(H^{k-2}(M)),$$

where  $P_k(M)$  is given by

$$P_k(M) = \{ v \in H^k(M) \mid L^{n-k+1}(v) = 0 \},\$$

for  $k \leq s$ . The elements in  $P_k(M)$  are called primitive cohomology classes of degree k.

*Proof.* First, let us see that  $P_k(M) \cap \operatorname{Im} L = 0$ . Take  $x \in P_k(M)$  with x = L(y),  $y \in H^{k-2}(M)$ . Then  $L^{n-k+2}(y) = L^{n-k+1}(x) = 0$ . By the (k-2)-Lefschetz property, y = 0 and hence x = 0.

Now let us consider  $a \in H^k(M)$  with  $k \leq s$ , and take the element  $L^{n-k+1}(a) \in H^{2n-k+2}(M)$ . If  $L^{n-k+1}(a)$  is the zero class, then  $a \in P_k(M)$ , and the lemma is proved. If  $L^{n-k+1}(a)$  is non-zero, then there exists  $b \in H^{k-2}(M)$  such that

 $L^{n-k+1}(a) = L^{n-k+2}(b)$  since  $(M, \omega)$  is *s*-Lefschetz and so the map  $L^{n-k+2}$ :  $H^{k-2}(M) \longrightarrow H^{2n-k+2}(M)$  is an isomorphism. Hence  $a - L(b) \in P_k(M)$ . But a = (a - L(b)) + L(b), which lies in  $P_k(M) \oplus \operatorname{Im} L$ .

According Lemma 4.1 we can write

(9) 
$$H^{k}(M) = P_{k}(M) \oplus (P_{k-2}(M) \cup [\omega]) \oplus \cdots \oplus (P_{k-2\lambda}(M) \cup [\omega^{\lambda}]),$$

with  $\lambda = \left[\frac{k}{2}\right]$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** For any  $s \leq n-1$ , if  $(M, \omega)$  is s-Lefschetz, then there exists  $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that  $(\widetilde{CP}^m, \Omega = \omega_0 - \epsilon \nu)$  is (s+2)-Lefschetz, for any  $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$ . In particular, for  $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0, \epsilon_0]$ , we have that  $[\Omega_{\epsilon}]$  is a rational class (and hence a multiple of it is integral).

*Proof.* Following the notation stated at the beginning of this section, we must prove that the map  $[\omega_0 - \epsilon \nu]^{m-k}$ :  $H^k(\widetilde{CP}^m) \longrightarrow H^{2m-k}(\widetilde{CP}^m)$  is an isomorphism for any  $k \leq s+2 \leq n+1$ . First, using (8) and (9), we note that for  $k \leq s+2$  the cohomology group  $H^k(\widetilde{CP}^m)$  is generated by the classes:

$$\begin{cases} [\omega_0]^{\frac{k}{2}}, & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ [p_{k-2i-2t} \wedge \omega_0^t \wedge \nu^i], & \text{where } [p_{k-2i-2t}] \in P_{k-2i-2t}(M), i > 0, t \ge 0, i+t \le [\frac{k}{2}]. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that k is even (the proof is similar when k is odd). We prove that the map  $[\omega_0 - \epsilon \nu]^{m-k}$  is injective by computing each one of the following cohomology classes in  $H^{2m}(\widetilde{CP}^m)$ :  $[\omega_0 - \epsilon \nu]^{m-k} \cup [\omega_0]^{\frac{k}{2}} \cup [\omega_0]^{\frac{k}{2}}, [\omega_0 - \epsilon \nu]^{m-k} \cup [p_{k-2i-2t} \wedge \omega_0^t \wedge \nu^i] \cup [\omega_0]^{\frac{k}{2}}$  for  $i+t \leq \frac{k}{2}$ , and  $[\omega_0 - \epsilon \nu]^{m-k} \cup [p_{k-2i-2t} \wedge \omega_0^t \wedge \nu^i] \cup [q_{k-2j-2s} \wedge \omega_0^s \wedge \nu^j]$  if  $i+t, j+s \leq \frac{k}{2}$ , where  $[q_{k-2j-2s}] \in P_{k-2j-2s}(M)$ .

We begin by showing that the class  $[\omega_0 - \epsilon \nu]^{m-k} \cup [\omega_0]^{\frac{k}{2}} \cup [\omega_0]^{\frac{k}{2}}$  is non-trivial. We have

$$\begin{split} [\omega_0 - \epsilon \nu]^{m-k} \cup [\omega_0]^{\frac{k}{2}} &= \sum_{r=0}^{m-k} \binom{m-k}{r} (-\epsilon)^r [\omega_0^{m-r} \wedge \nu^r] \\ &= [\omega_0]^m + \sum_{r=1}^{m-k} \binom{m-k}{r} (-\epsilon)^r [\omega_0^{m-r} \wedge \nu^r]. \end{split}$$

In this sum, the terms  $[\omega_0^{m-r} \wedge \nu^r]$  are zero for  $1 \le r \le m - n - 1$  since M has dimension 2n and so  $[\omega_0^{n+1} \wedge \nu] = [\omega^{n+1} \wedge \nu] = 0$ . Then

(10)  

$$[\omega_{0} - \epsilon \nu]^{m-k} \cup [\omega_{0}]^{\frac{k}{2}} \cup [\omega_{0}]^{\frac{k}{2}} \\
= [\omega_{0}]^{m} + \binom{m-k}{m-n} (-\epsilon)^{m-n} [\omega_{0}^{n} \wedge \nu^{m-n}] \\
+ \sum_{r=m-n+1}^{m-k} \binom{m-k}{r} (-\epsilon)^{r} [\omega_{0}^{m-r} \wedge \nu^{r}] \\
= [\omega_{0}]^{m} + \binom{m-k}{m-n} (-\epsilon)^{m-n} [\omega^{n} \wedge \nu^{m-n}] + O(\epsilon^{m-n+1})$$

which is a non-zero class (for  $\epsilon$  small enough).

Proceeding in a similar way, let  $i + t \leq \frac{k}{2}$ , i > 0,  $t \geq 0$ , and  $[p_{k-2i-2t}] \in P_{k-2i-2t}(M)$ . Then

(11)  

$$\begin{aligned}
[\omega_{0} - \epsilon \nu]^{m-k} \cup [p_{k-2i-2t} \wedge \omega_{0}^{t} \wedge \nu^{i}] \cup [\omega_{0}]^{\frac{k}{2}} \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^{m-k} \binom{m-k}{r} (-\epsilon)^{r} [p_{k-2i-2t} \wedge \omega_{0}^{t+m-\frac{k}{2}-r} \wedge \nu^{r+i}] \\
&= \binom{m-k}{m-n-i} (-\epsilon)^{m-n-i} [p_{k-2i-2t} \wedge \omega^{n+i+t-\frac{k}{2}} \wedge \nu^{m-n}] \\
&+ O(\epsilon^{m-n-i+1}),
\end{aligned}$$

using that for i < m - n - r, we have that  $[p_{k-2i-2t} \wedge \omega^{t+m-\frac{k}{2}-r} \wedge \nu^{r+i}] = 0$ , since  $\deg(p_{k-2i-2t} \wedge \omega^{t+m-\frac{k}{2}-r}) > 2n$ . Suppose that

(12) 
$$x = a[\omega_0]^{\frac{k}{2}} + \sum_{i+t \le \frac{k}{2}, i > 0} [p_{k-2i-2t} \wedge \omega_0^t \wedge \nu^i] \in H^k(\widetilde{CP}^m)$$

is an element such that  $[\omega_0 - \epsilon \nu]^{m-k} \cup x = 0$ . Then multiplying by  $[\omega_0]^{\frac{k}{2}}$  and using (10) and (11), we get that a = 0. So

(13) 
$$x = \sum_{i+t \le \frac{k}{2}, i > 0} [p_{k-2i-2t} \wedge \omega_0^t \wedge \nu^i].$$

Now we compute for  $i + t \leq \frac{k}{2}$  and  $j + s \leq \frac{k}{2}$  the following product:

(14)  

$$[\omega_0 - \epsilon \nu]^{m-k} \cup [p_{k-2i-2t} \wedge \omega_0^t \wedge \nu^i] \cup [q_{k-2j-2s} \wedge \omega_0^s \wedge \nu^j]$$

$$= \binom{m-k}{m-n-i-j} (-\epsilon)^{m-n-i-j} [p_{k-2i-2t} \wedge q_{k-2j-2s} \wedge \omega^{n-k+i+t+j+s} \wedge \nu^{m-n}]$$

$$+ O(\epsilon^{m-n-i-j+1}).$$

Let us concentrate on the leading term. The duality on  $H^r(M)$  defines a duality on the space  $P_r(M)$  of the primitive cohomology classes:

$$p^{\sharp} \colon P_r(M) \otimes P_r(M) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

given by

$$p^{\sharp}([\alpha],[\beta]) = \int_{M} \alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \omega^{n-r},$$

which is nondegenerate, but

$$p^{\sharp} \colon P_r(M) \otimes P_{r+2s}(M) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

given by

$$p^{\sharp}([\alpha], [\beta]) = \int_{M} \alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \omega^{n-r-s},$$

is zero if  $s \neq 0$ , since  $[\omega]^{n-r-s}$  maps  $P_{r+2s}(M)$  to zero. Thus the matrix  $A_{i+t,j+s}$  associated to  $p^{\sharp}: P_{k-2i-2t}(M) \otimes P_{k-2j-2s}(M) \to \mathbb{R}$  is non-singular if i+t=j+s and zero if  $i+t\neq j+s$ .

Consider the spaces

$$P_{\mu} := \bigoplus_{i+t=\mu, i>0} P_{k-2i-2t}(M) \left[\omega^{t}\right] \left[\nu^{i}\right]$$

and

$$W = \bigoplus_{1 \le \mu \le \frac{k}{2}} P_{\mu} \,,$$

so that  $H^k(\widetilde{CP}^m) = [\omega_0^{\frac{k}{2}}] \oplus W$ . There is a bilinear map

$$p_1^{\sharp} \colon W \otimes W \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

given by

$$p_1^{\sharp}([p_{k-2i-2t} \wedge \omega_0^t \wedge \nu^i], [q_{k-2j-2s} \wedge \omega_0^s \wedge \nu^j])$$
$$= \int_{\widetilde{CP}^m} p_{k-2i-2t} \wedge q_{k-2j-2s} \wedge \omega^{n-k+i+t+j+s} \wedge \nu^{m-n}.$$

The matrix  $B_{\mu}$  of  $p_1^{\sharp}|_{P_{\mu}\otimes P_{\mu}}$  is the block matrix whose block in the place (i, j) with  $1 \leq i, j \leq \mu$  is the matrix

$$\binom{m-k}{m-n-i-j} \cdot (-\epsilon)^{m-n-i-j} \cdot A_{\mu}.$$

Let  $d = \dim P_{k-2i-2t}(M)$ . The determinant of  $B_{\mu}$  is (15)

$$\det(A_{\mu})^{\mu} \cdot \left[ \det\left((-\epsilon)^{m-n-i-j} \binom{m-k}{m-n-i-j}\right)_{1 \le i,j \le \mu} \right]^{d}$$
  
=  $\det(A_{\mu})^{\mu} \cdot \left[ (-\epsilon)^{(m-n)\mu-\mu(\mu+1)} \frac{\binom{m-k+\mu-1}{m-n-\mu-1} \cdots \binom{m-k}{m-n-\mu-1}}{\binom{m-n-\mu-1}{m-n-\mu-1} \cdots \binom{m-n-\mu-1}{m-n-\mu-1}} \right]^{d}$ 

which is of the form  $\lambda_{\mu} \cdot \epsilon^{a_{\mu}}$  where  $\lambda_{\mu} \neq 0$ . Here we use that  $k \leq s + 2 \leq n + 1 \Rightarrow m - k > m - n - \mu - 1$  and  $\mu \leq \frac{k}{2} < m - n \Rightarrow m - n - \mu - 1 \geq 0$ .

The determinant of the matrix of  $p_1^{\sharp}$  is the product of det  $B_{\mu}$  for  $1 \leq \mu \leq \frac{k}{2}$ , hence of the form  $\lambda \cdot \epsilon^a$  where  $\lambda \neq 0$ . The matrix associated to the bilinear map  $p_2^{\sharp} \colon W \otimes W \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$p_{2}^{\sharp}([p_{k-2i-2t} \wedge \omega_{0}^{t} \wedge \nu^{i}], [q_{k-2j-2s} \wedge \omega_{0}^{s} \wedge \nu^{j}])$$
$$= [\omega_{0} - \epsilon\nu]^{m-k} \cup [p_{k-2i-2t} \wedge \omega_{0}^{t} \wedge \nu^{i}] \cup [q_{k-2j-2s} \wedge \omega_{0}^{s} \wedge \nu^{j}]$$

has at each entry an  $\epsilon$ -perturbation of the corresponding entry of  $B_{\mu}$ , by (14). Hence its determinant is  $\lambda \cdot \epsilon^a + O(\epsilon^{a+1})$ , and it is non-zero for small  $\epsilon > 0$ . Therefore  $p_2^{\sharp}$  is a pairing and hence (13) is zero. So  $\widetilde{CP}^m$  is (s+2)-Lefschetz.

To complete the proof, we must note that in the conditions of Theorem 4.2, there exists  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that for any  $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0]$  the manifold  $(\widetilde{CP}^m, \Omega_{\epsilon} = \omega_0 - \epsilon \nu)$  is (s+2)-Lefschetz. In particular, if  $[\omega_0]$  is an integral 2-cohomology class, then for rational  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have that  $[\Omega_{\epsilon}]$  is a rational class, hence a multiple of it is an integral class.

Remark 4.3. Cavalcanti [4, Theorem 4.2] has proved that if M is hard Lefschetz, then  $\widetilde{CP}^m$  is also hard Lefschetz. This can also be proved with the arguments of Theorem 4.2 with few modifications as follows.

We suppose M is hard-Lefschetz and must prove that  $\widetilde{CP}^m$  is k-Lefschetz for any  $n+2 \leq k \leq m-1$ . In this case, the group  $H^k(\widetilde{CP}^m)$  is generated by  $[\omega_0]^{\frac{k}{2}}$ (if k is even) and  $[p_{k-2i-2t} \wedge \omega_0^t \wedge \nu^i]$ ,  $[p_{k-2i-2t}] \in P_{k-2i-2t}(M)$ , 0 < i < m-n,  $k-n \leq t+2i$ ,  $t+i \leq [\frac{k}{2}]$ . The rest of the argument is unchanged except at two points: use that i < m-n in (11) to get that a = 0 in (12); and use that  $2\mu \geq k-n \Rightarrow m-k \geq m-n-\mu-1$  to get that  $\lambda_{\mu} \neq 0$  in (15).

The following result shows that the converse of the previous theorem is also true if M is parallelizable.

**Proposition 4.4.** Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a compact symplectic manifold of dimension 2n, such that M is parallelizable and  $(M, \omega)$  is not s-Lefschetz for some  $s \ge 1$ . Then  $\widetilde{CP}^m$  is not (s+2)-Lefschetz.

*Proof.* Since M is parallelizable, its tangent bundle TM is trivial. Denote by N the normal bundle of  $M \hookrightarrow CP^m$ . Then the restriction to M of the tangent bundle of  $CP^m$  is  $TCP^m|_M = TM \oplus N$ . The total Chern class of N is given by  $c(N) = c(TCP^m|_M) = (1 + [\omega])^{m+1}$ , so  $c_i(N)$  is a multiple of  $[\omega]^i$ .

Taking into account that  $(M, \omega)$  is not *s*-Lefschetz, we know that there is a non-trivial class  $[p_s] \in H^s(M)$  such that  $[p_s] \in \ker(H^s(M) \times H^s(M) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R})$ . This means that for any other element  $[q_s] \in H^s(M)$  we have that  $[p_s \wedge q_s \wedge \omega^{n-s}] = 0$ in  $H^*(M)$ . In the cohomology ring  $H^*(\widehat{CP}^m)$  we have the following equality:

$$\begin{split} & [p_s \wedge \nu \wedge q_s \wedge \omega_0^l \wedge \nu^{m-s-l-1}] \\ & = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } m-s-l < m-n, \\ & [p_s \wedge q_s \wedge \omega^{n-s} \wedge \nu^{m-n}] = 0, & \text{if } m-s-l = m-n, \\ & [p_s \wedge q_s \wedge \omega^l \wedge P(c(N)) \wedge \nu^{m-n}] = 0, & \text{if } m-s-l > m-n, \end{cases} \end{split}$$

since P(c(N)) is a polynomial in the Chern classes of N, and hence a multiple of  $[\omega]^{n-s-l}$ , because the Chern classes of N are multiples of powers of  $[\omega]$ .

Therefore for any  $j + l \leq \frac{s+2}{2}$ , j > 0, and  $[q_{s+2-2j-2l} \wedge \omega_0^l \wedge \nu^j] \in H^{s+2}(\widetilde{CP}^m)$ , we have

$$[\omega_0 - \epsilon \nu]^{m-s-2} \cup [p_s \wedge \nu] \cup [q_{s+2-2j-2l} \wedge \omega_0^l \wedge \nu^j] = 0.$$

Also, in the case where s + 2 is even, we have

$$[\omega_0 - \epsilon \nu]^{m-s-2} \cup [p_s \wedge \nu] \cup [\omega_0]^{\frac{s+2}{2}} = 0.$$

Thus  $[p_s \wedge \nu] \in \ker(H^{s+2}(\widetilde{CP}^m) \times H^{s+2}(\widetilde{CP}^m) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R})$ , which proves that  $\widetilde{CP}^m$  is not (s+2)-Lefschetz.

### 5. Examples of *s*-Lefschetz symplectic manifolds

In this section, examples of compact symplectic manifolds which are s-Lefschetz but not (s + 1)-Lefschetz are constructed for s = 3 and for any *even* integer  $s \ge 2$ .

First we show the existence of a simply connected compact symplectic manifold  $M_s$ , of high dimension, which is *s*-Lefschetz but not (s+1)-Lefschetz, for each even integer value of  $s \ge 2$ . The idea for the construction of  $M_s$  is to follow an iterative procedure starting from an appropriate low-dimensional compact symplectic manifold, take a symplectic embedding of it in a complex projective space  $CP^m$  and then consider the symplectic blow-up of  $CP^m$  along the embedded submanifold in order to get a simply connected compact symplectic manifold which, according to Theorem 4.2, will be Lefschetz up to a strictly higher level.

The starting point to construct  $M_s$  will be the Kodaira–Thurston manifold KT [15, 25]. We begin reviewing it. Consider the Heisenberg group H, that is, the connected nilpotent Lie group of dimension 3 consisting of matrices of the form

$$a = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right),$$

where  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ . A global system of coordinates (x, y, z) for H is given by x(a) = x, y(a) = y, z(a) = z, and a standard calculation shows that  $\{dx, dy, dz - xdy\}$  is a basis for the left invariant 1-forms on H. Let  $\Gamma$  be the discrete subgroup of H consisting of matrices whose entries x, y and z are integer numbers. So the quotient space  $\Gamma \setminus H$  is compact, and the forms dx, dy, dz - xdy descend to 1-forms  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  on  $\Gamma \setminus H$  such that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are closed, and  $d\gamma = -\alpha \wedge \beta$ .

The Kodaira–Thurston manifold KT is the product  $KT = \Gamma \setminus H \times S^1$  (see [15, 25]). Now, if  $\eta$  is the standard invariant 1–form on  $S^1$ , then  $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \eta\}$  constitutes a (global) basis for the 1–forms on KT. Since

$$d\alpha = d\beta = d\eta = 0, \quad d\gamma = -\alpha \wedge \beta,$$

using Nomizu's theorem [22] we compute the real cohomology of KT:

$$\begin{split} H^{0}(KT) &= \langle 1 \rangle, \\ H^{1}(KT) &= \langle [\alpha], [\beta], [\eta] \rangle, \\ H^{2}(KT) &= \langle [\alpha \wedge \gamma], [\beta \wedge \gamma], [\alpha \wedge \eta], [\beta \wedge \eta] \rangle, \\ H^{3}(KT) &= \langle [\alpha \wedge \gamma \wedge \eta], [\beta \wedge \gamma \wedge \eta], [\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma] \rangle, \\ H^{4}(KT) &= \langle [\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \gamma \wedge \eta] \rangle. \end{split}$$

Therefore, KT is a symplectic manifold with the symplectic form  $\omega = \alpha \wedge \gamma + \beta \wedge \eta$ . It is clear that  $(KT, \omega)$  is not 1–Lefschetz, which follows directly from its cohomology or from the general result of Benson and Gordon [2]. Moreover,  $H^k_{\rm hr}(KT, \omega) = H^k(KT)$  for any  $k \neq 3$ , but  $b^{\rm hr}_3(KT, \omega) = 2 < 3 = b_3(KT)$ . It is easy to see that the same holds for any other symplectic form on KT.

Denote  $M_0 = KT$ . By the Gromov–Tischler theorem [11, 26] there exists a symplectic embedding of  $(KT, \omega)$  in the complex projective space  $CP^{m_0}$ , with  $m_0 =$ 5, endowed with its standard Kähler form. Let us denote by  $(M_2 = \widetilde{CP}^{m_0}, \Omega_2)$  the blow-up of  $CP^{m_0}$  along  $M_0$ . By Theorem 4.2 we can consider  $\Omega_2$  an integral form. We may again symplectically embed  $(M_2, \Omega_2)$  into  $CP^{m_2}$  with  $m_2 = 11$ and blow-up  $CP^{m_2}$  along  $M_2$  to obtain  $(M_4 = \widetilde{CP}^{m_2}, \Omega_4)$ . So in this fashion we get a simply connected compact symplectic manifold  $(M_s, \Omega_s)$  for any even integer  $s \geq 2$  obtained as the symplectic blow-up  $\widetilde{CP}^{m_{s-2}}$  of  $CP^{m_{s-2}}$  along  $(M_{s-2}, \Omega_{s-2})$ symplectically embedded into  $CP^{m_{s-2}}$ , where  $m_{s-2} = 2m_{s-4} + 1$ . Note that the dimension of the manifold  $M_{s+2}$  is equal to  $2m_s$ , where

$$m_s = 6 \cdot 2^r - 1,$$

for  $s = 2r \ge 0$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** For any even integer  $s \ge 2$ , the simply connected compact symplectic manifold  $M_s = \widetilde{CP}^{m_{s-2}}$  is s-Lefschetz but not (s+1)-Lefschetz.

*Proof.* Since  $M_0 = KT$  is 0–Lefschetz (any symplectic manifold is), we can apply Theorem 4.2 r times, with 2r = s, to conclude that the manifold  $M_s$  is s–Lefschetz.

To show that  $M_s$  is not (s + 1)-Lefschetz we note the following fact. Consider  $(M, \omega)$  a compact symplectic manifold and embed symplectically  $M \hookrightarrow CP^m$  with  $m \geq 2n + 1$ , where 2n is the dimension of M. As usual we write  $\widetilde{CP}^m$  for the symplectic blow-up of  $CP^m$  along M. By (8), the Betti number  $b_i(\widetilde{CP}^m)$  is given by

$$b_i(CP^m) = b_{i-2}(M) + b_{i-4}(M) + \dots + b_1(M)$$

if i > 1 is odd. Therefore,  $b_3(M_2) = b_1(KT) = 3$ . For  $M_4$ , we have  $b_1(M_4) = b_3(M_4) = 0$  and  $b_5(M_4) = 3$ . In general, for any manifold  $M_s$  the odd Betti numbers  $b_{2j-1}(M_s)$  vanish for  $j \leq r$ , and  $b_{s+1}(M_s) = b_1(KT) = 3$ . This proves that  $M_s$  is not (s+1)-Lefschetz using Proposition 2.6.

In the following result we decrease as much as possible the dimension of the examples constructed in Proposition 5.1 by using symplectic complete intersections (6).

**Proposition 5.2.** Let  $s \ge 2$  be an even integer, and let  $M_s$  be the simply connected compact symplectic manifold constructed in Proposition 5.1. Then, there is a symplectic submanifold  $W_s \hookrightarrow M_s$  of dimension 2(s+2) which is s-Lefschetz but not (s+1)-Lefschetz, and every de Rham cohomology class in  $H^i(W_s)$  admits a symplectically harmonic representative for any  $i \ne s + 3$ .

Proof. According to Theorem 4.2, we can assume that the symplectic form  $\Omega_s$  of  $M_s$  is an integral form and  $(M_s, \Omega_s)$  is *s*-Lefschetz. Therefore, we can consider a symplectic complete intersection  $Z_l \hookrightarrow M_s$  of codimension 2l, i.e.  $\dim Z_l = 2(m_{s-2}-l)$ . In particular, if s = 2r, then we take  $l_s = m_{s-2}-s-2 = 6 \cdot 2^{r-1}-2r-3$ , and denote by  $W_s$  the corresponding simply connected compact symplectic manifold  $Z_{l_s}$  of dimension 2(s+2).

Since  $6 \cdot 2^r - 2r - 3 = 2m_{s-2} - s - 1$ , Poincaré duality implies that  $b_{6 \cdot 2^r - 2r - 3}(M_s) = b_{s+1}(M_s)$ , which equals  $b_1(KT) = 3$  as shown in the proof of Proposition 5.1.

Note that  $6 \cdot 2^r - 2r - 3 = s + 3 + 2l_s$ . Therefore,  $b_{s+3}(W_s) = b_{s+3+2l_s}(M_s) = 3$ . Moreover, Corollary 3.1 implies that  $b_i(W_s) - b_i^{hr}(W_s) = 0$  for i > (s+3), and  $b_{s+3}(W_s) - b_{s+3}^{hr}(W_s) = b_{s+3+2l_s}(M_s) - b_{s+3+2l_s}^{hr}(M_s) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , by Proposition 2.6. From Proposition 2.5 we conclude that  $W_s$  is s-Lefschetz but not (s+1)-Lefschetz.

Remark 5.3. If we begin with any symplectic 4-manifold N whose first Betti number is  $b_1(N) = 1$  (see [10]), then we obtain a symplectic manifold  $W'_s$  satisfying the conditions of Proposition 5.2, but with  $b_{s+3}^{hr}(W'_s) = 0$ .

**Corollary 5.4.** Let n and s be integer numbers such that  $s \ge 2$  is even, and  $n \ge s+2$ . Then there exists a simply connected compact symplectic manifold of dimension 2n which is s-Lefschetz but not (s + 1)-Lefschetz.

It is worthy to remark that Proposition 5.2 and Corollary 5.4 also hold in the *non-simply connected* setting. For any even integer  $s \ge 2$ , it suffices to take the product of the symplectic manifold  $W_s$  constructed in Proposition 5.2 by a 2-dimensional torus  $\mathbb{T}^2$ , and then consider a symplectic divisor to reduce the dimension.

One can also address the problem of constructing examples of symplectic manifolds  $M_s$  which are *s*-Lefschetz and not (s + 1)-Lefschetz for *odd* integer numbers  $s \ge 1$ . We do the cases s = 1 and s = 3. Consider the connected completely

solvable Lie group G of dimension 6 consisting of matrices of the form

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} e^t & 0 & xe^t & 0 & 0 & y_1 \\ 0 & e^{-t} & 0 & xe^{-t} & 0 & y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & e^t & 0 & 0 & z_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-t} & 0 & z_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $t, x, y_i, z_i \in \mathbb{R}$  (i = 1, 2). A global system of coordinates  $(t, x, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2)$  for G is defined by t(a) = t, x(a) = x,  $y_i(a) = y_i$ ,  $z_i(a) = z_i$ , and a standard calculation shows that a basis for the left invariant 1-forms on G consists of

$$\{dt, dx, e^{-t}dy_1 - xe^{-t}dz_1, e^tdy_2 - xe^tdz_2, e^{-t}dz_1, e^tdz_2\}$$

Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete subgroup of G such that the quotient space  $M = \Gamma \setminus G$  is compact. (Such a subgroup exists; see [7].) Hence the forms dt, dx,  $e^{-t}dy_1 - xe^{-t}dz_1$ ,  $e^t dy_2 - xe^t dz_1$ ,  $e^t dz_1$ ,  $e^t dz_2$  descend to 1-forms  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma_1$ ,  $\gamma_2$ ,  $\delta_1$ ,  $\delta_2$  on M satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} d\alpha &= d\beta = 0, \quad d\gamma_1 = -\alpha \wedge \gamma_1 - \beta \wedge \delta_1, \quad d\gamma_2 = \alpha \wedge \gamma_2 - \beta \wedge \delta_2, \\ d\delta_1 &= -\alpha \wedge \delta_1, \quad d\delta_2 = \alpha \wedge \delta_2, \end{aligned}$$

and such that  $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \delta_1, \delta_2\}$  is a global basis for the 1-forms on M. Using Hattori's theorem [13] we compute the real cohomology of M:

Consider the symplectic form  $\omega$  on M given by  $\omega = \alpha \wedge \beta + \gamma_1 \wedge \delta_2 + \gamma_2 \wedge \delta_1$ . Then  $[\omega] \cup [\delta_1 \wedge \delta_2] = 0$  in  $H^4(M)$ , which means that M is not 2–Lefschetz. But a simple computation shows that the cup product by  $[\omega]^2$  is an isomorphism between  $H^1(M)$  and  $H^5(M)$ . Therefore,  $(M, \omega)$  is 1–Lefschetz, but not 2–Lefschetz. Moreover,  $b_k^{\rm hr}(M, \omega) = b_k(M)$  for  $k \neq 4$ , and  $b_4^{\rm hr}(M, \omega) = 2 < 3 = b_4(M)$  (compare with Corollary 2.4). The same holds for any symplectic form on M [14]. Therefore,  $(M, \omega)$  is 1–Lefschetz, but not 2–Lefschetz.

Now we deal with the case s = 3. Consider a symplectic embedding of  $(M_1, \Omega_1) = (M, \omega)$  in the complex projective space  $CP^{m_1}$ , with  $m_1 = 7$ , endowed with its standard symplectic form. We define  $(M_3 = \widetilde{CP}^{m_1}, \Omega_3)$  as the symplectic blow-up of  $CP^{m_1}$  along  $M_1$ .

**Proposition 5.5.** The simply connected compact symplectic manifold  $(M_3, \Omega_3)$  is 3–Lefschetz but not 4–Lefschetz. Moreover, there is a symplectic submanifold  $W_3 \rightarrow M_3$  of dimension 10 which is 3–Lefschetz but not 4–Lefschetz, and every de Rham cohomology class in  $H^i(W_3)$  admits a symplectically harmonic representative for any  $i \neq 6$ . *Proof.* Since  $(M, \omega)$  is 1–Lefschetz but not 2–Lefschetz, Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.4 imply that  $M_3 = \widetilde{CP}^7$  is 3–Lefschetz and not 4–Lefschetz. As in the proof of Proposition 5.2, a symplectic complete intersection  $Z_l$ , l = 2, of  $M_3$  provides an example  $W_3$  in dimension 10 which is 3–Lefschetz and not 4–Lefschetz.

Note also that there exist simply connected compact symplectic manifolds of dimension 6 which are 1–Lefschetz but not 2–Lefschetz [10, Theorem 7.1].

### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the referee for useful comments, which improved this paper. This work has been partially supported through grants MCyT (Spain) Project BFM2001-3778-C03-02/03, UPV 00127.310-E-14813/2002, UPV 00127.310-E-15909/2004 and MTM2004-07090-C03-01.

#### References

- D. Auroux, Asymptotically holomorphic families of symplectic submanifolds, Geom. Funct. Anal. 7 (1997), 971–995. MR1487750 (99b:57069)
- C. Benson and C.S. Gordon, Kähler and symplectic structures on nilmanifolds, Topology 27 (1988), 513–518. MR0976592 (90b:53042)
- J.L. Brylinski, A differential complex for Poisson manifolds, J. Diff. Geom. 28 (1988), 93–114. MR0950556 (89m:58006)
- [4] G.R. Cavalcanti, The Lefschetz property, formality and blowing up in symplectic geometry, to appear in *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*
- [5] S. K. Donaldson, Symplectic submanifolds and almost-complex geometry, J. Diff. Geom. 44 (1996), 666–705. MR1438190 (98h:53045)
- [6] M. Fernández, M. Gotay and A. Gray, Compact parallelizable four dimensional symplectic and complex manifolds, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **103** (1988), 1209–1212. MR0955011 (90a:53039)
- [7] M. Fernández, M. de León and M. Saralegui, A six dimensional compact symplectic solvmanifold without Kähler structures, Osaka J. Math. 33 (1996), 19–35. MR1381616 (97d:53037)
- [8] M. Fernández, V. Muñoz and J. Santisteban, Cohomologically Kähler manifolds with no Kähler metric, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sc.* 52 (2003), 3315–3325. MR2015766 (2004i:53122)
- M. Fernández, V. Muñoz, Formality of Donaldson submanifolds, Math. Zeit. 250 (2005), 149–175. MR2136647 (2006a:53098)
- [10] R. Gompf, A new construction of symplectic manifolds, Ann. Math. 142 (1995), 527–597. MR1356781 (96j:57025)
- [11] M. Gromov, A topological technique for the construction of solutions of differential equations and inequalities, Actes Congrés Intern. Math. (Nice 1970), Gauthier–Villars, Paris, No. 2, 221–225, 1971. MR0420697 (54:8709)
- [12] M. Gromov, Partial differential relations, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986. MR0864505 (90a:58201)
- [13] A. Hattori, Spectral sequence in the de Rham cohomology of fibre bundles, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 8 (1960), 298–331. MR0124918 (23:A2226)
- [14] R. Ibáñez, Y. Rudyak, A. Tralle, and L. Ugarte, On symplectically harmonic forms on 6-dimensional nilmanifolds, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **76** (2001), 89–109. MR1819662 (2002b:53120)
- [15] K. Kodaira, On the structure of compact complex analytic surfaces, I, Amer. J. Math. 86 (1964), 751–798. MR0187255 (32:4708)
- [16] J.L. Koszul, Crochet de Schouten-Nijenhuis et cohomologie, in Elie Cartan et les Math. d'Aujour d'Hui, Astérisque hors-série (1985), 251–271. MR0837203 (88m:17013)
- [17] A. Lichnerowicz, Les variétés de Poisson et les algébres de Lie associées, J. Diff. Geom. 12 (1977), 253–300. MR0501133 (58:18565)
- [18] A.I. Mal'cev, A class of homogeneous spaces, Izvestia Akademii Nauk S.S.S.R. Seriya Matematičeskaya 13 (1949), 9–32. English translation: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. no. 39, 1951. MR0028842 (10:507d)

- [19] O. Mathieu, Harmonic cohomology classes of symplectic manifolds, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 70 (1995), 1–9. MR1314938 (96e:58004)
- [20] D. McDuff, Examples of symplectic simply connected manifolds with no Kähler structure, J. Diff. Geom. 20 (1984), 267–277. MR0772133 (86c:57036)
- [21] V. Muñoz, F. Presas and I. Sols, Almost holomorphic embeddings in grassmanians with applications to singular symplectic submanifolds, J. Reine Angew. Math. 547 (2002), 149– 189. MR1900140 (2003f:53159)
- [22] K. Nomizu, On the cohomology of compact homogeneous spaces of nilpotent Lie groups, Ann. of Math. 59 (1954), 531–538. MR0064057 (16:219c)
- [23] R. Paoletti, Symplectic subvarieties of projective fibrations over symplectic manifolds, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble 49 (1999), 1661–1672. MR1723830 (2000h:53110)
- [24] B. Shiffman, S. Zelditch, Asymptotics of almost holomorphic sections of ample line bundles on symplectic manifolds, J. Reine Angew. Math. 544 (2002), 181–222. MR1887895 (2002m:58043)
- [25] W.P. Thurston, Some simple examples of symplectic manifolds, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (1976), 467–468. MR0402764 (53:6578)
- [26] D. Tischler, Closed 2-forms and an embedding theorem for symplectic manifolds, J. Diff. Geom. 12 (1977), 229–235. MR0488108 (58:7677)
- [27] D. Yan, Hodge structure on symplectic manifolds, Adv. Math. 120 (1996), 143–154. MR1392276 (97e:58004)

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE CIENCIA Y TECNOLOGÍA, UNIVERSIDAD DEL PAÍS VASCO, APARTADO 644, 48080 BILBAO, SPAIN

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{marisa.fernandez@ehu.es}$ 

Departamento de Matemáticas, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, C/Serrano 113<br/>bis, 28006 Madrid, Spain

*E-mail address*: vicente.munoz@imaff.cfmac.csic.es

Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Zaragoza, Campus Plaza San Francisco, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain

E-mail address: ugarte@unizar.es