



W
49
(9317)

**THE EXACT LIKELIHOOD FUNCTION OF
A VECTOR ARMA MODEL***

José Alberto Mauricio
Instituto Complutense de Análisis Económico
Universidad Complutense
Campus de Somosaguas
28223 Madrid

ABSTRACT

This paper implements in Fortran 77 a new algorithm which has the same purpose as algorithm AS 242 of Shea (1989), namely to compute the exact likelihood function of a vector ARMA model. The new algorithm turns out to be faster in many relevant cases and not appreciably slower in any. In addition to advantages offered by the algorithm of Shea (1989), including the calculation of an appropriate set of residuals, it also permits the automatic detection of noninvertible models as a byproduct. The Fortran 77 code presented here combines improved versions of the algorithms due to Ljung and Box (1979) and Hall and Nicholls (1980) with an algorithm of Kohn and Ansley (1982). The resulting procedure puts together a set of useful features which can only be found separately in other existing methods.

RESUMEN

En este trabajo se presenta la codificación en Fortran 77 de un nuevo algoritmo para evaluar la función de verosimilitud exacta de un modelo ARMA multivariante. Este algoritmo resulta significativamente más rápido que el SHEA (1982) en muchos casos, mientras que no es claramente más lento en ninguno. Además de proporcionar un vector de residuos apropiado, permite detectar, como subproducto, modelos no invertibles. El código es una combinación de los algoritmos de Ljung y Box (1979) y Hall y Nicholls (1980) mejorados, con un algoritmo de Kohn y Ansley (1982). Como resultado se obtiene un algoritmo con ciertas propiedades que sólo pueden encontrarse por separado en los procedimientos disponibles actualmente.

* This paper is based on the author's doctoral dissertation Evaluación y Maximización de la Función de Verosimilitud de Procesos ARMA Multivariantes (1993, Madrid: Universidad Complutense de Madrid), and was financed under the project for a Forecast and Monitor Service for the Spanish Economy, sponsored by Caja de Madrid (Spain), and by the DGICYT project PB89-0129 (Spain). The author is grateful to Arthur B. Treadway, under whose supervision this research was carried out, and to Sonia Sotoca for some useful hints on the Fortran compiler.

1. Introduction

This article presents a detailed implementation in Fortran 77 of a new method of evaluating the exact likelihood function of vector ARMA models. Although each of many existing algorithms (e.g. Ljung and Box 1979; Hall and Nicholls 1980; Shea 1989) has some useful features, it also lacks others that can be found in alternative procedures (see Mauricio 1993). Thus, the aim of this paper has been to put all of these features together into a single algorithm, and present them in a truly operational way. Towards this end, Section 2 summarizes the theoretical issues underlying the Fortran 77 code. Basically, the implemented algorithm is an improved version of the method of Hall and Nicholls (1980) that operates with reduced order matrices, combined with an improved extension of the algorithm of Ljung and Box (1979) that does not require any explicit matrix inversion. Further, the algorithm uses the method of Kohn and Ansley (1982) in order to evaluate the theoretical autocovariance and cross-covariance matrices of the model, which implies a significant advantage over the algorithm of Shea (1989), especially in the case of high-dimension models. The structure of the routines used to carry out all of these computations is described in Section 3. The rest of the paper deals with more technical issues (sections 4 through 7), with the comparison between the new algorithm and that of Shea (1989), which shows the potential superiority of the former (Section 8), and with the application of the algorithm to exact maximum likelihood estimation. The paper ends up with a commented listing of the Fortran 77 code.

2. Theory and Method

Let w_t be an m -dimensional vector-valued time series. It is assumed that w_t follows the vector ARMA(p, q) model

$$\Phi(B)\bar{w}_t = \Theta(B)a_t, \quad (1)$$

where $\Phi(B) = I - \Phi_1 B - \dots - \Phi_p B^p$, $\Theta(B) = I - \Theta_1 B - \dots - \Theta_q B^q$, B is the back shift operator, $\bar{w}_t = w_t - \mu$, Φ_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, p$), Θ_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, q$) and μ are $m \times m$, $m \times m$ and $m \times 1$ parameter matrices, respectively, and the a_t 's are $m \times 1$ random vectors identically and independently distributed as $N(0, \sigma^2 Q)$, with $\sigma^2 > 0$ and Q ($m \times m$) symmetric and positive definite. For stationarity, it is required that the zeros of $|\Phi(B)|$ lie outside the unit circle. Likewise, the model will be invertible provided that the zeros of $|\Theta(B)|$ lie outside the unit circle.

Consider a sample of size n and define $\bar{w} = (\bar{w}_1^T, \dots, \bar{w}_n^T)^T$ (mean-corrected observations), $a = (a_1^T, \dots, a_n^T)^T$ (white noise perturbations), and $u_* = (\bar{w}_{1-p}^T, \dots, \bar{w}_0^T, a_{1-q}^T, \dots, a_0^T)^T$ (unknown presample values). Then equation (1) may be written as

N°E: X-53-229647-7

N°E: 5306520375

$$D_{\Phi, n} \tilde{w} = D_{\Theta, n} a + V u_*$$

where $D_{\Phi, n}$ and $D_{\Theta, n}$ are $nm \times nm$ block-matrices with identity matrices on the main diagonal, and $-\Phi_k$ and $-\Theta_k$, respectively, down the k -th subdiagonal. Further, V is the $nm \times (p+q)m$ block-matrix $V = (G_{\Phi, n}, G_{\Theta, n})$, where $G_{\Phi, n}$ and $G_{\Theta, n}$ are the following $nm \times pm$ and $nm \times qm$ block-matrices:

$$G_{\Phi, n} = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_p & \Phi_{p-1} & \dots & \Phi_1 \\ 0 & \Phi_p & \dots & \Phi_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \Phi_p \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad G_{\Theta, n} = \begin{bmatrix} -\Theta_q & -\Theta_{q-1} & \dots & -\Theta_1 \\ 0 & -\Theta_q & \dots & -\Theta_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & -\Theta_q \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

On the basis of the previous definitions, Nicholls and Hall (1979) have shown that the exact log-likelihood of the parameters $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_p)$, $\Theta = (\Theta_1, \dots, \Theta_q)$, μ , σ^2 and Q has the form

$$l(\Phi, \Theta, \mu, \sigma^2, Q | w) = -\frac{1}{2} \left[nm \log(2\pi\sigma^2) + n \log |Q| + \log |A^T A| + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} S(\Phi, \Theta, \mu, Q | w) \right], \quad (2)$$

where, by means of exploring in further detail the papers by Ljung and Box (1979) and Hall and Nicholls (1980), it can be shown (see Mauricio 1993) that

$$S(\Phi, \Theta, \mu, Q | w) = \eta^T \eta - (M^T \tilde{h})^T (I + M^T H^T H M)^{-1} (M^T \tilde{h}) \quad (3)$$

and

$$|A^T A| = |I + M^T H^T H M| \quad (4)$$

Subroutine ELF2 computes the expression on the right-hand side of equation (2) using (3) and (4). Also, it optionally returns the residual vector, computed from the following expression:

$$\hat{a} = \hat{a}_0 - D_{\Theta, n}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} M(I + M^T H^T H M)^{-1} M^T \tilde{h} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

In order to evaluate equations (3), (4) and (optionally) (5), the following steps are performed within subroutine ELF2:

[1] Compute the Cholesky factor Q_1 of matrix Q (i.e. $Q = Q_1 Q_1^T$), its determinant $|Q| = |Q_1|^2$ and a matrix R such that $RQR^T = I$ (i.e. $R = Q_1^{-1}$).

[2] Evaluate the theoretical autocovariances $\Gamma(k) = \sigma^{-2} E[\tilde{w}_t \tilde{w}_{t+k}]$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$) and the theoretical cross-covariances $\Gamma_{wa}(k) = \sigma^{-2} E[\tilde{w}_t a_{t+k}^T]$ ($k = 0, -1, \dots, -q+1$).

[3] Compute the symmetric $gm \times gm$ matrix $V_1 \Omega V_1^T$, with $g = \max(p, q)$, where V_1 consists of the first gm rows of V and $\Omega = \sigma^{-2} E[u_t u_t^T]$. Then, calculate its Cholesky factor M (i.e. $V_1 \Omega V_1^T = M M^T$). The (i, j) -th $m \times m$ block of $V_1 \Omega V_1^T$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, g; j = 1, 2, \dots, \bar{i}$) is given by

$$(V_1 \Omega V_1^T)_{ij} = \sum_{k=0}^{p-i} \Phi_{p-k} \mathbf{E}_{k+i, j} - \sum_{k=0}^{q-i} \Theta_{q-k} \mathbf{E}_{k+p+i, j},$$

where, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, g$, the required $m \times m$ \mathbf{E}_{ij} matrices are given by

$$\mathbf{E}_{ij} = \sum_{k=j-i}^{p-i} \Gamma(k) \Phi_{p-k-i+j}^T - \sum_{k=j-i}^{q-i} \Gamma_{wa}(-q+p+k) \Theta_{q-k-i+j}^T \quad (i=1, 2, \dots, p),$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{ij} = \sum_{k=p+j-i}^{2p-i} \Gamma_{wa}(-q+p-k) \Phi_{2p-k-i+j}^T - Q \Theta_{q+p-i+j}^T \quad (i=p+1, p+2, \dots, p+q),$$

with $\Gamma(k) = \Gamma(-k)^T$ for $k < 0$, $\Gamma_{wa}(k) = 0$ for $k > 0$, and $\Theta_i = 0$ for $i > q$.

[4] Evaluate the $m \times m$ matrices $\tilde{\Sigma}_k$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$) as follows:

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_k = \sum_{j=1}^q \Theta_j \tilde{\Sigma}_{k-j} \quad (k=1, 2, \dots, n-1),$$

with $\tilde{\Sigma}_0 = I$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}_k = 0$ for $k < 0$. Then, premultiply every $\tilde{\Sigma}_k$ by (lower triangular) R .

[5] Calculate the $m \times 1$ vectors $\eta_i = R \hat{a}_{0i}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), where

$$\hat{a}_{0i} = \tilde{w}_i - \sum_{j=1}^p \Phi_j \tilde{w}_{i-j} + \sum_{j=1}^q \Theta_j \hat{a}_{0, i-j} \quad (i=1, 2, \dots, n),$$

with $\tilde{w}_i = 0$ for $i < 1$ and $\hat{a}_{0i} = 0$ for $i < 1$.

[6] Compute the $m \times 1$ vectors \tilde{h}_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, g$) as follows:

$$\tilde{h}_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n-i} \tilde{\Sigma}_j^T R^T \eta_{i+j} \quad (i=1, 2, \dots, g).$$

Then, evaluate the $gm \times 1$ vector $M^T \tilde{h}$, where $\tilde{h} = (\tilde{h}_1^T, \dots, \tilde{h}_g^T)^T$.

[7] Evaluate the symmetric $gm \times gm$ matrix $H^T H$. The first block-column of $H^T H$ is given by

$$(\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H})_{ii} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \mathbf{Z}_k^T \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{R} \mathbf{Z}_{k+i-1} \quad (i=1, 2, \dots, g),$$

and the remaining diagonal and subdiagonal $m \times m$ blocks of matrix $\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H}$ are given by

$$(\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H})_{ij} = (\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H})_{i-1, j-1} - \mathbf{Z}_{n-i+1}^T \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{R} \mathbf{Z}_{n-j+1},$$

with $i = 2, 3, \dots, g$ and $j = 2, 3, \dots, i$.

[8] Evaluate the symmetric $gm \times gm$ matrix $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{M}^T \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H} \mathbf{M}$, its Cholesky factor \mathbf{L} (i.e. $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{M}^T \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H} \mathbf{M} = \mathbf{L} \mathbf{L}^T$) and its determinant $|\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{M}^T \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H} \mathbf{M}| = |\mathbf{L}|^2$, which is, in turn, the determinant (4).

[9] Use forward substitution to solve for λ in the triangular system $\mathbf{L} \lambda = \mathbf{M}^T \mathbf{h}$.

[10] Compute the quadratic form (4) as $S(\Phi, \Theta, \mu, \mathbf{Q}) = \eta^T \eta - \lambda^T \lambda$, where $\eta = (\eta_1^T, \dots, \eta_n^T)^T$.

[11] Use backward substitution to solve for \mathbf{c} in the triangular system $\mathbf{L}^T \mathbf{c} = \lambda$, calculate the $gm \times 1$ vector $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{M} \mathbf{c}$, and compute $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_i = \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{0i} - \mathbf{r}_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), with the $m \times 1$ vectors \mathbf{r}_i given by

$$\mathbf{r}_i = \sum_{j=1}^i \mathbf{Z}_{i-j} \mathbf{d}_j \quad (i=1, 2, \dots, n),$$

where \mathbf{d}_j is the j -th $m \times 1$ block of \mathbf{d} ($j = 1, 2, \dots, g$) and $\mathbf{d}_j = \mathbf{0}$ for $j > g$.

Subroutines CGAMMA, CXI and CRES are called from within subroutine ELF2 in order to carry out steps [2], [4] and [11] (optional), respectively. Some matrix computations are performed by subroutines CHOLDC (which is called from within ELF2 to carry out steps [1], [3] and [8]), CHOLFR (which is called from within ELF2 to carry out steps [1] and [9]) and CHOLBK (which is called from within CRES to carry out step [11]). Subroutine CGAMMA implements an algorithm due to Kohn and Ansley (1982), in order to evaluate the matrices $\Gamma(k)$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$) and $\Gamma_{wa}(k)$ ($k = 0, -1, \dots, -q+1$) in a computationally more efficient manner than the one coded in Shea (1989). The procedure in subroutine CGAMMA solves the following system of linear equations

$$\Gamma(0) - \sum_{i=1}^p \Phi_i \Gamma(0) \Phi_i^T - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^{p-i} [\Phi_{i+j} \Gamma(i) \Phi_j^T + \Phi_j \Gamma(i)^T \Phi_{i+j}^T] = \mathbf{W}_0. \quad (6.1)$$

$$\Gamma(k) - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \Gamma(i) \Phi_{k-i}^T - \sum_{i=0}^{p-k} \Gamma(i)^T \Phi_{k+i}^T = \mathbf{W}_k \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, p-1), \quad (6.2)$$

for $\bar{\Gamma}(0), \Gamma(1), \dots, \Gamma(p-1)$, where $\bar{\Gamma}(0)$ is the diagonal and upper triangle of $\Gamma(0)$,

$$\mathbf{W}_0 = \mathbf{Q} - (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^T) + \sum_{j=1}^q \Theta_j \mathbf{Q} \Theta_j^T,$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=i}^q \Phi_i \Gamma_{wa}(i-j) \Theta_j^T,$$

$$\mathbf{W}_k = - \sum_{j=k}^q \Gamma_{wa}(k-j) \Theta_j^T \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, p-1),$$

$$\Gamma_{wa}(-k) = -\Theta_k \mathbf{Q} + \sum_{i=1}^k \Phi_i \Gamma_{wa}(i-k) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, q-1),$$

with $\Phi_i = \mathbf{0}$ if $i > p$ and $\Gamma_{wa}(0) = \mathbf{Q}$ (note that $\Gamma_{wa}(k) = \sigma^{-2} E[\bar{\mathbf{w}}_k \mathbf{a}_{i+k}^T] = \mathbf{0}$ for $k > 0$). The resulting system contains $m(m+1)/2 + m^2(p-1)$ unknowns. Thus, subroutine CGAMMA solves for $m(m-1)/2 + m^2$ less unknowns than subroutine COVARs of Shea (1989), which unnecessarily solves for $\Gamma(0)$ through $\Gamma(p)$ instead of $\bar{\Gamma}(0)$ and $\Gamma(1)$ through $\Gamma(p-1)$.

3. Structure

SUBROUTINE ELFI(M, P, Q, N, W, PHI, THETA, QQ, ISMU, MU, ATF, A, SIGMA2, XITOL, LOGELF, F1, F2, WS, NWS, IWS, NIWS, IFAULT)

Formal parameters:

M	Integer	input:	the number of time series, $m (\geq 1)$.
P	Integer	input:	the value of $p (\geq 0)$.
Q	Integer	input:	the value of $q (\geq 0)$, but $p = q = 0$ is not allowed.
N	Integer	input:	the length of each series, $n (\geq 1)$.
W	Real array of dimension (M, N)	input:	on entry, $W(I, J)$ must contain the I -th component of \mathbf{w}_j for $I = 1, 2, \dots, M$, $J = 1, 2, \dots, N$.
PHI	Real Array of dimension (M, P * M + 1)	input:	on entry, $PHI(I, (K-1) * M + J)$ must contain the (I, J) -th element of Φ_K for $I = 1, 2, \dots, M$, $J = 1, 2, \dots, M$, $K = 1, 2, \dots, P$.

<i>THETA</i>	Real Array of dimension ($M, Q * M + 1$)	input: on entry, <i>THETA</i> ($I, (K - 1) * M + J$) must contain the (I, J)-th element of Θ_k for $I = 1, 2, \dots, M$, $J = 1, 2, \dots, M, K = 1, 2, \dots, Q$.
<i>QQ</i>	Real Array of dimension (M, M)	input/output: on entry, <i>QQ</i> (I, J) must contain the (I, J)-th element of \mathbf{Q} for $I = 1, 2, \dots, M, J = 1, 2, \dots, I$ (i.e. the lower triangle of \mathbf{Q}); on exit, if <i>IFAULT</i> = 0 or <i>IFAULT</i> ≥ 8 then the strict upper triangle of <i>QQ</i> is set equal to its lower triangle.
<i>ISMU</i>	Logical	input: set equal to .TRUE. if $\mu \neq 0$ and .FALSE. if $\mu = 0$.
<i>MU</i>	Real array of dimension M	input: if <i>ISMU</i> is set equal to .TRUE. then <i>MU</i> (I) must contain the I -th component of μ for $I = 1, 2, \dots, M$; if <i>ISMU</i> is set equal to .FALSE. then <i>MU</i> is not used.
<i>ATF</i>	Logical	input: set equal to .TRUE. if computation of the residual vector is required and .FALSE. otherwise.
<i>A</i>	Real array of dimension (M, N)	output: if <i>ATF</i> is set equal to .TRUE. then, on successful exit, <i>A</i> (I, J) contains the I -th component of \hat{a}_j for $I = 1, 2, \dots, M$, $J = 1, 2, \dots, N$; if <i>ATF</i> is set equal to .FALSE. then, if <i>IFAULT</i> = 0 or <i>IFAULT</i> = 12, <i>A</i> (I, J) contains the I -th component of η_j for $I = 1, 2, \dots, M$, $J = 1, 2, \dots, N$.
<i>SIGMA2</i>	Real	input: the value of σ^2 .
<i>XITOL</i>	Real	input: convergence tolerance for the \mathbb{Z}_k 's; on entry, it must be set to any negative number if an exact evaluation of the log-likelihood is desired; if an approximate evaluation is desired or if $q = 0$ then it should be set to a small positive number.
<i>LOGELF</i>	Real	output: on successful exit, contains the value of the log-likelihood function (2).

<i>F1</i>	Real	output: on successful exit, contains the value of the quadratic form (3).
<i>F2</i>	Real	output: on successful exit, contains the value of $ Q ^n$ times the determinant (4).
<i>WS</i>	Real array of dimension at least <i>NWS</i>	workspace:
<i>NWS</i>	Integer	input: the dimension of the array <i>WS</i> as declared in the user's calling (sub)program: $NWS \geq M * M * (3 + 3 * G * G + (P + Q) * G + B4) + BI * BI + B2 + B3$, where $G = \max(P, Q)$, $BI = M * (M + 1) / 2 + M * M * (P - 1)$ if $P > 0$ and $BI = 1$ otherwise, $B2 = \max(BI, G * M)$, $B3 = \max(BI, M)$ and $B4 = \max(N, Q)$.
<i>IWS</i>	Integer array of dimension at least <i>NIWS</i>	workspace:
<i>NIWS</i>	Integer	input: the dimension of the array <i>IWS</i> as declared in the user's calling (sub)program: $NIWS \geq BI$, where BI is as for <i>NWS</i> .
<i>IFAULT</i>	integer	output: a fault indicator equal to 1 if $M < 1$; 2 if $N < 1$; 3 if $P < 0$; 4 if $Q < 0$; 5 if $P = 0$ and $Q = 0$; 6 if <i>NWS</i> is too small; 7 if <i>NIWS</i> is too small; 8 if <i>QQ</i> is not positive definite; 9 if equations (6) for calculating the $\Gamma(k)$'s could not be solved (this indicates that the AR parameters are very close to the boundary of the stationarity region); 10 if the matrix $V_1 \Omega V_1^T$ is not positive definite (this indicates that the model

- is not stationary);
- 11 if the Ξ_k 's turn out to be explosive (this indicates that the model is not invertible);
 - 12 if the matrix $I + M^T H^T H M$ is not positive definite;
 - 0 otherwise (on a successful exit).

Subroutine ELF1 checks for errors in the input parameters, sets up workspace arrays, calls subroutine MACHEP to compute *machine epsilon* (used by subroutine CHOLDC) and then calls subroutine ELF2 to evaluate the exact log-likelihood function. A description of the formal parameters of ELF2 is given next.

SUBROUTINE ELF2(M, P, Q, N, G, W, PHI, THETA, QQ, ISMU, MU, ATF, A, SIGMA2, XITOL, LOGELF, F1, F2, EPS, BIG1, BIG2, BIG3, BIG4, Q1, Q1INV, MTMP4, VTMP1, VTMP2, MATPHI, MTMPO, MTPM2, MTMP3, MTMP1, GAMXI, INDX, IFAULT)

Formal parameters:

<i>M, P, Q, N</i>	Integer	input: as for ELF1.
<i>G</i>	Integer	input: $\max(P, Q)$, set by ELF1.
<i>W, PHI,</i> <i>THETA</i>	}	input: as for ELF1.
<i>QQ</i>		input/output: as for ELF1.
<i>ISMU, MU,</i> <i>ATF</i>	}	input: as for ELF1.
<i>A</i>		output: as for ELF1; <i>A</i> is given values in ELF2.
<i>SIGMA2,</i> <i>XITOL</i>	}	input: as for ELF1.
<i>LOGELF,</i> <i>F1, F2</i>	}	output: as for ELF1; <i>LOGELF, F1</i> and <i>F2</i> are given values in ELF2.
<i>EPS</i>	Real	input: machine epsilon (set within ELF1).
<i>BIG1</i>	Integer	input: $BIG1 = M * (M + 1) / 2 + M * M$ ($P - 1$) if $P > 0$ and $BIG1 = 1$ otherwise; this value is set by ELF1.
<i>BIG2</i>	Integer	input: $\max(BIG1, G * M)$ (set by ELF1).
<i>BIG3</i>	Integer	input: $\max(BIG1, M)$ (set by ELF1).

<i>BIG4</i>	Integers	input: $\max(N, Q)$ (set by ELF1).
<i>Q1, Q1INV,</i> <i>MTMP4,</i> <i>VTMP1,</i> <i>VTMP2,</i> <i>MATPHI,</i> <i>MTMPO,</i> <i>MTMP2,</i> <i>MTMP3,</i> <i>MTMP1,</i> <i>GAMXI</i>	}	Real workspace arrays
<i>INDX</i>	Integer workspace array	
<i>IFAULT</i>	Integer	output: a fault indicator; on exit from ELF2, <i>IFAULT</i> will either have the value 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 or 0.

Subroutine ELF2 implements the steps described in the previous section in order to calculate the log-likelihood function. One of these steps is the computation of the theoretical covariance and cross-covariance matrices $\Gamma(k)$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$) and $\Gamma_{wa}(k)$ ($k = 0, -1, \dots, -q+1$). This task is done by subroutine CGAMMA, which implements an algorithm due to Kohn and Ansley (1982).

SUBROUTINE CGAMMA(M, P, Q, PHI, THETA, QQ, BIG1, MAT, VV, WZERO, MZERO, GAMWA, RHS, INDX, IFAULT)

Formal parameters:

<i>M, P, Q</i>	}	input: as for ELF2.
<i>PHI,</i> <i>THETA, QQ</i>	}	
<i>BIG1</i>	}	
<i>MAT, VV,</i> <i>WZERO,</i> <i>MZERO,</i> <i>GAMWA,</i> <i>RHS, INDX</i>	}	Workspace arrays

GAMWA

output: on successful exit,
GAMWA(I, K * M + J) contains
the (I, J)-th element of $\Gamma_{wa}(-K)$ for
 $I = 1, 2, \dots, M, J = 1, 2, \dots, M,$
 $K = 0, 1, \dots, Q-1.$

RHS

output: on successful exit,
RHS(J * (J - 1) / 2 + I) contains the
(I, J)-th element of $\Gamma(0)$ for $I = 1, 2, \dots,$
 $M, J = I, I + 1, \dots, M,$ and
RHS(M * (M + 1) / 2 + M * M *
(K - 1) + M * (J - 1) + I) contains
the (I, J)-th element of $\Gamma(K)$ for
 $I = 1, 2, \dots, M, J = 1, 2, \dots, M,$
 $K = 1, 2, \dots, P-1.$

IFAUULT Integer

output: a fault indicator equal to
1 if the equations (6) could not be
solved (in which case IFAUULT is
returned from ELF2 as 9);
0 otherwise (on successful exit).

Subroutine ELF2 also calls subroutine CXI, which computes recursively the matrix sequence Ξ_k ($k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$). This is a key step in order to check for invertibility of the model.

SUBROUTINE CXI(M, N, Q, THETA, XITOL, R, NLIM, XI, MTMP, IFAUULT)

Formal parameters:

M, N, Q

THETA,

XITOL

R

NLIM Integer

XI, MTMP Real workspace arrays

input: as for ELF2.
input: on entry, R(I, J) must contain the (I, J)-th
element of **R** for $I = 1, 2, \dots, M,$
 $J = 1, 2, \dots, I,$ as set by ELF2.
output: on successful exit, NLIM is such that
 $\Xi_k = 0$ for $k > NLIM.$

XI

output: on successful exit,
XI(I, M * K + J) contains the (I, J)-th
element of $R\Xi_k$ for $I = 1, 2, \dots, M,$
 $J = 1, 2, \dots, M, K = 0, 1, \dots, NLIM.$

IFAUULT Integer

output: a fault indicator equal to
1 if the computation of the sequence
 Ξ_k turns out to be explosive (in which
case IFAUULT is returned from ELF2
as 11);
0 otherwise (on successful exit).

Optionally (if ATF was set equal to .TRUE. on entry to ELF1), subroutine ELF2 ends up with a call to subroutine CRES, in order to calculate the residual vector using some of the computations carried so far to evaluate the log-likelihood.

SUBROUTINE CRES(M, N, G, NLIM, XI, QI, MATM, MATL, LAMBDA, RES)

Formal parameters:

M, N, G

NLIM Integer

XI Real workspace array

QI Real workspace array

MATM Real workspace array

input: as for ELF2.
input: on entry, NLIM must be such that
 $\Xi_k = 0$ for $k > NLIM,$ as set by CXI
from within ELF2.
input: on entry, XI(I, M * K + J) must contain
the (I, J)-th element of $R\Xi_k$ for $I = 1,$
 $2, \dots, M, J = 1, 2, \dots, M, K = 0, 1, \dots,$
 $NLIM,$ as set by CXI from within ELF2.
input: on entry, QI(I, J) must contain the
(I, J)-th element of the Cholesky factor
 Q_1 of **Q** for $I = 1, 2, \dots, M, J = 1, 2,$
 $\dots, I,$ as set by ELF2.
input: on entry, MATM(I, J) must contain the
(I, J)-th element of the Cholesky factor
M of $V_1 \Omega V_1^T$ for $I = 1, 2, \dots, G * M,$
 $J = 1, 2, \dots, I,$ as set by ELF2.

<i>MATL</i>	Real workspace array	input:	on entry, <i>MATL</i> (<i>I</i> , <i>J</i>) must contain the (<i>I</i> , <i>J</i>)-th element of the Cholesky factor L of $I+M^T H^T H M$ for $I = 1, 2, \dots, G * M, J = 1, 2, \dots, I$, as set by ELF2.
<i>LAMBDA</i>	Real workspace array	input:	on entry, <i>LAMBDA</i> (<i>I</i>) must contain the <i>I</i> -th element of λ (the solution of the triangular system $L\lambda = M^T h$) for $I = 1, 2, \dots, G * M$, as computed within ELF2.
<i>RES</i>	Real array of dimension (<i>M</i> , <i>N</i>)	input/output:	on entry, <i>A</i> (<i>I</i> , <i>J</i>) must contain the <i>I</i> -th component of η_j for $I = 1, 2, \dots, M, J = 1, 2, \dots, N$, as set by ELF2; on exit, <i>A</i> (<i>I</i> , <i>J</i>) contains the <i>I</i> -th component of \hat{a}_j for $I = 1, 2, \dots, M, J = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

The following subroutines are also called from within ELF2. Subroutine CHOLDC returns the Cholesky factor of a symmetric real matrix M , and its determinant in the form $a2^b$. Subroutine CHOLFR solves for x in the system $Lx = b$, with L lower triangular, using forward substitution.

SUBROUTINE CHOLDC(M, N, D1, D2, EPS, IFAULT)

Formal parameters:

<i>M</i>	Real array of dimension (<i>N</i> , <i>N</i>)	input/output:	on entry, contains the matrix M (only the upper triangle is needed); on successful exit, contains the required Cholesky factor with the strict upper triangle set to zero.
<i>N</i>	Integer	input:	the order of M .
<i>D1</i>	Real	output:	on successful exit, <i>D1</i> is set equal to a in the expression $ M = a2^b$.
<i>D2</i>	Real	output:	on successful exit, <i>D2</i> is set equal to b in the expression $ M = a2^b$.
<i>EPS</i>	Real	input:	machine epsilon, as set by MACHEP with a call from within ELF1.
<i>IFAULT</i>	Integer	output:	a fault indicator equal to 1 if M is not positive definite; 0 otherwise (on a successful exit).

SUBROUTINE CHOLFR(MATL, N, RHSOL)

Formal parameters:

<i>MATL</i>	Real array of dimension (<i>N</i> , <i>N</i>)	input:	on entry, contains the lower triangular matrix L .
<i>N</i>	Integer	input:	the order of L .
<i>RHSOL</i>	Real array of dimension <i>N</i>	input/output:	on entry, contains the right-hand side vector b ; on exit, contains the solution vector x .

Finally, subroutine CHOLBK is called from within subroutine CRES to solve for c in the system $L^T c = \lambda$, with L lower triangular, using backward substitution. Subroutine MACHEP is called from within subroutine ELF1 to calculate machine epsilon.

SUBROUTINE CHOLBK(MATL, N, RHSOL)

Formal parameters:

<i>MATL</i>	Real array of dimension (<i>N</i> , <i>N</i>)	input:	on entry, contains the lower triangular matrix L .
<i>N</i>	Integer	input:	the order of L .
<i>RHSOL</i>	Real array of dimension <i>N</i>	input/output:	on entry, contains the right-hand side vector λ ; on exit, contains the solution vector c .

SUBROUTINE MACHEP (EPSIL)

Formal parameter:

<i>EPSIL</i>	Real	output:	machine epsilon.
--------------	------	---------	------------------

4. Auxiliary Algorithms

Subroutines DECOMP and SOLVE in Moler (1972) are used to solve the system of linear equations (6) within subroutine CGAMMA.

5. Restrictions

Subroutine ELF2 will terminate abnormally if the model turns out to be either non-stationary or non-invertible. The model will be non-stationary if the matrix $V_1 \Omega V_1^T$ is not positive definite. This

is detected by subroutine CHOLDC, which in turn will make ELF2 to return $IFAULT = 10$. The model will be non-invertible if the computation of the matrix sequence Ξ_k is explosive, i.e. when

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m |\Xi_h(i,j)| \right] > \sum_{k=1}^{\min(h,q)} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m |\Xi_k(i,j)| \right]$$

for at least one $h < n - 1$. This check for non-invertibility takes place within subroutine CXI and if it holds, then ELF2 will return $IFAULT = 11$. Note, however, that the exact likelihood can still be evaluated when any root of the moving average operator lies on the unit circle, provided the other roots have moduli larger than unity.

To calculate the log-likelihood function for the model

$$w_t = \mu + a_t,$$

(i.e. to take $p = q = 0$), q should be set to 1 and the first m rows and columns of $THETA$ to zero (note that $p = q = 0$ is not allowed by subroutine ELF1 since some of the workspace arrays in ELF2 would have zero length).

Although subroutine ELF1 will not flag an error if N is set to 1, it is left to the user to ensure that $N * M$ is greater than the number of parameters in the model.

6. Precision

When using the present algorithm on machines with small word length, all the real variables should be replaced by double precision variables. This amounts to replacing all declarations of type REAL by declarations of type DOUBLE PRECISION and all remaining occurrences of REAL by DBLE. In order to make an accurate and machine-independent decision on whether a given symmetric real matrix is not positive definite, subroutine CHOLDC makes use of the quantity machine epsilon as discussed in Dennis and Schnabel (1983, pp. 318-9). Overflow or underflow will not occur in the calculation of $|Q|$ and $|I + M^T H^T H M|$ since these determinants are stored in the form $a2^b$ (Martin and Wilkinson, 1965).

7. Accuracy

When the model considered is invertible, the matrix sequence Ξ_k converges to 0, the more quickly the larger the moduli of the zeros of $|\Theta(B)|$ are (when $q = 0$, it is clear that $\Xi_k = 0$ for $k \geq 1$). This fact may be exploited in the subsequent computations involved in steps [6], [7] and [11] within subroutine ELF2, since if $\Xi_k = 0$ for, say, $k > r^*$, then not all of these operations need to be carried out. The sequence Ξ_k may be considered to have converged when

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m |\Xi_{r^*+1}(i,j)| \right] < \delta,$$

where the parameter $\delta > 0$ can be used to control the desired degree of approximation to the exact computation of the whole sequence. However, to avoid complications due to the presence of any $\Theta_i = 0$ for $i < q$ (i.e. in the case of seasonal or gapped models), once the above condition is satisfied, subroutine CXI calculates the next q Ξ_k 's following Ξ_{r^*} in order to make sure that convergence has effectively occurred. The convergence criterion can be made sufficiently rigid (i.e. δ sufficiently small) that the error implied by considering $\Xi_k = 0$ for $k > r^*$, becomes negligible.

This property, which may save much computing time, is analogous to the 'quick recursions' property offered by the Chandrasekhar equations that form the basis of the method of Shea (1989, pp. 169-170). Thus, the comparisons between the exact (calculated with Ξ_k from $k = 1$ to $k = n-1$) and the 'approximate' (calculated with $\Xi_k = 0$ for $k > r^*$) log-likelihood functions for any given model, display results which are very similar to those reported by Shea (1989).

8. Timing and Related Algorithm

The algorithm implemented in subroutine ELF2 is faster than Algorithm AS 242 of Shea (1989) in many cases. To see this, the exact log-likelihood function has been evaluated for a variety of vector ARMA models. In Table 1, the ratio between the number of multiplications and divisions required by the algorithm of Shea (1989) and those required by subroutine ELF2, is presented for each of the models considered.

It can be seen that Algorithm AS 242 is slightly faster than the new algorithm only for low dimension ($m = 2$) high order models, whereas the new algorithm is faster (by a factor of more than two in many cases) for higher dimension ($m = 4$) models. In fact, the relative efficiency of the new algorithm increases with the dimension m of the model (and, almost in all cases, with the series length n too). Thus, the ratios for $m = 4$ and $n = 200$ are all advantageous to the new algorithm, irrespective of the orders p and q .

9. Additional Comments

The main purpose of subroutine ELF2 is to serve as an integral part of an exact maximum likelihood estimation program for vector ARMA models. It can be shown that maximizing the exact likelihood function is equivalent to minimizing

$$S(\Phi, \Theta, \mu, Q | w)^m |Q| |\Lambda^T \Lambda|^{-\frac{1}{n}}$$

Table 1. Ratios between the number of multiplications and divisions required by the algorithm of Shea (1989) and those required by subroutine ELF2 to evaluate the exact likelihood for various vector ARMA models.

	$m = 2$		$m = 4$	
	$n = 100$	$n = 200$	$n = 100$	$n = 200$
AR(1)	1.19	1.10	3.88	2.65
AR(2)	1.27	1.14	3.41	2.79
MA(1)	2.46	2.49	2.81	2.83
MA(2)	1.88	1.91	2.09	2.11
ARMA(1,1)	2.52	2.54	3.39	3.21
AR(1) ₄	1.18	1.13	2.16	2.07
MA(1) ₄	1.47	1.53	1.60	1.67
ARMA(1,1) ₄	1.56	1.66	2.04	2.03
AR(1) ₁₂	0.84 *	0.85 *	1.27	1.27
MA(1) ₁₂	0.81 *	1.00	0.84 *	1.06
ARMA(1,1) ₁₂	0.91 *	1.05	1.25	1.29
AR(1) × MA(1) ₄	1.50	1.57	1.77	1.79
AR(1) × MA(1) ₁₂	0.81 *	1.00	0.86 *	1.08
MA(1) × AR(1) ₄	1.57	1.64	2.08	2.02
MA(1) × AR(1) ₁₂	0.90 *	0.98 *	1.27	1.27
ARMA(1,1) × AR(1) ₄	1.40	1.49	1.85	1.82
ARMA(1,1) × MA(1) ₄	1.37	1.46	1.59	1.65
ARMA(1,1) × AR(1) ₁₂	0.87 *	0.94 *	1.23	1.24
ARMA(1,1) × MA(1) ₁₂	0.75 *	0.96 *	0.80 *	1.02

NOTE: An asterisk (*) indicates that algorithm AS 242 requires less time-consuming operations than the new algorithm.

Since, on successful exit, subroutine ELF2 returns $S(\Phi, \Theta, \mu, Q|w)$ (the quadratic form in the exact likelihood) and $|Q|^{1/n}|A^T A|$ (the determinant in the exact likelihood) as $F1$ and $F2$, respectively, it is straightforward to use that output in the computation of the objective function to be minimized. Further, since subroutine ELF2 automatically detects parameter values that imply non-stationarity, non-invertibility and/or non-positive definiteness of Q , an objective function that penalizes these situations can be constructed following the guidelines in Shea (1984) and Mauricio

(1993). The residual vector should be evaluated (using subroutine CXI) only after the minimization routine has converged, since it is not used during the estimation process. Finally, note that the computations involved in this process can be speeded up using the approximation to the exact likelihood function (based on the convergence of the \bar{E}_k 's to 0) discussed previously.

10. References

- Dennis, J. E. and Schnabel, R. B. (1983) *Numerical Methods for Unconstrained Optimization and Nonlinear Equations*. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.
- Hall, A. D. and Nicholls, D. F. (1980) The Evaluation of Exact Maximum Likelihood Estimates for VARMA Models. *J. Statist. Comput. Simul.*, 10, 251-262.
- Kohn, R. and Ansley, C. F. (1982) A Note on Obtaining the Theoretical Autocovariances of an ARMA Process, *J. Statist. Comput. Simul.*, 15, 273-283.
- Ljung, G. M. and Box, G. E. P. (1979) The Likelihood Function of Stationary Autoregressive-Moving Average Models, *Biometrika*, 66, 265-270.
- Martin, R. S. and Wilkinson, J. H. (1965) Symmetric Decomposition of Positive Definite Band Matrices, *Num. Math.*, 7, 355-361.
- Mauricio, J. A. (1993) Exact Maximum Likelihood Estimation of Stationary Vector ARMA models, *I.C.A.E.*, D.T. N° 9316.
- Moler, C. B. (1972) Algorithm 423: Linear Equation Solver, *Commun. Ass. Comput. Mach.*, 15, 274.
- Nicholls, D. F. and Hall, A. D. (1979) The Exact Likelihood Function of Multivariate Autoregressive-Moving Average Models, *Biometrika*, 66, 259-264.
- Shea, B. L. (1984), Maximum Likelihood Estimation of Multivariate ARMA Processes via the Kalman Filter. In *Time Series Analysis: Theory and Practice* (ed. O. D. Anderson), vol. 5, pp. 91-101, Amsterdam: North-Holland.
- Shea, B. L. (1989) Algorithm AS 242: The Exact Likelihood of a Vector Autoregressive-Moving Average Model, *Appl. Statist.*, 38, 161-204.

```

C
SUBROUTINE ELF1( M, P, Q, N, W, PHI, THETA, QQ, ISMU, MU,
*             ATF, A, SIGMA2, XITOL, LOGELF, F1, F2,
*             WS, NWS, IWS, NWS, IFAULT )
C
INTEGER      M, P, Q, N, NWS, NWS, IWS (NWS), IFAULT,
*           G, G2, M2, I1, I2, I3, I4, I5, I6, I7, I8,
*           I9, I10, I11, BIG1, BIG2, BIG3, BIG4, TOTAL
LOGICAL      ISMU, ATF
REAL         W(M,N), PHI(M,P*M+1), THETA(M,Q*M+1), QQ(M,M), MU(M),
*           A(M,N), SIGMA2, XITOL, LOGELF, F1, F2, WS(NWS), EPSIL

INTRINSIC    MAX
EXTERNAL     MACHEP, ELF2

[1]: Check the input data
IFAUULT = 0
IF ( M.LT. 1 ) THEN
  IFAUULT = 1
  RETURN
ELSEIF ( N.LT. 1 ) THEN
  IFAUULT = 2
  RETURN
ELSEIF ( P.LT. 0 ) THEN
  IFAUULT = 3
  RETURN
ELSEIF ( Q.LT. 0 ) THEN
  IFAUULT = 4
  RETURN
ELSEIF ( P.EQ. 0 .AND. Q.EQ. 0 ) THEN
  IFAUULT = 5
  RETURN
ENDIF

[2]: Check that workspace is big enough
G = MAX( P, Q )
G2 = G * G
M2 = M * M
IF ( P.GT. 0 ) THEN
  BIG1 = M * ( M + 1 ) / 2 + M2 * ( P - 1 )
ELSE
  BIG1 = 1
ENDIF
BIG2 = MAX( BIG1, G * M )
BIG3 = MAX( BIG1, M )
BIG4 = MAX( N, Q )

I1 = 1
I2 = I1 + M2
I3 = I2 + M2
I4 = I3 + M2
I5 = I4 + BIG2
I6 = I5 + BIG3
I7 = I6 + BIG1 * BIG1
I8 = I7 + M2 * G2
I9 = I8 + M2 * G2
I10 = I9 + M2 * G2
I11 = I10 + M2 * ( P + Q ) * G

TOTAL = I11 + M2 * BIG4 - 1
IF ( NWS.LT. TOTAL ) THEN
  IFAUULT = 6
  RETURN
ELSEIF ( NWS.LT. BIG1 ) THEN
  IFAUULT = 7
  RETURN
ENDIF

[3]: Calculate machine epsilon (store as EPSIL)
CALL MACHEP( EPSIL )

[4]: Call ELF2 to evaluate the exact log likelihood
CALL ELF2( M, P, Q, N, G, W, PHI, THETA, QQ, ISMU, MU, ATF,
*         A, SIGMA2, XITOL, LOGELF, F1, F2, EPSIL,
*         BIG1, BIG2, BIG3, BIG4, WS(I1), WS(I2), WS(I3),
*         WS(I4), WS(I5), WS(I6), WS(I7), WS(I8), WS(I9),
*         WS(I10), WS(I11), IWS, IFAULT )
END

```

```

C
SUBROUTINE ELF2( M, P, Q, N, G, W, PHI, THETA, QQ, ISMU, MU, ATF,
*             A, SIGMA2, XITOL, LOGELF, F1, F2, EPS,
*             BIG1, BIG2, BIG3, BIG4, Q1, Q1INV, MTMP4, VTMPI,
*             VTMPI2, MATPHI, MTMPO, MTMPE2, MIMP3, MTMP1, GAMXI,
*             INDX, IFAULT )
C
INTEGER      M, P, Q, G, N, BIG1, BIG2, BIG3, BIG4, INDX(BIG1),
*           IFAULT, I, I1, I2, I1, J, J1, J2, JJ,
*           JL, K, KK, NLIM
LOGICAL      ISMU, ATF
REAL         W(M,N), PHI(M,P*M+1), THETA(M,Q*M+1), QQ(M,M), MU(M),
*           A(M,N), SIGMA2, XITOL, LOGELF, F1, F2, EPS,
*           Q1(M,M), Q1INV(M,M), MTMP4(M,M), VTMPI(BIG2),
*           VTMPI2(BIG3), MATPHI(BIG1, BIG1), MTMPO(G*M, G*M),
*           MTMPE2(G*M, G*M), MTMPE3(G*M, G*M), MTMPE1(M*(P+Q), M*G),
*           GAMXI(M,M*BIG4), D1, D2, S1, S2, DETQ, DETOM,
*           ZERO, ONE, TWO, LG2PI, REAL
PARAMETER    ( ZERO = 0.0, ONE = 1.0, TWO = 2.0, LG2PI = 1.8378771 )
INTRINSIC    EXP, LOG, MAX, REAL
EXTERNAL     CHOLDC, CHOLFR, CGAMMA, CXI, CRES

Copy lower triangle of QQ into upper triangles of QQ and Q1
DO 2 I = 1, M
DO 1 J = I, M
  QQ(I,J) = QQ(J,I)
  Q1(I,J) = QQ(J,I)
1 CONTINUE
2 CONTINUE

[1]: Calculate the inverse of the Cholesky factor of QQ
      (store as Q1INV) and the determinant of QQ (store as DETQ)
CALL CHOLDC( Q1, M, D1, D2, EPS, IFAULT )

IF ( IFAULT.GT. 0 ) THEN
  IFAUULT = 8
  RETURN
ENDIF

DO 5 I = 1, M
DO 3 J = 1, M
  VTMPI(J) = ZERO
  Q1INV(J,I) = ZERO
3 CONTINUE
  VTMPI(I) = ONE
  CALL CHOLFR( Q1, M, VTMPI )
  DO 4 J = I, M
    Q1INV(J,I) = VTMPI(J)
4 CONTINUE
5 CONTINUE

DETQ = D1 * TWO ** D2

[2]: Calculate the theoretical autocovariance and
      cross-covariance matrices (store as GAMXI and VTMPI)
IF ( P.GT. 0 ) THEN
  CALL CGAMMA( M, P, Q, PHI, THETA, QQ, BIG1, MATPHI, VTMPI2,
*            VTMPI2, MTMPE3, GAMXI, VTMPI, INDX, IFAULT )
  IF ( IFAULT.GT. 0 ) THEN
    IFAUULT = 9
    RETURN
  ENDIF
ENDIF

[3]: Calculate M: Cholesky factor of V1*OMEGA*V1' (store as MTMPO)
DO 20 I = 1, M * G
DO 10 J = 1, M * G
  MTMPO(I,J) = ZERO
10 CONTINUE
20 CONTINUE

[3.1]: Calculate OMEGA*V1' (store as MTMPE1)
DO 40 I = 1, M * ( P + Q )
DO 30 J = 1, M * G
  MTMPE1(I,J) = ZERO

```

```

30 CONTINUE
40 CONTINUE
C
DO 140 I = 1, P
DO 130 J = 1, G
C
DO 80 K = J - I, P - I
DO 70 II = 1, M
DO 60 JJ = 1, M
S1 = ZERO
DO 50 KK = 1, M
C
IF ( K .GT. 0 ) THEN
JL = M * (M + 1) / 2 + M * M * (K - 1) + M * (KK - 1) + II
ELSEIF ( K .LT. 0 ) THEN
JL = M * (M + 1) / 2 + M * M * (-K - 1) + M * (II - 1) + KK
ELSE
IF ( KK .GE. II ) THEN
JL = KK * (KK - 1) / 2 + II
ELSE
JL = II * (II - 1) / 2 + KK
ENDIF
ENDIF
S1 = S1 + VTMP1(JL) * PHI(JJ, (P - K - I + J - 1) * M + KK)
50 CONTINUE
MTMP1(II + (I - 1) * M, JJ + (J - 1) * M) =
* MTMP1(II + (I - 1) * M, JJ + (J - 1) * M) + S1
60 CONTINUE
70 CONTINUE
80 CONTINUE
C
DO 120 K = J - I, Q - I
IF ( P + K .LE. Q ) THEN
DO 110 II = 1, M
DO 100 JJ = 1, M
S1 = ZERO
DO 90 KK = 1, M
S1 = S1 + GAMXI(II, (Q - P - K) * M + KK)
* THETA(JJ, (Q - K - I + J - 1) * M + KK)
90 CONTINUE
MTMP1(II + (I - 1) * M, JJ + (J - 1) * M) =
* MTMP1(II + (I - 1) * M, JJ + (J - 1) * M) - S1
100 CONTINUE
110 CONTINUE
ENDIF
120 CONTINUE
C
130 CONTINUE
140 CONTINUE
C
DO 230 I = P + 1, P + Q
DO 220 J = 1, G
C
DO 180 K = P + J - I, P + P - I
IF ( P - K .LE. Q ) THEN
DO 170 II = 1, M
DO 160 JJ = 1, M
S1 = ZERO
DO 150 KK = 1, M
S1 = S1 + GAMXI(KK, (Q - P + K) * M + II)
* PHI(JJ, (P + P - K - I + J - 1) * M + KK)
150 CONTINUE
MTMP1(II + (I - 1) * M, JJ + (J - 1) * M) =
* MTMP1(II + (I - 1) * M, JJ + (J - 1) * M) + S1
160 CONTINUE
170 CONTINUE
ENDIF
180 CONTINUE
C
IF ( P - I + J .LE. 0 ) THEN
DO 210 II = 1, M
DO 200 JJ = 1, M
S1 = ZERO
DO 190 KK = 1, M
S1 = S1 + QQ(II, KK)
* THETA(JJ, (Q + P - I + J - 1) * M + KK)
190 CONTINUE
MTMP1(II + (I - 1) * M, JJ + (J - 1) * M) =
* MTMP1(II + (I - 1) * M, JJ + (J - 1) * M) - S1
200 CONTINUE
210 CONTINUE
ENDIF
C

```

```

220 CONTINUE
230 CONTINUE
C
C
[3.2]: Calculate VI*OMEGA*VI' (store as MTMP0)
C
DO 330 I = 1, G
DO 320 J = I, G
C
DO 270 K = 0, P - I
DO 260 II = 1, M
IF ( I .EQ. J ) THEN
JL = II
ELSE
JL = 1
ENDIF
DO 250 JJ = JL, M
S1 = ZERO
DO 240 KK = 1, M
S1 = S1 + PHI(II, (P - K - 1) * M + KK)
* MTMP1(KK + (K + I - 1) * M, JJ + (J - 1) * M)
240 CONTINUE
MTMP0(II + (I - 1) * M, JJ + (J - 1) * M) =
* MTMP0(II + (I - 1) * M, JJ + (J - 1) * M) + S1
250 CONTINUE
260 CONTINUE
270 CONTINUE
C
DO 310 K = 0, Q - I
DO 300 II = 1, M
IF ( I .EQ. J ) THEN
JL = II
ELSE
JL = 1
ENDIF
DO 290 JJ = JL, M
S1 = ZERO
DO 280 KK = 1, M
S1 = S1 + THETA(II, (Q - K - 1) * M + KK)
* MTMP1(KK + (K + P + I - 1) * M, JJ + (J - 1) * M)
280 CONTINUE
MTMP0(II + (I - 1) * M, JJ + (J - 1) * M) =
* MTMP0(II + (I - 1) * M, JJ + (J - 1) * M) - S1
290 CONTINUE
300 CONTINUE
310 CONTINUE
C
320 CONTINUE
330 CONTINUE
C
C
[3.3]: Calculate M (overwrite MTMP0)
C
CALL CHOLDG( MTMP0, M * G, D1, D2, EPS, IFAULT )
C
IF ( IFAULT .GT. 0 ) THEN
IFAULT = 10
RETURN
ENDIF
C
C
[4]: Calculate matrix polynomial R*XI(k) (overwrite GAMXI)
C
CALL CXI( M, N, Q, THETA, XITOL, QINVT, NLIM, GAMXI,
* MTMP4, IFAULT )
C
IF ( IFAULT .GT. 0 ) THEN
IFAULT = 11
RETURN
ENDIF
C
C
[5]: Calculate vector eta (store as A)
C
DO 340 I = 1, M
DO 335 J = 1, N
A(I, J) = ZERO
335 CONTINUE
340 CONTINUE
C
C
[5.1]: Calculate conditional residuals recursively (store as A)
C
DO 440 I = 1, N
DO 350 J = 1, M
VTMP1(J) = ZERO
350 CONTINUE
DO 380 J = 1, P

```

```

IF ( I - J .GE. 1 ) THEN
DO 370 II = 1, M
S1 = ZERO
DO 360 K = 1, M
IF ( ISMU ) THEN
S2 = W(K, I - J) - MU(K)
ELSE
S2 = W(K, I - J)
ENDIF
S1 = S1 + PHI(II, (J - 1) * M + K) * S2
360 CONTINUE
VTMP1(II) = VTMP1(II) + S1
370 CONTINUE
ENDIF
380 CONTINUE
C
DO 390 J = 1, M
VTMP2(J) = ZERO
390 CONTINUE
DO 420 J = 1, Q
IF ( I - J .GE. 1 ) THEN
DO 410 II = 1, M
S1 = ZERO
DO 400 K = 1, M
S1 = S1 + THETA(II, (J - 1) * M + K) * A(K, I - J)
400 CONTINUE
VTMP2(II) = VTMP2(II) + S1
410 CONTINUE
ENDIF
420 CONTINUE
C
DO 430 II = 1, M
IF ( ISMU ) THEN
S2 = W(II, I) - MU(II)
ELSE
S2 = W(II, I)
ENDIF
A(II, I) = S2 - VTMP1(II) + VTMP2(II)
430 CONTINUE
C
440 CONTINUE
C
C [5.2]: Premultiply each M-block of A by Q1INV (overwrite A)
C
DO 480 I = 1, N
DO 460 J = 1, M
S1 = ZERO
DO 450 K = 1, J
S1 = S1 + Q1INV(J,K) * A(K, I)
450 CONTINUE
VTMP1(J) = S1
460 CONTINUE
DO 470 J = 1, M
A(J, I) = VTMP1(J)
470 CONTINUE
480 CONTINUE
C
C [6]: Calculate vector M'h (store as VTMP1)
C
DO 490 I = 1, G * M
VTMP1(I) = ZERO
490 CONTINUE
C
C [6.1]: Calculate vector h (overwrite VTMP1)
C
DO 530 J = 1, G
DO 520 I = 0, N - J
IF ( I .LE. NLIM ) THEN
DO 510 JJ = 1, M
S1 = ZERO
DO 500 K = 1, M
S1 = S1 + GAMXI(K, I * M + JJ) * A(K, I+J)
500 CONTINUE
VTMP1(JJ + (J - 1) * M) = VTMP1( JJ + (J - 1) * M) + S1
510 CONTINUE
ENDIF
520 CONTINUE
530 CONTINUE
C
C [6.2]: Premultiply VTMP1 by MTMP0' (overwrite VTMP1)
C
DO 550 I = 1, M * G
S1 = ZERO
DO 540 K = I, M * G

```

```

S1 = S1 + MTMP0(K, I) * VTMP1(K)
540 CONTINUE
VTMP1(I) = S1
550 CONTINUE
C
C Store M as MTMP3 if residuals have been requested
C
IF ( ATF ) THEN
DO 570 I = 1, M * G
DO 560 J = 1, I
MTMP3(I, J) = MTMP0(I, J)
560 CONTINUE
570 CONTINUE
ENDIF
C
C [7]: Calculate H'H (store as MTMP2)
C
DO 590 I = 1, G * M
DO 580 J = 1, G * M
MTMP2(I, J) = ZERO
580 CONTINUE
590 CONTINUE
C
DO 640 I = 1, G
DO 630 K = 0, N - I
IF ( K + I - 1 .LE. NLIM ) THEN
C
DO 620 II = 1, M
IF ( I .EQ. 1 ) THEN
JL = II
ELSE
JL = M
ENDIF
DO 610 JJ = 1, JL
S1 = ZERO
DO 600 KK = 1, M
S1 = S1 + GAMXI(KK, K * M + II)
* GAMXI(KK, (K + I - 1) * M + JJ)
600 CONTINUE
MTMP2(II + (I - 1) * M, JJ) = MTMP2(II + (I - 1) * M, JJ) + S1
610 CONTINUE
620 CONTINUE
C
C
C [8]: Calculate I+M'H'HM and its Cholesky factor (overwrite MTMP0)
C
C [8.1]: Calculate M'H'H (store as MTMP1)
C
DO 740 I = 1, G * M
DO 730 J = 1, G * M

```

```

S1 = ZERO
DO 720 K = 1, G * M
S1 = S1 + MTMP0(K,I) * MTMP2(K,J)
720 CONTINUE
MTMP1(I,J) = S1
730 CONTINUE
740 CONTINUE
C
C [8.2]: Store M as MTMP2 (overwrite) and initialize MTMP0
C
DO 760 I = 1, G * M
DO 750 J = 1, G * M
MTMP2(I,J) = MTMP0(I,J)
MTMP0(I,J) = ZERO
750 CONTINUE
760 CONTINUE
C
C [8.3]: Calculate I + M'H'HM (store as MTMP0)
C
DO 790 I = 1, G * M
DO 780 J = I, G * M
S1 = ZERO
DO 770 K = J, G * M
S1 = S1 + MTMP1(I,K) * MTMP2(K,J)
770 CONTINUE
MTMP0(I,J) = S1
780 CONTINUE
MTMP0(I,I) = ONE + MTMP0(I,I)
790 CONTINUE
C
C [8.4]: Compute the Cholesky factor of I+M'H'HM
C (overwrite MTMP0) and its determinant (store as DETOM)
C
CALL CHOLDC( MTMP0, G * M, D1, D2, EPS, IFAULT )
IF ( IFAULT .GT. 0 ) THEN
IFAULT = 12
RETURN
ENDIF
C
DETOM = D1 * TWO ** D2
C
C [9]: Calculate LAMBDA using forward substitution (store as VTMP1)
C
CALL CHOLFR( MTMP0, M * G, VTMP1 )
C
C [10]: Calculate the sum of squares (return as F1)
C
S1 = ZERO
DO 810 I = 1, M
DO 800 J = 1, N
S1 = S1 + A(I,J) * A(I,J)
800 CONTINUE
810 CONTINUE
C
S2 = ZERO
DO 820 I = 1, M * G
S2 = S2 + VTMP1(I) * VTMP1(I)
820 CONTINUE
C
F1 = S1 - S2
C
C Calculate the determinant (return as F2)
C
F2 = DETOM * (DETQ ** REAL( N ))
C
C Calculate the exact log likelihood (return as LOGELF)
C
LOGELF = -1/2 * ( REAL( N * M ) * ( LG2PI + LOG( SIGMA2 ) ) +
* REAL( N ) * LOG( DETQ ) + LOG ( DETOM ) +
* F1 / SIGMA2 ) / TWO
C
C [11]: Calculate residual vector if requested (return as A)
C
IF ( ATF )
* CALL CRES( M, N, G, NLIM, GAMXI, Q1, MTMP3, MTMP0, VTMP1, A )
C
END
C
C SUBROUTINE CGAMMA( M, P, Q, PHI, THETA, QQ, BIG1, MAT, VV,
* WZERO, MZERO, GAMWA, RHS, INDX, IFAULT )

```

```

INTEGER M, P, Q, BIG1, INDX(BIG1), IFAULT, ROW, COL,
* H, I, II, J, JJ, K, L, R, S
REAL PHI(M,P*M+1), THETA(M,Q*M+1), QQ(M,M),
* MAT(BIG1,BIG1), VV(BIG1), WZERO(M,M), MZERO(M,M),
* GAMWA(M,Q*M+1), RHS(BIG1), SUM, ZERO, ONE
PARAMETER ( ZERO = 0.0, ONE = 1.0 )
EXTERNAL DECOMP, SOLVE
C
IFAULT = 0
C
C [1]: Compute the Q - 1 cross-covariance matrices (return as GAMWA)
C
DO 20 I = 1, M
DO 10 J = 1, M
GAMWA(I,J) = QQ(I,J)
10 CONTINUE
20 CONTINUE
C
DO 80 K = 1, Q - 1
DO 70 I = 1, M
DO 60 J = 1, M
SUM = ZERO
DO 50 H = 1, M
SUM = SUM - THETA(I, (K - 1) * M + H) * QQ(H,J)
30 CONTINUE
DO 50 L = 1, K
IF ( L .LE. P ) THEN
DO 40 H = 1, M
SUM = SUM + PHI(I, (L - 1) * M + H)
* GAMWA(H, (K - L) * M + J)
40 CONTINUE
ENDIF
50 CONTINUE
GAMWA(I, K * M + J) = SUM
60 CONTINUE
70 CONTINUE
80 CONTINUE
C
C [2]: Compute diagonal and upper triangle of W(0) (store as WZERO)
C
DO 100 I = 1, M
DO 90 J = 1, M
WZERO(I,J) = ZERO
90 CONTINUE
100 CONTINUE
C
DO 180 I = 1, P
DO 170 J = I, Q
IF ( J - I .GE. 0 ) THEN
C
DO 130 II = 1, M
DO 120 JJ = 1, M
SUM = ZERO
DO 110 K = 1, M
SUM = SUM + PHI(II, (I - 1) * M + K)
* GAMWA(K, (J - I) * M + JJ)
110 CONTINUE
MZERO(II, JJ) = SUM
120 CONTINUE
130 CONTINUE
C
DO 160 II = 1, M
DO 150 JJ = 1, M
SUM = ZERO
DO 140 K = 1, M
SUM = SUM + MZERO(II, K) * THETA(JJ, (J - 1) * M + K)
140 CONTINUE
WZERO(II, JJ) = WZERO(II, JJ) + SUM
150 CONTINUE
160 CONTINUE
C
ENDIF
170 CONTINUE
180 CONTINUE
C
DO 200 I = 1, M
DO 190 J = I, M
WZERO(I, J) = QQ(I, J) - WZERO(I, J) - WZERO(J, I)
190 CONTINUE
200 CONTINUE
C
DO 270 J = 1, Q
C
DO 230 II = 1, M

```

```

DO 220 JJ = 1, M
SUM = ZERO
DO 210 K = 1, M
SUM = SUM + THETA(II, (J - 1) * M + K) * QQ(K, JJ)
210 CONTINUE
MZERO(II, JJ) = SUM
220 CONTINUE
230 CONTINUE
C
DO 260 II = 1, M
DO 250 JJ = II, M
SUM = ZERO
DO 240 K = 1, M
SUM = SUM + MZERO(II, K) * THETA(JJ, (J - 1) * M + K)
240 CONTINUE
WZERO(II, JJ) = WZERO(II, JJ) + SUM
250 CONTINUE
260 CONTINUE
C
270 CONTINUE
C
[3]: Set up system of equations (store as MAT and RHS)
C
DO 290 I = 1, BIGL
DO 280 J = 1, BIGL
MAT(I, J) = ZERO
280 CONTINUE
RHS(I) = ZERO
290 CONTINUE
C
[3.1]: Compute the first M * (M + 1) / 2 rows
C
DO 390 J = 1, M
DO 380 I = 1, J
ROW = J * (J - 1) / 2 + I
C
[3.1.1]: Compute the first M * (M + 1) / 2 columns
C
DO 330 L = 1, M
DO 320 K = 1, L
COL = L * (L - 1) / 2 + K
SUM = ZERO
IF ( K .EQ. L ) THEN
DO 300 R = 1, P
SUM = SUM - PHI(I, (R - 1) * M + K) * PHI(J, (R - 1) * M + L)
CONTINUE
ELSE
DO 310 R = 1, P
SUM = SUM - PHI(I, (R - 1) * M + K) * PHI(J, (R - 1) * M + L)
- PHI(I, (R - 1) * M + L) * PHI(J, (R - 1) * M + K)
310 CONTINUE
ENDIF
MAT(ROW, COL) = SUM
320 CONTINUE
330 CONTINUE
C
[3.1.2]: Compute the remaining M * M * (P - 1) columns
C
DO 370 S = 1, P - 1
DO 360 L = 1, M
DO 350 K = 1, M
COL = M * (M + 1) / 2 + M * M * (S - 1) + M * (L - 1) + K
SUM = ZERO
DO 340 R = 1, P - S
SUM = SUM - PHI(I, (R + S - 1) * M + K) * PHI(J, (R - 1) * M + L)
- PHI(J, (R + S - 1) * M + K) * PHI(I, (R - 1) * M + L)
340 CONTINUE
MAT(ROW, COL) = SUM
350 CONTINUE
360 CONTINUE
370 CONTINUE
C
[3.1.3]: Set up RHS and diagonal of MAT
C
RHS(ROW) = WZERO(I, J)
MAT(ROW, ROW) = ONE + MAT(ROW, ROW)
C
380 CONTINUE
390 CONTINUE
C
[3.2]: Compute the remaining M * M * (P - 1) rows
C
DO 470 S = 1, P - 1

```

```

DO 460 I = 1, M
DO 450 J = 1, M
ROW = M * (M + 1) / 2 + M * M * (S - 1) + M * (I - 1) + J
C
[3.2.1]: Compute the first M * (M + 1) / 2 columns
C
DO 400 L = 1, M
IF ( L .LE. J ) THEN
COL = J * (J - 1) / 2 + L
ELSE
COL = L * (L - 1) / 2 + J
ENDIF
MAT(ROW, COL) = - PHI(I, (S - 1) * M + L)
400 CONTINUE
C
[3.2.2]: Compute the remaining M * M * (P - 1) columns
C
DO 420 R = 1, P - 1
DO 410 L = 1, M
COL = M * (M + 1) / 2 + (R - 1) * M * M + (J - 1) * M + L
IF ( R + S .LE. P ) MAT(ROW, COL) = - PHI(I, (R + S - 1) * M + L)
IF ( S .GT. R ) THEN
COL = M * (M + 1) / 2 + (R - 1) * M * M + (L - 1) * M + J
MAT(ROW, COL) = MAT(ROW, COL) - PHI(I, (S - R - 1) * M + L)
ENDIF
410 CONTINUE
420 CONTINUE
C
[3.2.3]: Set up RHS and diagonal of MAT
C
RHS(ROW) = ZERO
DO 440 II = S, Q
DO 430 K = 1, M
RHS(ROW) = RHS(ROW) - GAMMA(J, (II - S) * M + K)
* THETA(I, (II - 1) * M + K)
430 CONTINUE
440 CONTINUE
C
MAT(ROW, ROW) = ONE + MAT(ROW, ROW)
C
450 CONTINUE
460 CONTINUE
470 CONTINUE
C
[4]: Solve for autocovariance matrices (return as RHS)
C
CALL DECOMP( BIG1, BIG1, MAT, INDX )
IF ( INDX(BIG1) .EQ. 0 ) THEN
IFAUULT = 1
RETURN
ELSE
CALL SOLVE( BIG1, BIG1, MAT, RHS, INDX )
ENDIF
C
END
C
SUBROUTINE CXI( M, N, Q, THETA, XITOL, R, NLIM, XI, MTMP, IFAULT )
C
INTEGER M, N, Q, NLIM, IFAULT, H, I, II, J, JJ, K, NQ
REAL THETA(M, M*Q+1), XITOL, R(M, M), XI(M, M*N), MTMP(M, M),
* S1, S2, MX
LOGICAL DELTA
PARAMETER ( ZERO = 0.0, ONE = 1.0 )
INTRINSIC ABS
C
IFAUULT = 0
DELTA = .FALSE.
MX = ZERO
C
DO 20 I = 1, M
DO 10 J = 1, M * N
XI(I, J) = ZERO
10 CONTINUE
XI(I, I) = ONE
20 CONTINUE
C
[1]: Update index NLIM and calculate matrix sequence (store as XI)
C
NLIM = 0
C
30 IF ( (.NOT. DELTA) .AND. (NLIM .LT. N - 1) ) THEN

```

```

NLIM = NLIM + 1
[1.1]: Calculate the XI matrix for this round
DO 70 J = 1, Q
IF ( NLIM .GE. J ) THEN
DO 60 II = 1, M
DO 50 JJ = 1, M
S1 = ZERO
DO 40 H = 1, M
S1 = S1 + THETA(II, (J - 1) * M + H)
* XI(H, (NLIM - J) * M + JJ)
CONTINUE
XI(II, NLIM * M + JJ) = XI(II, NLIM * M + JJ) + S1
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
ENDIF
ENDIF
CONTINUE
S2 = ZERO
DO 90 II = 1, M
DO 80 JJ = 1, M
S2 = S2 + ABS( XI(II, NLIM * M + JJ) )
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
IF ( NLIM .LE. Q ) MX = MX + S2
IF ( S2 .GT. MX ) THEN
IFAULT = 1
RETURN
ENDIF
[1.2]: Check for effective convergence
IF ( S2 .LT. XITOL ) THEN
NQ = 1
DELTA = .TRUE.
100 IF (NQ .LE. Q) .AND. (NLIM .LT. N - 1) .AND. (DELTA) THEN
NQ = NQ + 1
NLIM = NLIM + 1
DO 140 J = 1, Q
IF ( NLIM .GE. J ) THEN
DO 130 II = 1, M
DO 120 JJ = 1, M
S1 = ZERO
DO 110 H = 1, M
S1 = S1 + THETA(II, (J - 1) * M + H)
* XI(H, (NLIM - J) * M + JJ)
CONTINUE
XI(II, NLIM * M + JJ) = XI(II, NLIM * M + JJ) + S1
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
ENDIF
CONTINUE
S2 = ZERO
DO 160 II = 1, M
DO 150 JJ = 1, M
S2 = S2 + ABS( XI(II, NLIM * M + JJ) )
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
IF ( NLIM .LE. Q ) MX = MX + S2
IF ( S2 .GT. MX ) THEN
IFAULT = 1
RETURN
ENDIF
IF ( S2 .GT. XITOL ) DELTA = .FALSE.
GOTO 100
ENDIF
IF ( DELTA ) NLIM = NLIM - NQ
ENDIF
GOTO 30
ENDIF
[2]: Premultiply every XI by R (overwrite XI)
DO 220 K = 0, NLIM
DO 190 I = 1, M

```

```

DO 180 J = 2, M
S1 = ZERO
DO 170 H = 1, I
S1 = S1 + R(I,H) * XI(H, K * M + J)
170 CONTINUE
MTMP(I,J) = S1
180 CONTINUE
190 CONTINUE
C
DO 210 I = 1, M
DO 200 J = 1, M
XI(I, K * M + J) = MTMP(I,J)
200 CONTINUE
210 CONTINUE
C
220 CONTINUE
C
END
C
C
SUBROUTINE CRES( M, N, G, NLIM, XI, Q1, MATH, MATL, LAMBDA, RES )
C
INTEGER M, N, G, NLIM, H, I, J, JJ
REAL XI(N,M*N), Q1(M,M), MATH(G*M,G*M), MATL(G*M,G*M),
* LAMBDA(G*M), RES(M,N), SUM, ZERO
PARAMETER ( ZERO = 0.0 )
EXTERNAL CHOLBK
C
[1]: Solve for C in the system L'C = LAMBDA (overwrite LAMBDA)
CALL CHOLBK( MATL, G * M, LAMBDA )
C
[2]: Calculate D = MC (overwrite LAMBDA)
DO 20 I = M * G, 1, -1
SUM = ZERO
DO 10 J = 1, I
SUM = SUM + MATH(I,J) * LAMBDA(J)
10 CONTINUE
LAMBDA(I) = SUM
20 CONTINUE
C
[3]: Calculate residuals (return as RES)
DO 60 I = 1, N
DO 50 J = 1, I
IF ( (I - J) .LE. NLIM) .AND. (J .LE. G) ) THEN
DO 40 JJ = 1, M
SUM = ZERO
DO 30 H = 1, M
SUM = SUM + XI(JJ, (I - J) * M + H) * LAMBDA(H + (J - 1) * M)
30 CONTINUE
RES(JJ,I) = RES(JJ,I) - SUM
40 CONTINUE
ENDIF
50 CONTINUE
60 CONTINUE
C
DO 90 J = 1, N
DO 80 I = M, 1, -1
SUM = ZERO
DO 70 H = 1, I
SUM = SUM + Q1(I,H) * RES(H,J)
70 CONTINUE
RES(I,J) = SUM
80 CONTINUE
90 CONTINUE
C
END
C
C
SUBROUTINE CHOLDC( M, N, D1, D2, EPS, IFAULT )
C
INTEGER N, IFAULT, I, J, K
REAL M(N,N), D1, D2, EPS, SUM, ML1, ML2, MLJ, MKO, MXA,
* ONE, ZERO, FOUR, P0625, SIXTEN
PARAMETER ( ZERO = 0.0, ONE = 1.0, FOUR = 4.0,
* P0625 = 0.0625, SIXTEN = 16.0 )
INTRINSIC ABS, SQRT

```



```

IFAULT = 0
D1 = ONE
D2 = ZERO
C
C [1]: Initialize finite arithmetic parameters
C
ML1 = ZERO
MXO = SQRT( ABS( M(1,1) ) )
DO 10 J = 2, N
SUM = SQRT( ABS( M(J,J) ) )
IF ( SUM .GT. MXO ) MXO = SUM
10 CONTINUE
C
IF ( ( MXO * MXO ) .LE. SQRT( EPS ) ) THEN
DO 30 I = 1, N
DO 20 J = 1, I
M(I,J) = ZERO
20 CONTINUE
30 CONTINUE
RETURN
ENDIF
C
ML2 = SQRT( EPS ) * MXO
MXA = ZERO
C
C [2]: Calculate modified Cholesky decomposition
C
DO 100 J = 1, N
SUM = M(J,J)
DO 40 I = 1, J - 1
SUM = SUM - M(J,I) * M(J,I)
40 CONTINUE
C
IF ( ( SUM .NE. ABS( SUM ) ) .AND. ( ABS( SUM ) .GT. ML2 ) ) THEN
IFAULT = 1
RETURN
ELSE
M(J,J) = SUM
ENDIF
C
MLJ = ZERO
C
DO 60 I = J + 1, N
SUM = M(J,I)
DO 50 K = 1, J - 1
SUM = SUM - M(I,K) * M(J,K)
50 CONTINUE
M(I,J) = SUM
IF ( ABS( M(I,J) ) .GT. MLJ ) MLJ = ABS( M(I,J) )
60 CONTINUE
C
IF ( ( MLJ / MXO ) .GT. ML1 ) THEN
MLJ = MLJ / MXO
ELSE
MLJ = ML1
ENDIF
C
IF ( M(J,J) .GT. ( MLJ * MLJ ) ) THEN
M(J,J) = SQRT( M(J,J) )
ELSE
IF ( MLJ .LT. ML2 ) MLJ = ML2
IF ( MXA .LT. ( MLJ * MLJ - M(J,J) ) ) MXA = MLJ * MLJ - M(J,J)
M(J,J) = MLJ
ENDIF
C
D1 = D1 * M(J,J) * M(J,J)
70 IF ( D1 .GE. ONE ) THEN
D1 = D1 * P0625
D2 = D2 * FOUR
GOTO 70
ENDIF
80 IF ( D1 .LT. P0625 ) THEN
D1 = D1 * SIXTEEN
D2 = D2 - FOUR
GOTO 80
ENDIF
C
DO 90 I = J + 1, N
M(I,J) = M(I,J) / M(J,J)
90 CONTINUE
100 CONTINUE
C
DO 120 J = 2, N
DO 110 I = 1, J - 1

```

```

M(I,J) = ZERO
110 CONTINUE
120 CONTINUE
C
END
C
C
C SUBROUTINE CHOLFR( MATL, N, RHSOL )
C
INTEGER N, I, J
REAL MATL(N,N), RHSOL(N), SUM, ZERO
PARAMETER ( ZERO = 0.0 )
C
RHSOL(1) = RHSOL(1) / MATL(1,1)
C
DO 20 I = 2, N
SUM = ZERO
DO 10 J = 1, I - 1
SUM = SUM + MATL(I,J) * RHSOL(J)
10 CONTINUE
RHSOL(I) = (RHSOL(I) - SUM) / MATL(I,I)
20 CONTINUE
C
END
C
C
C SUBROUTINE CHOLBK( MATL, N, RHSOL )
C
INTEGER N, I, J
REAL MATL(N,N), RHSOL(N), SUM, ZERO
PARAMETER ( ZERO = 0.0 )
C
RHSOL(N) = RHSOL(N) / MATL(N,N)
C
DO 20 I = N - 1, 1, -1
SUM = ZERO
DO 10 J = I + 1, N
SUM = SUM + MATL(J,I) * RHSOL(J)
10 CONTINUE
RHSOL(I) = (RHSOL(I) - SUM) / MATL(I,I)
20 CONTINUE
C
END
C
C
C SUBROUTINE MACHEP( EPSIL )
C
REAL EPSIL, ONE, TWO
PARAMETER ( ONE = 1.0, TWO = 2.0 )
C
EPSIL = ONE
C
10 IF ( EPSIL + ONE .GT. ONE ) THEN
EPSIL = EPSIL / TWO
GOTO 10
ENDIF
EPSIL = TWO * EPSIL
C
END

```