

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <http://www.researchgate.net/publication/234961079>

The inverse problem concerning symmetries of ordinary differential equations

ARTICLE *in* JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS · FEBRUARY 1988

Impact Factor: 1.18 · DOI: 10.1063/1.528000

CITATIONS

2

DOWNLOADS

25

VIEWS

29

2 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



[Artemio Gonzalez-Lopez](#)

Complutense University of Madrid

86 PUBLICATIONS 1,723 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

The inverse problem concerning symmetries of ordinary differential equations

F. Gonzalez-Gascon

Departamento de Métodos Matemáticos, Facultad de C. Físicas, Universidad Complutense, Madrid-3, Spain

A. González-López

Department of Physics, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544

(Received 13 August 1987; accepted for publication 21 October 1987)

It is shown that for any local Lie group G of transformations in $R \times R^n$ there exist differential systems of the form $\mathbf{x}^{(m)} = \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(m-1)})$, which are symmetrical under G . The order \underline{m} of these systems is related to r , the number of essential parameters of G .

I. INTRODUCTION

In a recent paper¹ it was shown that for normal systems of differential equations of type

$$\mathbf{x}^{(m)} = \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{x}, \dot{\mathbf{x}}, \dots, \bar{\mathbf{x}}^{(m-1)}) \mathbf{x} \in R^n, \quad (1)$$

the maximal number of its pointlike symmetry vectors is (i) infinite, when $m = 1$; (ii) not greater than $n^2 + 4n + 3$, when $m = 2$; (iii) not greater than $2n^2 + nm + 2$, when $m > 2$. Since the number $2n^2 + nm + 2$ increases without limit with \underline{m} , the question arises of whether or not it is possible to find a system of type (1) symmetrical under a given group G , for a sufficiently high value of \underline{m} . We prove that the reply to this question is affirmative. Our result is local, in the sense that the function f of (1), whose existence we prove, will be, in general, only locally defined.

Note that since a first-order ($m = 1$) system always possesses an infinite number of pointlike symmetry vectors, one could naively expect to find for any G a first-order system possessing G among its symmetries. That this is not generally possible is seen if G is, for instance, a group acting transitively on the $(t, \mathbf{x}, \dot{\mathbf{x}})$ space. For an example see Part (1) of Sec. III.

Note also that the construction given here does not guarantee that G is the maximal group of pointlike symmetries G_M of (1), but only that $G \subset G_M$.

II. MAIN RESULT

Assume that $S_i(t, \mathbf{x})$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, is a basis of generators of G . Calling S_i^e the e -order extension of S_i we have²

$$[S_i^e, S_j^e] = \sum_{k=1}^r c_{ijk} S_k^e, \quad (2)$$

$$e = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, r,$$

where c_{ijk} are the structure constants of G associated with the basis $\{S_i(t, \mathbf{x})\}$. On the other hand, the necessary and sufficient condition in order that G be a symmetry group of equations (1) is²

$$S_j^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}^{(m)} - \mathbf{f}) \Big|_{\mathbf{x}^{(m)} = \mathbf{f}} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, r. \quad (3)$$

Conditions (3) indicate that the manifold M^m of $(t, \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(m)})$ space defined by Eq. (1) is invariant under the action of the vector fields $S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_r^{(m)}$. We are going to prove that given G , one can find a sufficiently high \underline{m} such that, for a certain \mathbf{f} , Eqs. (1) do possess G as a group of symmetries.

The idea of the proof is to eliminate the possible transitivity of the action of G^e on $D^e = \{(t, \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(e)})\}$ for low values of e by making e bigger and bigger. This is made possible, essentially, due to property (2), implying that at any point of D^e the vector fields S_i^e generate an involutive distribution \mathcal{D}^e (Ref. 3) of dimension not greater than r . To avoid singularity points where the dimension of the distribution \mathcal{D}^e changes value, we restrict conveniently the domain D^e in order that in this restricted domain \bar{D}^e , $\dim(\mathcal{D}^e)$ keeps a constant and maximum value d_e . Of course $\dim \mathcal{D}^{e-1} = d_e - 1$ in the projection of \bar{D}^e along the $\mathbf{x}^{(e)}$ axis. See Ref. 4 for details.

Therefore let $S_1^{(e)}, \dots, S_{d_e}^{(e)}$ be a local basis of \mathcal{D}^e . Note that it might be necessary to renumber the generators of G for the basis of \mathcal{D}^e to appear in this way. Conditions (3) for the symmetry of (1) under G now take the form

$$S_i^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}^{(m)} - \mathbf{f}) \Big|_{\mathbf{x}^{(m)} = \mathbf{f}} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, d. \quad (4)$$

Writing Eq. (1) in the implicit form

$$\mathbf{E}(t, \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(m)}) = 0, \quad (5)$$

where \mathbf{E} is a vector of m components, Eqs. (4) take the form

$$S_i^{(m)}(\mathbf{E})_{\mathbf{E}=0} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, d_m. \quad (6)$$

A sufficient condition necessary for Eqs. (6) to be satisfied is that the m components of the function \mathbf{E} of (6) be local first integrals of $S_i^{(m)}$, that is, if \mathbf{E} satisfies

$$S_i^{(m)}(\mathbf{E}) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, d_m. \quad (7)$$

But according to the Frobenius theorem³ the number of locally independent first integrals I of an involutive distribution like \mathcal{D}^m is $d_I = \dim(D^m) - d_m = 1 + n(1 + m) - d_m$. Now, since $d_m \leq r$ it follows that $d_I \geq n$ for sufficiently large m . Assuming $d_I \geq n$, in order to satisfy (7) it is sufficient to choose n first integrals I of $S_i^{(m)}$ such that they satisfy the additional requirement

$$\text{rank} \left(\frac{\partial I_i}{\partial x_k^{(m)}} \right) = n, \quad k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (8)$$

Condition (8) guarantees, via the implicit function theorem, that the system of differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= C_1, \\ &\vdots \\ I_n &= C_n \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

can be locally written in the normal form (1). The symbols C_1, \dots, C_n in Eqs. (9) are real numbers, and they appear since Eqs. (7) are clearly equivalent to

$$S_i^{(m)}(\mathbf{E} - C) = 0, \quad (10)$$

for any $C \in R^n$. Let us see that condition (8) can be satisfied if \underline{m} is chosen such that

$$\dim(\mathcal{D}^m) = \dim(\mathcal{D}^{m-1}). \quad (11)$$

In fact, if (8) were not satisfied by an appropriate choice of I_1, \dots, I_n between the d_i first integrals of \mathcal{D}^m , we would have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a_k \frac{\partial I_i}{\partial x_k^{(m)}} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, d_i, \quad (12)$$

where a_k are functions on D^m .

But (12) implies that the vector field \mathbf{Z} defined by

$$\mathbf{Z} = \sum_{x=1}^n a_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k^{(m)}} \quad (13)$$

has I_1, \dots, I_{d_i} as first integrals. Therefore $\mathbf{Z} \in \mathcal{D}^m$ and we can write

$$\mathbf{Z} = \sum_{i=1}^{d_m} c_i S_i^{(m)}, \quad (14)$$

for certain functions c_i defined on D^m .

Projecting (14) on the vectors

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}; \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}; \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^{(1)}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i^{(m-1)}}, \quad \mathbf{0} = \sum_{i=1}^{d_m} c_i S_i^{(m-1)}. \quad (15)$$

But (15) and (11) are contradictory since from the fact that $S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_{d_m}^{(m)}$ are a basis of \mathcal{D}^m it immediately follows (note that $S_i^{(m-1)}$ does not depend on $\mathbf{x}^{(m)}$) that $S_1^{(m-1)}, \dots, S_{d_m}^{(m-1)}$ generate \mathcal{D}^{m-1} . Hence by (16), $\dim \mathcal{D}^{m-1} < d_m$ and (12) is contradicted. Therefore (11) implies (8).

It remains only to prove that (11) can always be satisfied by choosing \underline{m} conveniently. But this follows from the fact⁴ that

$$\dim(\mathcal{D}^r) \leq \dim(\mathcal{D}^{r+1}). \quad (16)$$

Indeed, since the dimension is a positive integer, nondecreasing by (16), and bounded by r (the number of parameters of the group) it is obvious that for a certain \underline{m} (12) holds. Furthermore, \underline{m} satisfies

$$m \leq r - \dim(\mathcal{D}^0) + 1 = r_1, \quad (17)$$

since the worst situation that can occur concerning (11) is that

$$\dim(\mathcal{D}^s) = \dim(\mathcal{D}^{s-1}) + 1, \quad s < m, \quad (18)$$

in which case (17) would hold with the equal sign.

Note that if we require \underline{m} to be greater than (or equal to) a given k ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) then the above considerations lead to the inequality

$$m \leq r - \dim(\mathcal{D}^{k-1}) + k = r_k, \quad (19)$$

the equality sign being valid only when the sequence of dimensions $\dim(\mathcal{D}^{k-1}), \dim(\mathcal{D}^k), \dots$ is strictly increasing by 1 at each step and condition (11) is fulfilled when $\dim(\mathcal{D}^m) = \dim(\mathcal{D}^{m-1}) = r$.

Note also that calling $r(G)$ the minimum integer such that for $k \geq r(G)$, $\dim(\mathcal{D}^k)$ maintains a constant value, that is, $\dim(\mathcal{D}^k) = \dim(\mathcal{D}^{k'})$ for every $k, k' \geq r(G)$, by (11) we can say that for every $m > r(G)$ there are systems of differential equations of order m invariant under G .

Note finally that [see Eq. (11)] the construction given here actually assures the existence of n -parameter families of systems of type (1) invariant, for any value of the parameters, under G . Let us now see, with two examples, that condition (11) is not necessary for the existence of individual systems of type (1) invariant under G .

III. EXAMPLES SHOWING THAT CONDITION (11) IS NOT NECESSARY

(1) Let us take as G the Poincaré group in $R \times R^2$ (two spatial dimensions). We shall see that (11) is not satisfied either for $m = 1$ or for $m = 2$. Nevertheless, as has been shown elsewhere⁵ $\ddot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{0}$ is a second-order differential system (in fact the only one) invariant under the Poincaré group in $R \times R^2$. In fact the six generators of the group are

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}; & S_{1+c} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}; & S_4 &= x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - x_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}; \\ S_{4+i} &= -\left(x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + t \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right); & & & & i = 1, 2. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Since $\dim(\mathcal{D}^0) = 3$ the group acts transitively on $D^0 = (t, x_1, x_2)$.

The corresponding generators of the first extension of G are given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_1^{(1)} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}; & S_{1+i}^{(1)} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \\ S_4^{(1)} &= S_4 + \dot{x}_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}_2} - \dot{x}_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}_1}, \\ S_{4+i}^{(1)} &= S_{4+i} + (\dot{x}_i^2 - 1) \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}_i} + \dot{x}_i \dot{x}_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}_j}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

One can immediately check that $\dim(\mathcal{D}^1) = 5$. Indeed, the singular points of \mathcal{D}^1 are only those satisfying $1 - \dot{x}_1^2 - \dot{x}_2^2 = 0$. Therefore $\tilde{D}_1 = \{(t, x_1, x_2, \dot{x}_1, \dot{x}_2) | 1 - \dot{x}_1^2 - \dot{x}_2^2 \neq 0\}$. Here G^1 acts transitively in each of the two unconnected components of \tilde{D}_1 and also in the set $1 - \dot{x}_1^2 - \dot{x}_2^2 = 0$. Accordingly, it is impossible to find a single first-order system of type (1) symmetric under G .

The second-order extension of G is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} S_1^{(2)} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}; & S_{1+i}^{(2)} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \\ S_4^{(2)} &= S_4^{(1)} + \left(-\ddot{x}_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}_1} + \ddot{x}_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}_2}\right), \\ S_5^{(2)} &= S_5^{(1)} + \left(3\dot{x}_1 \ddot{x}_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}_1} + (2\dot{x}_1 \ddot{x}_2 + \dot{x}_2 \ddot{x}_1) \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}_2}\right), \\ S_6^{(2)} &= S_6^{(1)} + \left((2\dot{x}_2 \ddot{x}_1 + \dot{x}_1 \ddot{x}_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}_1} + 3\dot{x}_2 \ddot{x}_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}_2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

We can see that $\dim \mathcal{D}^2 = 6$. Since $\dim \mathcal{D}^2 = 6 > \dim(\mathcal{D}^1) = 5$ condition (11) is not satisfied. But from this fact one cannot conclude, in general, that there are not

systems of type (1), for $m = 2$, symmetrical under G . In fact the system $\ddot{x} = 0$ is a system invariant under G .

Note that since $\dim \mathcal{D}^2 = 6$ and the group has six parameters it is clear that for $k \geq 2$ we will have $\dim(\mathcal{D}^k) = 6$. Therefore (12) is satisfied for every $m > 2$ and by the results of Sec. II we can say that there are two-parameter families of m -order ($m > 2$) differential systems symmetrical under G .

(2) Let us take now as G the conformal group in $R \times R$. (Note that the space is now only one dimensional.)

The six generators of this group can be taken as

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}; & S_2 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x}; & S_3 &= t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \\ S_4 &= t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + x \frac{\partial}{\partial t}; & S_5 &= (t^2 + x^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + 2tx \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, & (23) \\ S_6 &= 2tx \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (t^2 + x^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore the third-order extension of them will be given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_1^{(3)} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, & S_2^{(3)} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \\ S_3^{(3)} &= S_3 - \ddot{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ddot{x}} - 2\dot{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}}, \\ S_4^{(3)} &= S_4 + (1 - \dot{x}^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}} - 2\ddot{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ddot{x}} \\ &\quad + (-4\dot{x}\ddot{x} - 3\dot{x}^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial \ddot{x}}, \\ S_5^{(3)} &= S_5 + (2x - 2x\dot{x}^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}} \\ &\quad + (2\dot{x} - 2\dot{x}^3 - 2\ddot{x}t - 6x\dot{x}\ddot{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \ddot{x}} \\ &\quad + \{-6\ddot{x}(2\dot{x}^2 + x\ddot{x}) - 4\ddot{x}(t + 2x\dot{x})\} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ddot{x}}, \\ S_6^{(3)} &= S_6 + 2t(1 - \dot{x}^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}} \\ &\quad + \{2(1 - \dot{x}^2) - 2\ddot{x}(x + 3t\dot{x})\} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ddot{x}} \\ &\quad + \{-6\ddot{x}(2\dot{x} + t\ddot{x}) - 4\ddot{x}(x + 2t\dot{x})\} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ddot{x}}. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

From (24) it follows immediately that

$$\dim \mathcal{D}^0 = 2; \quad \dim \mathcal{D}^1 = 3; \quad \dim \mathcal{D}^2 = 4; \quad \dim \mathcal{D}^3 = 5. \quad (25)$$

We see in (25) that condition (11) is not fulfilled for $m = 1$, $m = 2$, and $m = 3$. This implies, as we shall prove in Sec. IV, that there are no one-parameter families of differential equations of first-, second-, or third-order invariant under this group. Nevertheless, as we show now, there is one (and only one) third-order differential equation invariant under G .

Indeed, invariance under S_1 and S_2 implies that the third-order equation will have the form

$$\ddot{x} = f(\dot{x}, \ddot{x}). \quad (26)$$

Invariance under S_3 implies

$$\dot{x} \frac{df}{d\dot{x}} = 2f, \quad (27)$$

that is,

$$f = a(\dot{x})\ddot{x}^2, \quad (28)$$

where $a(\dot{x})$ is an arbitrary function.

Invariance under S_4 implies

$$(1 - \dot{x}^2) \frac{da}{d\dot{x}} - 6\dot{x}a = -4\dot{x}a - 3, \quad (29)$$

and therefore,

$$a(\dot{x}) = (3\dot{x} - b)/(\dot{x}^2 - 1). \quad (30)$$

Finally invariance under S_5 implies $b = 0$. The resulting third-order differential equation is automatically invariant under S_6 .

Therefore we have obtained the differential equation

$$\ddot{x} = \frac{3\dot{x}\ddot{x}^2}{\dot{x}^2 - 1}, \quad (31)$$

which is the only one invariant under the conformal group in $R \times R$.

Note that since \mathcal{D}^1 does not act transitively on the whole (t, x, \dot{x}) space it is possible to have also first-order differential equations invariant under G . This is precisely what happens with the two differential equations

$$\dot{x} = 1, \quad \dot{x} = -1, \quad (32)$$

which are the only ones (of first order) invariant under G .

Observe that the set $\{(t, x, \dot{x}) | 1 - \dot{x}^2 = 0\}$ defines the singular points of \mathcal{D}^1 , that is, the points where \mathcal{D}^1 has (in this case) dimension 2.

Although \mathcal{D}^2 also has singular points, where its dimension does not attain the maximum value, it is easy to check by direct computation that there do not exist second-order equations invariant under the conformal group in $R \times R$. (The generators $S_1^2, S_2^2, S_3^2, S_4^2$ imply $\ddot{x} = 0$, but this equation is incompatible with the two generators $S_5^{(2)}$ and $S_6^{(2)}$.)

IV. n -PARAMETER FAMILIES OF EQUATIONS INVARIANT UNDER G

The above examples show that condition (11) is, in general, not necessary for the existence of isolated systems of order m invariant under G . We prove here that (11) is necessary and sufficient for the existence of n -parameter families of equations, each of them being invariant under G .

In fact, the necessary and sufficient conditions in order that the n -parameter family defined by

$$E(t, \bar{x}, \dot{\bar{x}}, \dots, \bar{x}^{(m)}) = \bar{c}, \quad \det \left(\frac{\partial \bar{E}}{\partial \bar{x}^{(m)}} \right) \neq 0, \quad (33)$$

be invariant under G are

$$S_i^{(m)}(E)|_{E=C} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, d_m. \quad (34)$$

Since Eqs. (34) hold as identities in $C \in R^n$ we must have

$$S_i^{(m)}(E) = 0. \quad (35)$$

Let us see that (35) and

$$\dim(\mathcal{D}^{m-1}) < \dim(\mathcal{D}^m) \quad (36)$$

are contradictory.

In fact, as explained in Sec. II, if $S_1^{(m)}, \dots, S_{d_m}^{(m)}$ is a basis of \mathcal{D}^m then $S_1^{(m-1)}, \dots, S_{d_m}^{(m-1)}$ is a basis of \mathcal{D}^{m-1} . Therefore if (36) holds we must have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d_m} c_i(t, \mathbf{a}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{(m-1)}) S_i^{(m-1)} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (37)$$

where *not* all of the c_i are equal to zero.

Therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d_m} c_i S_i^{(m)}(\mathbf{E}) \stackrel{(37)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{d_m} c_i \sum_{j=1}^n \psi_{ij}^{(m)} \frac{\partial(\mathbf{E})}{\partial x_j^{(m)}} \stackrel{(35)}{=} \mathbf{0}, \quad (38)$$

contradicting the hypothesis of $\det(\partial \mathbf{E} / \partial \mathbf{x}^{(m)}) \neq 0$ imposed in (33). Note that this hypothesis concerning the determinant is essential in order to be able to apply the implicit function theorem to the variables $x_1^{(m)}, \dots, x_n^{(m)}$ and put Eqs. (33) in the normal form (1). To conclude, we give an example of a one-parameter family of second-order differential equations invariant under the Poincaré group in $R \times R$.

In this case, the generators of G can be taken as

$$S_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad S_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad S_3 = x \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + t \frac{\partial}{\partial x}. \quad (39)$$

The first and second extensions are given by

$$S_1^{(1)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}; \quad S_2^{(1)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}; \quad S_3^{(1)} = S_3 + (1 - \dot{x}^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{x}}, \quad (40)$$

and

$$S_1^{(2)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}; \quad S_2^{(2)} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}; \quad S_3^{(2)} = S_3^{(1)} + (-3\dot{x}\ddot{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \ddot{x}}. \quad (41)$$

One can immediately see that

$$\dim \mathcal{D}^0 = 2, \quad \dim \mathcal{D}^1 = 3. \quad (42)$$

Since the group has three parameters it is clear that $\dim \mathcal{D}^k = 3$ for any $k \geq 1$. Therefore there are one-parameter families of differential equations of order m (for any $m \geq 2$) invariant under this group. Taking for simplicity $m = 2$, the symmetry of the equation

$$\ddot{x} = f(t, x, \dot{x}) \quad (43)$$

under S_1 and S_2 implies

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = 0; \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 0, \quad (44)$$

that is, $f(t, x, \dot{x}) = g(\dot{x})$. The symmetry under the boosts S_3 implies

$$-3\dot{x}g = \frac{dg}{d\dot{x}} (1 - \dot{x}^2) \quad (45)$$

which leads, after integration, to the one-parameter family

$$\ddot{x} = c(1 - \dot{x}^2)^{3/2}. \quad (46)$$

¹F. González-Gascón and A. González-López, *J. Math. Phys.* **24**, 2006 (1983).

²P. Olver, *Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations* (Springer, New York, 1986).

³F. Warner, *Foundations of Differential Geometry and Lie Groups* (Freeman, San Francisco, 1971).

⁴A. González-López, Ph.D. thesis, Universidad Complutense, Madrid, 1984 (unpublished).

⁵F. González-Gascón and A. González-López, *Hadronic J.* **6**, 841 (1983).