

ON COMPACTNESS THEOREMS FOR LOGARITHMIC INTERPOLATION METHODS

BLANCA F. BESOY

*Departamento de Análisis Matemático y Matemática Aplicada
Facultad de Matemáticas, Universidad Complutense de Madrid
Plaza de Ciencias 3, 28040, Madrid, Spain
E-mail: blanca.f.besoy@ucm.es*

Abstract. Let (A_0, A_1) be a Banach couple, (B_0, B_1) a quasi-Banach couple, $0 < q \leq \infty$ and T a linear operator. We prove that if $T : A_0 \rightarrow B_0$ is bounded and $T : A_1 \rightarrow B_1$ is compact, then the interpolated operator by the logarithmic method $T : (A_0, A_1)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow (B_0, B_1)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}}$ is compact too. This result allows the extension of some limit variants of Krasnosel'skii's compact interpolation theorem.

1. Introduction. In 1960, Krasnosel'skii [20] gave a reinforced version of the Riesz-Thorin theorem involving compactness. He proved that if T is a linear operator such that $T : L_{p_0} \rightarrow L_{q_0}$ compactly and $T : L_{p_1} \rightarrow L_{q_1}$ boundedly with $1 \leq p_0, p_1, q_1 \leq \infty$, $1 \leq q_0 < \infty$, $0 < \theta < 1$, $1/p = (1 - \theta)/p_0 + \theta/p_1$ and $1/q = (1 - \theta)/q_0 + \theta/q_1$, then $T : L_p \rightarrow L_q$ is also compact. This result promoted the study of compact operators between abstract interpolation spaces. The first results were due to Lions and Peetre [21] and to Persson [23] (see also [2, 24] and the references given there). In 1992, it was proven by Cwikel [15] and Cobos, Kühn and Schonbek [12] that if (A_0, A_1) , (B_0, B_1) are Banach couples and T is a linear operator such that $T : A_j \rightarrow B_j$ is bounded, for $j = 0, 1$, and one of the restrictions is compact, then the interpolated operator by the real method $T : (A_0, A_1)_{\theta,q} \rightarrow (B_0, B_1)_{\theta,q}$ is also compact. In 1998, Cobos and Persson proved in [13] that the previous result is still valid for quasi-Banach couples. As a particular application of this result, they gave an extension of Krasnosel'skii's theorem to Lorentz spaces with no restrictions on parameters q_j , that is to say, $0 < q_0 \neq q_1 \leq \infty$.

The logarithmic perturbations $(A_0, A_1)_{\theta,q,\mathbb{A}}$ of the real method have attracted considerable attention in the last years (see [18, 19, 14, 3]). When $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = 1$, these

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 46M35, 47B07; Secondary 46B70, 46E30.

Key words and phrases: Logarithmic interpolation methods, compact operators, Lorentz-Zygmund spaces.

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

spaces are related to the limiting interpolation spaces [5, 10, 11]. Applying the logarithmic methods to the couple (L_r, L_∞) one can get generalized Lorentz-Zygmund spaces $L_{p,q,\mathbb{A}}$ (see [16, 22]).

Edmunds and Opic established in [17] the following limit version of Krasnosel'skiĭ's theorem: let (R, μ) and (S, ν) be finite measure spaces, $1 < p_0 < p_1 \leq \infty$, $1 < q_0 < q_1 \leq \infty$, $1 \leq q < \infty$ and $\alpha + 1/q > 0$. If T is a linear operator such that $T : L_{p_0}(R) \rightarrow L_{q_0}(S)$ compactly and $T : L_{p_1}(R) \rightarrow L_{q_1}(S)$ boundedly then $T : L_{p_0, q, \alpha + \frac{1}{\min(p_0, q)}}(R) \rightarrow L_{q_0, q, \alpha + \frac{1}{\max(q_0, q)}}(S)$ is also compact.

Later Cobos, Fernández Cabrera and Martínez [6] and Cobos and Segurado [14] obtained abstract versions of this result. They work with logarithmic interpolation methods with limit values of θ applied to Banach couples and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. In particular, it is shown in [14] that the result of Edmunds and Opic also holds when the spaces are defined over any σ -finite measure spaces.

The first objective of this paper is to extend the abstract results for $0 < q \leq \infty$ and a quasi-Banach target couple. Then, as a consequence, we prove an extended version of the limit Krasnosel'skiĭ type result for $0 < q_0 < q_1 \leq \infty$ and $0 < q < \infty$.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we review the definition and some properties of limit logarithmic interpolation spaces. In Section 3 we prove the abstract compactness theorem for logarithmic spaces. As the proof is quite technical, we settle several auxiliary lemmas in advance. Finally, in Section 4 we derive the Krasnosel'skiĭ's type result.

2. Logarithmic interpolation spaces. Let $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1)$ be a *quasi-Banach couple*, that is to say, two quasi-Banach spaces A_j , $j = 0, 1$, which are continuously embedded in some Hausdorff topological vector space. We put $c_{A_j} \geq 1$ for the constants in the quasi-triangle inequality, $j = 0, 1$. Let $t > 0$, the Peetre's *K- and J- functionals* are defined by

$$K(t, a) = K(t, a; A_0, A_1) = \inf\{\|a_0\|_{A_0} + t\|a_1\|_{A_1} : a = a_0 + a_1, a_j \in A_j, j = 0, 1\}$$

where $a \in A_0 + A_1$ and

$$J(t, a) = J(t, a; A_0, A_1) = \max\{\|a\|_{A_0}, t\|a\|_{A_1}\}, a \in A_0 \cap A_1.$$

Observe that $K(1, \cdot)$ is the quasi-norm of $A_0 + A_1$ and $J(1, \cdot)$ the quasi-norm of $A_0 \cap A_1$. In both cases, the quasi-triangular inequality holds with constant $c = \max\{c_{A_0}, c_{A_1}\}$. When $c_{A_0} = c_{A_1} = 1$ we say that $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1)$ is a Banach couple.

For a quasi-Banach couple $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1)$, the *Gagliardo completion* A_j^\sim of A_j is formed of all those $a \in A_0 + A_1$ such that

$$\|a\|_{A_j^\sim} := \sup\left\{t^{-j}K(t, a) : t > 0\right\} < \infty,$$

(see [1, 2, 4]). Clearly $A_j \hookrightarrow A_j^\sim$, where \hookrightarrow means continuous embedding. Note that

$$K(t, a; A_0^\sim, A_1^\sim) \leq K(t, a; A_0, A_1) \leq \max\{c_{A_0}, c_{A_1}\}K(t, a; A_0^\sim, A_1^\sim), \quad (1)$$

for $t > 0$ and $a \in A_0 + A_1$. Indeed, for any decomposition $a = a_0 + a_1$, with $a_j \in A_j \hookrightarrow A_j^\sim$, we have that

$$K(t, a; A_0^\sim, A_1^\sim) \leq \|a_0\|_{A_0^\sim} + t\|a_1\|_{A_1^\sim} \leq \|a_0\|_{A_0} + t\|a_1\|_{A_1}.$$

Hence $K(t, a; A_0^\sim, A_1^\sim) \leq K(t, a; A_0, A_1)$. On the other hand, if $a = b_0 + b_1$ with $b_j \in A_j^\sim \hookrightarrow A_0 + A_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} K(t, a; A_0, A_1) &\leq \max\{c_{A_0}, c_{A_1}\} (K(t, b_0; A_0, A_1) + K(t, b_1; A_0, A_1)) \\ &\leq \max\{c_{A_0}, c_{A_1}\} (\|b_0\|_{A_0^\sim} + t\|b_1\|_{A_1^\sim}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $K(t, a; A_0, A_1) \leq \max\{c_{A_0}, c_{A_1}\} K(t, a; A_0^\sim, A_1^\sim)$. In particular, if $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1)$ is a Banach couple, we get an equality in (1) as it can be seen in [1, Theorem V.1.5].

Let $\ell(t) = 1 + |\log t|$, $\ell\ell(t) = 1 + (\log(1 + |\log t|))$ and for $\mathbb{A} = (\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty) \in \mathbb{R}^2$

$$\ell^{\mathbb{A}}(t) = \ell^{(\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty)}(t) = \begin{cases} \ell^{\alpha_0}(t) & \text{if } 0 < t \leq 1, \\ \ell^{\alpha_\infty}(t) & \text{if } 1 < t < \infty, \end{cases}$$

and define $\ell\ell^{\mathbb{A}}(t)$ similarly.

Given $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, $0 < q \leq \infty$, $\mathbb{A} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and a quasi-Banach couple $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1)$, the *logarithmic interpolation space* $(A_0, A_1)_{\theta, q, \mathbb{A}}$ consists of all $a \in A_0 + A_1$ such that

$$\|a\|_{(A_0, A_1)_{\theta, q, \mathbb{A}}} = \|(K(2^m, a)2^{-m\theta} \ell^{\mathbb{A}}(2^m))_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{\ell_q} < \infty.$$

Since this definition requires the weighted sequence space $\ell_q(2^{-m\theta} \ell^{\mathbb{A}}(2^m))$, we also use the notation $(A_0, A_1)_{\ell_q(2^{-m\theta} \ell^{\mathbb{A}}(2^m))}$. It is not difficult to check that the quasi-norm of $(A_0, A_1)_{\theta, q, \mathbb{A}}$ is equivalent to the continuous quasi-norm

$$\|a\|_{(A_0, A_1)_{\theta, q, \mathbb{A}}} \sim \begin{cases} \left(\int_0^\infty [t^{-\theta} \ell^{\mathbb{A}}(t) K(t, a)]^q \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q} & \text{if } 0 < q < \infty, \\ \sup\{t^{-\theta} \ell^{\mathbb{A}}(t) K(t, a) : t > 0\} & \text{if } q = \infty. \end{cases}$$

See [18, 19] for more details on $(A_0, A_1)_{\theta, q, \mathbb{A}}$.

We are interested in the limiting interpolation spaces that appear when $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = 1$. Note that $K(t, a; A_0, A_1) = tK(t^{-1}, a; A_1, A_0)$ and therefore

$$(A_0, A_1)_{\theta, q, (\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty)} = (A_1, A_0)_{1-\theta, q, (\alpha_\infty, \alpha_0)} \quad (2)$$

with equal quasi-norms. In particular, $(A_0, A_1)_{0, q, (\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty)} = (A_1, A_0)_{1, q, (\alpha_\infty, \alpha_0)}$. Subsequently we focus on the case $\theta = 1$.

Under the assumptions

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_0 + \frac{1}{q} < 0 & \text{if } 0 < q < \infty, \\ \alpha_0 \leq 0 & \text{if } q = \infty, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

we have that $A_0 \cap A_1 \hookrightarrow (A_0, A_1)_{1, q, \mathbb{A}} \hookrightarrow A_0 + A_1$, for any quasi-Banach couple $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1)$, otherwise $(A_0, A_1)_{1, q, \mathbb{A}} = \{0\}$ (see [19, Theorem 2.2]).

When $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1)$ is a Banach couple, it will be useful to represent the space $(A_0, A_1)_{1, q, \mathbb{A}}$ by means of the J-functional.

Let $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1)$ be a Banach couple, $0 < q \leq \infty$, $\mathbb{A} = (\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mathbb{B} = (\beta_0, \beta_\infty) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Assume that

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_\infty > 0, \text{ or } \alpha_\infty = 0 \text{ and } \beta_\infty \geq 0 & \text{if } 0 < q \leq 1, \\ \alpha_\infty - \frac{1}{q} > 0, \text{ or } \alpha_\infty = \frac{1}{q} \text{ and } \beta_\infty - \frac{1}{q} > 0 & \text{if } 1 < q \leq \infty, \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

where $1/q + 1/q' = 1$. The space $(A_0, A_1)_{1,q,\mathbb{A},\mathbb{B}}^J = (A_0, A_1)_{\ell_q(2^{-m}\ell^{\mathbb{A}}(2^m)\ell^{\mathbb{B}}(2^m))}^J$ is formed of all those $a \in A_0 + A_1$ for which there exists $(u_m) \subseteq A_0 \cap A_1$ such that

$$a = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} u_m \text{ (convergence in } A_0 + A_1\text{)}$$

and

$$\| (J(2^m, u_m)2^{-m}\ell^{\mathbb{A}}(2^m)\ell^{\mathbb{B}}(2^m))_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \|_{\ell_q} < \infty.$$

We set

$$\|a\|_{(A_0, A_1)_{1,q,\mathbb{A},\mathbb{B}}^J} = \inf \left\{ \| (J(2^m, u_m)2^{-m}\ell^{\mathbb{A}}(2^m)\ell^{\mathbb{B}}(2^m)) \|_{\ell_q} : a = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} u_m \right\}.$$

If $\mathbb{B} = (0, 0)$, we simply write $(A_0, A_1)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}}^J$. It is proven in [3, Section 2] that under the assumptions in (4), $A_0 \cap A_1 \hookrightarrow (A_0, A_1)_{1,q,\mathbb{A},\mathbb{B}} \hookrightarrow A_0 + A_1$ for every Banach couple $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1)$. If $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ there exists an equivalent continuous representation for the J-spaces (see [14, Definition 3.1]).

Let $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1)$ be a Banach couple. If $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ and $\mathbb{A} = (\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty)$ satisfies (3), then [14, Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.6] state that

$$(A_0, A_1)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}} = \begin{cases} (A_0, A_1)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}+1}^J & \text{if } \alpha_\infty + 1/q > 0, \\ (A_0, A_1)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}+1,(0,1)}^J & \text{if } \alpha_\infty + 1/q = 0, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

with equivalent norms. Here $\mathbb{A} + \lambda = (\alpha_0 + \lambda, \alpha_\infty + \lambda)$, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. If $0 < q < 1$ and $\mathbb{A} = (\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty)$ satisfies (3), then [3, Theorem 3.2] shows that

$$(A_0, A_1)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}} = \begin{cases} (A_0^\sim, A_1^\sim)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}+1/q}^J & \text{if } \alpha_\infty + 1/q > 0, \\ (A_0^\sim, A_1^\sim)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}+1/q,(0,1/q)}^J & \text{if } \alpha_\infty + 1/q = 0, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

with equivalent quasi-norms. In general, when $\alpha_\infty + 1/q < 0$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$, or $\alpha_\infty = 0$ and $q = \infty$, the K-space $(A_0, A_1)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}}$ does not admit a J-representation (see [14, Proposition 3.4] and [3, Example 2.1]). In this case, the following result is useful. For a given quasi-Banach couple $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1)$, $\mathbb{A} = (\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_0 + 1/q < 0 \text{ and } \alpha_\infty + 1/q < 0 & \text{if } 0 < q < \infty, \\ \alpha_0 \leq 0 \text{ and } \alpha_\infty \leq 0 & \text{if } q = \infty, \end{cases}$$

we have that for any $\alpha > -1/q$

$$(A_0, A_1)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}} = (A_0 + A_1, A_1)_{1,q,(\alpha_0, \alpha)}, \quad (7)$$

with equivalent quasi-norms. This result was proven in [14, Corollary 2.5] for Banach couples and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, but the proof remains valid for quasi-Banach couples and $0 < q \leq \infty$ just taking into account the constant in the quasi-triangle inequality.

3. Compactness theorem. In what follows, if X and Y are quantities depending on certain parameters, we write $X \lesssim Y$ if $X \leq CY$ with a constant C independent of all the parameters. We put $X \sim Y$ if $X \lesssim Y$ and $Y \lesssim X$.

Let A be a quasi-Banach space. For $M > 0$, we put $MU_A = \{a \in A : \|a\|_A \leq M\}$ and just U_A when $M = 1$. If B is another quasi-Banach space, let $\mathcal{L}(A, B)$ denote the set of

bounded linear operators from A to B and $\mathcal{K}(A, B)$ the set of linear compact operators from A to B . If $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1)$ and $\bar{B} = (B_0, B_1)$ are two quasi-Banach couples, we put $T \in \mathcal{L}(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$ if $T \in \mathcal{L}(A_0 + A_1, B_0 + B_1)$ and the restrictions $T : A_j \rightarrow B_j$ are also bounded with quasi-norm $\|T\|_j$, for $j = 0, 1$. If $A_0 = A_1 = A$ or $B_0 = B_1 = B$, then we simply write $T \in \mathcal{L}(A, \bar{B})$ or $T \in \mathcal{L}(\bar{A}, B)$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we set $\lambda^+ = \max\{0, \lambda\}$.

Let $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1)$ and $\bar{B} = (B_0, B_1)$ be quasi-Banach couples, $0 < q \leq \infty$ and $\mathbb{A} = (\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ satisfying (3). If $T \in \mathcal{L}(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$, then $T \in \mathcal{L}(\bar{A}_{1,q,\mathbb{A}}; \bar{B}_{1,q,\mathbb{A}})$ and the following norm estimate holds

$$\|T\|_{\bar{A}_{1,q,\mathbb{A}}; \bar{B}_{1,q,\mathbb{A}}} \lesssim \begin{cases} \|T\|_1 \left(1 + \left(\log \frac{\|T\|_0}{\|T\|_1}\right)^+\right)^{\alpha_\infty^+ - \alpha_0} & \text{if } \|T\|_j \neq 0, j = 0, 1; \\ \|T\|_1 & \text{if } \|T\|_j = 0, j = 0 \text{ or } j = 1. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

This result was proven in [7, Theorem 2.2] for Banach couples and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. The proof remains true in our hypothesis.

Our goal in this section is to prove the compactness of the interpolated operator $T : (A_0, A_1)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow (B_0, B_1)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}}$, for \bar{A} a Banach couple and \bar{B} a quasi-Banach couple, under the assumptions that $T : A_1 \rightarrow B_1$ is compact and $T : A_0 \rightarrow B_0$ is bounded. For this purpose we establish first a simplified version of this result and some auxiliary lemmas.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1)$ be a quasi-Banach couple and let B be a quasi-Banach space. Take $\mathbb{A} = (\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$ satisfying (3).*

1. *If $T \in \mathcal{L}(B, \bar{A})$ with $T : B \rightarrow A_1$ compact, then $T : B \rightarrow (A_0, A_1)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}}$ is compact.*
2. *If $T \in \mathcal{L}(\bar{A}, B)$ with $T : A_1 \rightarrow B$ compact, then $T : (A_0, A_1)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow B$ is compact.*

Proof. For the first case, the proof given in [14, Lemma 4.1,(a)] is still valid. However, for the second case, [14, Lemma 4.2,(b)] uses Hahn-Banach theorem and we have to proceed differently. It is clear that for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{K(2^m, a)}{\|a\|_{\bar{A}_{1,q,\mathbb{A}}}} : a \in \bar{A}_{1,q,\mathbb{A}}, a \neq 0 \right\} \leq 2^m \ell^{-\mathbb{A}}(2^m). \quad (9)$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we fix $m < 0$ such that $2^m \ell^{-\mathbb{A}}(2^m) \leq \varepsilon / (4c_B \|T\|_{A_0, B})$. Using (9), we get that for any $a \in U_{\bar{A}_{1,q,\mathbb{A}}}$ there exists $a_j \in A_j$, $j = 0, 1$ such that $a = a_0 + a_1$ and

$$\|a_0\|_{A_0} + 2^m \|a_1\|_{A_1} \leq 2K(2^m, a) \leq 2^{m+1} \ell^{-\mathbb{A}}(2^m) \leq \varepsilon / (2c_B \|T\|_{A_0, B}).$$

Let $M = 2^{-m} \varepsilon / (2c_B \|T\|_{A_0, B})$. By compactness of the operator $T : A_1 \rightarrow B$, there exists $\{b_1, \dots, b_k\} \subset B$ such that $\min\{\|Tx - b_j\|_B : 1 \leq j \leq k\} \leq \varepsilon / (2c_B)$, for every $x \in MU_{A_1}$. Consequently, for each $a \in U_{\bar{A}_{1,q,\mathbb{A}}}$ we can take $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $\|Ta_1 - b_j\|_B \leq \varepsilon / (2c_B)$ and

$$\|Ta - b_j\|_B \leq c_B (\|Ta_0\|_B + \|Ta_1 - b_j\|_B) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, $T : (A_0, A_1)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow B$ is compact. ■

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1)$ be a Banach couple. Let $\bar{B} = (B_0, B_1)$ be a quasi-Banach couple and $T \in \mathcal{L}(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$. If $T : A_1 \rightarrow B_1$ is compact, then $T : A_1^\sim \rightarrow B_1^\sim$ is also compact.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $a \in U_{A_1^\sim} = \{a \in A_0 + A_1 : \sup_{t>0} K(t, a)/t \leq 1\}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $a_{0n} \in A_0$ and $a_{1n} \in A_1$, satisfying that $a = a_{0n} + a_{1n}$ and $\|a_{0n}\|_{A_0} + 1/n\|a_{1n}\|_{A_1} \leq 2K(1/n, a) \leq 2/n$. Note that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ta_{1n} = Ta$ in $B_0 + B_1$, since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{1n} = a$ in $A_0 + A_1$. Moreover, the sequence (a_{1n}) is contained in $2U_{A_1}$ and the operator T is compact from A_1 to B_1 , therefore there exists a subsequence $(Ta_{1n'})$ that is convergent in B_1 . Using compatibility, we obtain that $Ta_{1n'} \xrightarrow{n' \rightarrow \infty} Ta$ in B_1 and then we can find $n'_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|Ta_{1n'_0} - Ta\|_{B_1} \leq \varepsilon/(2c_{B_1})$.

Again by compactness of $T : A_1 \rightarrow B_1$, there exists $\{b_1, \dots, b_k\} \subset B_1$ such that $\min\{\|Tx - b_j\|_{B_1} : 1 \leq j \leq k\} \leq \varepsilon/(2c_{B_1})$, for every $x \in 2U_{A_1}$. Hence, we can take $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $\|Ta_{1n'_0} - b_j\|_{B_1} \leq \varepsilon/(2c_{B_1})$ and

$$\|Ta - b_j\|_{B_1} \leq c_{B_1} (\|Ta - Ta_{1n'_0}\|_{B_1} + \|Ta_{1n'_0} - b_j\|_{B_1}) \leq c_{B_1} (\varepsilon/(2c_{B_1}) + \varepsilon/(2c_{B_1})) = \varepsilon.$$

Thus $T : A_1^\sim \rightarrow B_1$ is compact. Since $B_1 \hookrightarrow B_1^\sim$, it follows that $T : A_1^\sim \rightarrow B_1^\sim$ is also compact. ■

The previous lemma for Banach couples and compactness on the restriction $T : A_0 \rightarrow B_0$ was given in [6, Theorem 2.2]. The formulation of the next two lemmas correspond to [9, Lemma 2.3 and Corollary 2.2] in the Banach case. The proofs can be found in [8, Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3] for quasi-Banach spaces and bilinear operators.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let A, B, Z be quasi-Banach spaces, D a dense subspace of A and $T \in \mathcal{K}(A, B)$. Let $(S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{L}(B, Z)$ such that $M := \sup\{\|S_n\|_{B, Z} : n \geq 1\} < \infty$. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|S_n T u\|_Z = 0$ for all $u \in D$ then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|S_n T\|_{A, Z} = 0$.*

LEMMA 3.4. *Let $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1)$ and $\bar{B} = (B_0, B_1)$ be quasi-Banach couples and let A, B be intermediate spaces with respect to \bar{A} and \bar{B} , respectively. Assume that $T \in \mathcal{L}(A_0 + A_1, B_0 + B_1) \cap \mathcal{K}(A, B)$. Let X be a quasi-Banach space and $(R_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{L}(X, A)$ such that $M := \sup\{\|R_n\|_{X, A} : n \geq 1\} < \infty$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|TR_n\|_{X, B_0 + B_1} = 0$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|TR_n\|_{X, B} = 0$.*

Let (λ_m) be a sequence of positive numbers and (W_m) a sequence of quasi-Banach spaces with the same constant $c \geq 1$ in the quasi-triangle inequality. For any $0 < q \leq \infty$, we put

$$\ell_q(\lambda_m W_m) = \{w = (w_m)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} : w_m \in W_m \text{ and } (\lambda_m \|w_m\|_{W_m}) \in \ell_q\}.$$

The quasi-norm in $\ell_q(\lambda_m W_m)$ is given by $\|w\|_{\ell_q(\lambda_m W_m)} = \|(\lambda_m \|w_m\|_{W_m})_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{\ell_q}$.

Now we establish the analogous results to [14, Lemma 4.2].

LEMMA 3.5. *Let $(W_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of quasi-Banach spaces with constant $c \geq 1$ in the quasi-triangle inequality. Let $\mathbb{A} = (\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$ satisfying (3). Then*

$$(\ell_\infty(W_m), \ell_\infty(2^{-m} W_m))_{1, q, \mathbb{A}} \hookrightarrow \ell_q(2^{-m} \ell^{\mathbb{A}}(2^m) W_m).$$

Proof. Let $x = (x_m) \in (\ell_\infty(W_m), \ell_\infty(2^{-m} W_m))_{1, q, \mathbb{A}}$. Given any decomposition $x = y + z$ with $y = (y_m) \in \ell_\infty(W_m)$ and $z = (z_m) \in \ell_\infty(2^{-m} W_m)$, we have

$$\|x_k\|_{W_k} \leq c(\|y_k\|_{W_k} + \|z_k\|_{W_k}) \leq c(\|y\|_{\ell_\infty(W_m)} + 2^k \|z\|_{\ell_\infty(2^{-m} W_m)}), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then $\|x_k\|_{W_k} \leq cK(2^k, x; \ell_\infty(W_m), \ell_\infty(2^{-m} W_m))$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, which yields that $\|x\|_{\ell_q(2^{-m} \ell^{\mathbb{A}}(2^m) W_m)} \leq c\|x\|_{(\ell_\infty(W_m), \ell_\infty(2^{-m} W_m))_{1, q, \mathbb{A}}}$. ■

For a sequence of Banach spaces we also have the following result.

LEMMA 3.6. *Let $(W_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of Banach spaces. Let $\mathbb{A} = (\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $0 < q \leq 1$ satisfying (3).*

1. *If $\alpha_\infty + 1/q > 0$, then*

$$\ell_q(2^{-m} \ell^{\mathbb{A}+1/q}(2^m)W_m) \hookrightarrow (\ell_1(W_m), \ell_1(2^{-m}W_m))_{1,q,\mathbb{A}}.$$

2. *If $\alpha_\infty + 1/q = 0$, then*

$$\ell_q(2^{-m} \ell^{\mathbb{A}+1/q}(2^m) \ell \ell^{(0,1/q)}(2^m)W_m) \hookrightarrow (\ell_1(W_m), \ell_1(2^{-m}W_m))_{1,q,\mathbb{A}}.$$

Proof.

1. Let $x = (x_m) \in \ell_q(2^{-m} \ell^{\mathbb{A}+1/q}(2^m)W_m)$ and let δ_m^k the Kronecker delta. We set $u_k = (\delta_m^k x_k)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell_1(W_m) \cap \ell_1(2^{-m}W_m) \hookrightarrow \ell_1(W_m) \sim \cap \ell_1(2^{-m}W_m) \sim$. Using (6), we now derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_{(\ell_1(W_m), \ell_1(2^{-m}W_m))_{1,q,\mathbb{A}}} &\sim \|x\|_{(\ell_1(W_m) \sim, \ell_1(2^{-m}W_m) \sim)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}+1/q}}^J \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} [2^{-k} \ell^{\mathbb{A}+1/q}(2^k) J(2^k, u_k; \ell_1(W_m) \sim, \ell_1(2^{-m}W_m) \sim)]^q \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} [2^{-k} \ell^{\mathbb{A}+1/q}(2^k) J(2^k, u_k; \ell_1(W_m), \ell_1(2^{-m}W_m))]^q \right)^{1/q} \\ &= \|x\|_{\ell_q(2^{-k} \ell^{\mathbb{A}+1/q}(2^k))}. \end{aligned}$$

2. This case can be handled as the previous one but using the appropriate equality of (6).

■

We now prove the main result of this section.

THEOREM 3.7. *Let $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1)$ be a Banach couple. Let $\bar{B} = (B_0, B_1)$ be a quasi-Banach couple and $T \in \mathcal{L}(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$ such that $T : A_1 \rightarrow B_1$ is compact. For any $\mathbb{A} = (\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$ satisfying (3), we have that*

$$T : (A_0, A_1)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow (B_0, B_1)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}}$$

is also compact.

Proof. Step 1. Let $0 < q \leq 1$ and assume that $\alpha_\infty + 1/q \geq 0$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$\begin{aligned} G_m &= (A_0^\sim \cap A_1^\sim, J(2^m, \cdot; A_0^\sim, A_1^\sim)) \text{ and} \\ F_m &= (B_0^\sim + B_1^\sim, K(2^m, \cdot; B_0^\sim, B_1^\sim)). \end{aligned}$$

We define $\mu_m = 2^{-m} \ell^{\mathbb{A}}(2^m)$ and

$$\lambda_m = \begin{cases} 2^{-m} \ell^{\mathbb{A}+1/q}(2^m) & \text{if } \alpha_\infty + 1/q > 0, \\ 2^{-m} \ell^{\mathbb{A}+1/q}(2^m) \ell \ell^{(0,1/q)}(2^m) & \text{if } \alpha_\infty + 1/q = 0. \end{cases}$$

By (1) and (6), we have that

$$(A_0^\sim, A_1^\sim)_{\ell_q(\mu_m)} = (A_0, A_1)_{\ell_q(\mu_m)} = (A_0^\sim, A_1^\sim)_{\ell_q(\lambda_m)}^J$$

with equivalent quasi-norms.

Consider the operators $\pi(u) = \sum_m u_m$ and $jb = (\dots, b, b, b, \dots)$. Observe that

$$\pi : \ell_q(\lambda_m G_m) \rightarrow (A_0^\sim, A_1^\sim)_{\ell_q(\mu_m)}$$

is a metric surjection if we consider on $(A_0^\sim, A_1^\sim)_{\ell_q(\mu_m)}$ the J-quasi-norm. Moreover, restrictions $\pi : \ell_1(2^{mj} G_m) \rightarrow A_j^\sim$, $j = 0, 1$, are bounded operators with norm ≤ 1 . On the other hand,

$$j : (B_0^\sim, B_1^\sim)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow \ell_q(\mu_m F_m)$$

is a metric injection and restrictions $j : B_j^\sim \rightarrow \ell_\infty(2^{-mj} F_m)$, $j = 0, 1$, are bounded with quasi-norm ≤ 1 . Applying Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6 we obtain the following diagram that illustrates the situation

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \ell_1(G_m) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & A_0^\sim & \xrightarrow{T} & B_0^\sim & \xrightarrow{j} & \ell_\infty(F_m) \\ \ell_1(2^{-m} G_m) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & A_1^\sim & \xrightarrow{T} & B_1^\sim & \xrightarrow{j} & \ell_\infty(2^{-m} F_m) \\ \hline \bar{\ell}_1(G_m)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & (A_0^\sim, A_1^\sim)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}} & \xrightarrow{T} & (B_0^\sim, B_1^\sim)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}} & \xrightarrow{j} & \bar{\ell}_\infty(F_m)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}}, \\ \uparrow & & & & & & \downarrow \\ \ell_q(\lambda_m G_m) & & & & & & \ell_q(\mu_m F_m) \end{array}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\ell}_1(G_m)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}} &:= (\ell_1(G_m), \ell_1(2^{-m} G_m))_{1,q,\mathbb{A}} \text{ and} \\ \bar{\ell}_\infty(F_m)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}} &:= (\ell_\infty(F_m), \ell_\infty(2^{-m} F_m))_{1,q,\mathbb{A}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\hat{T} = jT\pi$. Properties of π and j yield that compactness of $T : (A_0, A_1)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow (B_0, B_1)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}}$ is equivalent to compactness of $\hat{T} : \ell_q(\lambda_m G_m) \rightarrow \ell_q(\mu_m F_m)$. Observe that applying Lemma 3.2, $T : A_1^\sim \rightarrow B_1^\sim$ is compact and so $\hat{T} : \ell_1(2^{-m} G_m) \rightarrow \ell_\infty(2^{-m} F_m)$ is also compact. We shall check the compactness of \hat{T} with the help of the following projections. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n(u_m) &= (\dots, 0, 0, u_{-n}, \dots, u_n, 0, 0, \dots), \\ Q_n^+(u_m) &= (\dots, 0, 0, u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}, \dots), \\ Q_n^-(u_m) &= (\dots, u_{-n-2}, u_{-n-1}, 0, 0, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

The identity operator on $\ell_1(G_m) + \ell_1(2^{-m} G_m)$ can be written as $I = Q_n + Q_n^+ + Q_n^-$. These projections have the following properties:

$$\|Q_n\|_{E,E} = \|Q_n^+\|_{E,E} = \|Q_n^-\|_{E,E} = 1 \text{ for } E = \ell_1(G_m), \ell_1(2^{-m} G_m), \ell_q(\lambda_m G_m), \quad (10)$$

$$\|Q_n\|_{\ell_1(2^{-m} G_m), \ell_1(G_m)} = \|Q_n\|_{\ell_1(G_m), \ell_1(2^{-m} G_m)} = 2^n, n \geq 1, \quad (11)$$

$$\|Q_n^+\|_{\ell_1(G_m), \ell_1(2^{-m} G_m)} = 2^{-(n+1)}, n \geq 1, \quad (12)$$

$$\|Q_n^-\|_{\ell_1(2^{-m} G_m), \ell_1(G_m)} = 2^{-(n+1)}, n \geq 1. \quad (13)$$

On the couple $(\ell_\infty(F_m), \ell_\infty(2^{-m} F_m))$ we can define similar projections P_n, P_n^+, P_n^- satisfying analogous properties.

We have

$$\hat{T} = \hat{T}Q_n + \hat{T}Q_n^- + \hat{T}Q_n^+ = \hat{T}Q_n + \hat{T}Q_n^- + P_n \hat{T}Q_n^+ + P_n^- \hat{T}Q_n^+ + P_n^+ \hat{T}Q_n^+.$$

Next we show that $\hat{T}Q_n$, $P_n\hat{T}Q_n^+$, and $P_n^-\hat{T}Q_n^+$ are compact from $\ell_q(\lambda_m G_m)$ to $\ell_q(\mu_m F_m)$ and that the quasi-norms of the other two operators converge to 0.

Using (11) and Lemma 3.6, we have the factorization

$$\ell_q(\lambda_m G_m) \hookrightarrow \ell_1(G_m) + \ell_1(2^{-m}G_m) \begin{array}{l} \xrightarrow{Q_n} \ell_1(G_m) \xrightarrow{\hat{T}} \ell_\infty(F_m) \\ \xrightarrow{Q_n} \ell_1(2^{-m}G_m) \xrightarrow{\hat{T}} \ell_\infty(2^{-m}F_m), \end{array}$$

which allows to apply Lemma 3.1 to obtain the compactness of

$$\hat{T}Q_n : \ell_q(\lambda_m G_m) \rightarrow (\ell_\infty(F_m), \ell_\infty(2^{-m}F_m))_{1,q,\Delta}.$$

Now from Lemma 3.5, we conclude that $\hat{T}Q_n : \ell_q(\lambda_m G_m) \rightarrow \ell_q(\mu_m F_m)$ is compact.

Considering (10), (12), the analogous properties to (10) and (11) for the operator P_n and Lemma 3.5, we have the factorization

$$\begin{array}{c} \ell_1(G_m) \xrightarrow{Q_n^+} \\ \ell_1(2^{-m}G_m) \xrightarrow{Q_n^+} \end{array} \ell_1(2^{-m}G_m) \xrightarrow{\hat{T}} \ell_\infty(2^{-m}F_m) \xrightarrow{P_n} \ell_\infty(F_m) \cap \ell_\infty(2^{-m}F_m) \hookrightarrow \ell_q(\mu_m F_m).$$

Thus, by Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.6, the operator $P_n\hat{T}Q_n^+ : \ell_q(\lambda_m G_m) \rightarrow \ell_q(\mu_m F_m)$ is compact.

For $P_n^-\hat{T}Q_n^+$, we first use (10) and (12) to get the next diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} \ell_1(G_m) \xrightarrow{Q_n^+} \\ \ell_1(2^{-m}G_m) \xrightarrow{Q_n^+} \end{array} \ell_1(2^{-m}G_m) \xrightarrow{\hat{T}} \ell_\infty(2^{-m}F_m).$$

Again from Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.6, we infer the compactness of $TQ_n^+ : \ell_q(\lambda_m G_m) \rightarrow \ell_\infty(2^{-m}F_m)$. Now using the analogous property to (13) for the operator P_n^- , we have the factorization

$$\ell_q(\lambda_m G_m) \xrightarrow{TQ_n^+} \ell_\infty(2^{-m}F_m) \begin{array}{l} \xrightarrow{P_n^-} \ell_\infty(F_m) \\ \xrightarrow{P_n^-} \ell_\infty(2^{-m}F_m). \end{array}$$

Applying again Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.5, we deduce that $P_n^-\hat{T}Q_n^+ : \ell_q(\lambda_m G_m) \rightarrow \ell_q(\mu_m F_m)$ is compact.

We shall now prove that $\|\hat{T}Q_n^-\|_{\ell_q(\lambda_m G_m), \ell_q(\mu_m F_m)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$. Using (13) we get that

$$\|\hat{T}Q_n^-\|_{\ell_1(2^{-m}G_m), \ell_\infty(F_m) + \ell_\infty(2^{-m}F_m)} \leq 2^{-(n+1)} \|\hat{T}\|_{\ell_1(G_m), \ell_\infty(F_m) + \ell_\infty(2^{-m}F_m)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Then Lemma 3.4 implies that $\|\hat{T}Q_n^-\|_{\ell_1(2^{-m}G_m), \ell_\infty(2^{-m}F_m)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$. Note also that

$$\|\hat{T}Q_n^-\|_{\ell_1(G_m), \ell_\infty(F_m)} \leq \|\hat{T}\|_{\ell_1(G_m), \ell_\infty(F_m)}, \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus, using (8), Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\hat{T}Q_n^-\|_{\ell_q(\lambda_m G_m), \ell_q(\mu_m F_m)} &\lesssim \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\hat{T}Q_n^-\|_{\bar{\ell}_1(G_m)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}}; \bar{\ell}_\infty(F_m)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}}} \\ &\lesssim \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\hat{T}Q_n^-\|_1 \left(1 + \left(\log \frac{\|\hat{T}Q_n^-\|_0}{\|\hat{T}Q_n^-\|_1} \right)^+ \right)^{\alpha_\infty^+ - \alpha_0} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now we show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_n^+ \hat{T}Q_n^+\|_{\ell_q(\lambda_m G_m), \ell_q(\mu_m F_m)} = 0$. We define

$$D = \{u = (u_m)_{m=-\infty}^\infty : u_m \in G_m \text{ with a finite number of no-null coordinates}\}.$$

Since D is dense in $\ell_1(2^{-m}G_m)$ and for any $u \in D$,

$$\|P_n^+ \hat{T}u\|_{\ell_\infty(2^{-m}F_m)} \leq 2^{-(n+1)} \|\hat{T}\|_{\ell_1(G_m), \ell_\infty(F_m)} \|u\|_{\ell_1(G_m)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

by Lemma 3.3 we get that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_n^+ \hat{T}Q_n^+\|_{\ell_1(2^{-m}G_m), \ell_\infty(2^{-m}F_m)} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_n^+ \hat{T}\|_{\ell_1(2^{-m}G_m), \ell_\infty(2^{-m}F_m)} = 0.$$

Then, proceeding as in the previous case we infer that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_n^+ \hat{T}Q_n^+\|_{\ell_q(\lambda_m G_m), \ell_q(\lambda_m F_m)} = 0.$$

Step 2. Let $0 < q \leq 1$ and suppose now that $\alpha_\infty + 1/q < 0$. Take $\alpha > -1/q$. By (7), we get that $(A_0, A_1)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}} = (A_0 + A_1, A_1)_{1,q,(\alpha_0, \alpha)}$ and $(B_0, B_1)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}} = (B_0 + B_1, B_1)_{1,q,(\alpha_0, \alpha)}$. Applying the previous case we prove the compactness of

$$T : (A_0, A_1)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}} = (A_0 + A_1, A_1)_{1,q,(\alpha_0, \alpha)} \rightarrow (B_0 + B_1, B_1)_{1,q,(\alpha_0, \alpha)} = (B_0, B_1)_{1,q,\mathbb{A}}$$

Step 3. Assume now that $1 < q \leq \infty$. In this case we can proceed as when $0 < q \leq 1$ but defining

$$\lambda_m = \begin{cases} 2^{-m} \ell^{\mathbb{A}+1}(2^m) & \text{if } \alpha_\infty + 1/q > 0, \\ 2^{-m} \ell^{\mathbb{A}+1}(2^m) \ell^{\ell(0,1)}(2^m) & \text{if } \alpha_\infty + 1/q = 0 \text{ and } 1 < q < \infty, \end{cases}$$

and using (5) instead of (6) and [14, Lemma 4.2] instead of Lemma 3.1.

This completes the proof. ■

The corresponding result for the $0, q, \mathbb{A}$ -method is a consequence of (2) and reads as follows.

COROLLARY 3.8. *Let $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1)$ be a Banach couple. Let $\bar{B} = (B_0, B_1)$ be a quasi-Banach couple and $T \in \mathcal{L}(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$ such that $T : A_0 \rightarrow B_0$ is compact. For any $\mathbb{A} = (\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$ such that*

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_\infty + 1/q < 0 & \text{if } q < \infty, \\ \alpha_\infty \leq 0 & \text{if } q = \infty, \end{cases}$$

we have that $T : (A_0, A_1)_{0,q,\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow (B_0, B_1)_{0,q,\mathbb{A}}$ is also compact.

4. Applications to Lorentz-Zygmund spaces. Let (R, μ) be a σ -finite measure space. For f a μ -measurable function on R , let f^* be the *non-increasing rearrangement* of f defined by

$$f^*(t) = \inf\{s > 0 : \mu(\{x \in R : |f(x)| > s\}) \leq t\}.$$

Let $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ and $\mathbb{A} = (\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. The *generalized Lorentz-Zygmund space* $L_{p,q,\mathbb{A}}(R, \mu)$ is formed of all the (classes of) μ -measurable functions f on R having a finite quasi-norm

$$\|f\|_{p,q,\mathbb{A}} = \left(\int_0^{\mu(R)} \left[t^{1/p} \ell^{\mathbb{A}}(t) f^*(t) \right]^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q}.$$

See [22, 16].

Now we are going to extend the result given in [14, Corollary 4.5] to the case $0 < q < \infty$ and $0 < q_0 < q_1 \leq \infty$.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let (R, μ) and (S, ν) be σ -finite measure spaces. Take $1 < p_0 < p_1 \leq \infty$, $0 < q_0 < q_1 \leq \infty$, $0 < q < \infty$ and $\mathbb{A} = (\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\alpha_\infty + 1/q < 0 < \alpha_0 + 1/q$. Let T be a linear operator such that*

$$\begin{aligned} T : L_{p_0}(R) &\rightarrow L_{q_0}(S) \text{ is compact and} \\ T : L_{p_1}(R) &\rightarrow L_{q_1}(S) \text{ is bounded.} \end{aligned}$$

Then $T : L_{p_0, q, \mathbb{A} + \frac{1}{\min(p_0, q)}}(R) \rightarrow L_{q_0, q, \mathbb{A} + \frac{1}{\max(q_0, q)}}(S)$ is also compact.

Proof. By Corollary 3.8,

$$T : (L_{p_0}(R), L_{p_1}(R))_{0, q, \mathbb{A}} \rightarrow (L_{q_0}(S), L_{q_1}(S))_{0, q, \mathbb{A}}$$

is compact.

On the other hand, according to [2, Theorem 5.2.1] for any $r < q_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{p_0}(R) &= (L_1(R), L_\infty(R))_{1-1/p_0, p_0}, \\ L_{p_1}(R) &= (L_1(R), L_\infty(R))_{1-1/p_1, p_1}, \\ L_{q_0}(S) &= (L_r(S), L_\infty(S))_{1-r/q_0, q_0}, \\ L_{q_1}(S) &= (L_r(S), L_\infty(S))_{1-r/q_1, q_1}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from [18, Theorem 4.7 and Theorem 5.9]

$$\begin{aligned} (L_1(R), L_\infty(R))_{1-1/p_0, q, \mathbb{A} + \frac{1}{\min(p_0, q)}} &\hookrightarrow (L_{p_0}(R), L_{p_1}(R))_{0, q, \mathbb{A}} \text{ and} \\ (L_{q_0}(S), L_{q_1}(S))_{0, q, \mathbb{A}} &\hookrightarrow (L_r(S), L_\infty(S))_{1-r/q_0, q, \mathbb{A} + \frac{1}{\max(q_0, q)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Besides by [18, Corollary 8.4] we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{p_0, q, \mathbb{A} + \frac{1}{\min(p_0, q)}} &= (L_1(R), L_\infty(R))_{1-1/p_0, q, \mathbb{A} + \frac{1}{\min(p_0, q)}}, \\ L_{q_0, q, \mathbb{A} + \frac{1}{\max(q_0, q)}} &= (L_r(S), L_\infty(S))_{1-r/q_0, q, \mathbb{A} + \frac{1}{\max(q_0, q)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the operator

$$T : L_{p_0, q, \mathbb{A} + \frac{1}{\min(p_0, q)}} \hookrightarrow (L_{p_0}(R), L_{p_1}(R))_{0, q, \mathbb{A}} \rightarrow (L_{q_0}(S), L_{q_1}(S))_{0, q, \mathbb{A}} \hookrightarrow L_{q_0, q, \mathbb{A} + \frac{1}{\max(q_0, q)}}$$

is compact. ■

Next we consider the case of compactness on the second restriction.

COROLLARY 4.2. *Let (R, μ) and (S, ν) be σ -finite measure spaces. Take $1 \leq p_0 < p_1 < \infty$, $0 < q_0 < q_1 < \infty$, $0 < q < \infty$ and $\mathbb{A} = (\alpha_0, \alpha_\infty) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\alpha_0 + 1/q < 0 < \alpha_\infty + 1/q$. Let T be a linear operator such that*

$$T : L_{p_0}(R) \rightarrow L_{q_0}(S) \text{ is bounded and}$$

$$T : L_{p_1}(R) \rightarrow L_{q_1}(S) \text{ is compact.}$$

Then $T : L_{p_1, q, \mathbb{A} + \frac{1}{\min(p_1, q)}}(R) \rightarrow L_{q_1, q, \mathbb{A} + \frac{1}{\max(q_1, q)}}(S)$ is also compact.

Proof. By Theorem 3.7 and (2),

$$T : (L_{p_1}(R), L_{p_0}(R))_{0, q, (\alpha_\infty, \alpha_0)} \rightarrow (L_{q_1}(S), L_{q_0}(S))_{0, q, (\alpha_\infty, \alpha_0)}$$

is compact.

Using [2, Theorem 5.2.1 and Theorem 3.4.1/(a)], for any $r < q_0$ we get

$$L_{p_0}(R) = (L_\infty(R), L_1(R))_{1/p_0, p_0} \text{ if } p_0 > 1,$$

$$L_{p_1}(R) = (L_\infty(R), L_1(R))_{1/p_1, p_1},$$

$$L_{q_0}(S) = (L_\infty(S), L_r(S))_{r/q_0, q_0},$$

$$L_{q_1}(S) = (L_\infty(S), L_r(S))_{r/q_1, q_1}.$$

It follows from [18, Theorem 4.7 and Theorem 5.9] that

$$(L_\infty(R), L_1(R))_{1/p_1, q, (\alpha_\infty, \alpha_0) + 1/\min(p_1, q)} \hookrightarrow (L_{p_1}(R), L_{p_0}(R))_{0, q, (\alpha_\infty, \alpha_0)} \text{ and}$$

$$(L_{q_1}(S), L_{q_0}(S))_{0, q, (\alpha_\infty, \alpha_0)} \hookrightarrow (L_\infty(S), L_r(S))_{r/q_1, q, (\alpha_\infty, \alpha_0) + 1/\max(q, q_1)}.$$

If $p_0 = 1$, these inclusions also follow from [18, Theorem 4.7 and Theorem 5.9]. Furthermore, according to [18, Corollary 8.4] and (2) we have

$$L_{p_1, q, \mathbb{A} + 1/\min(p_1, q)} = (L_\infty(R), L_1(R))_{1/p_1, q, (\alpha_\infty, \alpha_0) + 1/\min(p_1, q)},$$

$$L_{q_1, q, \mathbb{A} + 1/\max(q_1, q)} = (L_\infty(S), L_r(S))_{r/q_1, q, (\alpha_\infty, \alpha_0) + 1/\max(q, q_1)}.$$

Consequently, the operator

$$T : L_{p_1, q, \mathbb{A} + \frac{1}{\min(p_1, q)}} \hookrightarrow (L_{p_0}(R), L_{p_1}(R))_{1, q, \mathbb{A}} \rightarrow (L_{q_0}(S), L_{q_1}(S))_{1, q, \mathbb{A}} \hookrightarrow L_{q_1, q, \mathbb{A} + \frac{1}{\max(q_1, q)}}$$

is compact. ■

Acknowledgments. The author has been supported by FPU grant FPU16/02420 of the Spanish Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte and by MTM2017-84508-P (AEI/FEDER, UE).

References

- [1] C. Bennett and R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of Operators*, Academic Press, New York, 1988.
- [2] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation Spaces. An introduction*, Springer, Berlin, 1976.
- [3] B.F. Besoy and F. Cobos, *Duality for logarithmic interpolation spaces when $0 < q < 1$ and applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 466 (2018) 373-399.

- [4] Y. Brudnyi and N. Krugljak, *Interpolation Functors and Interpolation Spaces*, Vol. 1, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1991.
- [5] F. Cobos, L.M. Fernández-Cabrera, T. Kühn and T. Ullrich, *On an extreme class of real interpolation spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. 256 (2009) 2321-2366.
- [6] F. Cobos, L.M. Fernández-Cabrera and A. Martínez, *On a paper of Edmunds and Opic on limiting interpolation of compact operators between L_p spaces*, Math. Nachr. 288 (2015) 167-175.
- [7] F. Cobos, L.M. Fernández-Cabrera and A. Martínez, *Estimates for the spectrum on logarithmic interpolation spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 437 (2016) 292-309.
- [8] F. Cobos, L.M. Fernández-Cabrera and A. Martínez, *Interpolation of compact bilinear operators among quasi-Banach spaces and applications*, Math. Nachr. (2018).
- [9] F. Cobos, L.M. Fernández-Cabrera and A. Martínez, *Complex interpolation, minimal methods and compact operators*, Math. Nachr. 263-264 (2004) 67-82
- [10] F. Cobos, L.M. Fernández-Cabrera, and M. Mastyo, *Abstract limit J -spaces*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society, 82 (2010) 501-525.
- [11] F. Cobos and T. Kühn, *Equivalence of K - and J -methods for limiting real interpolation spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. 261 (2011) 3696-3722.
- [12] F. Cobos, T. Kühn and T. Schonbek, *One-sided compactness results for Aronszajn-Gagliardo functors*, J. Funct. Anal. 106 (1992) 274-313.
- [13] F. Cobos and L.E. Persson, *Real interpolation of compact operators between quasi-Banach spaces*, Math. Scand. 82 (1998) 138-160.
- [14] F. Cobos and A. Segurado, *Description of logarithmic interpolation spaces by means of the J -functional and applications*, J. Funct. Anal. 268 (2015) 2906-2945.
- [15] M. Cwikel, *Real and complex interpolation and extrapolation of compact operators*, Duke Math. J. 65 (1992) 333-343.
- [16] D.E. Edmunds and W.D. Evans, *Hardy Operators, Function Spaces and Embeddings*, Springer, Berlin 2004.
- [17] D.E. Edmunds and B. Opic, *Limiting variants of Krasnoselskii's compact interpolation theorem*, J. Funct. Anal. 266 (2014) 3265-3285.
- [18] W.D. Evans and B. Opic, *Real Interpolation with Logarithmic Functors and Reiteration*, Canad. J. Math. 52 (2000) 920-960.
- [19] W.D. Evans, B. Opic and L. Pick, *Real Interpolation with logarithmic functors*, J. Inequal. Appl. 7 (2002).
- [20] M.A. Krasnosel'skii, *On a theorem of M. Riesz*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR. 131 (1960) 246-248.
- [21] J.L. Lions and J. Peetre, *Sur une classe d'espaces d'interpolation*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. 19 (1964) 5-68.
- [22] B. Opic and L. Pick, *On generalized Lorentz-Zygmund spaces*, Math. Inequal. Appl. 2 (1999) 391-467.
- [23] A. Persson, *Compact linear mappings between interpolation spaces*, Ark. Mat. 5 (1964) 215-219.
- [24] H. Triebel, *Interpolation Theory, Function Spaces, Differential Operators*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, (1978).